

AB 617 Update

April Meeting

Agenda

1. Opening Remarks, Introductions, and Meeting Logistics
2. Work Underway in the First Year Communities
 - Discussion/Sharing of Best Practices
3. Community Selection Process
4. Update on AB 617 Elements
 - Expedited schedule for the installation of the cleanest controls on industrial facilities
 - Regulation for Reporting of Criteria Pollutants and Toxic Air Contaminants
 - Increased penalty provisions
5. Public Comment
6. Closing Remarks

Overall Big Picture

- Progress-
 - Initial communities selected and work is beginning.
 - Some districts are moving ahead faster than others.
- Concerns-
 - communities that do not have a ‘team’ in place are at a disadvantage for getting support through 617.
 - The role of SRP should be better defined.

Specific Comments

- Tom Jordan and Gustavo Aguirre for the San Joaquin Valley APCD:
 - pesticides and ag emissions are an issue, but expertise is more housed in DPR than in the local community. There is a need for input from someone with policy expertise participate.
 - Emissions inventory is not comprehensive and additional thought on that is needed.
- Jessie Marquez:
 - Communities want and need better education on some of the technical aspects of air quality that affects them directly and indirectly.
 - *To do that there has to be a better assessment of the potential exposures – comprehensive emission inventories - better summaries of what is known about health outcomes in the community.*
- Wayne Nastri (SCAQMD): Working to understand how to better make services available. SCAQMD striving to take the specific needs of different communities into account rather than developing an overall consensus.
- Jon Adams (San Diego): Working on deploying and co-locating sensors – assessing hot spots
- Paul English: Tracking California – working on making health outcome data available.
- Kevin: Purple Air monitors are now open source and when some are co-located with FRMs it is possible to develop calibration factors.
- It was addressed in passing but it is not clear of the extent to which DPR is involved in this process. Also, CAL OSHA has stats on toxic chemicals made in CA to which workers are exposed. Can those stats inform selection of what should be captured in the emissions estimates?
- It was suggested that as identifications of toxic compounds are made for communities, that information should be referred to SRP and if appropriate should be recommended for prioritization for development of health guidance assessments by OEHHA or DPR.