STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT					
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	EMAIL ADDRESS	TELEPHONE NUMBER		
CA Building Standards Commission	Irina Brauzman	Irina.brauzman@dgs.ca.gov	916-263-0916		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400		·	NOTICE FILE NUMBER		
Amend the 2022 Triennial California Gree	n Building Standards Co	de, Title 24, Part 11	Z		
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	TS Include calculations and	assumptions in the rulemaking record.			
 Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate	e. Imposes repo	orting requirements criptive instead of performance viduals above (Explain below):			
	checked, complete the Fi	nplete this Economic Impact Statement. scal Impact Statement as appropriate. conomic impact of this regulation (which includes t	ho fiscal impact) is:		
(Agency/Department)	estimates that the ec	onomic impact of this regulation (which includes t	ic nacai impact) is.		
Below \$10 million					
Between \$10 and \$25 million					
Between \$25 and \$50 million					
	over \$50 million, agencies are r nt Code Section 11346.3(c)]	equired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact</u>	<u>Assessment</u>		
3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	500-1.7 million				
Describe the types of businesses (Include nonpr	ofits): This estimate assu	mes 5,500 buildings are businesses (see	Attachments A-C)		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:	240-788,000				
4. Enter the number of businesses that will be crea	ted: Unknown	eliminated: None			
Explain: Some special trade construction	on/design/manufacturi	ng businesses may be created.			
5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regional (List areas):				
6. Enter the number of jobs created: Unknown	and eliminated: No	one			
		created for installation, maintenance & ry to address Bird Friendly and CCRC.	manufacturing of EVSE.		
7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California other states by making it more costly to produce		YES X NO			
If YES, explain briefly:					

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

	,								
В.	ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.								
1.	. What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses and individuals may incur to comply with this regulation over it	s lifetime? \$ \$63.8 - \$627 million							
	a. Initial costs for a small business: \$0-\$69,174/per business Annual ongoing costs: \$0	Years: 0-1.5							
	b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$2K-\$253Kper business Annual ongoing costs: \$0	Years: 0-1.5							
	c. Initial costs for an individual: \$0 Annual ongoing costs: \$0	Years: 0-1.5							
	d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: For CCRC unknown; for Bird-Friendly <1% of total bu	ilding cost for new							
	construction. Approximately 7.5% for alterations to existing buildings.								
_	CCDC and Died Eriandly, Unit	nove EV 1000/ for business							
2.	If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: <u>CCRC and Bird Friendly - Unk</u> constructing applicable new nonresidential buildings, nonresidential facilities with parking, or altering existing nonresidential facilities.								
		·							
3.	If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with the Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork may be a support of the paperwork of the paperwork may be a support of the paperwork may be a support of the paperwork of the paperwork may be a support of the paperwork ma								
1.	Will this regulation directly impact housing costs?								
	If YES, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$								
	Number of units:								
5.	Are there comparable Federal regulations? YES X NO								
	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: Recent state leg and executive mandates, including								
	AB 1092 (2013). Direction to local jurisdictions to protect birds from fatal collisions with reflective glass windows.								
	Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ n/a								
_	ESTIMATED DENIEUTS - Estimation of the dellar value of henefite is not specifically required by rulemaking law but on	couraged							
1. Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which may include among others, the protecting public health and sustaining California's									
1.	health and welfare of California residents, worker safety and the State's environment: <u>natural resources by re</u>	ducing construction waste,							
	greenhouse gas emissions, criteria pollutants, and dependency on fossil fuels. Encourage building	g reuse, biodiversity and EV use.							
	Implementing these requirements at time of construction will prevent costly future retrofi	t requirements.							
2.	Are the benefits the result of: 🔀 specific statutory requirements, or 💢 goals developed by the agency based on bro	ad statutory authority?							
	Explain: AB1092 (2013) & SB1473 (2008) direct BSC to develop EV and green building standards	. (see also HSC 18930.5)							
		. (300 4130 1130 1033013)							
3.	What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ \$335,000 - \$2.5 million								
4.	Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently doing business within the State of California that would result from	m this regulation: May promote							
	the expansion of businesses currently involved in EVSE manufacturing, installation, maintenance, us	e and technology development.							
	Real estate developers/managers, architecture/engineering/construction industries, sustainability consult	ants, some material manufacturers							
D.	• ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.	of the dollar value of benefits is not							
1.	List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: Varies by	sector, see Attachments for							
	additional information.								

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

LCOITC	whe harder stratewer (continued)				
. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits	from this regulation and each alternative considered:				
Regulation: Benefit: \$ \$335k-\$2.5 mil	Cost: \$ \$63.8 - \$627 mil				
Alternative 1: Benefit: \$ varies	Cost: \$ varies				
Alternative 2: Benefit: \$ varies	Cost: \$ varies				
B. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are rel					
of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: See Attachments for additional information on benefits and conformation of the conformation of					
Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific					
actions or procedures. Were performance standa	ards considered to lower compliance costs?				
Explain: Of the 3 CCRC compliance options, one is a prescr	riptive path. Installation of Bird-Friendly windows and different strategies to create bird-friendly designs require prescriptive standards				
Because the proposed amendments require the	application of a relatively new technology, performance standards are not applicable for this rulemaking.				
MAJOR RECUITATIONS Include seleviations of	ad assumentions in the vulne pline vecoud				
. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations an					
· ·	Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to g (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.				
. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to Califo	ornia business enterprises exceed \$10 million ? YES NO				
	If YES, complete E2. and E3				
	If NO, skip to E4				
	of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:				
Alternative 2:(Attach additional pages for other alternatives)					
,					
. For the regulation, and each alternative just descri	ribed, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:				
Regulation: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
Alternative 1: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
Alternative 2: Total Cost \$	Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$				
	estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California tween the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months				
after the major regulation is estimated to be fully					
☐ YES ☑ NO					
If YES, agencies are required to submit a <u>Standardiz</u> Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include	<u>ed Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA)</u> as specified in e the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.				
. Briefly describe the following:					
The increase or decrease of investment in the Sta	te:				
The incentive for innovation in products, material	s or processes:				
The benefits of the regulations, including, but no	t limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California				
	ent and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency:				

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT Indicate a current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ppropriate boxes 1 t	hrough 6 and attach	calculations and assump	tions of fiscal impact for the
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Ye (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California)				
\$				
a. Funding provided in				
Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes	of	
b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Bud	get Act of			
ı	Fiscal Year:			
2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Ye (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California				
\$				
Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and pro	ovide the appropriate	information:		
a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in				
b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the				Court.
Case of:		\	/s	
c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State	e expressed in their a	approval of Proposition	on No.	
Date of Election:				
d. Issued only in response to a specific request from	n affected local entity	/(s).		
Local entity(s) affected:				
e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc.	from:			
Authorized by Section:		of the		Code;
f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local	l government which	will, at a minimum, c	offset any additional costs t	o each;
g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a	new crime or infracti	on contained in		
3. Annual Savings. (approximate)		_		
\$				
4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes or	nly technical, non-suk	ostantive or clarifying	changes to current law regu	ılations.
5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect a	any local entity or pro	gram.		
★ 6. Other. Explain Local enforcement authorities	es may have to h	nire and train ad	ditional staff to impl	ement these regulations.
Any increase in staff workload costs	can be recovere	ed from increases	s in permit fees. See a	ttachments for more info.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.				
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)				
\$				
It is anticipated that State agencies will:				
a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.				
b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for theFiscal Year				
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)				
\$				
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.				
4. Other. Explain To the extent the state authorizes new construction or renovation projects, any ac	dditional costs resulting from these			
regulations would be included in the overall total estimated project costs, subj				
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attoe impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.	ach calculations and assumptions of fiscal			
1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)				
\$				
2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)				
\$				
3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.				
4. Other. Explain				
	85			
FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE			
Madelynn McClain	07/03/2023			
The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta highest ranking official in the organization.				
AGENCY SECRETARY	DATE			
For	7-11-23			
Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im	pact Statement in the STD. 399.			
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER	DATE			
De Carindon	7/12/2023			