

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

DRAFT FINAL REPORT

A DEMONSTRATION OF THE EFFECTS OF SMOG ON ORNAMENTAL AND HOME
GARDEN PLANTS

Contract No. S-C93011

ARB A933-188 Am2

LIBRARY
CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD
P.O. BOX 2915
SACRAMENTO CA 95812

1 1
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7
8 8
9 9
10 10
11 11
12 12
13 13
14 14
15 15
16 16
17 17
18 18
19 19
20 20
21 21
22 22
23 23
24 24
25 25
26
26
27
28

Prepared for

The South Coast Air Quality Management District

by the

California Arboretum Foundation

Los Angeles County Arboretum

301 North Baldwin Avenue

Arcadia, CA 91007

June 21, 1993

DRAFT SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

A DEMONSTRATION OF THE EFFECTS OF SMOG ON ORNAMENTAL AND HOME
GARDEN PLANTS

Agreement No. A933-188 Am2

Prepared for the

California Air Resources Board

by the

California Arboretum Foundation
Los Angeles County Arboretum
301 North Baldwin Avenue
Arcadia, CA 91007

June 21, 1993

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION

DESCRIPTION OF THE AIR POLLUTION GREENHOUSE

Description of the Greenhouse Facility

1	1	Description of the Information Room
2	2	
3	3	OUTREACH PROGRAMS
4	4	
5	5	OBJECTIVES
6	6	
7	7	METHODS OF MAINTAINING THE AIR POLLUTION GREENHOUSE
8	8	
9	9	Maintaining the Greenhouse Environment
10	10	
11	11	Maintaining the Greenhouse Plants
12	12	
13	13	METHODS OF RECORDING PLANT RESPONSE TO SMOG
14	14	
15	15	METHODS OF RECORDING VISITOR RESPONSE TO DISPLAY
16	16	
17	17	RESULTS OF PLANT RESPONSE
18	18	
19	19	Results of Plant Response during the 1992 Smog Season
20	20	
21	21	Results of Plant Response during the 1993 Smog Season - April through May
22	22	
23	23	OZONE LEVELS
24	24	
25	25	Ozone levels during the 1992 Smog Season
26	26	
26	26	
27	27	
28	28	

Ozone levels during the 1993 Smog Season - April through May

RESULTS OF VISITOR RESPONSE TO DISPLAY

Results of Visitor Response during the 1992 Smog Season

Results of Visitor Response during the 1993 Smog Season - April through May

CONCLUSION

ABSTRACT

The Air Pollution Greenhouse display was operated during the 1992 Smog Season and is currently open for the 1993 Smog Season. 1993 marks the seventh year of the display. This report summarizes the scope of the work, methods used and results of the operation of the display from April 1992 to May 1993.

During the months of April 1992 through October 1992, approximately 17,000 people visited the display. The response of the visitors to the display was overwhelmingly positive. Outreach programs during the year included lectures, tours of the greenhouse,

1 1 and media coverage. Information about the results of the effects of smog on ornamental
2 2 and home garden plants was disseminated to various organizations and individuals. 65
3 3 different species of plants were grown in the 1992 Smog Season. Of the 65 species, 44
4 4 had indeterminate smog sensitivities. 30 out of the group of 44 developed symptoms.
5 5 12 of these plants had medium to high levels of damage.
6 6

7 7 During the 1993 season a selection of native California plants are being grown.
8 8 Native California plants were requested by visitors and also represent a category of plant
9 9 that has not been widely studied for air pollution sensitivities. 60 different species are
10 10 being grown and although it is too early to determine results, three of the California plants
11 11 have developed high levels of injury symptoms. The educational and outreach programs
12 12 in 1993 are being developed like during 1992. An Independent Student Projects Program
13 13 has been initiated with Arcadia High School and 12 students are currently doing research
14 14 on various air pollution issues from the effects of air pollution on plants, to making
15 15 educational posters and developing solutions to local air pollution problems.
16 16
17 17
18 18
19 19
20 20
21 21
22 22
23 23
24 24
25 25
26 26
26 26
27 27
28 28

1 1
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5 INTRODUCTION
6 6

7 7 The Air Pollution Greenhouse is an on going project which was initiated and
8 8 designed by the California Air Resources Board and the Los Angeles County Arboretum
9 9 in 1987. Funding for the Air Pollution Greenhouse during the 1992 and 1993 seasons
10 10 was provided by the South Coast Air Quality Management District, Southern California
11 11 Edison and the California Air Resources Board. Technical support, plant donations and
12 12 contributions in kind were provided by the Los Angeles County Arboretum, United States
13 13 Department of Agriculture Forest Service, University of California Riverside, Los Angeles
14 14 County Fire Department Forestry Division, Theodore Payne Native Plant Foundation, and
15 15 the Tree of Life Nursery.
16 16

17 17 The Air Pollution Greenhouse serves the purpose of increasing and promoting
18 18 public awareness of the effects of air pollution on plants. Vegetation in the metropolitan
19 19 Los Angeles region represents a major investment to wide segments of our society.
20 20 Homeowners, developers, and cities spend millions on landscaping and maintenance of
21 21 plants. Furthermore, as some plants, particularly trees, grow larger they increase in
22 22 value. Air pollution has been know to have a wide range of detrimental effects on plants
23 23 such as reduction in growth rate and leaf damage. Most of the plants grown in the Air
24 24 Pollution Greenhouse are those that are commonly grown in yards and gardens in the
25 25 Los Angeles region. By focusing on the impacts of smog on plants, the public is made
26 26 more aware the costs of air pollution damage and how it has a negative effect on the
27 27
28 28

1 1 quality of their own neighborhoods. The hope is that public interest in programs to
2 2 improve air quality will increase and that people will be more supportive of these
3 3 programs.

4 4
5 5 Information on the responses of forest and agricultural vegetation has been well
6 6 documented over the past 20 years. In southern California, Jeffrey Pines in the San
7 7 Bernadino Mountains suffer from chlorosis of the needles and premature death. Farmers
8 8 in the San Joaquin Valley lose profit from reduced crop yield. In both situations smog,
9 9 primarily ozone, was the cause of this damage. Unlike forest trees and agricultural crops,
10 10 home garden and ornamental plants have not been widely studied for air pollution
11 11 sensitivities. The importance of these types of plants cannot be overlooked since they
12 12 make up the majority of vegetation in the Los Angeles metropolitan region. The Air
13 13 Pollution Greenhouse provides a controlled environment to study ambient air damage on
14 14 a large number of ornamental plants. In the past 7 years, over 200 varieties of plants
15 15 have been grown in the Air Pollution Greenhouse. Design of the Air Pollution
16 16 Greenhouse is unique. The greenhouse portion is split in half by a glass wall. One side
17 17 of the greenhouse receives air which has been filtered to remove major air pollutants and
18 18 the other receives ambient air. Identical sets of plants are grown in each half of the
19 19 greenhouse and all growth conditions are kept the same except for the air quality.
20 20 Information on each of the plants' response to ambient air is documented by written
21 21 observations on the plants' overall appearance. Photographs of plants throughout the
22 22 smoggy season are taken on a semimonthly or monthly basis. The information obtained
23 23 on ornamental plant responses to air pollution has served as a resource for homeowners,
24 24 gardeners, landscapers and city planners who are looking for plants which are tolerant
25 25 to smog and maintain a healthy and beautiful appearance.
26
27
28

1 1 An information room is adjacent to the greenhouse. The information room contains
2 2 educational materials such as posters, sample plants showing smog damage, reference
3 3 books on previous plants grown in the greenhouse, and ozone monitors. Posters explain
4 4 how smog is formed, what are the leading causes of smog, how smog affects plants, how
5 5 smog affects humans, and what individuals can do to improve air quality. Posters also
6 6 explain how the Air Pollution Greenhouse is designed and how plants are maintained.
7 7 Ozone monitors serve to inform people about how smog levels are measured. The
8 8 monitors serve to measure and record ozone levels in each side of the greenhouse.
9 9 Handouts and brochures are given to people to take home with them. Handouts are
10 10 provided from the South Coast Air Quality Management District.

11 11
12 12 Since 1987, approximately 180,000 people have visited the Air Pollution
13 13 Greenhouse. A large percentage of the visitors have been students on school trips. But
14 14 the visitors have represented all segments of society. An optional questionnaire is used
15 15 to monitor public response to the display and its value in education. Conversations with
16 16 visitors were also used as indicators of strong and weak points of the display. The public
17 17 response to the Air Pollution Greenhouse has been overwhelmingly positive. Most people
18 18 were aware that air pollution might have an effect on plants, but did not know how or to
19 19 what extent. They appreciated how the information was understandable and many terms
20 20 they had heard before were explained to them. Many felt that there should be more
21 21 places where information on general aspects of air pollution effects could be found.
22 22 People were also unaware of the specific effects of air pollution on their health.

23 23
24 24 Outreach programs are developed for The Air Pollution Greenhouse so that more
25 25 people than on site visitors can benefit from the information. Outreach programs include
26 26 tours for school teachers and community groups so that they can inform other people
27 27
28 28

1 1 about the display; lectures and talks to horticultural societies and school organizations;
2 2 written responses to individuals requesting specific information. Programs which involve
3 3 local high school students in research projects were designed and implemented. Media
4 4 coverage has included magazine and newspaper articles, radio and television coverage.

5 5 6 6 DESCRIPTION OF THE AIR POLLUTION GREENHOUSE

7 7 8 8 Description of the Greenhouse Facility

9 9
10 10 The Air Pollution Greenhouse was constructed in 1987 at the Los Angeles County
11 11 Arboretum in Arcadia, California. It is located near the entrance of the Arboretum in the
12 12 southern edge of the South African section. When visitors arrive at the Arboretum, they
13 13 receive a map of the garden which shows the location of the Air Pollution Greenhouse
14 14 display (att.1). One major pathway and two smaller pathways lead to the display and
15 15 large signs along the pathway point visitors in the correct direction. Improvements to the
16 16 area around the display include the trimming of large trees that block the visibility of the
17 17 greenhouse, landscaping around the area which is currently in progress and larger signs
18 18 showing directions. Guided tram tours through the Arboretum describe the Air Pollution
19 19 Greenhouse and point out it's location.

20 20
21 21 The greenhouse portion of the display is 33 feet by 35 feet and is divided in half
22 22 lengthwise by a glass wall. Each half has bench area for smaller potted plants and a
23 23 ground level area for larger plants. A pathway runs between the benches and ground
24 24 level area through which visitors can walk. The greenhouse is designed such that the
25 25 halves are in mirror image orientation so that visitors can look through the glass partition
26 26 and see the matching plant grown in the other side. A potting area and information room
27 27
28 28

1 connect to the greenhouse (att.2). The greenhouse was designed to grow plants in
2 environmental conditions that match closely in temperature, humidity and light intensity
3 to the outside environment. To maintain temperatures between 55 F at night and 85 F
4 during the day, the greenhouse is equipped with "swamp coolers" which are regulated by
5 an automatic thermostat. Orientation of the greenhouse is such that plants are exposed
6 to the same average light intensity over the day. Clean air quality is maintained in one
7 half by using pleated dust filters and activated charcoal filters over the coolers which
8 circulate air from outside of the greenhouses to the inside. The ambient side of the
9 greenhouse has dust filters over the coolers.

11 Description of the Information Center

13 The Air Pollution Greenhouse display is open during the "Smog Season" which is
14 the months of April through October. The display hours are 9:00 am to 4:30 pm, seven
15 days a week. The main entrance to the Air Pollution Greenhouse display is through the
16 information center. The information room has wall space for posters, table space for
17 display plants and reference books and an area for the ozone monitors (att.3). The
18 information center contains posters on a variety of air pollution issues, but focuses on air
19 pollution damage to plants. More than 20 posters explain the basics in understanding
20 smog, how it effects plants and humans, and the purpose of the greenhouse display
21 (att.4). The ozone monitors are housed in a clear plexiglass case that allows visitors to
22 see the numerical readings and correlate it to regional air quality standards. There are
23 two ozone monitors used in the display and are provided by the Air Resources Board.
24 ARB technicians calibrate and do routine maintenance inspections on the monitors and
25 chart recorder. One ozone monitor measures ozone concentrations in the filtered side
26 of the greenhouse and the other measures concentrations in the ambient side. Both
27
28

1 measurements are recorded by a chart recorder. Chart records are kept to show daily
2 highs and lows and overall exposures levels. Other materials in the information room
3 include sample plants of one showing smog damage and the other grown in clean air.
4 People can inspect the two plants side by side and the damage symptoms are clearly
5 explained to them. A binder of photographs of plants grown in previous years is kept as
6 a reference and lists of smog tolerant and sensitive plants are provided for people
7 interested in finding plants that grow well in smog. Informational handouts for visitors to
8 take home with them were provided by the South Coast Air Quality Management District
9 (att.4). There is a staff person or volunteer available during the morning on weekdays
10 and during the afternoons on weekends. These are the peak visitor hours of the display.
11 Staff and volunteers answer questions for visitors and give tours to school groups.

12 13 14 15 OUTREACH PROGRAMS 16

17 Successful outreach programs that have been implemented in the past were
18 continued. Outreach programs have the major goal of providing information about the Air
19 Pollution Greenhouse to large groups of people either by soliciting tours of the
20 greenhouse for large organizations or going to organizations and giving lectures.
21 Outreach programs include the use of brochures about the greenhouse. Another way of
22 spreading information about the Air Pollution Greenhouse is by media exposure usually
23 in newspapers, magazines, television and radio. All of these methods were pursued
24 during 1992 and 1993.

25
26 List of outreach activities from July 1992 to May 1993 in chronological order.
27
28

1 1 1. Tour of Air Pollution Greenhouse for 40 students in the University of California,
2 2 Cooperative Extension Master Gardeners program on July 31, 1992.

3 3
4 4 2. Television coverage on KTLA-Channel 5 Morning News. Two interviews with
5 5 Gloria Mao in Air Pollution Greenhouse. Aired on August 26, 1992.

6 6
7 7 3. Television coverage on KCET-Channel 28 broadcast of "Ozone, the Hole
8 8 Story." Interview with Gloria Mao about Air Pollution Greenhouse and smog damage.
9 9 Aired on August 25, 1992. Repeated on other PBS stations nationally.

10 10
11 11 4. Radio coverage on KNX-CBS News Radio. Interview with Gloria Mao aired
12 12 August 26, 1992. It was a repeat of interview from summer 1991.

13 13
14 14 5. Presentation to 70 Southern California Edison employees about display on
15 15 September 7, 1992.

16 16
17 17 6. Meeting with Arcadia School District and Pasadena School District teachers
18 18 held on September 21, 1992. Presentation about the display and brochure with
19 19 information on arranging school trips given to both school districts.

20 20
21 21 7. Interview with Whittier Daily News on October 15, 1992. Article not yet in print.
22 22

23 23 8. Tour for 90 Northridge University Biology Department students on October 12,
24 24 1992.

1 1 9. Tour for 30 members of the Los Angeles Conservation Corps on October 14,
2 2 1992.

3 3
4 4 10. Lecture at the University of California Cooperative Extension Garden
5 5 Conference on October 17, 1992. 40 people in attendance.

6 6
7 7 11. Presentation about environmental research given to 4 classes at the Rowland
8 8 Heights School District for their "Career Awareness Week" on March 5, 1993.

9 9
10 10 12. Lecture on smog tolerant plants given at the Southern California Historical
11 11 Society on April 4, 1993. About 100 people in attendance.

12 12
13 13 13. Interview for Southern California Gardener Magazine given on May 26, 1993.
14 14 Article print date is July 1993.

15 15
16 16 Two new outreach programs were implemented during fall of 1992 to be in activity
17 17 during 1993. The new programs were in response to conversations with local area public
18 18 school teachers. Teachers were looking for ways for students to get more involved
19 19 experience with working on and solving environmental problems. Within the limits of
20 20 Arboretum staff time and budget monies, a program with Arcadia High School was
21 21 initiated. 12 students would be selected to do independent research projects in which
22 22 they would study a specific air pollution issue. The students would have to choose
23 23 specific topic to study, write a proposal, do the research work and deliver a paper or
24 24 product at the end of the project. Some of the finished products would be posters and
25 25 information for the information room of the Air Pollution Greenhouse. Some of the
26 26 projects involved working on specific plants in the greenhouse by measuring and
27 27
28

1 1 recording growth and air pollution symptoms. Arboretum staff would provide students
2 2 reference books, supplies and materials as well as guidance.

3 3
4 4 The second outreach program was to provide university students with space in the
5 5 greenhouse to do research work. Two students, Lydia Yoshida from California State
6 6 University, Los Angeles and Roy Wiersma from California Polytechnic University, Pomona
7 7 are currently studying plants for their susceptibility to smog damage. Students found out
8 8 about the Air Pollution Greenhouse from University of California Riverside, Statewide Air
9 9 Pollution Research Center (SWAPRC). SWAPRC is not currently operating functioning
10 10 air chambers and to our knowledge, the Air Pollution Greenhouse is the only operative
11 11 controlled filtered air chamber in the region.

12 12 13 13 OBJECTIVES

14 14
15 15 The Air Pollution Greenhouse display has several objectives:

16 16
17 17 -The Air Pollution Greenhouse serves as a research facility for the screening of a
18 18 variety of plant for their sensitivities to air pollution damage. Plants grown in the
19 19 greenhouse must have interest to the public. Primarily home garden and ornamental
20 20 plants are selected for the greenhouse. Public requests for native California plants and
21 21 local area forest trees were responded to by including several of these types of plants.
22 22 Information on plant responses to air pollution is compiled for reference and is provided
23 23 to the public on request.

24 24
25 25 -The Air Pollution Greenhouse serves as an educational facility. In order to
26 26 increase public awareness of air pollution problems, it is designed to be understandable
27 27
28 28

1 and accessible to the general public. The Arboretum attracts people from all over the
2 southern California region, charges a low admission fee and is open every day of the
3 week from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm which makes it possible for people who work regular
4 hours to visit the display. Other means of making the information more accessible is by
5 outreach programs such as lectures and media coverage.
6

7 -The Air Pollution Greenhouse serves as a supplement to school science
8 classrooms. It can be used as a location in which to do independent research work and
9 to find references and resource materials.
10

11 METHODS OF MAINTAINING THE AIR POLLUTION GREENHOUSE 12

13 Maintaining the Greenhouse Environment 14

15 The greenhouse was designed to keep the inside air at the same temperature and
16 relative humidity as the outside air. This design factor was important in that plants grow
17 differently in a tropical greenhouse environment which is used to enhance the growth rate
18 of plants. Evaporative coolers, often called "swamp coolers," were selected which could
19 maintain the greenhouses between a low of 55 F at night and a high of 85 F during the
20 day. On days where outside temperatures are over 100 F, maximum temperatures in the
21 greenhouses are 92 F which does not typically cause damage to plants. If temperatures
22 were allowed to match that of outside on extremely hot days, the greenhouse
23 would be too hot for visitors to walk through. Each side has two evaporative cooler units
24 which are equipped with .5 horsepower motors and 115 V water pumps. Each cooler is
25 rated at 5500 CFM. All coolers are surrounded by a dust filter to keep outside dust from
26 being blown into the greenhouses.
27
28

1 1 Air circulation keeps the greenhouse under positive pressure so that even with
2 2 doors to the greenhouse being opened, air is flowing constantly outward. Circulation and
3 3 humidity are controlled by convection tubing along the top of the greenhouse and fans
4 4 at each end of the tubing keep the air moving. This system keeps a rapid rate of air flow
5 5 throughout the entire greenhouse. Air inside the greenhouses are expelled through 4 oz.
6 6 barometric shutters located near the top of the greenhouse.

7 7
8 8 The filtered side of the greenhouse is equipped with activated charbon filters. The
9 9 filters are installed outside of the coolers so that air must first pass through the filters
10 10 before it moves through the coolers. The carbon filters are protected with pleated dust
11 11 filters. All carbon filers and dust filters are replaced once a year. In the past, the carbon
12 12 filters were effectively removing ozone after one year, but are replaced each year in
13 13 March before the beginning of smoggy season.

14 14
15 15 The ozone monitors and a strip chart recorder are provided by the Air Resources
16 16 Board. The ARB calibrated and did monthly service inspections on all equipment during
17 17 the months of April 1992 through October 1992 and in March 1993 up to the current date.
18 18 One monitor is used to measure concentrations of ozone in the filtered side. The monitor
19 19 insures that the carbon filters are functioning properly by removing ozone to levels around
20 20 0.000 ppm. On days where ambient smog levels reach above 0.200 ppm, filtered side
21 21 levels can reach up to 0.010 ppm which is considered negligible amounts. The other
22 22 monitor measures ozone levels in the ambient side of the greenhouse. Ozone levels for
23 23 both sides of the greenhouse are recorded on the strip chart recorder which graphs the
24 24 levels 24 hours a day. Monitors measure average ozone levels per minute and the
25 25 recorder correlates with these measurements.

Maintaining the Greenhouse Plants

Approximately 60 varieties of plants can be grown at any one time in the greenhouse which allows for a sampling of three to eight plants of each variety. For larger plants, only one plant in each side is grown. One third of the plants are ones that have shown clear smog symptoms and are popular with visitors. These plants are called the core group of plants. About one third of the plants are ones that have been grown in the greenhouse in previous smog seasons, but it was unclear as to whether symptoms were due to smog or other damaging factors like insects or disease. In some cases these plants were grown again because they did not survive for long in the greenhouse. The rest of the plants are species which have not been grown previously in the greenhouse.

Plants are selected on criteria such as popularity of plant, ability to grow in a greenhouse environment, relative resistance to insects and diseases, simple growth requirements, simple maintenance requirements. About two thirds of the plants in the 1992 smog season were home garden plants. One third were native California plants and forest trees. Visitors had in the past requested that more of these types of plants be grown. Availability of the plants often dictated final plant selection. Plants were obtained by growing from seeds, from the Arboretum nursery, from local commercial nurseries, and from donations from specialized nurseries such as the Los Angeles County Fire Department Forestry Division which maintains a tree nursery.

Plants are installed in the greenhouse during the month of April. Plant care involves standard horticultural practices. All maintenance and growth conditions are kept identical for both sides of the greenhouse. Plants are watered daily or as necessary.

1 Fertilizer (20-20-20) was applied once every two weeks. Grooming is kept to a minimum
2 where dead leaves, spent flowers and broken branches are removed. If trimming occurs,
3 the same quantities are taken from plants on both sides. The major maintenance work
4 on plants involves eliminating insects pests and fungus. Pests which appeared during the
5 1992 season include aphids, spider mites, whitefly, scale. Pests can cause damage of
6 plants that might be confused for smog damage. Therefore, pests were removed as soon
7 as they were detected. A policy of using non-toxic pesticides such as Safer Soap was
8 attempted. This was not successful. Safer Soap eliminated pests for only 2 consecutive
9 days after which pests would return in the same or greater quantities. Stronger pesticides
10 are more effective in eliminating pests. Unfortunately some plants are damaged by the
11 stronger chemicals and take a number of days to recover.

12
13 As plants died or became too damaged to serve as display plants they were
14 removed. The plants which are seasonal or annuals were not replaced. Other plants
15 which could continue growing through the summer were replaced.

16 17 18 METHODS OF RECORDING PLANT RESPONSE TO SMOG

19
20 During the 1992 smoggy season, the plants which had not been grown previously
21 in the display were photographed every two weeks beginning May 18 and ending
22 October. One plant from the filtered side was photographed next to the same plant
23 grown in the ambient side. The same pair of plants was photographed throughout the
24 season.

1 1 During the 1993 smoggy season, new plants were photographed once a month
2 2 beginning May 24 and will end the last week in August. The schedule was changed due
3 3 to the change in plant appearance not altering that much in a two week interval.
4 4

5 5 Written observations on the appearance of plants were kept weekly on all plants
6 6 new to the display. Such observations include the following

- 7 7 1. Leaf color
- 8 8 2. Relative plant size
- 9 9 3. Rate of growth
- 10 10 4. Quality and quantity of flowers
- 11 11 5. Presence of dropped fruit and leaves
- 12 12 6. Production of fruit
- 13 13 7. Viability of plant
- 14 14 8. Relative root size (if the plant was sacrificed at the end of the season)
- 15 15 9. Plant resistance to pests and diseases
- 16 16 10. Recovery of the plant after pesticide application
- 17 17 11. The length of time each plant was used in the exhibit
- 18 18 12. Time to onset of symptoms of smog damage
- 19 19

20 20 METHODS OF RECORDING VISITOR RESPONSE TO DISPLAY

21 21

22 22 Three methods are used to determine the value of the display to the visitors. The
23 23 major method is by using optional questionnaires to poll visitor interest in the display,
24 24 educational worth, the most informative aspects of the display, ways people were trying
25 25 to improve air quality, and general comments (att.). Questionnaires are provided to the
26 26 public during the months of April through October
27 27
28 28

1 1 Another method is by informal conversation with visitors. This is valuable in
2 2 obtaining detailed information about what they learned and which topics they would like
3 3 to see more information. This is the only way to determine if posters are effective,
4 4 whether the information is complete and if they still have major questions which the
5 5 information center has not addressed.

6 6
7 7 The last method is by having a sign in sheet in the information room where people
8 8 write their names (school group if applicable), address and comments. The sign in sheet
9 9 is most valuable for determining from what regions people are coming from. The goal is
10 10 to attract visitors from all over the South Coast Air Basin.

11 11 12 12 13 13 RESULTS OF PLANT RESPONSE

14 14 15 15 Results of Plant Response during 1992 Smog Season

16 16
17 17 A total of 65 different species of plants were grown in the 1992 smoggy season
18 18 of April through October. 12 species had been grown in the greenhouse during previous
19 19 smog seasons, but for various reasons, no rating was determined for the plants. These
20 20 plants were being re-screened. 32 species were new to the Air Pollution Greenhouse
21 21 display. 21 species were standard plants which are called the core group because they
22 22 are easy to maintain and show a variety of smog damage symptoms. Observations on
23 23 plant appearance was taken for all plants except for the core group. Photographs were
24 24 taken of the plants new to the display.

1 1 A list of all the plants grown and the information regarding symptoms and relative
2 2 sensitivities to smog damage is in att. . The final list of plants grown in the display varies
3 3 from the proposed list of plants for several reasons. The proposed list of plants was
4 4 based on looking through nursery catalogs. Availability often changes each year. Many
5 5 of the plants were not propagated during the winter for spring planting. Some of the
6 6 plants looked to be in poor health or condition. Overall, the plants were either not
7 7 available or unsuitable for display.

8 8
9 9 Of the 44 species observed, 12 showed no visible smog damage during the 1992
1010 smoggy season. Only one of these, the Rock Rose (*Cistus salvifolius*), was a
1111 herbaceous, non-woody plant. The majority were woody shrubs and trees. Although it
1212 could be that woody plants are overall more tolerant to smog than herbaceous plants, it
1313 is more likely that the short term of observation of only one smog season is too short of
1414 a time to measure any smog response. Ozone levels during the 1992 season were
1515 comparatively low compared to previous years. It is likely that the levels were too low to
1616 cause visible acute damage on these plants. Also, since woody plants and trees have
1717 longer life spans than herbaceous plants, the long term damage to these plants is more
1818 important to study than one smog season's effects.

1919
2020 There were 18 plants that showed low levels of smog damage. Low levels of
2121 damage are usually shown by a reduced growth rate or size of plant, but showing no
2222 other negative effects or yellow leaves which die and are replaced by healthy new leaves.
2323 Nearly half the plants showed this type of combination of symptoms. To a gardener or
2424 even horticulturist, this would be considered normal growth since the plants are quite
2525 healthy in overall appearance. Many of the plants known to be drought tolerant fell into
26 the low level category. 13 of the 18 were drought tolerant. These are the California
27
28

1 1 Poppy, Blue Fescue, Ice Plant, Mondo Grass, Moss Rose, Bird of Paradise, Aloe, Lamb's
2 2 Ears, Rosemary, Bottle Brush, Jerusalem Sage, Australian Flame Pea, Chalk Dudleya.
3 3 Three other low level damaged plants, Strawberry, Bromelia, Wandering Jew grow in well
4 4 drained soil and have relatively low water requirements. Two of the low level damage
5 5 plants, Meadow Rue and Mandevilla need high amounts of water compared to most. The
6 6 water requirements of these plants makes sense in that air pollutants enter leaves
7 7 through stomates. Drought tolerant plants either have a lower concentration of stomates,
8 8 or keep stomates closed during the heat of the day to conserve water.
9 9

10 10 Nine of the 44 species showed medium levels of smog damage. Foxglove,
11 11 Gerbera Daisy, English Ivy, Orchid, Spurge, Yellow Monkey Flower, Engelmann Oak,
12 12 California White Oak, Hollyleaf Cherry. A wide range of damage symptoms appeared on
13 13 these plants. Most showed a reduced growth rate and yellow leaves. Smaller leaves or
14 14 fewer leaves was common also. In some cases, other differences occurred such as a
15 15 smaller root ball or shortened life span. These plants show a wide range of horticultural
16 16 needs and growth environments.
17 17

18 18 High levels of damage occurred to three plants: Beard-Tongue, Sage (mulleri),
19 19 Trigger Plant. Plants that developed more than two symptoms and symptoms which were
20 20 very obvious, were placed in this category. Sages in general are relatively tolerant to
21 21 smog. It seems that smog resistance is not characteristic common among all the species
22 22 of sages. Beard-Tongue and Trigger Plant are low in water requirements. No
23 23 generalization can be made about these three plants except that one would have
24 24 predicted them all to be smog tolerant. It is possible that the ideal horticultural care was
25 25 not given to these three plants, but filtered specimens looked very healthy compared to
26 26 the ambient specimens.
27 27
28 28

1 Overall, the California native plants grew poorly in the greenhouse. They were
2 prone to fungus and insects more so than the ornamental and trees. Since all of the
3 natives were obtained from the same nursery, it could be that unhealthy plants were used
4 in the study. Two of the plants died after a few months in the greenhouse. Others
5 looked unhealthy and never grew to a fully "symptom free" appearance.

6 7 Results of Plant Response during 1993 Smog Season, April through May

8
9 There are 60 species of plants being grown in the Air Pollution Greenhouse display
10 for the 1993 smog season. The plant list and results of the growth during the months of
11 April through May is in att.. The results are not final and will change as the ozone levels
12 increase during the summer. Of the 60 species, 40 are new to the display. The most
13 sensitive plants to date are the Tree Mallow, California Buckwheat, and Sycamore. They
14 are all native California plants. The information seems to show that several of the native
15 plant species are sensitive to smog. As a group, native plants are not highly studied.
16 Given that some of the wildlife regions in California are under stress due to development
17 and pollution, the effects of smog on native plants should be studied.

18 19 OZONE LEVELS

20 21 Ozone levels during 1992 Smog Season

22
23 Ozone monitors in the Air Pollution Greenhouse display are used to determine
24 ozone exposure of plants in the ambient side of the greenhouse. Another ozone monitor
25 measured levels in the filtered side of the greenhouse. The ozone levels in the filtered
26 side never went above 0.010 ppm during the 1992 Smog Season.
27
28

1 1 For the months of April through October, the number of days which exceeded the
2 2 state standard for ozone levels (over 0.09 ppm, for over one hour) are listed:

3 3 April- 19 days

4 4 May- 15 days

5 5 June- 17 days

6 6 July- 24 days

7 7 August- 23 days

8 8 September- 17 days

9 9 October- 10 days

10 10
11 11 The highest ozone reading was 0.275 ppm on July 25. July, August and
12 12 September had the highest overall levels where many of the days were above 0.150 ppm.
13 13 In April, May and June there were only 9 days above 0.150 ppm.

14 14
15 15 Ozone levels during 1993 Smog Season, April through May

16 16
17 17 For the months of April through May, the number of days which exceeded the state
18 18 standard for ozone levels (over 0.09 ppm, for over one hour) are listed.

19 19 April- 5 days

20 20 May- 8 days

21 21
22 22 The highest ozone level during April and May was on. Only two days exceed
23 23 .150 ppm in May.

24 24
25 25 RESULTS OF VISITOR RESPONSE TO DISPLAY

Results of Visitor Response to Display during 1992 Smog Season

Approximately 17,000 people visited the Air Pollution Greenhouse during the 1992 Season. The months of greatest number of attendance were May and June. Many schools came for visits during these months and about 70% of the visitors are with a school group. During May and June on weekdays, an average of 150 students tour through the display. August and October are the months with lowest attendance. August was a hot month and attendance at the Arboretum was low. The number of visitors to the Air Pollution Greenhouse display is dependent on the number of people visiting the Arboretum. There were occasions where a visitor came to the Arboretum specifically to see the Air Pollution Greenhouse display.

A total of 653 questionnaires were completed in 1992. In answer to the first question of "Did you find the exhibit informative?" 98% responded yes. 92% found the exhibit easy to understand. The plant displays were the most interesting to 46% of the visitors compared to the graphics (14%) and monitors (10%). Many people (30%) noted that they found all of the exhibits interesting. These results are similar to the results in previous seasons. 75% of the visitors answered that they knew that air pollution could injure plants. Some wrote on the questionnaire that they did not know the specific symptoms. 62% had seen air pollution injury on plants near their home. The purpose of asking this question was to make people think in terms of how air pollution is affected them personally. The questions on what they did to reduce air pollution is another way of getting visitors to think about their actions as affecting the environment. When asked if they thought individual actions can help improve air quality, 81% answered yes.

1 1 The list of plants most interesting to the public has not changed much over the
2 2 years. Roses, vegetables in general and trees are some of the most popular requests.
3 3 When asked for their favorite plant in the greenhouse display, there was a broad
4 4 spectrum of answers, but it was usually a plant with a lot of flowers and showy display.
5 5 Exotic plants and native California plants are also favorites and requested often.

6 6
7 7 The comments were almost all positive. Many of the people requested that there
8 8 be a way that more people could visit the display or the display could be taken to schools.
9 9 Questionnaires show that people are enjoying the display, that it is thought provoking and
10 10 informative. The level of information is suitable for the general public and the posters with
11 11 pictures are understandable to junior high level students.

12 12
13 13 The sign in sheet of names and addresses of visitors shows that people are visiting
14 14 from all parts of Los Angeles County and there are also visitors from every county
15 15 surrounding. From Orange County there were visitors from Anaheim, Orange, Irvine,
16 16 Tustin, Huntington Beach, Laguna Beach. From Riverside and San Bernardino there were
17 17 visitors from Riverside, Ontario, Big Bear. Most of the visitors were from the San Gabriel
18 18 Valley area, but there was a large percentage of school groups from the Los Angeles
19 19 School District. The entire South Coast Air Basin was represented in sign in sheet.

20 20
21 21 Interviews with visitors showed that people were very enthusiastic about learning
22 22 about air pollution. One of the basic gaps in understanding was what smog is composed
23 23 of. Many did not know that ozone was the major component in smog. Many did not
24 24 understand about the difference between the Greenhouse Effect and air pollution.
25 25 Posters in the Information room address these issues.

1 1 Results of Visitor Response to Display during 1993 Smog Season, April through

2 2 May

3 3
4 4 In April there were approximately 1,600 visitors to the display. There were
5 5 approximately 3,900 visitors. In May there were about 150 school students each
6 6 weekday. 211 questionnaires have been completed. The results look similar to the 1992
7 7 season. Sign in sheets and interview are still being used as methods of monitoring visitor
8 8 response to the display.

9 9
10 10 CONCLUSIONS

11 11
12 12
13 13
14 14
15 15
16 16
17 17 Summary of data from plants grown in Air Pollution Greenhouse Display - 1992 Season

18 18
19 19 Information on each plant is listed in the following order:

20 20 -Common name/Scientific name

21 21 -(P) if it has corresponding photograph

22 22 -Dates of period grown in greenhouse

23 23 -List of smog damage symptoms

24 24 -Rating of relative sensitivities to smog damage: (0) no visible smog damage, (1)
25 25 one symptom and low level of damage, (2) two symptoms and medium level of damage,
26 26 (3) more than two symptoms and high level of damage
27
28

1 1 -Comments on special horticultural problems

2 2

3 3 Plants grown in previous seasons which were re-screened to determine response

4 4

5 5 1. Foxglove/Digitalis purpurea

6 6 April 16, 1992 to June 15, 1992

7 7 yellow leaves, reduced size, shortened life span

8 8 rates 2

9 9 -Annual spring plant that expires in greenhouse in mid-June which is before peak

10 10 smog levels; unless propagated later in season around late May, it has limited use in the

11 11 display; very susceptible to aphids and spider mites.

12 12

13 13 2. California Poppy/Escholzia californica

14 14 April 16, 1992 to June 15, 1992

15 15 pale leaves

16 16 rates 1

17 17 -Flowers in mid-May and expires around mid-June; cannot keep the plant in display

18 18 through the summer months.

19 19

20 20 3. Blue Fescue/Festuca ovina glauca

21 21 April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992

22 22 reduced size

23 23 rates 1

24 24 -Exceptionally easy to care for plant-resistant to insects and diseases. Late onset

25 25 of symptoms which first appeared in August 1992.

26 26

27 27

28 28

1	1	4.	Gerbera daisy/ <i>Gerbera jamesonii</i>
2	2		April 16, 1992 to July 30, 1992
3	3		yellow leaves, smaller leaves
4	4		rates 2
5	5		-Susceptible to fungus and aphids
6	6		
7	7		
8	8		
9	9		
10	10		
11	11	5.	English Ivy/ <i>Hedera helix</i>
12	12		April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
13	13		reduced growth rate and size, pale leaves, less dense root spread
14	14		rates 2
15	15		-Onset of symptoms occurs late in the smoggy season around mid August.
16	16		
17	17	6.	Ice Plant/ <i>Mesembryanthemum rosea</i>
18	18		(P)
19	19		April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
20	20		reduced growth rate
21	21		rates 1
22	22		
23	23	7.	Mondo grass/ <i>Ophiopogon japonicus</i>
24	24		April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
25	25		reduced growth rate, yellow tips on blades, weak root system
26	26		rates 2
27	27		
28	28		

- 1 1 8. Orchid/Cymbidium x suva 'Royal Velvet'
2 2 April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
3 3 pale leaves, reduced growth rate
4 4 rates 2
5 5 -Exceptionally easy plant to maintain in greenhouse. Flowers are very showing
6 6 which is popular with visitors. Flower production is equivalent filtered and ambient plants
7 7 and that often is misleading to visitors who do not notice leaf quality as being the indicator
8 8 of health.
9 9
- 10 10 9. Sweet Olive/Osmanthus fragrans
11 11 April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
12 12 no visible damage
13 13 rates 0
14 14 -Susceptible to aphids and sooty mildew, recovers well from pesticides
15 15
- 16 16 10. Moss Rose/Portulaca grandiflora
17 17 April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
18 18 reduced size
19 19 rates 1
20 20
- 21 21 11. Strawberry/Rosa fragaria
22 22 April 16, 1992 to July 30, 1992
23 23 smaller leaves
24 24 rates 1
25 25 -Does not grow well in pots, leaves are prone to fungus.
26 26
27 27
28 28

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	12. Bird of Paradise/Strelitzia reginae
4	4	April 1, 1992 to October 31, 1992
5	5	reduced size
6	6	rates 1
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	<u>Plants which are new to display</u>
10	10	
11	11	-Ornamental and Home Garden Plants
12	12	
13	13	1. Aloe/Aloe saponaria
14	14	(P)
15	15	April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
16	16	reduced size
17	17	rates 1
18	18	
19	19	2. Spurge/Euphorbia rigida
20	20	(P)
21	21	April 1, 1992 to October 31, 1992
22	22	thin stems, small leaves, small root ball
23	23	rates 2
24	24	-Goes dormant in late summer and leaves tend to turn yellow
25	25	
26	26	
26	26	3. Lamb's Ears/Stachys lanta
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	(P)
2	2	April 1, 1992 to October 31, 1992
3	3	high rate of leaf death
4	4	rates 1
5	5	-Susceptible to aphids and ants, "Safer Soap" causes leaves to yellow
6	6	
7	7	4. Beard-Tongue/ <i>Penstemon</i> sp.
8	8	(P)
9	9	April 1, 1992 to September 22, 1992
10	10	brown leaves, shorter life span, smaller root ball
11	11	rates 3
12	12	-Difficult plant to maintain in pot because spreading growth; does not grow well in
13	13	greenhouse (high humidity), susceptible to aphids and spider mites.
14	14	
15	15	5. Rosemary/ <i>Rosemarinus officinalis</i>
16	16	(P)
17	17	April 1, 1992 to October 1992
18	18	reduced growth rate
19	19	rates 1
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	6. Sage/ <i>Salvia mulleri</i>
24	24	(P)
25	25	April 16, 1992 to October 1992
26	26	fewer leaves, fewer flowers, reduced growth rate, shortened life span
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	rates 3
2	2	-Difficult plant to grow in pot due to spreading growth pattern, ambient side more
3	3	susceptible to spider mite damage
4	4	
5	5	7. Bromelia/Bromelia sp.
6	6	(P)
7	7	April 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
8	8	smaller leaves, fewer leaves
9	9	rates 1
10	10	
11	11	8. Wandering Jew/Tradescantia fluminensis
12	12	(P)
13	13	April 1, 1992 to October 31, 1992
14	14	reduced growth rate
15	15	rates 1
16	16	
17	17	9. Bottle Brush/Callistemon pachyphyllus var viridis
18	18	(P)
19	19	April 16, 1992 to July 30, 1992
20	20	reduced growth rate
21	21	rates 1
22	22	
23	23	10. Rock Rose/Cistus salvifolius
24	24	(P)
25	25	April 1, 1992 to July 30, 1992
26	26	no visible damage
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	rates 0
2	2	-Susceptible to aphids, gnats and fungus
3	3	
4	4	11. Mandevilla/Dipladenia 'Red Ridinghood'
5	5	(P)
6	6	May 27, 1992 to July 30, 1992
7	7	reduced growth rate
8	8	rates 1
9	9	-Susceptible to aphids, sooty mildew, ants; did not keep long in the display due to
10	10	insect damage
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	12. Jerusalem Sage/Phlomis fruticosa
18	18	(P)
19	19	May 27, 1992 to July 15, 1992
20	20	reduced growth rate
21	21	rates 1
22	22	-Greenhouse too humid which caused fungus and leaf rot; did not keep long in the
23	23	display
24	24	
25	25	13. Australian Flame Pea/Chorizema cordatum
26	26	(P)
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	April 1, 1992 to July 30, 1992
2	2	yellow leaves
3	3	rates 1
4	4	
5	5	14. Trigger Plant/ <i>Stylidium adnatum</i>
6	6	(P)
7	7	April 1, 1992 to July 30, 1992
8	8	yellow leaves, reduced growth, fewer flowers
9	9	rates 3
10	10	
11	11	-Native California Plants
12	12	
13	13	15. Toyon/ <i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>
14	14	June 16, 1992 to October 31, 1992
15	15	no visible damage
16	16	rates 0
17	17	-Susceptible to sooty mildew and ants
18	18	
19	19	16. Meadow Rue/ <i>Thalictrum polycarpum</i>
20	20	June 16, 1992 to July 15, 1992
21	21	reduced growth rate
22	22	rates 1
23	23	-Unhealthy plants, filtered side was not very green and had yellow leaves; plant
24	24	needs to be screened again.
25	25	
26	26	17. Chalk Dudley/ <i>Dudleya pulverulenta</i>
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	June 16, 1992 to October 1992
2	2	reduced growth rate
3	3	rates 1
4	4	
5	5	18. Manzanita/Arctostaphylos 'Howard McMinn'
6	6	June 16, 1992 to October 1992
7	7	no visible damage
8	8	rates 0
9	9	-Unhealthy plants, two out of three in filtered side died within one month after
10	10	installation in greenhouse.
11	11	
12	12	19. Purple Monkey Flower/Mimulus hybrid purple
13	13	June 16, 1992 to July 30, 1992
14	14	undetermined
15	15	-Unhealthy plants, most died within two months of growth in greenhouse
16	16	
17	17	20. Yellow Monkey Flower/Mimulus cardinalis 'Yellow'
18	18	June 16, 1992 to August 15, 1992
19	19	yellow leaves, reduced growth rate
20	20	rates 2
21	21	-Unhealthy plants, all died after three months of growth in greenhouse
22	22	
23	23	21. California Fuschia/Zauschneria 'Catalinae'
24	24	June 16, 1992 to July 15, 1992
25	25	undetermined
26	26	-Unhealthy plants, died of fungus and root rot
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	-Forest Trees
2	2	
3	3	22. Engelmann Oak/ <i>Quercus engelmanni</i>
4	4	May 20, 1992 to October 1992
5	5	fewer leaves, reduced growth rate
6	6	rates 2
7	7	-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through the winter and measured in May 1993
8	8	
9	9	23. Digger Pine/ <i>Pinus sabiniana</i>
10	10	May 20, 1992 to October 1992
11	11	no visible damage
12	12	rates 0
13	13	-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through the winter and measured in May 1993
14	14	
15	15	24. California Live Oak/ <i>Quercus agrifolia</i>
16	16	May 20, 1992 to October 1992
17	17	no visible damage
18	18	rates 0
19	19	-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
20	20	
21	21	25. California White Oak/ <i>Quercus lobata</i>
22	22	May 20, 1992 to October 1992
23	23	fewer leaves, high rate of leaf death
24	24	rates 2
25	25	-Under heat and stress, plant drops leaves. Greenhouse was too warm for trees.
26	26	Plants will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	26. Knobcone Pine/ <i>Pinus attenuata</i>
5	5	May 20, 1992 to October 1992
6	6	no visible damage
7	7	rates 0
8	8	-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
9	9	
10	10	27. Coulter Pine/ <i>Pinus coulteri</i>
11	11	May 20, 1992 to October 1992
12	12	no visible damage
13	13	rates 0
14	14	-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
15	15	
16	16	28. Bigcone Douglas Fir/ <i>Pseudotsuga macrocarpa</i>
17	17	May 20, 1992 to October 1992
18	18	no visible damage
19	19	rates 0
20	20	-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
21	21	
22	22	29. Coast Douglas Fir/ <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>
23	23	May 20, 1992 to October 1992
24	24	no visible damage
25	25	rates 0
26	26	-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	30.	Italian Stone Pine/ <i>Pinus pinea</i>
2	2		May 20, 1992 to October 1993
3	3		no visible damage
4	4		rates 0
5	5		-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
6	6		
7	7	31.	Hollyleaf Cherry/ <i>Prunus ilicifolia</i>
8	8		May 20, 1992 to October 1992
9	9		fewer leaves, reduced rate of growth
10	10		rates 2
11	11		-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
12	12		
13	13	32.	Incense Cedar/ <i>Libocedrus decunraus</i>
14	14		May 20, 1992 to October 1992
15	15		no visible damage
16	16		rates 0
17	17		-Trees will be kept in greenhouse through winter and measured in May 1993
18	18		
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		-Core Group of Plants - used to demonstrate smog damage symptoms
24	24		
25	25	1.	Onion/ <i>Allium cepa</i>
26	26	2.	Chives/ <i>Allium schoenoprasum</i>
27	27		
28	28		

- | | | | |
|----|----|-----|---|
| 1 | 1 | 3. | Celery/ <i>Apium graveolens</i> 'Utah 52-70R' |
| 2 | 2 | 4. | Mother Fern/ <i>Asplenium bulbiferum</i> |
| 3 | 3 | 5. | Cockscomb/ <i>Celosia plumeria</i> |
| 4 | 4 | 6. | Dusty Miller/ <i>Centaurea cineraria</i> 'Silver Queen' |
| 5 | 5 | 7. | Orange/ <i>Citrus</i> |
| 6 | 6 | 8. | <i>Coleus</i> / <i>Coleus</i> hybrid |
| 7 | 7 | 9. | <i>Cyclamen</i> / <i>Cyclamen</i> hybrid |
| 8 | 8 | 10. | Carrot/ <i>Daucus carota</i> |
| 9 | 9 | 11. | <i>Impatiens</i> / <i>Impatiens</i> hybrid |
| 10 | 10 | 12. | Sweet Alyssum/ <i>Lobularia maritim</i> |
| 11 | 11 | 13. | Tomato/ <i>Lycopersicon lycopersicum</i> 'Ace 55VF' |
| 12 | 12 | 14. | Flowering Tobacco/ <i>Nicotiana alata</i> |
| 13 | 13 | 15. | Parsley/ <i>Petroselinum crispum</i> |
| 14 | 14 | 16. | <i>Petunia</i> / <i>petunia</i> hybrid |
| 15 | 15 | 17. | Bush Bean/ <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> 'Derby' |
| 16 | 16 | 18. | Primrose/ <i>Primula</i> |
| 17 | 17 | 19. | Radish/ <i>Raphanus sativus</i> 'Plum Purple' |
| 18 | 18 | 20. | Rose/ <i>Rosa</i> |
| 19 | 19 | 21. | Pansy/ <i>Viola</i> |

2020

2121

2222

2323

2424

2525

26

26

27

28

1 1
2 2
3 3
4 4
5 5
6 6
7 7
8 8
9 9
10 10
11 11
12 12
13 13
14 14
15 15
16 16
17 17
18 18
19 19
20 20
21 21
22 22
23 23
24 24
25 25
26
26
27
28

Summary of data from plants grown in Air Pollution Greenhouse Display - 1993 Season
from April to June

Information on each plant is listed in the following order:

- Common name/Scientific name
- (P) if has corresponding photograph
- Dates of period grown in greenhouse
- List of smog damage symptoms
- Rating of relative sensitivities to smog damage: 0 through 3
- comments on special horticultural problems

1	1	<u>Plants which are new to display</u>
2	2	
3	3	-Ornamental and Home Garden Plants
4	4	
5	5	1. Scotch Moss/Sagina sublulata
6	6	April 4, 1993
7	7	reduced growth rate
8	8	rates 1
9	9	
10	10	2. Hydrangea/Hydrangea 'variegata'
11	11	April 4, 1993
12	12	reduced growth rate
13	13	rates 1
14	14	
15	15	3. Dragon Tree/Dracena draco
16	16	April 4, 1993
17	17	no visible damage
18	18	rates 0
19	19	
20	20	4. Banana/Musa acuminata 'Zebrina'
21	21	April 4, 1993
22	22	reduced growth rate
23	23	rates 1
24	24	
25	25	5. Orchid Cactus/Epiphyllum 'Schlumbergia Bridgesii'
26	26	April 4, 1993
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	no visible damage
2	2	rates 0
3	3	
4	4	6. Sago Palm/Cycas cycadaceae
5	5	April 4, 1993
6	6	no visible damage
7	7	rates 0
8	8	
9	9	
10	10	7. Plumaria/Plumeria
11	11	April 4, 1993
12	12	fewer leaves
13	13	rates 1
14	14	
15	15	8. Sycamore/Platanus racemosa
16	16	March 31, 1993
17	17	reduced growth rate, smaller leaves
18	18	rates 2
19	19	
20	20	9. Cape Honeysuckle/Tecomaria capensis
21	21	April 4, 1993
22	22	fewer leaves, yellow leaves
23	23	rates 2
24	24	
25	25	10. Copper Leaf/Acalypha wilkesiana
26	26	April 4, 1993
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	reduced growth rate, smaller leaves
2	2	rates 2
3	3	
4	4	11. Purple Velvet Plant/ <i>Gynura aurantiac</i>
5	5	April 4, 1993
6	6	reduced growth rate, yellow leaves
7	7	rates 2
8	8	
9	9	12. Philodendron/ <i>Philodendron</i>
10	10	April 4, 1993
11	11	smaller leaves
12	12	rates 1
13	13	
14	14	13. Sea Onion/ <i>Urginea maritima</i>
15	15	April 4, 1993
16	16	reduced growth rate
17	17	rates 1
18	18	
19	19	-Forest Trees- same trees that were grown during 1992 season
20	20	
21	21	1. Engelmann Oak/ <i>Quercus engelmanni</i>
22	22	May 20, 1992
23	23	reduced growth rate, fewer leaves
24	24	rates 2
25	25	
26	26	2. Digger Pine/ <i>Pinus sabiniana</i>
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	May 20, 1992 to March 31, 1993
2	2	All trees died from wooly aphid
3	3	
4	4	3. California White Oak/ <i>Quercus agrifolia</i>
5	5	May 20, 1992 to March 31, 1993
6	6	All trees infested with whitefly
7	7	
8	8	4. Knobcone Pine/ <i>Pinus attenuata</i>
9	9	May 20, 1992
10	10	reduced growth
11	11	rates 1
12	12	
13	13	5. Coulter Pine/ <i>Pinus coulteri</i>
14	14	May 20, 1992 to March 31, 1993
15	15	All trees died from wooly aphid
16	16	
17	17	6. Bigcone Douglas Fir/ <i>Pseudotsuga macrocarpa</i>
18	18	May 20, 1992
19	19	no visible damage
20	20	rates 0
21	21	
22	22	7. Coast Douglas Fir/ <i>Pseudotsuga menziesii</i>
23	23	May 20, 1992
24	24	no visible damage
25	25	rates 0
26	26	
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	8.	Italian Stone Pine/ <i>Pinus pinea</i>
2	2		May 20, 1992 to March 31, 1993
3	3		All trees died of wooly aphid
4	4		
5	5	9.	Hollyleaf Cherry/ <i>Prunus ilicifolia</i>
6	6		May 20, 1992
7	7		reduced growth rate, fewer leaves
8	8		rates 2
9	9		
10	10	10.	Incense Cedar/ <i>Libocedrus decurrens</i>
11	11		May 20, 1992
12	12		no visible damage
13	13		rates 0
14	14		
15	15	11.	California White Oak/ <i>Quercus lobata</i>
16	16		May 20, 1992
17	17		reduced growth rate, leaf death
18	18		rates 2
19	19		
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		-Native California Plants
25	25		
26	26	1.	Red Columbine/ <i>Aquilegia formosa</i> var <i>truncata</i>
27	27		
28	28		

1	1	March 31, 1993
2	2	pale leaves
3	3	rates 1
4	4	
5	5	2. Bush Anemone/ <i>Carpenteria californica</i>
6	6	March 31, 1993
7	7	reduced growth rate, smaller leaves
8	8	rates 2
9	9	
10	10	3. <i>Ceanothus</i> / <i>Ceanothus</i> 'Dark Star'
11	11	March 31, 1993
12	12	reduced growth
13	13	rates 1
14	14	
15	15	4. Tecate Cypress/ <i>Cupressus forbesii</i>
16	16	March 31, 1993
17	17	reduced growth rate
18	18	rates 1
19	19	
20	20	5. Beach Aster/ <i>Erigeron glaucus</i> 'Arthur Menzies'
21	21	March 31, 1993
22	22	fewer leaves, reduced growth rate
23	23	rates 2
24	24	
25	25	6. California Buckwheat/ <i>Eriogonum fasciculatum</i>
26	26	March 31, 1993
27	27	
28	28	

1	1	reduced growth rate, pale leaves
2	2	rates 2
3	3	
4	4	7. Hybrid Coral Bells/Heuchera hybrids
5	5	March 31, 1993
6	6	reduced growth rate, pale leaves
7	7	rates 2
8	8	
9	9	8. Tree Mallow/Lavatera assurgentiflora
10	10	March 31, 1993
11	11	reduced growth rate, pale leaves, smaller leaves
12	12	rates 3
13	13	
14	14	9. Mexican Lobelia Bush/Lobelia laxiflora
15	15	March 31, 1993
16	16	reduced growth rate
17	17	rates 1
18	18	
19	19	10. Nevin's Mahonia/Mahonia nevinii
20	20	March 31, 1993
21	21	no visible damage
22	22	rates 0
23	23	
24	24	11. San Diego Willowy Mint/Monardella linoides ssp Viminea
25	25	March 31, 1993
26	26	no visible damage
27	27	
28	28	

1	1		rates 0
2	2		
3	3	12.	Scarlet Bugler/ <i>Penstemon centranthifolius</i>
4	4		March 31, 1993
5	5		reduced growth rate
6	6		rates 1
7	7		
8	8	13.	California Wild Rose/ <i>Rosa californica</i>
9	9		March 31, 1993
10	10		suffered from damage to "Sunspray" an oil based pesticide; plant is recovering
11	11		from damage
12	12		
13	13	14.	Black Willow/ <i>Salix goodgingii</i>
14	14		March 31, 1993
15	15		no visible damage
16	16		rates 0
17	17		
18	18	15.	Creeping Sage/ <i>Salvia sonomensis</i>
19	19		March 31, 1993
20	20		reduced growth rate
21	21		rates 1
22	22		
23	23	16.	Desert Grape/ <i>Vitis girdiana</i>
24	24		March 31, 1993
25	25		no visible damage
26	26		rates 0
27	27		
28	28		

1 1
 2 2
 3 3
 4 4
 5 5
 6 6
 7 7
 8 8
 9 9
 10 10
 11 11
 12 12
 13 13
 14 14
 15 15
 16 16
 17 17
 18 18
 19 19
 20 20
 21 21
 22 22
 23 23
 24 24
 25 25
 26 26
 27 27
 28 28

Core Group of Plants

1. Cockscomb/Celosia 'plumosa'
2. Impatiens/Impatiens 'New Zealand'
3. Petunia/Petuna 'Orchid Daddy'
4. Primrose/Primula acaulis
5. Bush Bean/Phaseolus 'Ramona'
6. Celery/Appium graveolens var. dulce
7. Carrot/Daucus carota var. sativus
8. Tomato/Lycopersicon lycopersicum
9. Parsley/Petroselinum crispum
10. Rose/Rosa 'Mikado'
11. Orange/Citrus 'Kara Mandarin Orange'
12. Sword Fern/Nephrolepis exaltata
13. Dusty Miller/Centaurea cineria

- | | | | |
|---|---|-----|---|
| 1 | 1 | 14. | Coleus/Coleus hybridus |
| 2 | 2 | 15. | Mondo Grass/Ophiopogon japonicus |
| 3 | 3 | 16. | Azalea/Azalea So. Indica 'Pride of Dorking' |
| 4 | 4 | 17. | Daylily/Hemeracallis 'Russian Rhapsody' |
| 5 | 5 | 18. | Cymbidium/Cymbidium x suva 'Royal Velvet' |
| 6 | 6 | 19. | California Fan Palm/Washingtonia filifera |
| 7 | 7 | 20. | Ice Plant/Dioscorea 'floribundum' |

8 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28