

LIBRARY - AIR RESOURCES BOARD

(ESTABLISHED 1952)

LABORATORIES

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 Telephone: 212-777-4410 TWX: 710-581-6132

<u>DL-3215</u>

LIBRARY CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD P.O. BOX 2815 SACRAMENTO, CA 95812

EVALUATION OF PROPERTIES OF INDUSTRIAL COATINGS

Contract No. A9-141-31

July 30, 1982

erry H. Willner Chief Chemist

Saul Spindel Technical Director

Sidney &. Levinson President

Prepared For

Air Resources Board State of California Sacramento, California

MARKET RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION, FORMULATION, PREPARATION OF SPECIFICATIONS & MANUALS, INSPECTION & CERTIFICATION, PERSONNEL TRAINING & LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE PROTECTIVE COATINGS & ALLIED INDUSTRIES

df 50 copies

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This report is submitted in fulfillment of ARB Contract No. A9-141-31 "Evaluation of Properties of Industrial Coatings" by the David Litter Laboratories, Inc., d/b/a as D/L Laboratories, under the sponsorship of the California Air Resources Board. Work on Part A "Laboratory Fvaluation" was completed on December 31, 1981.

D/L Laboratories wishes to acknowledge the cooperation of the following coating manufacturers and raw material suppliers in submitting both low VOC and equivalent conventional industrial coatings for test

Armstrong Products Co.

Continental Technical Finishes

Cook Paint & Varnish Co.

Deft, Inc.

Dexter Corp., Midland Div.

E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.

Eastman Chemical Products

Glidden Coatings & Resins Div. of SCM Corp.

B.F. Goodrich Chemical Group

Guardsman Chemicals, Inc.

IMC McWhorter Resins

Iowa Paint Mfg. Co.

Lilly Industrial Coatings

Mobav Chemical Corp.

PPG Industries, Inc.

H.H. Robertson Co.

Rohm and Haas Co.

Sermetel

The Sherwin-Williams Co.

Spencer Kellogg Div. of Textron, Inc.

Teknos-Maalit Oy

Trail Chemical Corp.

Waterlac Industries, Inc.

Westinghouse Electric Corp.

Whittaker Corporation

D/L Laboratories also wishes to acknowledge the cooperation and contribution by the following metal fabricators in supplying data on the low VOC coatings they are using:

Advance Floor Machine

Allis Chalmers

Alsco Anaconda

Baltimore Aircoil Co., Inc.

Barber Colman

Bowen-McLaughlin-York Co.

Carrier Transicold Co.

Caterpillar Tractor Co.

Dura-Coat, Inc.

Durham Manufacturing Co., Inc.

Envirotech

The Foxboro Co.

Fruehauf Corp.

Hill Rom Co., Inc.

Hofman Industries, Inc.

ILC Products Co., Inc.

Lee/Rowan Products

Lithonia Lighting Fixtures Div.

Lodi Fab Industries, Inc.

Mack Trucks, Inc.

Metropolitan Wire Corp.

Ransomes, Inc.

D.J. Simpson Co., Inc.

Steelcase, Inc.

Sun Terrace Casual Furniture Co.

Valley Industries

Western Electric

Whirlpool Corp.

In addition the authors wish to acknowledge and thank Eric M. Fujita, our Project Officer, for his performance in coordinating this project between the contractor and the Staff of the California Air Resources Board.

#### DISCLAIMER

The statements and conclusions in this report are those of the contractor and not necessarily those of the California Air Resources Board. The mention of any commercial products, their source or use in connection with material reported herein, is not to be construed as either an actual or implied endorsement of such products.

#### ABSTRACT

The properties of commercially available powder, water-borne and high-solids industrial coatings and their use in production were evaluated relative to conventional high solvent coatings.

A comprehensive survey of the industry was conducted in order to obtain test samples of both low VOC and equivalent conventional industrial coatings for metal parts and products. A total of 105 coatings were received and evaluated, including 71 coatings with VOC concentrations varying from 0 to 360 grams per liter of paint, less water, (g/l), and 34 equivalent conventional coatings.

The results of the tests demonstrate that, among the low VOC coatings, 13 water base, 17 high solids and 4 powder coatings can be considered to be acceptable. 24 are baked coatings, 4 are force dried coatings and 6 are air dried coatings. Their VOC levels compare as follows:

	VOC_(g/1)			
	Range	Average		
Baked Coatings (except powder and inorganic)	136 to 360	274		
Force Dry Coatings	216 to 340	280		
Air Dry Coatings	284 to 354	316		

High solids baked topcoats exhibit the best overall performance among the low VOC coatings tested. As a group, they exhibit superior overall resistance to both impact and abrasion as compared with the conventional topcoats with no significant deficiencies overall. VOC for the acceptable coatings varies from 206 to 360 g/l with an average VOC of 278 g/l. The same superiority holds true even when the three best solids baked topcoats are compared with the three best equivalent conventional coatings. VOC concentrations for these low VOC coatings varies from 248 to 284 g/l with an average of 265 g/l.

A literature search and survey were conducted to determine the experience of metal fabricators who are using low VOC coatings. As a result, information was obtained from 43 companies (53 plants) using waterborne coatings, 24 companies (25 plants) using powder coatings and 10 companies (10 plants) using high-solids coatings. Plant locations covered a total of 25 states with the largest number (10) in Califonia.

Powder coatings have proved to be most successful in spite of their limitations of 1 coat application, difficulties of changing colors and the requirement of cure by baking. Although the initial investment is much higher than either water-borne or high-solids coatings, this is offset by reduced operating, maintenance and energy costs. Also, air pollution is lowest of all three since practically no solvent is used. Water-borne coatings allow the most rapid change over at minimum expense. They also can be applied on large manufactured items since they can be air or force dried. However, water-borne coatings exhibit the greatest number of problems in production due to the slow evaporation of vater and the sensitivity of the coating to water and high humidity. Furthermore, installation or modification of electrostatic spray equipment is difficult because of the conductivity of water-borne coatings.

High-solids coatings have the shortest history of use because of their relatively recent development. They require some changes in equipment because of their high viscosity and the caveat that no solvent can be added to improve spray application. Although they air dry, they do not produce high quality finishes when air dried. On the other hand, when baked, they produce coatings with equal and even superior performance to conventional coatings.

The successful users of water-borne and high-solids coatings have found that close cooperation between them and both the equipment and coating suppliers is very helpful in developing a replacement coating in the shortest time.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page No.</u>
	ABSTRACT TABLE OF CONTENTS SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS LIST OF TABLES	i iii v vii
I	INTRODUCTION	1
II	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	2
III	RECOMMENDATIONS	8
IV	PART A LABORATORY EVALUATION A. Objective B. Procedure C. Products Tested D. Test Results E. Discussion of Results	9 9 12 17 33
V	PART B PLANT SURVEY A. Objective B. Procedure C. Results D. Discussion of Results	<b>44</b> 44 44 <b>56</b>
VI	GLOSSARY Laboratory Evaluation Plant Survey	60 62

Appendix I A B C D E F G H J	Publicity, Letters & Questionnaires Publicity Release - Covering Letter to Publications Ditto - Covering Letter to Associations Publicity Release Letter to Associations Letter to Paint Manufacturers Data Form - Paint Manufacturers Letter to Raw Material Suppliers Data Form - Raw Material Suppliers Follow Up Letter to Cooperators	64 65 66 67 68 69 71 72 74
Appendix II A B C D E F G H J K L M N O P	Test Data Primers - Baked Primers - Forced Dry Primers - Air Dry Water Base Topcoats - Baked High Solids Topcoats - Baked, Nos. 2 - 40 Ditto Nos. 41 - 129 Powder Topcoats - Baked Nos. P1 - P8 Ditto Nos. P9 - P15 Conventional Topcoats - Baked, Nos. 1 - 42 Ditto Nos. 52 - 130 Water Base Topcoats - Forced Dry Conventional Topcoats - Forced Dry Water Base Topcoats - Air Dry High Solids Topcoats - Air Dry Conventional Topcoats - Air Dry	75 76 79 82 85 88 91 94 96 98 101 104 106 108 111 114
Appendix III	Test Procedure	117
Appendix IV	Rating Scheme	121
Appendix V A B	Survey Form Data Form (Mail Questionnaire) Data Form (Telephone Survey)	126 128

-iv-

Page

### SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

### ARB - Air Resources Board

VOC - Volatile organic compounds (primarily solvents) expressed as grams per liter of paint, less water (g/l).

## Products Tested

T Pr		Topcoat Primer	2 Comp - Two component
C	-	Conventional	WB - Water base
HS		High solids	In - Inorganic
Wht		White	Gry - Grey
Blk		Black	Bge - Beige
Clr		Clear	Yel - Yellow
Grn		Green	Org - Orange
B	1	Baked	AD – Air dry
FD		Force dry	SIC – Standard Industrial
Zn Fe St Pr		Zinc phosphated steel Iron phosphated steel Clean steel Primed steel	Classification

\* Raw material supplier

#### Tables

Acc.		Accelerated	Int	<b>—</b> "	Acceptable for
Н	· ·	High			Interior use
L		Low			
· V	-	Very			

#### Test Results

ASTM	_	ASTM description. See Test Procedure
٩F	-	Degrees Fahrenheit
Hrs	-	Hours
In. lbs	<b></b>	Inch pounds
KU	-	Krebs units
L/mil	-	Liters per mil
Mins	-	Minutes
mm	-	Millimeters

· v –

Score	Performance	or	Effect
10 9 8 6 4 2 1 0	Perfect Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor Very poor No value		None Trace Very slight Slight Moderate Considerable Severe Failed

Conventional Conv -

Methyl ethyl ketone solvent Accelerated MEK –

Score - ASTM Scoring Scheme

Acc. -

-2 Two component

- Sol Solidified -
- Not applicable Х ---

# LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page No.</u>
1.	Products Tested	13
2.	Primers - Baked	18
3.	Primers - Force Dry	19
4.	Primers - Air Dry	20
5.	Water Base Topcoats - Baked	21
6.	High Solids Topcoats - Baked	22
7.	Powder Coatings - Baked	24
8.	Conventional Topcoats - Baked	26
9.	Water Base Topcoats - Force Dry	28
10.	Conventional Topcoats - Force Dry	29
11.	Water Base Topcoats - Air Dry	30
12.	High Solids Topcoats - Air Dry	31
13.	Conventional Topcoats - Air Dry	32
14.	Average Properties of Acceptable Primers	35
15.	Average Properties of Acceptable Topcoats	36
16.	Average Properties of Best Topcoats - Baked	38
17.	Plant Locations	47
18.	Metal Products	48
19.	Coating and Substrate	49
20.	Application and Cure	50
21.	Production	51
22.	Coating Performance	52
23.	Economics	53
24.	Overall Summary	55
25.	Advantages	57
26.	Limitations	58

#### INTRODUCTION

Ι

In 1978, the Air Resources Board adopted a suggested control measure to limit volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions resulting from industrial coating operations for manufactured metal parts and products. This measure is applicable to all metal objects that are painted during a manufacturing process except: automobiles, cans, coils, marine vessels, aircraft and aerospace vehicles, and related components. (Each of these exempted products is regulated by a source-specified rule). Under the suggested control measure for metal parts, VOC emissions would be reduced by the substitution of low-polluting and more energy-efficient low-solvent (waterborne and high-solids) and powder coatings, for conventional industrial coatings that contain relatively higher amounts of organic solvents.

As originally adopted, the suggested rule limited VOC emissions from existing coating operations to 275 and 340 grams per liter (g/l) of coating applied, excluding water, for baked and airdried or force-dried coatings, respectively. New or modified sources using baked coatings were subject to a more stringent limit of 180 g/l. (The latter provision was subsequently deleted from district regulations). The original rule was adopted essentially unchanged by all local air pollution control districts with nonattainment status and it was scheduled to be implemented in January 1982. Implementation of the rule was later postponed to January 1984 in the South Coast Air Basin and to January 1985 in other areas of the state. Instead, the solvent limitations suggested by the Federal EPA of 360 g/l for baked coatings and 420 g/l for air dried and higher performance coatings were adopted as interim limits effective January 1983.

In recent years, partly in response to the model rule, coating manufacturers have improved substantially the quality and availability of low-solvent industrial coatings. The purpose of this study was to monitor progress by evaluating the performance of newly developed lowsolvent and powder industrial coatings relative to their conventional counterparts, based upon laboratory evaluations and the experiences of manufacturers using low-solvent or powder coatings in production.

#### II SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A comprehensive survey was made of the coatings industry, both by publicity and by direct mail, in order to obtain samples of both low VOC and equivalent conventional industrial coatings for use on metal parts and products. The result was the receipt of 145 products from 30 suppliers.

After the initial determination of the VOC of the low VOC coatings, all low VOC products containing VOC concentrations above 360 g/l were eliminated. In addition, others were eliminated either because of excessive baking temperatures, end uses other than those specified in the contract or instability during initial tests. The result was a final test group of 105 coatings - 71 low VOC and 34 conventional.

The samples that were tested can be categorized as follows:

	Bake Low VOC Con		ce Dry 10C Conv	the second s	r Dry OC <u>Conv</u>		Total DC Conv
Primers	. 1		1	•	2	•	4
Water Base	3	1		5		9	•
Topcoats	18	ł	4		8		30
Water Base	10	5		9	. •	24	•
High Solids	20			3	د مراجع المراجع ا مراجع المراجع ا	23	•
Powder	15	• • •••••				<u>15</u>	Since Suppress
TOTAL	48 19	6	5	17	10	71	34

The test results demonstrate that many of the low VOC industrial coatings can be considered to be Acceptable and capable of competing with the equivalent conventional coatings. Acceptability is based on the following criteria:

At least Good in the following properties of major importance:

Pot life -	2 component coatings
Speed of dry -	Air dry coatings
Opacity -	Topcoats
Adhesion	
Flexibility	
Water resistance	

At least Poor in properties which are considered to be of minor importance:

Viscosity and package stability Abrasion resistance - Primers Whiteness - many are not sold as whites

At least Fair in all other properties.

	Bal Low VOC		Force		Air D Low VOC		To Low VOC	tal Conv
Primers		1		(1)		2		3,(1)
Water Base	1		1		3,(1)		5,(1)	
Topcoats		13,(1)		1		4		18,(1)
Water Base	2,(2)	· .	3		3,(1)		8,(3)	
High Solids	17	• .	·		0.		17	
Powder	4,(1)					g	4,(1)	
TOTAL	24	14	4	1	6	6	34,(5)	21,(2)

As a result, the following coatings were determined to be Acceptable. Those limited to interior use are designated by a ( ).

The following conclusions may be drawn from the results of this evaluation of low VOC industrial coatings vs the equivalent conventional coatings. Note that at least two low VOC coatings in any category must be Acceptable in order to draw a definite conclusion.

#### Primers

- Baked Only one of the three water base primers tested is Acceptable. Therefore no definite conclusion drawn regarding this product category.
- Force Dry The same statement holds true for this group since only one water base primer is Acceptable.
- <u>Air Dry</u> Three of the five water base primers tested are Acceptable. As a group, they tend to exhibit superior Package Stability, Opacity and Abrasion Resistance but inferior Water Resistance, Salt Fog Resistance and Weathering. VOC averages 326 g/l. One primer is limited to interior use.

#### Topcoats - Baked

- <u>Water Base</u> Two of the ten products tested are Acceptable. They tend to exhibit superior Opacity, Impact Resistance, Abrasion Resistance and Weathering but inferior Resistance to Water and Salt Fog. VOC averages 236 g/l. Two more are limited to interior use.
- <u>High Solids</u> 17 of the 20 products tested are Acceptable. As a group, they are competitive to conventional topcoats exhibiting superior Resistance to Impact and Abrasion with no significant deficiencies. VOC averages 278 g/l.

<u>Powder</u> - Four of the 15 products tested are Acceptable. As a group, they exhibit superior Hardness and Abrasion Resistance but somewhat less gloss retention. VOC is essentially O. These products are based on epoxy resins which tend to lose gloss when exposed to the weather. One product is limited to interior use.

#### Topcoats - Force Dry

Three of the five water base coatings tested are Acceptable. As a group, they exhibit superior Color Retention and Resistance to Impact, Abrasion and Water. On the other hand, they are inferior in Viscosity Stability, Gloss Retention, Salt Fog Resistance and Weathering. VOC averages 280 g/1.

#### Topcoats - Air Dry

<u>Water Base</u> - Three of the nine products tested are Acceptable. As a group, they are superior in Viscosity Stability, Package Stability and Weathering but at a sacrifice in Opacity, Gloss Retention, Color Retention, and Resistance to Water and Salt Fog. VOC averages 306 g/l.

High Solids - None of the three products tested are Acceptable.

A survey and literature search were made to determine the results obtained by metal fabricators when using low VOC coatings, i.e., powder, water-borne and high-solids. The results are based on information obtained from a total of 77 companies with 88 plants located in 25 states, as follows:

	Companies	<u>Plants</u>	<u>States</u>
Powder coatings	24	25	19
Water-borne coatings	43	53	20
High-solids coatings	10	10	8
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	77	88	

The information obtained was reviewed and analyzed considering the following parameters:

A. Metal products on which applied

B. Coating and substrate

C. Application and cure

D. Production

E. Coating performance

F. Economics

It is evident that all three types of low VOC coatings are being used with some degree of success. Most changes have been made as a result of air quality regulations but the result in some cases has been better coating performance, savings in production, maintenance and/or energy costs, or both.

The classes of metal products which account for the highest percentage of use of each type of coating are as follows. The percentages given are based on total end uses for that type of coating.

 Powder - Furniture and fixtures (21%)
 Water-borne - Transportation equipment (26%)
 High-solids - Furniture and fixtures (30%) Architectural fabricated metal (20%)

Each other end use among the total of 12 classes of metal products covered among to less than 15%.

The major polymers used in their coatings are as follows:

Powder – Epoxy (47%) Polyester (33%) Water-borne – Alkyd (43%) Acrylic (39%)

High-solids - Polyester (45%)

The major substrate for all low VOC coatings is steel, as would be anticipated, with aluminum second in importance. The most common metal treatment is phosphate, either zinc or iron.

The most common method of coating application is by spray. All powder coatings must be applied electrostatically as are most high solids coatings. Water-borne coatings, however, are most commonly applied by conventional spray because of the difficulty of controlling electrostatic spray due to the greater conductivity of these coatings.

All electrostatic coatings must be applied in one coat. However, most water-borne and high-solids coatings are also applied in one coat.

Total dry film thickness of powder coatings is above 1 mil because of the difficulty of obtaining a thickness of 1 mil or less. Most water-borne coatings are also applied above 1 mil in an effort to improve water and corrosion resistance. On the other hand, high solids coatings can readily be applied at 1 mil or even less and still exhibit good performance.

All powder coatings must be baked. Both water-borne and highsolids coatings can be air dried or force dried but most are baked to speed up production and to improve coating performance. All low VOC coatings reduce air pollution and waste to some extent. Water-borne paints also are less flammable and less toxic. However, powder coatings are best of the three in all these characteristics since they contain essentially no solvent and they develop almost no waste inasmuch as most of the overspray is reused.

Powder coatings require the greatest capital expense since a major installation is required. However, once installed, economics in production, maintenance and energy costs will help recover this initial cost. Water-borne paints require a minimum capital investment since the same equipment can be used. The exception is electrostatic spraying, in which case the equipment must be completely insulated to prevent loss of the charge due to the conductivity of the coating. Highsolids coatings require the installation of either high speed electrostatic dics or the use of heaters to handle their high viscosity.

Powder coatings exhibit the best coating performance of the three types with outstanding corrosion resistance, durability and resistance to wear. Water-borne coatings are marginal, especially when air dried, because of their initial water sensitivity. High-solids coatings are marginal when air dried but equal or superior to conventional coatings when baked.

The following conclusions may be drawn from the results of the survey:

- 1. Commercial powder, water-borne and high-solids industrial coatings for metal parts and products, which apparently meet the CARB model rule for the control of VOC emissions, are available and are being used with some success. At least 77 companies with 88 plants located in 25 states are doing so.
- 2. Powder coatings release the lowest emissions of the three types and result in definitely superior coating performance. Although they require a major investment, this cost can be reclaimed due to the savings in production, maintenance and energy costs. However, they result in more expensive (although superior) coatings because of their initial cost and difficulty of obtaining films of 1 mil or less. They also require baking and color changes are relatively difficult and time consuming.
- 3. Water-borne coatings require minimum changes in production and therefore can readily replace conventional coatings in production. They also can be both air dried and force dried, as well as baked. Therefore, they can be used for large items such as construction or farm equipment. However, the poor wetting of unclean surfaces by water, its slow evaporation and the sensitivity of these coatings to water and high humidity requires the installation of flash-off tunnels and careful control of substrate cleanliness and of application. If electrostatic equipment is used, it must be well insulated to prevent loss of the charge due to the conductivity of the coating. Coating performance is marginal, especially when air dried.

4. High-solids coatings are the newest products on the market. The high viscosity of many high-solids coatings requires the use of high speed electrostatic discs or heaters in order to achieve the desired application. Furthermore, waste disposal is more of a problem because of the sticky overspray produced. Although they will air dry, they are much more effective in coating performance when baked, surpassing the water-borne coatings and being essentially equivalent to powder coatings.

#### III RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. It is evident that the VOC specification for new facilities is too low at 180 g/l. Therefore, it should be increased to at least 275 g/l, at least for the time being.
- 2. The high solids baked coatings appear to have excellent potential to replace conventional baked coatings. However, many of these coatings may require heated equipment or high speed discs for proper spray application.
- 3. It is evident that the technology continues to advance and that low VOC products available at the present time most likely will be superior to those obtained over a year ago. Therefore it would be beneficial to repeat an evaluation of low VOC industrial coatings in the near future. It is anticipated that many coatings, both water base, as well as high solids, and both air dry and force dry, as well as baked, will be as good or perhaps even superior to the conventional coatings they replace.

#### IV PART A LABORATORY EVALUATION

#### A OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this investigation was to locate and evaluate commercially available low VOC industrial coatings which are recommended for in-plant application on metal parts and products. The evaluation was to be conducted in comparison with equivalent commercially available conventional (solvent-thinned) industrial coatings.

The types of low VOC coatings investigated included the following:

Water base or water-borne

High solids

Powder

The methods of cure included were:

Baked - From 195°F to 550°F

Force dry - From 165°F to 194°F

Air dry

Coatings specifically recommended for the following metal parts and products were excluded from this study:

> Cans Coil and wire Marine vessels Aircraft and aerospace Autos and light trucks

The following types of coatings were also excluded:

Touch up and repair Industrial maintenance for structures in service

#### B. PROCEDURE

#### Survey

It was realized that the development of low VOC coatings was still in its infancy. Therefore it was necessary to publicize the program and to cover a broad spectrum of both coating manufacturers and raw material suppliers in order to make contact with any who might have coatings to offer.

Consequently the following steps were taken:

 Publicity Releases were sent to all industry publications and industry associations. See Appendix IA to ID.

- 2. Letters and Data Forms were sent to all major coating manufacturers. See Appendix IE and F.
- 3. Letters and Data Forms were sent to all major suppliers of polymers and resins. See Appendix IG and H.

#### Submitted Coatings

A total of 145 products were received from both coating manufacturers and raw material suppliers. However, some had to be rejected for the following reasons:

- Baking temperature was excessive above 550°F. These products appear to be ceramic type (inorganic) coatings.
- 2. Some were determined to be maintenance paints recommended for use on sand blasted steel only.

#### VOC Determination

All low VOC coatings were analyzed for actual VOC concentration. All low VOC coatings above 360 g/l were rejected.

#### Test Samples

Some coatings were rejected early in the program because of poor stability. Consequently, a total of 71 low VOC coatings and 34 conventional coatings were completely tested.

#### Test Procedure

The 105 candidate products were submitted to the following tests, as appropriate:

1. Viscosity

2. Viscosity stability

- 3. Package stability
- 4. Pot life two component coatings
- 5. Speed of dry air dry coatings
- 6. Overcure baked coatings
  a) Twice the normal bake time
  b) 50°F above the normal bake temperature

- 7. Gloss
- 8. Whiteness
- 9. Opacity
- 10. Exposure to ultraviolet lighta) Gloss changeb) Color change
- ll. Hardness
- 12. Adhesion
- 13. Flexibility
- 14. Impact resistance
- 15. Abrasion resistance
- 16. Water resistance
- 17. Acid resistance
- 18. Alkali resistance
- 19. Xylol resistance
- 20. Resistance to methyl ethyl ketone (MEK)
- 21. Resistance to salt fog (corrosion)
- 22. Accelerated weathering

The test methods are described in Appendix III.

#### C. PRODUCTS TESTED

A total of 105 coatings from 25 suppliers were tested. 19 coating manufacturers submitted from 1 to 16 products and six raw material suppliers submitted from 3 to 11 products.

The coatings tested are listed in Table 1 below. The table also includes the following information:

- 1. Product tested, i.e., primer or topcoat
- 2. Type of coating, i.e., water base, high solids or conventional.
- 3. Polymer type, e.g., acrylic, alkyd, polyester, etc.
- 4. VOC actual determination for low VOC coatings and submitted for conventional coatings.
- 5. Color of coating.
- 6. Recommended cure, e.g., bake, force dry or air dry.
- 7. Recommended substrate, on which the coating was tested, e.g., zinc phosphated steel, iron phosphated steel, clean steel or primed steel.

8. Supplier code.

## <u>Table 1</u>

## Products Tested

Liquid Coatings

No.	Prod	<u>Type</u>	Polymer	VOC <u>g/1</u>	Color	Cure	Substrate	Supplier
1 2 4	T T T	C HS C.	P Ac Al	471 264 547	Wht "	B B F D	Zn Zn Zn	8 8 8
5 6 7 8 9 10	T T T T	WB HS WB WB C C	P Ac/Al Ac P StAl	346 277 346 136 415 473	Wht " Blk " Wht	B B AD B AD	St Fe Zn Zn Zn Zn	17 17 17 17 17 17
12 13	T T	C WB	Al Al	458 300	Wht	AD AD	Zn Zn	24 24
14 16	T T	WB C	AcL Al	329 -	Wht "	B	Zn Zn	27 27
17 18 19 20	T T T	HS C C HS	P P P	286 479 433 288	Wht " Clr	B B B B	Fe Fe Fe Fe	30 30 30 30
23 24	T T	HS C	P Ac	332 465	Wht	B B	Fe Fe	13 13
25 26 27	T T T	WB WB C	AcL AcL Ac	283 216 625*	Wht "	FD FD FD	Fe Fe St	22** 22** 22**
28	T	HS	Р	223	Wht	В	۴r	21
29 30 32	Pr T T	C C HS	StEE Al P	 360	Grn Gry Blu	FD FD B	St Pr St	29 29 29
36 37	T T	HS C	Al Al	327 571	Wht	B B	Zn Fe	16 16

\* Reduced to spray viscosity \*\* Raw material supplier

# <u>Table 1 (Cont)</u>

# Products Tested

Liquid	d Coati	ngs						
No.	Prod	Туре	Polymer	VOC g/l	Color	Cure	Substrate	Supplier
38 39 40 41 42	T T T T	C HS HS HS C	P P Al Al	463 301 284 262 516	Wht " " "	B B B B B	Fe Fe Fe Fe Fe	25** 25** 25** 25** 25**
43 44 45 46	T T T T	WB HS C C	Al Al Al Al	355 180 519 467	Wht " "	FD AD FD AD	St St St St	15** 15** 15** 15**
47 48	T T	HS HS	P P	289 303	Wht "	B B	St Zn	9** 9**
49 51 52 53 54	T T T T Ţ	WB HS C C C	Al P A A P	327 342 ND 607 624**	Wht " " "	AD B AD B B	Fe Fe Fe Fe Fe	5 5 5 5 5
55 56 57 58 59 61 62	T T T T T T	C C WB WB WB WB C	Al Al Al Al Al AcL Al/Ur	541 564 260 303 278 283 382	Wht " " " "	AD AD AD AD AD AD AD	St St St St St St St	25** 25** 25** 25** 25** 25** 25**
64 69 70	T T T	WB C HS	Ac Ac Ac/P	313 627 237	Wht "	B B B	Zn Fe Zn	2 2** 2 2** 2 2**
74 75	T T	C WB	Al P	532 336	Wht "	B B	St St	4 4
79 80 81 82 83 84 85 87	Pr Pr Pr Pr Pr T	WB VB C WB WB WB	E p*** E p*** E p*** E p*** E p*** E p*** E p***	281 650 267 650 341 284	Grn Yel Grn " Red "	AD AD AD AD AD AD AD	St St St St St St Pr	6 6 6 6 6 6 6

. Two component

\*\*\*

# <u>Table 1</u> (Cont)

# <u>Products Tested</u>

# Liquid Coatings

								· ·	
<u>No.</u>	Prod	Туре	Polymer	VOC <u>g/1</u>	Color	Cure	Substrate	Supplier	
95	T	WB	AcL	224	Wht	AD	Zn	28	
98	T	WB	Al	247	Blk	FD	Zn	28	
99 100 101	T T T	HS HS C	Ur*** Ur*** Ur***	262 300 419	Wht "	AD AD AD	Zn Zn Zn	18** 18** 18**	
103	T	W B	Ac	250	Clr	B	St	12**	
104	Pr	W B	Ac***	270	Yel	B	St	12**	
105	T	W B	Ac	220	Wht	B	St	12**	
106	T	WB	Ac	136	Wht	B	St	26	
107	T	C	Ac	500	"	B	St	26	
110	T	WB	In	5	Gry	B	St	23	
111	Pr	WB	In	5	Org	B	St	23	
117	T	C	Ac	606*	Wht	B	Zn	19	
118	T	C	Al	608*	Bwn	B	Fe	19	
119	T	HS	P	262	"	B	Zn	19	
120	T	HS	P	240	Bge	B	Zn	19	
121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128 129 130	T Pr Pr Pr T T T T	WB WB C HS C HS HS C	Al AcL P Ac Al/Ac P Al P Ac	340 289 302 340 ND 237 ND 206 248 0	Grn Org Yel Gry " Wht " "	FD FD B B B B B B B B B B	Fe Fe Zn Zn Zn Zn Zn Zn Zn Zn	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	

、 、

# <u>Table 1</u> (Cont)

# Products Tested

	Powder	<u>Coatings</u>					
	<u>No.</u>	Prod	Polymer	Color	Cure	Substrate	Supplier
	P1	T	Ρ	Grn	В	Zn	7
	P 2	т.	P	Bwn	B	Zn	7
	Ρ3	T	Еp	Blk	В	Zn	7
	P4 P5 P6	T T T	Ер Ер Ер	Wht "	B B B	St St St	5 5 5
	P7	T	P/Ur	Wht	B	Zn	. 9**
•	P8 P9	T T	Р Ер	Wht "	B B	Zn Zn	2 2
	P10 P11 P12 P13 P14 P15	T T T T T T	Ер Ер/Р Ас Р Ер	Wht Gry Wht " Clr	B B B B B	Zn Zn Zn Zn Zn Zn	11 11 11 11 11 11
•	Produc	t - Produ	<u>ct</u> <u>T</u>	уре	••• * .	Polymer	
•		Topcoat Primer	HS -	Conventio High soli Water bas	ds Al e Ep EE	- Acrylic I - Alkyd I - Epoxy S - Epoxy U Ester ** - Inorganic	r - Urethane
	Color	•				<u>Substrate</u>	
•	Blk - Clr -	White Black Clear Green	Gry - G Bge - B Yel - Y Org - O	eige ellow	Fe St	- Zinc phosphat - Iron phosphat - Clean steel - Primed steel	
	Cure		• • • •		VOC	• •	
	FD ~	Baked Force dr Air dry	у .	•	· *	– No data – Reduced to sp <u>plier</u>	ray viscosity
	, ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· .	**	- Raw material	supplier

#### D. TEST RESULTS

Results of paint tests are expressed in two ways depending on whether the test involves a quantitive measurement or subjective observation. In the latter case, the results are scored using the following ASTM Scoring Scheme in order to avoid the necessity of using lengthy descriptions:

Score	Performance	or	Effect
10	Perfect	·	None
9	Excellent		Trace
8	Very good		Very slight
6	Good		Slight
4	Fair		Moderate
2	Poor		Considerable
1	Very poor		Severe
0	No value		Failed

Test results involving quantitive measurement are expressed in a variety of units which cannot be readily interpreted by those outside the paint industry. Therefore, in order to facilitate interpretation, the quantitive test results have been converted to the following simplified version of the ASTM Scoring Scheme:

Rating	Performance
10	Excellent
8	Very good
6	Good
4	Fair
-2	Poor
0	No value

The rating scale for each of the quantitive tests are based on the experience and judgement of the authors and are provided in Appendix IV. Performance ratings for all of the coatings tested are presented in Tables 2 through 13. Actual quantitive test results are provided in Appendix II.

## <u>Table 2</u>

## <u> Primers - Baked</u>

VOC (g/l) Color	Water <u>111</u> 5 Orange	Base 124 340 Grey	<u>Conv</u> 125 ND Grey
Viscosity	L	VH	M
Viscosity Stability	10	1	. 9
Package Stability	6	6	9
Overcure	4	9	8
Gloss	Н	MH	Н
Opacity	*	10	10
Hardness	9	8	10
Adhesion	10	10	10
Flexibility	10	6	8
Resistance To -			
- Impact	10	4	4
- Abrasion	10	6	6
- Water	10	10	10
Salt Fog Exposure	9	9	10
Acc. Weathering	10	4	4
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	No	Yes
End Uses - SIC No.	331 335	363	

 \* Unable to determine
 St - Steel Zn - Zinc Phosphate Conv. - Conventional
 In - Inorganic SIC No. - Standard Industrial Classification Number
 ND - No data

# <u>Table 3</u>

## <u> Primers - Force Dry</u>

· · · · ·	<u>Water</u> Base		<u>Conv</u>
VOC (g/l) Color	<u>122</u> 289 Orange		<u>29</u> 456 Green
Viscosity	М		М
Viscosity Stability	10		9
Package Stability	9		9
Pot Life	Х		Х
Overcure	8		10
Gloss	ML	· .	H
Opacity	10		10
Hardness	4		4
Adhesion	10		10
Flexibility	10		10
Resistance To			
Impact	8		10
- Abrasion	4		2
- Water	9		10
Salt Fog Exposure	6		8
Acc. Weathering	9		1
ACCEPTABLE	Yes		Int
End Uses - SIC No.	331 335 352		

Int - Acceptable for interior use.

### <u>Table 4</u>

# Primers - Air Dry

			er Bas		Conv			
V0C	<u>79</u> 354	$\frac{80}{281}$	$\frac{82}{267}$	$\frac{84}{341}$	<u>85</u> 284		81 650 (	<u>83</u> 650
Color	Grn	Grn	Grn	Red	Red		Yel (	Grn
Viscosity - Mixed	LM	Н	LM	H	МН		L	VL
Viscosity Stability	10	10	9	10	10		8	10
Package Stability	8	10	2	10	8		4	4
Pot Life	10	8	4	10	10		10	10
Speed of Dry	8	6	8	8	8		8	10
Gloss	VL	VL	VL	VL	VL		VL	ML
Opacity	4	4	2	10	10		4	9
Hardness	6	6	6	6	6		8	6
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10		10	10
Flexibility	10	10	10	10	10		10	10
Resistance To -								
- Impact	10	10	10	10	10		10	8
- Abrasion	6	4	4	4	4		4	2
- Water	9	4	10	6	9		10	10
Salt Fog Exposure	6	2	2	4	4		9	9
Acc. Weathering	4	4	8	4	4		4	8
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	Int	No	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes
End Use - SIC No.	335 Ext	335 Ext	335 Ext	335 Ext	335 Ext			

Note: All are 2 component

Ext - Exterior Use Grn - Green Yel - Yellow Ext - Recommended for exterior use

	Wa	ter E	Base ]	opcoa	ats -	Bake	<u>d</u>			
VOC (g/l) Color	<u>5</u> 346 Wht	8 136 Blk	<u>14</u> 329 Wht	64 313 Wht	75 336 Wht	<u>103</u> 250 Clr	<u>105</u> 220 Wht	<u>106</u> 136 Wht	<u>110</u> * Gry	<u>123</u> 302 Yel
Viscosity	MH	М	М	L	LM	LM	L	Н	LM	M
Viscosity Stability	9	6	10	10	10	0	10	0	8	9
Package Stability	9	10	4	2	2	0	10	0	6	8
Overcure	10	10	10	9	8	8	10	9	10	10
Gloss	VН	ML	VH	Н	МН	Н	МН	H	VL	Μ
Whiteness	8	Х	8	8	6	х	8	6	Х	х
Opacity	9	10	8	10	10	X	9	9	* *	8
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	8 8	9 10	9 10	10 10	9 9	8 6	6 10	6 10	10 10	8 10
Hardness	6	8	6	9	6	8	8	6	9	10
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Flexibility	10	10	10	0	10	10	10	10	0	2
Resistance To -							u.			
- Impact	10	6	6	2	10	4	4	4	. 4	6
- Abrasion	6	6	4	4	8	8	6	9	.10	8
- Water	4	4	8	4	4	10	2	4	6	2
Salt Fog Exposure	6	4	2	8	4	4	2	6	6	4
Acc. Weathering	2	10	0	8	9	8	10	4	6	0
ACCEPTABLE	Int	Yes	Int	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
End Use - SIC No.	331 335	331 335	331 335	331 335	331 335	331 335	331 335	363	342	252 331 335

<u>Table 5</u>

-

Inorganic Unable to determine \* \*\*

Blk - Black Clr - Clear

Gry - Grey

-21-

## <u>Table 6</u>

# <u>High Solids Topcoats - Baked</u>

	C (g/l) lor	<u>2</u> 264 Wht	<u>6</u> 277 Wht	<u>17</u> 286 Wht	20 288 Clr	23 332 Wht	<u>28</u> 223 Wht	<u>32</u> 360 Blu	<u>36</u> 327 Wht	<u>39</u> 301 Wht	<u>40</u> 284 Wht
Viscosit	у	Н	МН	М	MH	Μ	М	LM	М	Μ	LM
Viscosit	y Stability	9	10	9	6	10	6	9	6	1	8
Package	Stability	10	9	8	10	8	9	6	9	9	9
Overcure		10	10	10	10	10	8	9	10	10	10
Gloss		ЙН	VH	Н	VH	VH	Η	Н	VH	VH	VH
Whitenes	S	8	8	10	Х	8	8	Х	8	8	8
Opacity		10	9	9	Х	10	9	10	9	9	9
	ure retention retention	10 10	8 10	9 10	10 9	8 8	10 6	8 4	9 9	10 10	9 10
Hardness		8	6	6	8	8	6	8	8	0	8
Adhesion		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Flexibil	ity	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Resistan	ce To -								•		
- Impact		6	10	10	10	6	10	10	10	4	10
- Abrasi	on	8	10	9	8	6	10	9	10	6	. 10
- Water		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10
Salt Fog	Exposure	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	4	9
Acc. Wea	thering	10	4	6	4	4	4	4	8	2	8
AC	CEPTABLE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
End Use	- SIC No.	252 331 335 363	335	331 335	331	331 335 363	331 335		363	252 352	
	•	202			г., <b>ч</b>					E.	

Ext

Ext Ext

T	аb	1	е	6	(	Сo	nt	:)	
					•				

High	Solids	Topcoats	_	Baked

.

VOC (g/l) Color	<u>41</u> 262 Wht	<u>47</u> 289 Wht	<u>48</u> 303 Wht	<u>51</u> 342 Wht	<u>70</u> 237 Wht	<u>119</u> 262 Brn	<u>120</u> 240 Bge	<u>126</u> 237 Wht	<u>128</u> 206 Wht	<u>129</u> 248 Wht
Viscosity	LM	LM	М	М	LM	МН	MH	Н	H	М
Viscosity Stability	6	9	6	6	9	9	. 9	6	9	8
Package Stability	8	. 8	8	4	8	9	9	10	10	.9
Overcure	10	9	10	10	6	8	6	10	6	9
Gloss	VH	VН	VH	Н	МН	М	Н	VH	MH	VH
Whiteness	8	8	8	8	6	X	Х	8	6	8
Opacity	9	9	9	9	9	10	10	9	10	9
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	10 10	9 6	8 9	6 10	9 10	9 8	10 10	10 10	9 9	9 10
Hardness	4	8	8	6	6	8	9	8	8	10
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Flexibility	10	10	4	10	0	10	10	10	10	10
Resistance To -										
- Impact	10	10	4	10	2	6	4	10	10	9
- Abrasion	10	8	8	10	4	6	8	10	9	9
- Water	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Salt Fog Exposure	9	9	10	10	9	9	9	6	4	9
Acc. Weathering	4	10	8	8	4	6	4	10	4	8
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
End Use - SIC No.	331 335	331 335 363	363	252 363	331 335	331 335 358	331 335 358	331 335	331 335	331 335 358

Bwn - Brown

	Ē	Powder	Coat	ings	- Bak	ed			
		P-1	P-2	P-3	P~4	P-5	P-6	P – 7	P-8
Color		Grn	Bwn	Blk	Wht	Wht	Wht	Wht	Wht
Overcure		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Gloss		МН	МН	MĤ	Н	H .	МН	VH	H
Whiteness		х	Х	х	8	8	8	8	8
UV Exposure Gloss Retention Color Retention		10 10	9 9	4 4	4 6	10 10	9 9	9 8	10 10
Hardness		9	8	9	8	8	8	8	10
Adhesion		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Flexibility		0	10	2	8	10	4	0	0
Resistance To -									
- Impact		4	2	4	4	4	4	6	4
- Abrasion		9	10	10	10	10	. 8	9	6
- Water	;	10	10	10	10	10	.10	10	10
Salt Fog Exposure		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Acc. Weathering		2	6	2	4	6	8	6	4
ACCEPTABLE		No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
End Use - SIC No.		331 335 352 363 371	331 335 352 363 364 371	331 335 371		331 335 Ext	331 Ext	331 335 353 371 394	252 352 371

## <u>Table 7</u>

Table	7 (	(Cont)
the second se		

<u> Powder Coatings - Baked</u>

Color	<u>P-9</u> Wht	<u>P-10</u> Wht	<u>P-11</u> Gry	<u>P-12</u> Wht	<u>P-13</u> Wht	<u>P-14</u> Wht	<u>P-15</u> Clr
Overcure	10	10	10	10	10	10	8
Gloss	VH	VH	МН	МН	· MH	Н	VH
Whiteness	9	9	X	8	10	10	х
UV Exposure Gloss Retention	6	2	4	9	10	9	8
Color retention	6	4	6	10	10	10	4
Hardness	10	10	9	10	9	9	6
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10	10	6
Flexibility	8	10	10	0	0	10	0
Resistance To -							
- Impact	6	9	4	2	4	6	10
– Abrasion	10	10	10	4	8	8	4
- Water	10	10	10	10	10	10	9
Salt Fog Exposure	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Acc. Weathering	4	4	1	9	6	8	4
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	No	Int	No	No	Yes	No
End Use - SIC No.	252 352 353 371	331 335 364	331 335 364	364	331 335 364	331 335	331 335
	394			Ext	Ext	Ext	

			•							
VOC (g/1) Color	<u>1</u> 471 Wht	<u>9</u> 415 B1k	<u>16</u> 488 Wht	<u>18</u> 479 Wht	<u>19</u> 433 Clr	<u>24</u> 465 Wht	<u>37</u> 571 Wht	<u>38</u> 463 Wht	<u>42</u> 516 Wht	
Viscosity	LM	LM	LM	М	LM	М	L	LM	LM	
Viscosity Stability	9	6	9	8	9	9	10	9	10	
Package Stability	9	4	8	9	4	6	2	8	8	
Overcure	10	9	10	9	9	10	9	9	8	
Gloss	Н	ML	VH	VH	Н	VН	VН	VН	VH	
Whiteness	8	Х	8	8	х	8	8	8	8	
Opacity	9	10	8	9	х	10	8	9	8	
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	10 8	10 6	9 10	8 10	9 10	9 9	9 9	9 10	9 8	
Hardness	8	6	6	6	6	· 8	6	8	0	
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Flexibility	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	
Resistance To -										
- Impact	6	6	8	.10	4	4	4	4	6	
- Abrasion	8	4	4	6	10	8	4	8	8	
- Water	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	
Salt Fog Exposure	9	9	9	10	9	10	8	9	<b>9</b> ·	
Acc. Weathering	4	10	4	2	1	8	2	2	2	
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Int	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	

## <u>Table 8</u>

<u>Conventional Topcoats - Baked</u>

T	а	Ь	1	е	8

<u> Conventional Topcoats - Baked</u>

VOC (g/l) Color	<u>53</u> 607 Wht	<u>54</u> 624 Wht	<u>69</u> 627 Wht	<u>74</u> 532 Wht	<u>107</u> 500 Wht	<u>117</u> 606 Wht	<u>118</u> 608 Bwn	<u>127</u> ND Wht	<u>130</u> ND Wht
Viscosity	LM	LM	L	LM	MH	M	LM	LM	M
Viscosity Stability	2	4	10	9	6	9	8	9	9
Package Stability	8	8	8	8	10	9	9	10	10
Overcure	-9	10	10	6	10	10	8	10	10
Gloss	Н	VH	VΗ	Н	Н	VH	Н	Н	VH
Whiteness	6	8	8	8	8	8	Х	8	8
Opacity	9	9	8	8	8	9	10	8	9
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	9 10	9 10	9 10	9 9	9 9	9 8	8 6	9 10	8 10
Hardness	6	8	8	6	6	6	6	6	9
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Flexibility	10	10	4	10	0	0	10	10	8
Resistance To -						·			
- Impact	4	6	6	6	4	2	4	8	4
- Abrasion	4	6	6	4	4	2	4	4	6
- Water	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10
Salt Fog Exposure	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	10	10
Acc. Weathering	2	8	9	4	4	4	4	4	10
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

.

Water	r Base T	opcoats -	Force Dry		
VOC (g/l) Color	25 283 Wht	26 216 Wht	4 <u>3</u> 355 Wht	98 247 Blk	<u>121</u> 340 Grn
Viscosity	М	L	LM	VL	Η
Viscosity Stability	9	10	10	1	2
Package Stability	9	10	10	1	2
Overcure	10	10	10	9	10
Gloss	H	Н	VH	VL	VН
Whiteness	8	9	1	X	X
Opacity	8	8	9	10	10
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	10 10	9 9	10 4	10 9	6 9
Hardness	6	6	4	6	4
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10
Flexibility	10	10	10	8	10
Resistance To -					
- Impact	4	6	6	6	10
- Abrasion	6	4	6	6	6
- Water	· 4	4	4	9	9
Salt Fog Exposure	4	4	2	2	9
Acc. Weathering	10	10	4	6	4
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes
End Use - SIC No.	331 335	331 335	331 335	254 371	331 335 352 Ext

Conv	ventional	Topcoats -	Force Dr	Y
	<u>4</u> 547 hite	<u>27</u> 625 White	<u>30</u> 362 Grey	<u>45</u> 519 White
Viscosity	L	Μ	М	МН
Viscosity Stability	10	9	10	8
Package Stability	2	10	4	6
Overcure	10	10	9	10
Gloss	VH	Н	Н	VH
Whiteness	2	9	X	8
Opacity	8	8	10	8
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	10 8	9 10	4 6	9 8
Hardness	6	6	0	2
Adhesion	10	10	10	2
Flexibility	10	0	10	10
Resistance To -				
- Impact	4	. 4	4	2
- Abrasion	4	4	6	6
- Water	4	10	8	9
Salt Fog Exposure	9	6	9	8
Acc. Weathering	10	9	4	4
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	No	No	No

<u>Table 10</u>

VOC (g/l) Color	7 346 Wht	<u>13</u> 300 Wht	<u>49</u> 327 Wht	<u>57</u> 260 Wht	<u>58</u> 303 Wht	<u>59</u> 278 Wht	<u>61</u> 283 Wht		<u>95</u> 224 Wht
Viscosity	LM	MH	МН	М	Н	Н	L	M	LM
Viscosity Stability	10	6	10	1	2	6	10	8	10
Package Stability	8	6	6	6	6	2	6	8	10
Pot Life	. X	х	Х	х	х	X	х	10	х
Speed of Dry	10	2	9	.8	8	0	8	6	10
Gloss	MH	Н	Н	Н	Н	VH	МН	Μ	МН
Whiteness	4	2	1	2	2	4	6	8	8
Opacity	8	9	8	9	8	8	8	6	8
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	10 6	10 6	9 9	8 4	9 6	8 6	6 10	4 6	9 10
Hardness	6	6	6	6	6	4	6	8	6
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	10	10
Flexibility	10	10	10	10	10	. 10	0	8	10
Resistance To –						•			
- Impact	4	10	6	4	4	10	4	4	4
- Abrasion	4	6	6	6	4	4	6	8	4
- Water	4	9	9	9	9	9	4	8	4.
Salt Fog Exposure	8	9	9	8	2	8	2	9	4
Acc. Weathering	8	6	4	4	4	10	9	6	6
ACCEPTABLE	Yes	No	No	No	Int	No	No	Yes	Yes
End Use - SIC No.	331 335	331 335	331 335 352	331 335 352 374	331 335	331 335	331 335 352	335	254 364
			Ext	Ext					

Water Base Topcoats - Air Dry

2 - Two component

Table	12

## <u>High Solids Topcoats - Air Dry</u>

VOC (g/l) Color	<u>44</u> - 1 <u>80</u> -White	99 <sup>2</sup> 262 White	<u>100<sup>2</sup> 300</u> White
Viscosity	M	LM	LM
Viscosity Stability	8	8	8
Package Stability	8	8	8 ·
Pot Life	Х	2	10
Speed of Dry	0	6	2
Gloss	мн	Н	VH
Whiteness	2	8	8
Opacity	10	8	9
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	6 6	9 10	10 9
Hardness	6	10	10
Adhesion	8	10	10
Flexibility	10	10	9
Resistance To -			
- Impact	6	10	6
- Abrasion	10	9	8
- Water	8	10	10
Salt Fog Exposure	9	9	9
Acc. Weathering	4	9	9
ACCEPTABLE	No	No	No
End Use - SIC No.	331 335	335	371
			Ext

2 - Two component

Table 13	5
----------	---

<u> Conventional Topcoats - Air Dry</u>

	VOC (g/l) Color	10 473 Wht	<u>12</u> 458 Wht	<u>46</u> 467 Wht	<u>52</u> ND Wht	<u>55</u> 541 Wht	<u>56</u> 564 Wht	<u>62</u> 382 Wht	<u>101*</u> 419 Wht
Viscos	ity	LM	Μ	·M	LM	LM	LM	МН	LM
Viscos	ity Stability	9	4	9	6	6	8	6	9
Packag	e Stability	2	4	6	6	9	6	4	10
Pot Li	fe	х	Х	Х	X	X	х	х	8
Speed	of Dry	9	0	2	9	9	9	8	6
Gloss		VH	Н	Н	Н	VH	VH	MH	VН
Whiten	ess	4	6	8	6	6	8	4	8
Opacit	У	9	10	9	8	8	9	9	8
	osure s retention r retention	9 10	10 8	9 8	10 10	9 9	9 9	6 6	10 10
Hardne	55	6	4	6	. 6	4	4	4	10
Adhesi	on	10	10	10	10	6	0	8	10
Flexib	ility	10	10	10	10	0	0	10	10
Resist	ance To -	i				•			
- Impa	ct	6	10	. 8	4	0	D	4	6
- Abra	sion	4	6	4	4	6	4	6	10
– Wate	r ·	10	9	6	10	9	6	4	10
Salt F	og Exposure	9	9	6	9	6	8	6	9
Acc. W	eathering	6	4	6	4	4	2	4	8
	ACCEPTABLE	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes

### E. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The results of Rating the properties tested enable the determination of the acceptability or unacceptability of each product tested, based on a practical consideration of the relative importance of the properties tested.

This can be done by assigning minimum criteria for Acceptability as follows:

1. A minimum rating of 6 (Good) for the following properties considered to be of major importance for all industrial coatings.

Pot life - 2 component coatings

Speed of dry - Air dry coatings

Opacity - Topcoats (except clears)

Adhesion

Flexibility

- A minimum rating of 2 for properties which are relatively minor, as explained below:
  - a) Viscosity and Package Stability

Industrial coatings are rarely stored for long periods of time so that accelerated storage is of less significance than it is for architectural paints which may be stored for 12 months or longer.

b) Whiteness

Many white coatings are sold as Off-Whites so that their whiteness is of minor importance.

c) Abrasion resistance - Primers

Primers are intended primarily to prevent corrosion and/or improve adhesion. Topcoats are used to protect the primer against degradation from, e.g., water, abrasion, weathering, etc.

d) Salt fog - Primers

See (c) above

e) Weathering - Primers

See (c) above

3. A minimum rating of 4 (Fair) for all other properties.

-33-

Using these criteria, the Ratings of the Acceptable low VOC coatings, as well as the equivalent conventional coatings, have been averaged in order to determine the relative importance of each group of coatings tested. The results are summarized in Tables 14 and 15.

Note that the comparison was considered to be valid only when at least two low VOC coatings in the group were found to be Acceptable. Also note that coatings limited to interior use have not been included in averaging the Ratings.

## Average Properties of Acceptable Primers

Ba WB	ked Force Dry	Air C	ry
Total Tested 3 Acceptable 1 Interior Use Only	$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{C} & \underline{WB} & \underline{C} \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$	<u>WB</u> 5 3 1	<u>1</u> 2 2
Viscosity Stability	Insufficient number	10	9
Package Stability	of Acceptable low	8.7	4
Pot Life (2 Comp)	VOC coatings. Should	10	10
Speed of Dry	have at least two.	8	9
Opacity	• •	8	6.5
Hardness		6	7
Adhesion	<i>.</i>	.10	10
Flexibility		10	10
Resistance To -			
- Impact		10	9
- Abrasion		4.7	3
- Water		8	10
- Salt Fog		4.7	9
Weathering		4	6
Average VOC (g/l)		326	-

WB - Water base
C - Conventional
2 Comp - Two component samples only

NOTE - Average ratings and VOC concentrations of coatings limited to interior use are not included.

## Average Properties of Acceptable Topcoats

	WB	Bake HS	d P	<u> </u>	Force WB	Dry C	Ai WB	r Dry HS	<del></del>
Total Tested Acceptable Interior Use Only	9 2 2	$\frac{113}{20}$ 17	15 4 1	$\frac{\overline{18}}{13}$	5	$\frac{2}{4}$		<u></u>	<u>C</u> 8 4
Viscosity Stability	8	7.9	х	7.8	7	10	9.3	N	7.5
Package Stability	6	8.5	х	7.6	Min	or	8.7	o n	5.5
Speed of Dry	-	<del>.</del>	<b></b>	-	<b>-</b> ' ·	-	8.7	е	8
Overcure	9	9.1	10	9.2	10	10	-	A r	
Whiteness	. 6	7.2*	8.8	7.8*	Min	or	6.7	е	5.5
Opacity	10	9.4*	* Х	8.9	8.7	8	7.3	A c	8.5
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	9 9.5	9 8.8	7.3 8	8.9 9	8.3 9.3	10 8	7.7 7.3	c e p t	8.8 9
Hardness	7	7.5	8.8	6.8	5.3	6	6.7	a b	6.5
Adhesion	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	່] e	9.5
Flexibility	10	10	9	9.8	10	10	9.3		10
Resistance To – – Impact	8	8.9	· 5	5.7	6.7	4	4		5
- Abrasion	7	.8.8	9.5	5.4	6.7	4	5.3		6
- Water	4	9.9	10	9.8	5.7	4	5.3		8.5
- Salt Fog	4	8.6	10	9.2	5.7	9	7		8.3
Weathering	9.5	6.2	5.5	6	8	10	6.7		5.5
Average VOC (g/l)	236	278	-	-	280	-	306		-

X - Unable to test \* - Whites only

\*\* Disregarding clears

NOTE - Average ratings and VOC concentrations of coatings limited to interior use are not included.

The Acceptable low VOC coatings exhibited the following significant differences (over one unit) vs the equivalent conventional coatings. Note that no statements have been made regarding minor properties such as Whiteness, also regarding products for which no more than one coating was considered to be Acceptable.

# <u>Superior</u>

Package stability Resistance to -Opacity Water Abrasion resistance Salt Fog Weathering

Topcoats - Baked

Primers - Air Dry

(Water base)

Water Base

Opacity Resistance to -Impact Abrasion Weathering

High Solids

Powder

Topcoats - Force Dry (Water base)

Topcoats - Air Dry (Water base) Resistance to -Impact Abrasion

Hardness Abrasion resistance

Color retention Resistance to -Impact Abrasion Water

Viscosity stability Package stability Weathering Resistance to -Water Salt Fog

Inferior

None

**Gloss** retention

Viscosity stability Gloss retention Salt Fog resistance Weathering

Opacity Gloss retention Color retention Resistance to -Water Salt Fog

Inasmuch as so many high solids and conventional baked coatings were evaluated, it is possible to compare the best three (3) coatings of each group. Their average values are shown in Table 16.

Note that the best high solids baked coatings are generally superior to the best equivalent conventional baked coatings exhibiting superior Resistance to Impact and Abrasion with no significant deficiencies.

Coatings	High Solids 3	Conventional 3
Viscosity Stability	8.3	8.7
Package Stability	9.3	8.3
Overcure	9.7	9.7
Whiteness	8	8
Opacity	9.3	9.3
UV Exposure Gloss retention Color retention	9.3 10	8.3 9.7
Hardness	8.7	7.7
Adhesion	10	10
Flexibility	10	9.3
Resistance To -		
- Impact	8.3	6
- Abrasion	9	6.7
- Water	10	10
- Salt Fog	9	10
- Acc. Weather	8.7	8.7

Average Properties of Best Topcoats - Baked

Coating Nos.

2,40,129

18,24,130

Average VOC (g/l)

The acceptability of the low VOC coatings should also be considered from the point of view of their intended use or uses. Their recommended uses are shown in Tables 2 through 12 above, listed in accordance with the following SIC codes for metal products:

SIC

- 252 Office furniture
- 254 Cabinets and shelving
- 331 Fabricated steel
- 335 Fabricated aluminum
- 342 Hardware
- 352 Farm and garden machinery and equipment
- 353 Construction machinery and equipment
- 358 Refrigeration equipment
- 361 Electric transmission equipment
- 363 Household appliances
- 364 Electric lighting fixtures
- 371 Truck and bus bodies
- 374 Railroad equipment
- 394 Toys and sporting goods

18 of the low VOC coatings tested are recommended for exterior use.

Note that, although many of the low VOC coatings are recommended for specific end uses, the gread majority are recommended for general use, i.e., for use on fabricated metal, e.g., steel and aluminum. Of the low VOC coatings tested, only from 1 to 11 coatings, overall, are recommended for specific end uses, whereas 45 are recommended for general use. Therefore, it is difficult to express definite opinions for the more limited end uses. However, an attempt has been made to do so where at least two low VOC coatings for the same end use within a group were found to be either acceptable or unacceptable.

### Table 2 Water Base Primers - Baked

### SIC Nos. 331, 335, 363

There are an insufficient number of primers tested for any end use to express an opinion.

### Table 3Water Base Primers - Force Dry

## SIC Nos. 331, 335, 352

Same as above.

### Table 4Water Base Primers - Air Dry

### SIC No. 335 Fabricated Aluminum

Three primers tested are acceptable and one is limited to interior use. Only one product is unacceptable because of poor opacity.

\* Standard Industrial Classification Number

## Table 5 Water Base Topcoats - Baked

## SIC Nos. 331, 335 Fabricated Metals

Two products tested are acceptable, two more are limited to interior use and four are unacceptable. Two of the latter exhibit poor to very poor flexibility, a property which cannot be tolerated on sheet metals that may be flexed during manufacture and/or use. On the other hand, this property would be less critical for metal castings. One product exhibits very poor storage stability which cannot be tolerated for any coating. The fourth product has poor resistance to both water and salt fog. Salt fog resistance may be overlooked for interior use but any protective coating should have at least fair water resistance.

## SIC 252, 342, 363

There are an insufficient number of products tested for any end use to express an opinion.

Table 6 High Solids Topcoats - Baked

## SIC No. 252 Furniture

Two products tested are acceptable whereas one is not because of its extremely soft film which would be subject to damage.

## SIC Nos. 331, 335 Fabricated Metal

Twelve products tested are acceptable whereas one is not because of very poor flexibility which cannot be tolerated on flexible metals.

SIC No. 358 Refrigeration Equipment

All three products tested are acceptable.

SIC No. 363 Appliances

Six products are acceptable whereas one is not because of insufficient flexibility, an important requirement on the sheet metal usually used in the manufacture of appliances.

## SIC Nos. 352, 361

There are an insufficient number of products tested for each end use to express an opinion.

## Table 7 Powder Coatings - Baked

## SIC No. 252 Furniture

One product tested is acceptable but two are not because of extremely poor flexibility which cannot be tolerated on the sheet metal, of which furniture is normally constructed.

### SIC No. 331 Fabricated Steel

Three products are acceptable and one is limited to interior use. On the other hand, eight products are unacceptable. Of these, five have poor to very poor flexibility and should not be used on sheet metal. One (P-2) has low impact resistance but excellent flexibility and another (P-6) has only fair flexibility and impact resistance. Both might be used on steel castings. Another (P-10) has poor gloss retention which might not be too significant in some applications.

## SIC No. 335 Fabricated Aluminum

Only two products tested are acceptable and one is limited to interior use. On the other hand, seven products are unacceptable. Of these, five have poor to very poor flexibility. See above for comments about the other two, P-2 and P-10.

## SIC No. 352 Farm Equipment

Only one product tested is acceptable but three are not. Two of the latter exhibit very poor flexibility but one is marginal. See above for comments about P-2.

SIC No. 353 Construction Machinery and Equipment

One product tested is acceptable but one is not because of very poor flexibility.

### SIC No. 363 Appliances

Only two products tested are recommended for this use and both are unacceptable. One has very poor flexibility but P-2 is marginal, as discussed above. However castings are of limited use in the manufacture of appliances.

### SIC No. 364 Light Fixtures

Five products tested are recommended for this end use. One is limited to interior use and four are unacceptable. One of the latter has very poor flexibility but three are marginal. See comments re P-2, P-6 and P-10 above.

### SIC No. 371 Truck and Bus Bodies

One product tested is acceptable whereas five are not. Four of the latter have poor to very poor flexibility but P-2 might be used on castings. See comments above.

### SIC No. 394 Toys and Sporting Goods

There are an insufficient number of products to express an opinion.

## Table 9 Water Base Topcoats - Force Dry

SIC Nos. 331, 335 Fabricated Metal

Three products tested are acceptable. On the other hand, one product is unacceptable because of very poor color and poor resistance to salt fog (No. 43). It might be acceptable for interior use where color is not important.

## SIC Nos. 254, 352, 371

There are an insufficient number of products tested for each end use to express an opinion.

## Table 11 Water Base Topcoats - Air Dry

### SIC Nos. 331, 335 Fabricated Metal

Three products tested are acceptable and one is limited to interior use. Four products are unacceptable; two because of slow to very slow dry, which cannot be tolerated in any industrial coating, one because of very poor flexibility and one because of poor color. The latter (No. 49) is marginal and might be acceptable where color is not important.

### SIC No. 352 Farm Equipment

Only three products tested are recommended and none are acceptable. One exhibits very poor flexibility; another marginal storage stability and poor color; the third exhibits very poor color. The latter (No.49) might be acceptable if color is not important.

### SIC Nos. 254, 364, 374

There are an insufficient number of samples tested for each end use to express an opinion.

### Table 12 High Solids Topcoats - Air Dry

SIC No. 335 Fabricated Aluminum

Two products tested are recommended and both are unacceptable; one for too long a drying time, the other for too short a pot life which can ruin equipment in the plant if the mixed coating gels during use.

### SIC Nos. 331, 371

There are an insufficient number of samples tested for each end use to express an opinion. Exterior Coatings

Of the 18 low VOC coatings recommended for exterior use, five are primers and 13 are topcoats.

Among the primers (Table 2), three are acceptable for SIC No. 331 and 335 "Fabricated Metals"; one is limited to interior use because of poor corrosion resistance and one is not acceptable because of both low opacity and poor corrosion resistance.

Among the 13 topcoats, five are acceptable for their end use but 8 are not. Of these, only one, No. 39 in Table 6 "High Solids Topcoats - Baked, is unacceptable for exterior use because of poor resistance to weathering.

### PART B

#### Α. OBJECTIVE

The purpose of this survey was to determine the availability of commercial powder, water-borne and high-solids industrial coatings which comply with the CARB model rule for the control of VOC emissions from the surface coating of manufactured metal parts and products.

#### Β. PROCEDURE

A survey was conducted by submitting a questionnaire or data form (See Appendix VA) to 201 metal fabricators. A total of 17 replied, of which only 4 submitted any data on low VOC coatings.

A literature search was then conducted to locate articles by or about metal fabricators who are using low VOC coatings. The search was limited to the last five years. The result was a total of 37 articles by or about metal fabricators using low VOC coatings plus abstracts of comments by 29 companies who are successfully using water-borne coatings.

The final phase of the survey was the direct telephone communication with a total of 40 metal fabricators who were believed to be using low VOC coatings. The data form used is shown in Appendix VB. As a result, data was submitted on 30 low VOC coatings presently being used.

#### С. RESULTS

The survey and literature search located 48 metal fabricators who are using low VOC coatings successfully. Some of them are among the largest manufacturers of their particular products in the United States with a number of plants throughout the country.

The number of companies for each type of coating are as follows overall:

Powder coatings	-	24
Water-borne coati	ngs -	14
High-solids coati	ngs -	<u>10</u>
		48

V

The surveys and articles were reviewed to obtain data on the following:

- A. Metal products on which the coatings are applied.
- B. Coating and substrate
  - 1. Polymer or resin type
  - 2. Substrate coated
  - 3. Treatment of the substrate
  - 4. Number of colors used
  - 5. Cost of the coating
- C. Application and cure
  - 6. Method of application
  - 7. Number of coats
  - 8. Total dry film thickness
  - 9. Cure schedule

### D. Production

- 10. Advantages
- ll. Problems
- 12. Use limitations
- E. Coating Performance
  - 13. Advantages
  - 14. Limitations

## F. Economics

- 15. Equipment changes
- 16. Production changes
- 17. Capital costs
- 18. Operation costs
- 19. Maintenance costs
- 20. Energy costs
- 21. Effect on sales

The location and number of the plants covered in this report are shown in Table 1. Also included are the 29 plants about whom only limited data was obtained.

The data covering the 21 points listed above are shown in Tables 17 through 23. The numbers given may not add up to the total of companies reviewed because of the absence of data for some of the points listed.

Note that no significant data on VOC was obtained. Most metal fabricators did not know the VOC of their coatings and appear to rely on their suppliers to meet the current air quality regulations.

Table	17

## Plant Locations

	Powder	Water Borne	High <u>Solids</u>		<u>Total</u>
Alabama	1	2			3
Arizona		1			1
California	4	3	3		10
Connecticut	1	4	1		6
Florida		2			2
Georgia	1	1			2 ·
Illinois	1	6			• 7
Indiana	2	2	1 .		5
Iowa			1		1 1
Louisiana	1				1
Maryland	1	2	1		4
Massachusetts	1	l			2
Michigan		5 2	1		6
Minnesota	2	2		1. A. A.	4
Missouri	1	. 2			3 5
New Jersey	1	4			5
New York		4			4
North Carolina	1	2	1		4
Ohio	1	2 ·	1		4
Oklahoma	1				1
Oregon	1 2		•		1
Pennsylvania	2	5			7
Texas		2			2
Virginia	1				1
Wisconsin	1	1			2
TOTAL PLANTS	25	53	10		88
TOTAL STATES	19	20	8		25

Note:

Includes 29 plants for whom only limited data was obtained. All of these use water-borne coatings.

## Metal Products

<u>A.</u>

(No. of Companies)

	Powder	Water	<u>Hi-Solids</u>
Furniture and fixtures	5		3
Transportation equipment	3	6	
Heating and cooling equipment	3	1	. 1 .
Farm and garden equipment	3		
Lighting fixtures	2		1
Appliances	2		1
Electrical equipment	2		
Pipe and tubing	2	2	· .
Architectural fabricated metal	1		2
Construction equipment	1	· 1 ·	1
Hardware and housewares		2	
Materials handling equipment		1	
Miscellaneous	and the second sec	<u>    1                                </u>	1
Total	24	14	10

-48-

## Coating and Substrate

## (No. of Companies)

		Powder	Water <u>Borne</u>	High Solids
1.	Polymer	*		
	Epoxy Polyester Urethane	14 10 3	2	1 4
	Acrylic Alkyd Phenolic		5	1
	Blends of above	3		2
2.	Substrate		*	
	Steel Aluminum Galvanized Plated steel	12 6 3 2	12 4 3	5 3 2
3.	Treatment of Substrate			
	Phosphate Chromate Wash clean Miscellaneous	18 2 2	10 2 2	2 3 2
4.	Colors	-	. <b>K</b> a	-
	1 2 3	5 6	2 3 3	1 2
	4 5	4	1 3	1
	6–8 30+	3 3	) 1 1	1 2
5.	Cost			
	Powder coatings, \$/lb. Liquid coatings, \$/gal.	1.75-3.00	8-11	11-18

Some companies use more than one. ×

<u>B.</u>

## <u>Table 20</u>

## Application and Cure

## (No. of Companies)

		Powder	Water Borne	High Solids
6.	Application		*	
	Electrostatic Spray-Air -Airless Dip and flow coat	24	4 6 6 3	6 3 1
7.	Number of Coats			
·	1 2	24	5 4	6 2
8.	Total Dry Film Thicknes	35		
	Below 1 mil Nominal-1 mil 1.1 to 3 mils 3.1 to 6 mils Above 6 mils	13 7 2	3 5 2	5 2
9.	<u>Cure Schedule</u>			
	Air dry (1	No.)	(4)	(2)
	Force dry Mins (1 140°F	No.)	10-20 (2)	
	Bake Mins (1 200°F-250°F 275°F-300°F 320°F-350°F 360°F-375°F 390°F-400°F 420°F-450°F	No.) 5 (1) 5-23 (4) 12-15 (6) 3-20 (7) 20-22 (2)	6-33 (3) 10-15 (2) 12-20 (3)	15 (2) 15–30 (2) 12–20 (2)

\* Some companies use more than one.

<u>C.</u>

-50-

## Production

## (No. of Companies)

....

		Powder	Water Borne	High Solids
10.	Advantages		1	
	No pollution (solvent) Can reuse overspray Faster production	18 9 7	2	2
	Cleaner plant Makeup air is reduced Tough - no damage during assembly Fewer rejects Lower labor cost Safety (fire hazard)	6 6 5 4 4 2	2	
	Less floor space Shorter bake cycle Better coverage Fewer drums	2 2	7	2 2
11.	Problems	•		
	None Color change Control film thickness Slow dry Blistering Viscosity control Foaming Cure at high humidity Inconsistent quality Storage stability Careful metal treatment is necessary Clogs spray guns Difficult application Wet or sticky overspray Housekeeping is difficult Viscosity control	8 5 2	5 5 3 3 2 2 2 2 2	3 5 3 3 2
12.	<u>Use Limitations</u>			
	None Small runs Sharp edges and corners	2	3	2

## Coating Performance

<u>E.</u>

## (No. of Companies)

		Powder	Water Borne	High Solids
13.	Advantages			
	Corrosion resistance Durability Impact resistance Mar resistance Gloss Appearance Hardness Flexibility None	11 9 5 4 3 3 3 3	3	2 3
14.	Limitations		· · · ·	•
	None Water resistance (Blistering) Adhesion	10	5 2	5
				•

-52-

## Economics

## (No. of Companies)

		Powder	Water Borne	High Solids
15.	Equipment Changes			
	Major None	5	5	3
16.	Production Changes			
	Major None	5	2	2
17.	<u>Capital Costs</u>			
	\$50,000-\$1.5MM Higher Same	10	10-25% (3) 2	3 4
18.	Operating Costs		· · · ·	
	Lower Same Higher	5-80% (12) 2	3* 2 3	5* 2
19.	Maintenance Costs			
	Lover Same	15-80% (8)	7	2 4
20.	Energy Costs			
	Lower Same Higher	10-50% (11)	2 2	4
21.	Effect on Sales			
	Increase None	3 5	7	24

\* Saving in cost of solvent.

<u>F.</u>

A search of the literature also revealed statements by 29 metal fabricators who are using water-borne coatings successfully. Their comments can be summarized as follows:

1. Metal Products Being Coated

2.

3.

4.

5.

Furniture and fixtures			6
Transportation equipment		·	5
Heating and cooling equipme	ent	-	2
Farm and garden equipment		-	1
Lighting fixtures		-	2
Appliances		· _	1
Electrical and electronic e	equipment	-	3
Architectural fabricated me	etals	-	1
Hardware and housewares		-	2
Materials handling equipmer	it	-	2
Miscellaneous			<u>4</u> 29
Polymer			
Alkyd Acrylic Polyester		8 6 3	
Substrate			
Steel Aluminum Galvanize	-	16 2 1	
Application			
Electrostatic Spray Dip and low coat		12 1 8	
Comments			•
Lower energy costs Lower cure temperatures Cost saving (solvent)	-	6 5 3	

The information obtained from <u>all</u> sources is summarized in

Т	ab	le	24

## Overall Summary (%)

Total Companies Total Plants	Powder 24 25	Water Borne 43 53	High <u>Solids</u> 10 10
1. Metal Products			
Furniture and fixtures	21 13	14 26	30
Transportation equipment Heating and cooling equipment	13	7	10
Farm and garden equipment Lighting fixtures	13 8	25	10
Appliances Electrical equipment	8 8	2 7	10
Pipe and tubing Architectural fabricated metal Construction equipment Hardware and housewares	8 4 4	5 2 2 9	20 10
Materials handling equipment Miscellaneous		7 12	10
2. Polymer	•		
Epoxy Polyester Alkyd Acrylic	47 33	7 11 43 39	11 45 11
Urethane Phenolic	10		11
Hybrids of above	10		22
3. Substrate			
Steel Aluminum Galvanize Plated steel	52 26 13 9	74 16 10	50 30 20

### D. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

A review of Table 24 points up the major differences between powder, waterborne and high-solids coatings.

Major Metal Products (Highest Percentages)

Powder - Furniture and fixtures (21%)

Water-borne - Transportation equipment (26%)

High-solids - Furniture and fixtures (30%)

- Architectural fabricated metal (20%)

Major Polymers

Powder – Epoxy (47%)

- Polyester (33%)

Water-borne - Alkyd (43%)

- Acrylic (39%)

High-solids - Polyester (45%)

### Substrate

Steel is the major substrate, as would be anticipated, with percentages of 50 to 74% of all substrates used. Aluminum is second with percentages of 16 to 30% of all substrates used. Galvanize is third with 10 to 20% of all substrates.

Considering all sources of information utilized to develop this analysis, it is evident that powder, water-borne and high-solids coatings all demonstrate some advantages as well as some limitations in both production and coating performance when compared with equivalent conventional coatings. The overall advantages for all three are shown in Table 25 and their overall limitations are shown in Table 26.

Note that all three improve ecology and safety, as would be anticipated, with powder coatings exhibiting the greatest advantage since VOC emissions and waste are minimal.

Powder coatings require a major expenditure for installation. Furthermore, they are restricted to use on metal products which can be heated to baking temperatures and therefore cannot be used on large equipment. They also produce coatings which tend to be in excess of 1 mil in thickness thus increasing the cost per square foot. Color change is difficult so that only a limited number of colors are usually handled in the plant. However, their advantages in both production and coating performance, as well as savings in production, maintenance and energy costs, offset these limitations to a great degree.

Note: All other percentages are below 12% or are based on hybrids (combinations) of these polymers

Note: All other percentages are

below 15%

## Advantages

-

	Powder	Water Borne	High <u>Solids</u>
Ecology and Safety			
Less air pollution Less flammable Less toxic	Best X X	x x x	• <b>X</b>
Less vaste disposal	Best	x	х
Production			
Minimal equipment changes Less storage space Increase conveyor load Shorter oven - no flash-off	X X X	x	X
Lower exhaust rates High efficiency - re-use overspray Less makeup air No drip or sag Cover surface defects	Best X X X X X		х
Fewer rejects Better coverage Faster production Less cleanup No damage when packed or shipped	X X X Best X	x	X
Coating Performance			
Gloss Corrosion resistance Mar resistance Durability	X X X X		Best X
Economics			
Saving on solvent Lower operating costs Lower maintenance costs Lower energy costs	Best X X X	Х	x

## Limitations

	Powder	Water <u>Borne</u>	High <u>Solids</u>
Economics			
More expensive Equipment installation or modification	X Major	X X	X X
Production			
Critical modification of electrostatic spray Humidity control Temperature control pH control Storage stability High viscosity Viscosity control Difficult application Sensitivity to substrate cleanliness Flash-off time Limited to baking only Difficult to achieve 1 mil thickness Slow dry - dirt pickup and recoat Foaming Blistering during cure Color change Coat corners and edges Flow and leveling (orange peel) Cleanup of spray booth Pump maintenance Strip conveyor racks Handling 800 1b drums	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X Major X

Х

Coating Performance

Initial water resistance

Water-borne coatings require the smallest expenditure in equipment changes and can be both air dried and force dried, as well as baked. Therefore, they can be used to coat large items, such as construction and farm equipment, as well as small items. However, production problems are greatest with water-borne coatings primarily because of the inherent problems with a coating employing water as a solvent. These includes poor wetting of other than very clean surfaces, foaming, slow evaporation of water as compared with organic solvents and initial water sensitivity of the cured coating. Furthermore, the performance of the cured coating is marginal with respect to replacement of conventional coatings.

High-solids coatings are difficult to apply because of their relatively high viscosity. Therefore, some capital expenditure is required to install either high speed electrostatic discs or heaters to enable their application. They can be air dried or force dried but exhibit their best performance properties when baked. When cured by baking, their performance is superior to water-borne coatings and essentially equal to powder coatings.

### General

Essentially, all of the changes to the use of powder and especially water-borne and high-solids coatings within the last five years have been made to meet actual or anticipated regulations on air quality.

Powder coatings have been in use for about 15 years so that the technology had been developed and coatings were available. However, further development work on powder coatings had to be carried out to expand their use in applications where relatively thin films were desired, i.e., in the range of 1 mil.

Water-borne coatings for application by electrodeposition (electrocoating) have been in use for nearly 20 years. They are used primarily as primers for automobile bodies. Furthermore, they were not included in the laboratory evaluation (Part A of this report). Therefore they are outside of the scope of this report.

On the other hand, the technology for spray or flow coat applied water-borne and high-solids coatings, especially the latter, still is in its infancy. Consequently, companies who wished to change their products to meet air quality regulations have had to work very closely with both the suppliers of their equipment as well as of their coatings in order to develop a viable, cost effective operation. As a result of this necessity to review their entire operation, many have actually upgraded their operation with favorable results. VI GLOSSARY

#### LABORATORY EVALUATION

A simple description of the properties tested will aid in understanding the test results.

1. Viscosity - Thickness or consistency

- Viscosity Stability Retention of viscosity after 4 weeks
  of accelerated storage. This is considered to be as severe
  as six months of storage at ambient temperatures.
- 3. Package Stability Absence of liquid separation, skin formation on the surface of the coating and pigment settling or caking during accelerated storage. Ease of remixing after storage.
- 4. Pot Life Two component coatings tend to react as soon as mixed. However, this reaction should be controlled so that the mixed paint is useable for at least a working day, i.e., 6 or 8 hours.
- 5. Ease of Application The ability to spray the paint and produce a uniform paint film.
- 6. Speed of Dry -

Set to touch - the length of time the paint remains wet to touch

Tack free - free of any tackiness or stickiness

Dry hard - coating can be handled carefully with no damage.

Dry thru - coating is hard and can be handled readily.

- 7. Overcure This is a measure of the ability of the coating to withstand unanticipated variations in the curing time or temperature without a significant change in gloss or damage to its appearance. The undesirable variations are an excessive temperature or an excessive time in the oven.
- 8. Gloss Lustre or shininess
- 9. Whiteness Purity of whiteness
- 10. Opacity Ability of the coating to hide or obscure the surface on which it is applied.
- 11. Hardness Ability of the coating to withstand scratching, e.g., by a pencil lead.

-60-

- 12. Adhesion Ability of the coating to adhere to the surface on which it is applied. If its adhesion is poor, performance will deteriorate rapidly.
- 13. Flexibility Ability of the coating to be flexible when the metal is formed or expands and contracts with temperature during use.
- 14. Impact Resistance Ability of the coating to withstand deformation when struck with a hard object.
- 15. Abrasion Resistance Ability of the coating to withstand wear from an abrasive medium.
- 16. UV Exposure Ability of the coating to retain its color and gloss when exposed indoors. Ultraviolet light accelerates the exposure.
- 17. Resistance Tests Ability fo the coating to withstand exposure to water, dilute acid, dilute alkali and strong solvents with minimum effect on color, gloss, hardness and with minimum damage as evidenced by blistering.

All coatings should be water resistant to prevent damage when wet. The acid, alkali and solvents are typical of what might be encountered in industrial applications.

- 18. Salt Fog Exposure This simulates an exposure to a marine or seashore environments and is the most popular test for corrosion, an "X" is scored through the coating to expose the steel and simulate damage to the coating.
- 19. Accelerated Weathering The apparatus combines artificial sunlight lamps and moisture condensation to simulate but accelerate exposure conditions and thus determine relative durability outdoors.

-61-

IX GLOSSARY

#### PLANT SURVEY

Air spray - The coating is atomized by the use of air under pressure.

- Airless spray The coating is atomized by forcing it through a small orifice under very high pressure.
- Chromate Chemical treatment of aluminum surfaces which is empolyed to improve adhesion of applied coatings.
- Conventional Coatings Solvent-thinned coatings which do not meet the VOC requirements.

Conventional Spray - Air or airless, not electrostatic.

- Dip The process in which the metal is immersed in the liquid coating and then withdrawn.
- Electrocoating A dip process in which an electric current is passed through the liquid paint to deposit the coating on the metal.
- Electrodeposition The process of electrocoating.
- Electrostatic Spray Application process in which an electrostatic charge is placed on the atomized spray particles causing the coating droplets to be attracted to the grounded metal substrate.
- Flow Coat The process in which the paint is allowed to flow over the metal substrate and the excess is drained off.

Foaming - Formation of air bubbles.

- High-Solids Higher non-volatile content (less solvent) than conventional coatings.
- Makeup Air Air drawn from outdoors which is required to replace the internal air removed by spray booth exhaust fans. This air may have to be heated in winter and cooled in summer.
- Metal Fabricators Companies who manufacture metal objects from sheet metal or castings.
- Overspray The paint particles which do not coat the substrate but are pulled up the exhaust stack or fall onto the floor or walls of the spray booth.
- Phosphate Chemical treatment of steel surfaces which is employed to improve the adhesion of applied coatings. The most common are iron and zinc phosphate.

Polymer - The binder portion of the coating.

Powder coatings - A coating supplied as a fusible powder. It is applied by electrostatic spray which causes the powder to adhere to the metal substrate in a uniform layer. Subsequent baking fuses the powder to form a continuous coating.

Resin - See Polymer.

Spray Booth - An enclosed area used for spray painting of fabricated items. It may be equipped with a source of filtered air to keep the atmosphere dust free, a waterfall backdrop to trap overspray and an exhaust system to vent the evaporating solvents.

Substrate - The metal surface on which the coating is applied.

Viscosity - The flow properties of a coating in its liquid state.

Water-Borne - A coating in which the major portion of the solvent is water.

ABBREVIATIONS

Х

CARB - California Air Resources Board

VOC - Volatile Organic Compounds

# <u>Appendix I</u>

# Publicity, Letters & Data Forms



#### (FORMERLY DAVID LITTER LABORATORIES)

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 Telephone: 212-777-4410

Publicity Release - Covering Letter to Publications

As you probably know, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) has been in the forefront in developing regulations which reduce air pollution by limiting the concentration of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in applied coatings.

CARB, as part of its continuing research effort, wishes to determine whether low VOC coatings for the industrial finishing of metal parts and products are available which demonstrate competitive performance properties vs their conventional (solvent-thinned) counterparts. Consequently, CARB has contracted with the D/L Laboratories to assist in this program.

The first approach is to publicize CARB's interest as widely as possible in order to alert paint manufacturers, raw material suppliers and metal fabricators as to the proposed plan. We will then obtain commercial, prototype or formulated samples of these products and evaluate them vs equivalent commercial products.

We would, therefore, appreciate your inserting the enclosed Publicity Release in an early issue of your publication. Please send us two copies of the printed release.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

SBL/df cc: S. Spindel

Sidney B. Levinson President

enc.



<u>Appendix IB</u>

-65-

(FORMERLY DAVID LITTER LABORATORIES)

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 Telephone: 212-777-4410

Publicity Release - Covering Letter to Associations

As you probably know, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) has been in the forefront in developing regulations which reduce air pollution by limiting the concentration of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in applied coatings.

CARB, as part of its continuing research effort, wishes to determine whether low VOC coatings for the industrial finishing of metal parts and products are available which demonstrate competitive performance properties vs their conventional (solvent-thinned) counterparts. Consequently, CARB has contracted with the D/L Laboratories to assist in this program.

The first approach is to publicize CARB's interest as widely as possible in order to alert paint manufacturers, raw material suppliers and metal fabricators as to the proposed plan. We will then obtain commercial, prototype or formulated samples of these products and evaluate them vs equivalent commercial products.

We would, therefore, appreciate your advising your membership of this program. If you have a newsletter, the enclosed Publicity Release should serve to do so. Please send us two copies of the printed release. We also would appreciate receiving a copy of your membership directory for which we will be pleased to pay if there is a charge.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Sidney B. Levinson President

SBL/df cc: S. Spindel

enc.

MARKET RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION, FORMULATION, PREPARATION OF SPECIFICATIONS & MANUALS, INSPECTION & CERTIFICATION, PERSONNEL TRAINING & LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE PROTECTIVE COATINGS & ALLIED INDUSTRIES



### LABORATORIES

#### (FORMERLY DAVID LITTER LABORATORIES)

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 Telephone: 212-777-4410

Publicity Release

#### CARB SEEKS LOW VOC INDUSTRIAL FINISHES

The California Air Resources Board (CARB), as part of its research program to investigate the current status of coating technology, is seeking industrial finishes for metal parts and products which meet its solvent limitation requirements. Consequently, CARB has contracted with the D/L Laboratories to locate and evaluate the relative performance, vs conventional industrial finishes, of commercial or developmental coatings (or formulations from raw material suppliers), which contain no more than 340 grams of volatile organic compounds (VOC) per liter of coating, less water.

These coatings may be either water-based, high solids or powder. Any organic solvents may be used within the VOC limits. It is not necessary to meet Rule 66 or any of its variations.

The coatings should be intended for use on metal parts or products and can be cured by bake, air dry or force dry.

Selected submitted coatings will be evaluated vs equivalent conventional solvent-thinned products. All products will be coded, no names will be used in the report and all cooperators will receive a copy of the report with their code numbers.

Your cooperation is solicited. If you wish to have your products (or formulations) included in this program, please call or write.

Sidney B. Levinson President D/L Laboratories 116 East 16th Street New York, N.Y. 10003

212/777-4410

Appendix IC



(FORMERLY DAVID LITTER LABORATORIES)

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 Telephone: 212-777-4410

#### Letter to Associations

As you probably know, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) has been in the forefront in developing regulations which reduce air pollution by limiting the concentration of volatile organic compounds (VOC) in applied coatings.

CARB, as part of its continuing research effort, wishes to determine whether low VOC coatings for the industrial finishing of metal parts and products are available which demonstrate competitive performance properties vs their conventional (solvent-thinned) counterparts. Consequently, CARB has contracted with the D/L Laboratories to assist in this program.

The first approach is to publicize CARB's interest as widely as possible in order to alert paint manufacturers, raw material suppliers and metal fabricators as to the proposed plan. We will then obtain commercial, prototype or formulated samples of these products and evaluate them vs equivalent commercial products.

We would, therefore, appreciate your reading the enclosed Publicity Release at your next meeting.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

SBL/df cc: S. Spindel Sidney B. Levinson President

enc.

-67-Appendix ID

MARKET RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION, FORMULATION, PREPARATION OF SPECIFICATIONS & MANUALS, INSPECTION & CERTIFICATION, PERSONNEL TRAINING & LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE PROTECTIVE COATINGS & ALLIED INDUSTRIES



#### Appendix IE

(FORMERLY DAVID LITTER LABORATORIES)

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 Telephone: 212-777-4410

Letter to Paint Manufacturers

The California Air Resources Board (CARB), as part of its research program to investigate the current status of coating technology, is seeking industrial coatings for metal parts and products which meet its solvent limitation requirements. Consequently, CARB has contracted with the D/L Laboratories to locate and evaluate the relative performance, vs conventional industrial finishes, of commercial or developmental coatings which contain no more than 340 grams of volatile organic compounds (VOC) per liter of coating, less water.

These coatings may be either water-based, high solids or powder. Any organic solvents may be used within the VOC limits. It is not necessary to meet Rule 66 or any of its variations.

The coatings should be intended for use on metal parts or products <u>except</u> can, coil, wire, auto, aircraft and marine substrates. They can be cured by bake, air dry or forced dry.

Selected submitted coatings will be evaluated vs equivalent conventional products, preferably from the same source. All samples will be coded, no names will be used in the report and all cooperators will receive a copy of the report with their code numbers.

If you wish to have any of your products included in this test program, please send us quart samples of both the low solvent and equivalent conventional products (if available). White and/or metallic finishes are preferred, but send us what you have. Also please send us whatever literature and data you can supply on your products and fill in whatever data you can on the enclosed form. The form is important for comparison purposes.

We solicit your cooperation in what should be a very interesting project.

Sincerely,

ENCLOSURE - Data Form

SBL/df

Sidney B. Levinson President

P.S. If this letter should be addressed to someone else in your company, please forward it or advise us and we will write directly.

MARKET RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION, FORMULATION, PREPARATION OF SPECIFICATIONS & MANUALS, INSPECTION & CERTIFICATION, PERSONNEL TRAINING & LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE PROTECTIVE COATINGS & ALLIED INDUSTRIES



116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003

Appendix IF

-69-

Paint Mfrs.

DATA FORM

#### LOW SOLVENT VS CONVENTIONAL METAL FINISHES

#### Provide Whatever Information is Available

Company:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Address:		·				
Submitted By:			Da	te:		
· · · ·	Ī	OW SOLVENT		<u>co</u>	NVENTIONAL	
Product: - Name: Code No: Polymer Type:						
Weight per Gallon:	<u></u> :					Lbs
Total Solids: - Weight: Volume:						00
VOC (less water): - By Weight: By Volume:						gm/1
Flash Point: Method						°F
Viscosity:						KU
Shelf Life:						Mos.
Recommended Substrates: (Check)	Steel:	Alum: Other:		Steel: Galv:		·
Metal Preparation:						
Dry or Cure: - Bake: Force Dry: Air Dry:		Mins. Mins.	°F °F 	 	Mins. Mins.	●F  Hrs
	ç <u></u>			1		

-70-	

LOW SOLVENT

CONVENTIONAL

Application Instruction	ns Including Thir	ning and Equipm	ent: -	•	
Spray:				 	
Flow Coat:				 	
Roller Coat:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			 · · ·	· .
Dip:				 	······································
Major End Uses:					
Outstanding Properties					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Limitations:				 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Selling Prices (Approx 5 gal. cans: Drums:	imate or Anticipa			 	_\$/Cal _\$/Gal
Annual Sales (Approxima	ate or Anticipate	d) in \$:			
· · · · ·	Under 50M 100-200M	50-100M Over 200M	Under 50	50-100 <u>M</u> ver 200M	
Can you recommend any Major Users whom we might contact?				 	

Samples For Test:

Please submit one or two quarts of each product. White is the preferred color though other colors are acceptable. There is no limit as to the number of products which can be submitted, but only one color of each. Also send any available data and literature.

Send samples and data to:

Sidney B. Levinson President D/L Laboratories 116 East 16th Street New York, N.Y. 10003



(FORMERLY DAVID LITTER LABORATORIES)

## LABORATORIES

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 Telephone: 212-777-4410

Letter to Raw Material Suppliers

The California Air Resources Board (CARB), as part of its research program to investigate the current status of coating technology, is seeking industrial coatings for metal parts and products which meet its solvent limitation requirements. Consequently, CARB has contracted with the D/L Laboratories to locate and evaluate the relative performance, vs conventional industrial finishes, of commercial or developmental coatings which contain no more than 340 grams of volatile organic compounds (VOC) per liter of coating, less water.

These coatings may be either water-based, high solids or powder. Any organic solvents may be used within the VOC limits. It is not necessary to meet Rule 66 or any of its variations.

The coatings should be intended for use on metal parts or products <u>except</u> can, coil, wire, auto, aircraft or marine substrates. They can be cured by bake, air dry or forced dry.

Selected submitted coatings will be evaluated vs equivalent conventional products, preferably from the same source. All samples will be coded, no names will be used in the report and all cooperators will receive a copy of the report with their code numbers.

If you wish to have any of your recommended formulations included in this test program, please send quart samples of both the low solvent and equivalent conventional formulations. We must request samples since the program precludes the preparation of samples by us. Also please send whatever literature and data you have, including your recommended formulations on the products submitted, and fill in whatever data you can on the enclosed form.

We solicit your cooperation in what should be a very interesting project.

Sincerely,

ENCLOSURE - Data Form

SBL/df

Sidney B. Levinson President

P.S. If this letter should be addressed to someone else in your company, please forward it or advise us and we will write directly.

MARKET RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION, FORMULATION, PREPARATION OF SPECIFICATIONS & MANUALS, INSPECTION & CERTIFICATION, PERSONNEL TRAINING & LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE PROTECTIVE COATINGS & ALLIED INDUSTRIES

Appendix IG

re suppriers

# LABORATORIES

## -72-<u>Appendix IH</u> DATA FORM

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 LOW SOLVENT VS CONVENTIONAL METAL FINISHES

#### Provide Whatever Information is Available

Company:					
Address:					
Submitted By:		Date	:	······································	
	LOW SOLVENT			WENTIONAL	
Product: - Name: Code No: Generic Type:					
Weight per Gallon:					Lbs
Total Solids: - Weight: Volume:					
VOC (less water): - By Weight: By Volume:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•gm/%	]		
Flash Point: Method:					°F
Viscosity					KU
Shelf Life:					Mos
Recommended Substrates: (Check)		lum: her:	Steel: Galv:	Alum:Other:	
Metal Preparation:					
Dry or Cure: - Bake: Force Dry: Air Dry:	Mins	°F °F Hrs	-	Mins	°F °F Hrs

	LOW SOLVEN	<u>r</u>	CONVENTIONAL
Application Instructions In	ncluding Thinning ar	nd Equipment: ·	-
Spray:			
Flow Coat:			······································
Roller Coat:	······································	······	
Dip:			
Major End Uses:			
Outstanding Properties:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Limitations:			
Can you recommend any paint	t Name:	•	Name:
manufacturers whom we might contact?			Co.: Add.:
	<b>.</b>		1

#### Samples and Formulations

Please submit your formulation and a one quart sample of each product. White is the preferred color, though other colors are acceptable. There is no limit as to the number of products which can be submitted.

Send samples, formulations and data to:

Sidney B. Levinson President D/L Laboratories 116 East 16th Street New York, NY 10003

-73-

ł



#### (FORMERLY DAVID LITTER LABORATORIES)

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003 Telephone: 212-777-4410

#### Follow-up Letter to Cooperators

#### Re: CARB Industrial Coatings

We have received the following product(s) from you which will be tested in this project.

However, we need more information, to the extent that it can be supplied in order to classify these products in logical groups and to run the proper tests which will demonstrate their service capabilities. Therefore we request that you give us as much information as possible on the enclosed form.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

SBL/df cc: S. Spindel Sidney B. Levinson President

enc.

-74-

Appendix IJ

# <u>Appendix II</u>

# Test Data

#### TEST DATA

Application for all samples was Excellent when thinned down to spray viscosity.

It should be understood that a broad variety of tests were conducted in order to determine whether low VOC coatings are available which are competitive with equivalent conventional coatings. Some tests might be too severe for the type of coating tested, e.g., air dry, regardless whether low VOC or conventional, and therefore can be disregarded in rating the relative performance of that group of coatings. Test Results

## Primers - Baked

Subst Color	rate	<u>104</u> St.	√ater Bas <u>111*</u> St. Orange	<u>124</u> Zn. Grey	Conv. 125 Zn. Grey
Viscosity Initial 4 wks at 125°F	KU	60 56	53 53	140 63	72 83
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing	Score	10 10 8 9	6 10 6 6	6 10 6 6	8 10 10 8
Pot Life	Hrs	0,5*	х	Х	X
Cure – Time – Temperature	Mins °F	15 212	30 550	15 400	20 390
Overcure - 50°F above normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion 2X normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	Score % % Score % %	10 22 100 10 26 100	8 20 100 6 38 100	8 4 100 8 1 100	8 5 100 6 5 100
Gloss	Units	23	81	76	81
Opacity	07 70	75.8	<del>* * *</del>	100	100
Hardness (Pencil) - Pass		2 H	3H	2 H	6Н
Adhesion	.0/ /0	100	100	100	100
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	3/8	1/4
Impact	In. lbs	28	160+	24	20
Abrasion Resistance	L/mil	32	60+	24	31
* – When mixed with cata	lyst			· -	•

\* - When mixed with catalyst
\*\* - Inorganic
\*\*\* - Temp. too high to determine
Conv. - Conventional

St - Steel Zn - Zinc phosphate X - Not applicable

# <u>Appendix IIA</u> (Cont)

## <u>Test Results</u>

# <u> Primers - Baked</u>

	Substrate Color	<u>104</u> St. Yell	Water B <u>111*</u> St. low Oran	<u>124</u> Zn.	Conv. 125 Zn. Grey
Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	AS Sc	rs 188 TM ore " "	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery			500 10 10 10 10	168	500 10 10 10 10 10
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	AS	s -l TM ore "	500 10 10 10 10 10	168	288
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	AS Sc	TM 10 ore 9 "10 "10 "10	10 8 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 9 10 10 10
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery			500 10 10 10 10 10		

MEK - Methyl Ethyl Ketone

## Test Results

# <u>Appendix IIA</u> (Cont)

# <u> Primers - Baked</u>

		Water Base					
		104	111*	124	125		
Substrate		St.	St.	Zn.	Zn.		
Color		Yellow	Orange	Grey	Grey		
Salt Fog Exposure	Hrs	200	500	500	500		
Blistering at X	ASTM	200	8F	10	$\frac{10}{10}$		
" ~ overall	11		8F	10	10		
Creep at X	mm		2	2	2		
Corrosion	Score		6	9	10		
Acc. Weathering - 500 Hours							
Color change	Score	10	8	8	10		
Gloss change	50010	6	10	2	2		
Chalking	ASTM	10	10	6	8		
Checking	11	10	10	10	10		
Blistering		10	10	10	10		
Rusting	Score	10	8	10	10		
Nusting	JUUTE	TO	U	10	10		

.

## Test Results

## Primers - Forced Dry

	Substra Color -	te	<u>Water Base</u> <u>122</u> Fe. Orange	Conv. 29 St. Green
Viscosity Initial 4 wks @ 125°F	- -	KU	84 84	83 93
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing		Score	8 8 8 8	8 10 10 9
Pot Life		Hrs	X	X
Cure - Time - Temperature		Mins °F	15 180	30 175
Overcure 50°F above normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion 2X normal Appearance Gloss change		Score % Score %	10 33 100 10 33	10 0 100 10 0
Adhesion		0/ /0	100	100
Gloss		Units	33	87
Opacity		0/ /0	100	100
Hardness (Pencil) - Pas	SS		3B	<b>3</b> B
Adhesion		0/ /0	100	100
Flexibility		Inch	1/8	1/8
Impact		In. lbs	108	160+
Abrasion Resistance		L/mil .	12	9

X - Not applicable \* - When mixed with catalyst

## <u>Test Results</u>

# <u>Appendix IIB</u> (Cont)

# Primers - Forced Dry

	Substrate Color	<u>Water Base</u> <u>122</u> Fe. Orange	Conv. 29 St. Green
Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	500 10 9 8 1 10	500 10 9 10 10 10
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	16	2
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	-1	-1
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	-1	-1
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	<b>- 1</b> ,	-1

## <u>Test Results</u>

# Appendix IIB (Cont)

## <u> Primers - Forced Dry</u>

	Substrate Color	Water Base <u>122</u> Fe. Orange	Conv. 29 St. Green
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - overall Creep at X Corrosion	Hrs ASTM " mm Score	230	336
Acc. Weathering - 500 Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	Hours Score " ASTM " " Score	8 8 10 10 10 10 10	0* 0* 4 10 10 10

\* Due to heavy chalking

Appendix IIC

## Test Results

## Primers - Air Dry

	Substrate Color	79 <sup>2</sup> St. Grn	80 <sup>2</sup> St. Grn	er Base 82 St. Grn	84 <sup>2</sup> St. Red	85 <sup>2</sup> St. Red	<u>Convent</u> 81 St. Yel	<u>ional</u> 83 St. Grn
Viscosity (Mixed) Initial 4 wks @ 125°F	KU	63 141/57 141/57	105 141/69 141/70	64* 96/141 104/141	105 141/57 141/61	97 141/58 141/61	 58 128/42 141/42	42 63/42 63/42
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing	Score	9/10 10/10 6/10 6/10	10 10 10 10	6/10 10/10 4/10 2/10	10 10 10 10	9/10 10/10 6/10 6/10	6/10 10/10 6/10 4/10	6/10 10/10 4/10 4/10
Pot Life	Hrs	16	6	2.5	16	16	48	48
Speed of Dry Set to touch Tack free Dry hard Dry thru	Hrs	0.6 1.5 2.3 2.3	1.0 3.0 3.5 3.5	0.6 2.3 3.0 3.0	0.6 1.5 4.0 4.0	0.6 2.3 3.5 3.5	0.2 1.3 2.3 2.3	0.2 0.6 1.0 1.0
Gloss	Units	5	5	5	3	5	5	30
Opacity	0/	74.9	80.6	66.3	100	100	79.3	96.6
Hardness (Pencil)	Pass	HB	F	F	F	F	Н	F
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

-82-

\* Water added to mix as per directions 2 - Two component Grn - Green Yel - Yellow

<u>Appendix IIC</u> (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

<u> Primers - Air Dry</u>

	Substrate Color	79 <sup>2</sup> St. Grn	80 <sup>2</sup> Wat St. Grn	er Base <u>82</u> St. Grn	84 <sup>2</sup> St. Red	85 <sup>2</sup> St. Red		<u>Convent</u> 81 St. Yel	tional 83 <sup>2</sup> St. Grn
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8		1/8	1/8
Impact	In. lbs	160+	160+	160+	160+	160+		160+	128
Abrasion Resistanc	e L/mil	23	21	15	11	13		15	9
Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	500 10 8 10 4 10	116	500 10 10 10 10 10	188	500 8M 9 10 1 8		500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " " "	1	· 1	1		20	•	20	20
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	500 10 9 10 10	20	20	20	1		44	1 .

-83-

# <u>Appendix IIC</u> (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

<u> Primers - Air Dry</u>

Substrat Color	e	<u>79<sup>2</sup> St.</u> Grn	80 <sup>2</sup> St. Grn	<u>er Base</u> <u>82</u> St. Grn	84 <sup>2</sup> St. Red	85 <sup>2</sup> St. Red	<u>Convent</u> 812 St. Yel	ional 832 St. Grn
Xylol Resistance - 500 Hours Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	ASTM Score "	10 6 6 6	10 8 10 10 10	10 9 10 10 10	10 9 10 10 10	10 9 10 10 10	8F 8 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10
MEK Resistance - 500 Hours Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	ASTM Score "	10 9 10 0 8	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10
Salt Fog Exposure - Hrs Blistering at X " - overall Creep at X Corrosion	ASTM " mm Score	200	68	68	116	116	500 2F 2F 4 6	500 4F 10 2 10
Acc. Weathering - 500 Hours Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	Score " ASTM " Score	2 6 2 10 10 10	4 6 2 10 10 10	6 8 6 10 10 10	6 8 2 10 10 10	4 6 2 10 10 10	4 8 6 10 10 10	6 8 10 10 10

-84-

<u>Appendix IID</u>

# Test Results

	<u>Wa</u>	ter Ba	ase To	pcoats	- Bake	ed					
	rate	5 St. Wht	8 Zn. Blk	<u>14</u> Zn. Wht	<u>64</u> Zn. Wht	<u>75</u> St. Wht	<u>103</u> St. Clr	<u>105</u> St. Wht	<u>106</u> St. Wht	<u>110*</u> St. Gry	<u>123</u> Fe. Yel
Viscosity Initial 2 wks @ 125°F	ΚU	89 96	72 93	72 69	55 53	64 67	60 Sol	58 54	116 Sol	65 82	74 81
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing	Score	10 10 8 8	9 10 10 10	2 10 9 9	2 10 6 2	2 10 6 8	Sol	8 10 8 8	Sol	6 10 6 6	6 10 10 8
Cure - Time - Temperature	Mins °F	20 300	12 350	15 300	20 275	15 300	15 350	15 212	30 300	60 500	15 350
Overcure 50°F above normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion 2X normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	Score % Score % %	10 3 100 100 1 100	10 0 100 10 0 100	10 2 100 10 2 100	10 1 100 8 1 100	10 18 100 10 15 100	10 12 100 6 6 100	10 3 100 10 5 100	10 7 100 10 0 100	10 0 100 10 0 100	10 4 100 10 2 100
Gloss	Units	94	20	90	86	78	85	77	83	2	56
Whiteness Index	Units	83.5	X	88.2	87.1	77.3	Х	83.3	74.2	Х	X
Opacity	0/ /0	99.2	100	95.2	100	100	Х	89.8	99.0	* *	96.1
Hardness (Pencil) - Pa	SS	ΗB	Н	F	3H	НB	Н	2H	F	3H	6H
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
* - Inorganic ** - Temperature too hi	gh to determin		ht - 1 lk - 1			Clr - Gry -		So	1 - So:	lid	•

1851

# <u>Appendix IID</u> (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

<u>Water Base Topcoats - Baked</u>													
	Substrate Color	<u>5</u> St. Wht	<u>8</u> Zn. Blk	<u>14</u> Zn. Wht	<u>64</u> Zn. Wht	<u>75</u> St. Wht	<u>103</u> St. Clr	<u>105</u> St. Wht	<u>106</u> St. Wht	<u>110*</u> St. Gry	<u>123</u> Fe. Yel		
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	1/8	1+	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	l+	3/4		
Impact - Direct	In.lbs	160+	80	80	12	160+	48	40	36	36	68		
Abrasion Resistand	ce L/mil	31	27	21	20	37	45	30	49	60+	36		
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	% Score Hrs ASTM Score " "	16 8 100	10 10 168	7 10 336	0 10 96	3 9 144	16 6 500 8M 10 10 10 10	35 10 20	33 10 116	0 10 240	16 10 40		
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	144	1	16	16	20	500 10 9 10 10 10	20	500 10 9 10 10 10	144	16		
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	20	16	-1	- <b>1</b>	-1	20	1	116	24	16		

- 86 -

## Appendix IID (Cont)

## Test Results

		<u>Water B</u>	ase Top	pcoats	- Bak	ed					
	Substrate Color	St. Wht	8 Zn. Blk	<u>14</u> Zn. Wht	<u>64</u> Zn. Wht	<u>75</u> St. Wht	<u>103</u> St. Clr	<u>105</u> St. Wht	<u>106</u> St. Wht	<u>110*</u> St. Gry	<u>123</u> Fe. Yel
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	-1	500 10 10 10 10	-1	120	1	500 10 9 10 1 10	500 2D 8 10 1 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 9
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	-1	500 10 10 10 1 10	-1	20	-1	20	-1	20	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - ove Creep at X Corrosion	ASTM	270	117	20	410	100	92	24	250	200	96
Acc. Weathering Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	Hrs Score " ASTM " "	500 6 2 10 10 8D 10	500 9 10 10 10 10 10	20	500 10 6 10 10 10 10	500 8 10 10 10 10	500 6 10 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10 10	500 6 2 10 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10 4	20

-87-

Appendix IIE

# Test Results

		<u>Hi</u>	gh Sol:	ids Topo	coats -	Baked					
Substrate Color		2 Zn Wht	<u>6</u> Fe Wht	<u>17</u> Fe Wht	20 Fe Clr	<u>23</u> Fe Wht	28 Pr Wht	<u>32</u> St Blu	<u>36</u> Zn Wht	<u>39</u> Fe Wht	40 Fe Wht
Viscosity KU Initial 2 wks @ 125°F		108 120	95 95	79 90	91 111	74 78	77 102	69 74	82 106	75 141+	· 69 85
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing	Score	10 10 10 10	8 10 10 9	9 10 8 6	10 10 10 9	6 10 10 9	8 10 10 9	4 10 10 9	10 10 8 8	10 10 8 8	9 10 8 8
Cure - Time - Temperature	Mins °F	20 325	15 300	10 350	10 350	20 350	15 360	12 300	14 360	10 250	20 350
Overcure 50°F above normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion 2X normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	Score % Score %	10 1 100 10 0 100	10 0 100 10 0 100	10 1 100 10 3 100	10 4 100 10 5 100	10 0 100 10 10 100	10 18 100 10 2 100	10 12 100 10 15 100	10 0 100 10 0 100	10 9 100 10 0 100	10 2 100 10 4 100
Gloss	Units	94	93	88	100	95	88	86	90	95	94
Whiteness Index	Units	82.7	83.6	95.2	X	88.1	83.2	. X	84.3	81.7	85.5
Opacity _	0/ /0	100	98.7	99.4	Х	100	99.1	100	99.2	99.0	98.3
Hardness (Pencil) - Pass		H	F	F	Н	2H	F	2 H	Н	6 B	2 H
Adhesion	07 70	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Pr - Primed Blu.	- Blue		-								

# <u>Appendix IIE</u> (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

		<u>H:</u>	igh Soli	ids Top	<u>coats -</u>	Baked					
н 	Substrate Color		<u>6</u> Fe Wht	<u>17</u> Fe Wht	<u>20</u> Fe Clr	<u>23</u> Fe Wht	<u>28</u> Pr Wht	<u>32</u> St Blu	<u>36</u> Zn Wht	<u>39</u> Fe Wht	<u>40</u> Fe Wht
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8
Impact	In.lbs	56	160+	160+	160+	56	160+	160+	160+	36	160+
Abrasion Resistance	L/mil	42	60+	58	43	33	60+	55	60+	31	60+
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change	% Score	0 10	16 10	10 10	0 9	20 8	0 6	19 4	6 9	2 10	10 10
Water Resistance - Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	500 Hours ASTM Score " "	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 9 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 9 10 1 8	10 10 10 10 10
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	.120	96	500 10 6 8 10 10	500 10 9 10 10 10	336	500 4F 10 0 1 4	20	192	20	96
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	120	450	188	500 10 10 10 10 10	120	336	-1	288	188	500 10 10 10 10 10

- 69 -

# <u>Appendix IIE</u>(Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

			<u>ligh Sc</u>	olids To	pcoats	<u>– Baked</u>					
	Substrate Color		<u>6</u> Fe Wht	17 Fe Wht	20 Fe Clr	<u>23</u> Fe Wht	<u>28</u> Pr Wht	<u>32</u> St Blu	<u>36</u> Zn Wht	<u>39</u> Fe Wht	40 Fe Wht
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	288	-1	500 10 9 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	192	500 10 9 10 10 10	1	500 10 8 10 1 10	-1	500 10 10 10 10 10
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	l	116	500 10 10 10 1 10	500 8F 10 10 1 10	20	500 10 10 10 1 10	72	500 10 10 10 1 10	-1	500 2D 10 10 1 10
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - overal. Creep at X Corrosion	Hrs ASTM 1 " mm Score	500 10 10 2 9	500 8F 9 1 10	500 10 10 2 10	500 2M 9 4 6	500 6F 8F 2 9	500 6F 9 2 10	500 2M 9 1 10	500 2F 9 1 10	92	500 10 10 3 10
Acc. Weathering - 500 Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	O Hours Score " ASTM " Score	10 9 10 10 10	8 2 10 10 10 10	8 4 10 10 10	2 2 10 10 10 10	9 2 8 10 10 10	8 2 10 10 10 10	6 2 10 10 10 10	9 10 10 10 10	8 0 10 2 10 10	10 6 10 10 10 10

-90-

# Appendix IIF

# <u>Test Results</u>

3

			High	Solids	Topcoat	s - Bak	ed	·			
	•				<b>.</b> .		110	1.0.0		1.0.0	
	strate		<u>47</u> St Wht	<u>48</u> Zn Wht	<u>51</u> Fe Wht	<u>70</u> Zn Wht	<u>119</u> Zn Bwn	<u>120</u> Zn Bge	<u>126</u> Zn Wht	<u>128</u> Zn Wht	<u>129</u> Zn Wht
	JI ====	- ninc	wite	WITC -	WINC	WINC	UWI	bge	WITC .	WITC	WITC
Viscosity	KU								_		1
Initial 2 wks @ 125°F		67 95	63 69	77 102	83 112	63 68	89 100	89 98	108 134	120 125	84 98
Package Stability	Score										
Liq. separation Skinning		9 10	8 10	9 10	· 9 · 2	9 10	8 10	8 10	10 10	9 10	8 10
Pigment settling		8	6	6	10 10	8	8	· 10 8	10	10 9	8
Ease of remixing											
Cure - Time - Temperature	Mins °F	15 300	20 350	20 300	. 15 325	15 275	20 350	20 350	20 300	20 300	20 350
Overcure						ж.					
50°F above normal Appearance	Score	10	8	10	.10	6	10	4	10	10	8
Gloss change	0/ /0 0/	9 100	2 100	15. 100	0 100	21 100	28 · 100	11 100	4 100	40 100	1 100
Adhesion 2X normal						•	-				100
Appearance Gloss change	Score %	10 10	8 2	10 10	10 5	8 38	6 9	6. 4	10 9	10 27	8 1
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Gloss	Units	91	90	94	85	73	57	82	93	73	92
Whiteness Index	Units	82.3	86.3	87.0	83.2	77.1	X	Х	83.9	75.3	82.4
Opacity	0/ /0	98.2	97.7	97.5	98.2	98.2	100	100	98.2	100	97.4
Hardness (Pencil) -	Pass	2 B	Н	2 H	HB	F	2H	4 H	Н	Н	6H
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Rwn _ Rrown	Bae _ Re	ania		-							

-91-

# <u>Appendix IIF</u> (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

<u>High Solids Topcoats - Baked</u>												
	ubstrate olor		47 St Wht	<u>48</u> Zn Wht	<u>51</u> Fe Wht	<u>70</u> Zn Wht	<u>119</u> Zn Bwn	<u>120</u> Zn Bge	<u>126</u> Zn Wht	<u>128</u> Zn Wht	<u>129</u> Zn Wht	
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	1/2	1/8	l+	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	
Impact	In.lbs	160+	160+	44	160+	12	. 60	36	160+	160+	152	
Abrasion Resistan	ce L/mil	60+	35	41	60+	18	33	41	60+	49	50	
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change	% Score	5 10	13	18 9	26 10	8 10	12 8	2 10	1 10	. 7 9	8 10	
Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	- 500 Hours ASTM Score "	10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 9 10 10 10	10 8 10 10	8F 10 10 10 10	
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	500 10 9 2 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 6 4 10 10	500 2D 2 4 0 0	500 10 9 10 10 10	336	336	16	16	336	
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	ASTM Score "	500 10 4 2 2 2	500 6M 9 8 10 10	500 6F 9 10 10 10	500 10 8 10 10 10	24	96	168	<b>-</b> 1	16	<u>500</u> 10 4 2 0 0	

-92-

<u>Appendix IIF</u> (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

			High S	olids T	opcoats	- Bake	d				
	ate		47 St Wht	<u>48</u> Zn Wht	<u>51</u> Fe Wht	<u>70</u> Zn Wht	<u>119</u> Zn Bwn	<u>120</u> Zn Bge	<u>126</u> Zn Wht	<u>128</u> Zn Wht	<u>129</u> Zn Wht
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	500 10 9 10 10 10	<b>-</b> 1	500 10 8 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	24	500 10 9 9 1 8	16	500 10 10 10 8 10	500 10 10 6 1 10	500 10 10 10 10 10
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	500 10 10 10 4 10	1	500 10 10 10 1 10	500 2D 10 10 1 10	24	500 10 10 10 1 10	16	500 10 8 10 1 10	500 6F 8 1 9	500 10 8 9 1 9
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - overall Creep at X Corrosion	Hrs ASTM " mm Score	500 10 10 5 6	500 2F 6F 2 10	500 10 10 1 10	500 10 10 2 10	500 6F 9 3 9	500 8F 8F 2 8	500 10 10 3 8	230	140	500 10 4F 3 10
Acc. Weathering - 500 Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	Hours Score " ASTM " Score	8 2 4 10 10 10	9 9 10 10 10 10	9 6 10 10 10 10	10 6 10 10 10 10	9 6 4 10 10 10	8 4 10 10 10 10	8 6 10 4 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10	10 0 10 10 10 10	10 6 10 10 10 10

-93-

## <u>Test Results</u>

## <u>Appendix IIG</u>

# <u> Powder Coatings - Baked</u>

	Substrate Color		<u>P-2</u> Zn Bwn	<u>P-3</u> Zn Blk	<u>P-4</u> St Wht	<u>P-5</u> St Wht	<u>P-6</u> St Wht	<u>P-7</u> Fe Wht	<u>P-8</u> Zn Wht
Cure - Time - Temperatur	Mins e °F	10 400	10 400	15 350	10 350	10 400	10 400	20 360	10 400
Overcure 50°F above norm Appearance Gloss change Adhesion 2X normal time Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	al Score % % Score %	10 14 100 10 100	10 4 100 10 3 100	10 11 100 10 2 100	10 9 100 10 9 100	10 2 100 10 2 100	10 4 100 10 3 100	10 1 100 10 1 100	10 5 100 10 2 100
Gloss	Units	70	68	64	85	86	77	93	87
Whiteness Index	Units	х	X	Х	87.4	84.9	86.4	84.2	87.5
Hardness (Pencil)	- Pass	3H	2H	4H	2H	2 H	2H	2H	5 H
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Flexibility	Inch	1+	1/8	3/4	1/4	1/8	1/2	1+	1+
Impact	In.lbs	24	16	32	32	32	20	40	36
Abrasion Resistan	ce L/mil	49	60+	60+	60+	60+	40	57	33
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change	% Score	4 10	6 9	41 4	48 6	2 10	12 9	8 8	0 10
Water Resistance	- 500 Hours	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10

# <u>Test Results</u>

# <u>Appendix IIG</u> (Cont)

## Powder Coatings - Baked

·	Substrate Color		<u>P-2</u> Zn Bwn	<u>P-3</u> Zn B1k	<u>P-4</u> St Wht	<u>P-5</u> St Wht	<u>P-6</u> St Wht	<u>P-7</u> Fe Wht	<u>P-8</u> Zn Wht
Acid Resistance -	500 Hours	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	- 500 Hours ASTM Score " "	10 6 0 10 10	10 8 0 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 4 10 10 10	10 8 0 10 10	10 6 0 10 10	10 9 6 10 10	10 10 10 10 10
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hours ASTM Score " "	500 10 10 8 1 10	-1	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 6 4 1 8	500 10 8 4 1 8	500 10 8 10 1 10	-1	500 10 8 10 1 10
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness. Recovery	Hours ASTM Score " "	500 10 10 8 1 10	-1	500 10 10 10 1 10	500 8F 6 10 1 8	500 8F 8 10 1 8	144	-1	500 10 8 10 1 10
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - ove Creep at X Corrosion	- 500 Hours ASTM rall " mm Score	10     10     1     10     10     1	10 10 0 10	10 10 0 10	10 10 2 10	10 10 2 10	10 10 2 10	$10\\10\\1\\10$	10 10 2 10
Acc. Weathering - Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	500 Hours Score " ASTM " Score	6 0 10 10 10	8 4 10 10 10	6 0 10 10 10 10	6 4 10 10 10	8 4 10 10 10 10	8 6 10 10 10 10	8 4 10 10 10 10	10 2 10 10 10 10

## Appendix IIH

### Test Results

### Powder Coatings - Baked

	Substrate Cclor	<u>P-9</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-10</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-11</u> Zn Gry	<u>P-12</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-13</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-14</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-15</u> Zn C1r
Cure - Time - Temperatur	Mins e °F	5 400	20 340	20 340	20 360	20 360	20 360	10 300
Overcure 50°F above norm Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	al Score % %	$10\\3\\100$	10 2 100	10 7 100	10 5 100	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 1\\ 100 \end{array}$	10 3 100	10 33 100
2X normal time Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	Score % %	10 3 100	10 1 100	$10 \\ 4 \\ 100$	10 8 100	10 3 100	10 0 100	10 24 100
Gloss	Units	97	91	70	73	76	80	98
Whiteness Index	Units	92.4	92.4	Х	87.1	95.4	98.7	X
Hardness (Pencil)	- Pass	5H	7 H	4H	6H	4H	4H	F
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100	100	50
Flexibility	Inch	1/4	1/8	1/8	1+	1+	1/8	1+
Impact	In.lbs	60	136	44	12	32	56	-4
Abrasion Resistan	ce L/mil	60+	60+	60+	17	35	45	19
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change	% Score	28 6	65 4	40 6	8 10	3 10	14 10	22 4
Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	- 500 Hours ASTM Score " "	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 6 10 10

# <u>Appendix IIH</u>(Cont)

## <u>Test Results</u>

# <u> Powder Coatings - Baked</u>

	,							
	Substrate Color	<u>P-9</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-10</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-11</u> Zn Gry	<u>P-12</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-13</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-14</u> Zn Wht	<u>P-15</u> Zn Clr
Acid Resistance -	500 Hours	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hours ASTM Score " "	500 10 9 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 8 6 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 8 0 10 10	500 10 8 0 10 10	240
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hours ASTM Score " "	500 10 8 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 8 2 1 10	-1		500 10 4 2 1 8	144
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hours ASTM Score " "	500 10 10 10 1 10	500 10 8 6 1 10	500 10 10 10 1 10	-1	500 10 8 10 1 10	500 10 6 10 1 8	-1
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - over Creep at X Corrosion	ASTM	10 10 2 10	10 10 1 10	10 10 1 10	10 10 1 10	10 10 1 10	10 10 1 10	10 10 2 10
Acc. Weathering - Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	500 Hours Score " ASTM " Score	6 2 10 10 10	4 2 4 10 10 10	4 0 4 10 10 10	8 10 10 10 10 10	8 4 10 10 10 10	8 6 10 10 10 10	4 10 10 10 10

# <u>Appendix IIJ</u>

# <u>Test Results</u>

		Co	nvent.	iona <u>)</u> To	opcoats -	Baked				
	strate or		<u>9</u> Zn Blk	<u>16</u> Zn Wht	<u>18</u> Fe Wht	<u>19</u> Fe Clr	24 Fe Wht	<u>37</u> Fe Wht	38 Fe Wht	42 Fe Wht
Viscosity Initial 2 wks @ 125°F	ΚU	64 69	64 94	69 75	72 90	67 72	8 2 9 2	5 7 5 3	63 73	67 70
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing		8 10 8	10 2 10 10	9 10 8 6	10 10 8 8	2 10 10 9	4 10 9 9	2 10 6 8	10 10 8 6	9 10 8 6
Cure - Time - Temperature	Mins °F	30 350	12 350	15 300	15 350	10 350	20 350	10 250	2 0 3 5 0	15 300
Overcure 50°F above norma Appearance Gloss change Adhesion 2X normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	l Score % Score %	10 0 100 10 0 100	10 19 100 10 6 100	10 0 100 10 10 100	10 1 100 8 2 100	10 22 100 10 23 100	10 3 100 10 3 100	8 14 100 8 0 100	10 9 100 8 9 100	10 2 100 6 2 100
Gloss	Untis	82	31	98	95	82	92	98	91	95
Whiteness Index	Units	86.4	Х	85.0	85.4	Х	86.2	80.5	85.3	80.2
Opacity	0/ /0	99.2	100	95.0	.96.5	Х	99.6	92.9	96.6	95.8
Hardness (Pencil)	- Pass	Н	F	ΗB	F	ΗB	2 H	ΗB	Н	6 B
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

-98-

# <u>Appendix IIJ</u> (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

		<u>C</u>	onvent	ional T	opcoats -	Baked				
	rate		9 Zn Blk	<u>l6</u> Zn Wht	<u>18</u> Fe Wht	19 Fe Clr	24 Fe Wht	<u>37</u> Fe Wht	<u>38</u> Fe Wht	42 Fe Wht
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/4	1/8
Impact	In.1bs	52	. 52	108	160+	28	40	32	28	80
Abrasion Resistance	L/mil	45	13	15	33	60+	35	19	42	43
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change Water Resistance - 5	% Score 20 Hours	0 8	3 6	11 10	20 10	9 10	8 9	12 9	11 10	8 8
Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	ASTM Score ""	10 10 10 10	8F 10 10 10 10	10 9 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10	10 10 10 4 10	10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 1 8
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hours ASTM Score " "	500 10 9 10 10 10	192	500 2M 4 2 4 10	500 8M 6 8 10 10	500 10 6 4 10 10	500 2D 6 10 1 10	96	500 10 8 8 10 10	500 6F 9 0 8 8
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hours ASTM Score " "	288	16	120	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 2D 2 4 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	288	1	20

-99-

<u>Appendix IIJ</u> (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

4

		C	onvent	ional T	opcoats -	Baked				
. Substr Color	ate	Zn Wht	9 Zn Blk	<u>16</u> Zn Wht	18 Fe Wht	<u>19</u> Fe Clr	24 Fe Wht	<u>37</u> Fe Wht	<u>38</u> Fe Wht	42 Fe Wht
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	500 10 9 10 4 10	500 10 10 10 1 10	500 8F 6 10 1	20	500 10 10 10 1 8	500 10 10 10 6 10	-1	2	500 10 8 10 1 10
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	500 10 9 10 1 10	500 10 10 10 1 10	-1	2	500 10 10 10 1 10	500 10 10 10 1 10	500 10 9 10 1 10	- 1.	500 10 10 10 1 10
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - overall Creep at X Corrosion	Hrs ASTM mm Score	500 10 10 2 9	500 6F 8F 2 10	500 6F 9 2 8	500 10 10 2 10	500 2M 9 4 6	500 10 10 2 10	350	500 10 10 3 10	500 2D 9 2 8
Acc. Weathering - 500 Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	Hours Score " ASTM " Score	8 2 10 10 10 10	9 9 10 10 10	8 2 10 10 10 C	8 4 10 10 10	2 0 10 2 10 10	9 6 8 10 10 10	6 2 10 10 10	9 6 8 10 10 10	6 4 10 10 10

-100-

# Appendix IIK

<u>Test Results</u>

·		<u>Co</u>	nventio	nal Topo	eoats – E	laked				
	rate		54 Fe Wht	69 Fe Wht	74 5t Wht	<u>107</u> St Wht	<u>117</u> Zn Wht	<u>118</u> Fe Bwn	<u>127</u> Zn Wht	<u>130</u> Zn Wht
Viscosity Initial 2 wks @ 125°F	KU	63 115	69 112	57 54	61 68	92 122	86 92	61 76	63 70	72 81
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing	Score	10 6 9 9	10 8 8 6	9 10 8 6	10 10 8 6	10 10 10 10	8 10 8 8	10 10 8 8	10 10 9 10	10 10 10 10
Cure - Time - Temperature	Mins °F	10 300	15 325	30 300	15 300	30 300	10 350	10 350	20 300	25 335
Overcure 50°F above normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion 2X normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	Score % Score %	10 8 100 8 19 100	10 3 100 10 3 100	10 3 100 10 10 100	4 2 100 10 2 100	10 10 100 10 6 100	10 13 100 10 8 95	8 21 100 6 18 100	9 1 100 10 1 100	10 3 100 10 1 100
Gloss	Units	85	96	98	85	83	95	85	83	90
Whiteness Index	Units	77.2	80.6	87.7	83.5	82.8	84.6	x	84.5	82.4
Opacity	07 70	96.9	97.5	94.8	94.0	95.0	98.0	100	93.8	96.6
Hardness (Pencil) -	Pass	F	H	2H	F	F	۰F	F	F	4H
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

-101

# <u>Appendix IIK</u> (Cont)

# <u>lest Results</u>

<u>Conventional Topcoats - Baked</u>										
	rate		54 Fe Wht	<u>69</u> Fe Wht	74 St Wht	<u>107</u> St Wht	<u>117</u> Zn Wht	<u>118</u> Fe Bwn	<u>127</u> Zn Wht	<u>130</u> Zn Wht
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	1/2	1/8	1+	1+	1/8	1/8	,1/4
Impact	In.1bs	44	80	60	64	40	12	44	112	20
Abrasion Resistance	L/mil	19	25	29	19	13	10	15	16	25
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change Water Resistance - 5 Blistering Color change Gloss change	% Score OO Hours ASTM Score "	6 10 10 10 10 10	8 10 10 10 10 10	9 10 10 10 10	6 9 8MD 10 8	6 9 10 10 10	5 8 10 10 10	20 6 10 10 10	10 10 10 9 10	24 10 10 10 10
Hardness Recovery	11	10 10	10 10	10 10	1 10	10 10	10 10	10 10	10 10	10
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	500 10 8 10 10 10	500 10 9 10 10 10	500 10 9 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 9 10 10 10	336	336	192	500 10 6 2 10 10
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	20	500 10 9 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 2D 6 1 6	188	192	500 10 9 6 0	16	500 10 10 10 10 10

-102-

Appendix IIK (Cont)

# <u>Test Results</u>

		<u>C o</u>	nventio	nal Top	coats - I	Baked				
	bstrate lor		<u>54</u> Fe Wht	<u>69</u> Fe Wht	<u>74</u> St Wht	<u>107</u> St Wht	<u>117</u> Zn Wht	<u>118</u> Fe Bwn	<u>127</u> Zn Wht	<u>130</u> Zn Wht
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	500 8F 6 10 10 10	500 10 9 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	-1	-1	288	500 10 10 10 1 10	192	288
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	]	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	-1	-1	20	120	-1	20
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - ove Creep at X Corrosion	ASTM	2D 10 3 8	6F 10 2 10	10 10 3 8	2D 10 3 8	8D 9 5 8	10 10 2 10	4 M 4 M 6	10 10 2 10	10 10 1 10
Acc. Weathering - Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	500 Hours Score " ASTM " Score	6 6 4 10 10 10	10 6 10 10 10 10	9 8 10 10 10 10	8 2 4 10 10 10	6 2 10 10 10 10	8 2 4 10 10 10	6 2 4 10 10 10	8 4 2 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10

-103-

# Appendix IIL

## <u>Test Results</u>

Water Base Topcoats - Force Dry

	Substr Color	ate	<u>25</u> Fe Wht	26 Fe Wht	<u>43</u> St Wht	<u>98</u> Zn Blk	<u>121</u> Fe Grn
Viscosity Initial 4 wks @ 125º	F	Ku	73 65	57 60	69 65	50 130	106 141+
Package Stabil Liq. separat Skinning Pigment sett Ease of remi	ion ling	Score	10 6 10 10	10 8 8 8	4 10 6 6	8 10 6 8	8 10 8 8
Cure - Time - Tempera	ture	Mins °F	30 165	30 165	15 180	40 180	20 165
Overcure 50°F above n Appearance Gloss chan Adhesion 2X normal Appearance Gloss chan Adhesion	ge	Score % Score %	10 1 100 10 1 100	$10 \\ 2 \\ 100 \\ 10 \\ 2 \\ 100 \\ 2 \\ 100 \\ $	10 4 100 10 2 100	10 0 100 10 20 100	10 3 100 10 2 100
Gloss		Units	80	86	90	5	95
Whiteness Inde	x	Units	84.3	89.0	40.9	Х	Х
Opacity		0/ /0	93.1	95.7	96.8	100	100
Hardness (Penc	il) - P	ass	F	F	2B	F	2B
Adhesion		0/ /0	100	100	100	100	100
Flexibility		Inch	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/4	1/8
Impact		In.1bs	48	60	56	72	160+
Abrasion Resis	tance	L/mil	25	16	32	25	27

-104-

# <u>Appendix IIL</u> (Cont)

### Test Results

# Water Base Topcoats - Force Dry

	Substra Color	ate	<u>25</u> Fe Wht	<u>26</u> Fe Wht	<u>43</u> St Wht	<u>98</u> Zn Blk	<u>121</u> Fe Grn
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change		% Score	4 10	6 9	0 4	0 9	26 9
Water Resistand Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	ce .	Hrs ASTM Score " "	96	96	96	500 8M 9 4 10	500 8M 6 10 1 10
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	2	Hrs ASTM Score " "	120	500 2D 4 8 10 10	192	20	500 10 2 2 10 10
Alkali Resistar	nce	Hrs	16	16	-1	20	-1
Xylol Resistand Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	ce .	Hrs ASTM Score "	500 8F 4 10 1 10	<b>1</b>	-1	500 10 8 6 10 10	500 10 8 8 1 4
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery		Hrs ASTM Score "	20	500 10 8 10 1 10	, <b>1</b> ,	500 10 9 10 1 10	500 10 9 9 1 10
Salt Fog Expose Blistering at " - c Creep at X Corrosion		Hrs ASTM " mm Score	116	116	68	68	500 4F 8M 3 8
Acc. Weathering Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	<b>,</b> – 500	Hours Score " ASTM " Score	10 10 10 10 10 10	10 10 10 10 10 10	6 4 10 10 10	6 6 10 10 10	8 2 10 10 10 10

.

### Appendix IIM

### Test Results

# <u>Conventional Topcoats - Force Dry</u>

	Substrate Color		27 St Wht	30 Pr Gry	45 St Wht
Viscosity Initial 4 wks @ 125°F	KU	54 52	72 84	72 76	89 106
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing	Score	2 10 8 8	10 10 10 10	4 4 9 9	9 4 10 9
Cure - Time - Temperature	Mins °F	30 180	30 165	30 175	15 180
Overcure 50°F above normal Appearance Gloss change Adhesion 2X Adhesion Appearance Gloss change Adhesion	Score % Score %	$10 \\ 3 \\ 100 \\ 10 \\ 3 \\ 100 \\ 3 \\ 100 $	$10 \\ 2 \\ 100 \\ 10 \\ 4 \\ 100 $	10 8 100 10 17 100	10 1 100 10 1 100
Gloss	Units	97	82	87	92
Whiteness Index	Units	51.8	89.1	X	80.9
Opacity	0/ /0	96.2	96.0	100	95.7
Hardness (Pencil) - P	ass	F	F	6B	4B
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	15
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1+	1/8	1/8
Impact	In.Lbs	48	28	48	8
Abrasion Resistance	L/mil	20	18	23	25

. . .

# Appendix IIM (Cont)

## <u>Test Results</u>

	Conventional	Topcoats	- Force D	гу	
	Substrate Color		27 St Wht	<u>30</u> Pr Gry	45 St Wht
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change	Hrs % Score	2 8	12 10	48 6	14 8
Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	168	500 10 10 10 10 10	336	500 8D 8 6 1 2
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	16	$     \begin{array}{r}       500 \\       10 $	500 8M 10 6 10	500 8M 8 4 1 2
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	1	500 6M 8 8 10 10	1	-1
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	500 10 2 10 1 10	-1	-1	-1
MEK Resistance	Hrs	-1	-1	-1	-1
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - overall Creep at X Corrosion	Hrs ASTM " Score	500 4M 8F 2 9	270	500 2M 8F 2 10	336
Acc. Weathering - 500 Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	Hours Score " ASTM " "	9 9 10 10 10 10	9 9 10 10 8M 10	6 2 6 10 10 10	6 2 6 10 10 10

### Appendix IIN

# <u>Test Results</u>

		Water	Base Top	ocoats ·	- Air D:	<u>r y</u>	•	·		
	Substrate Color		<u>13</u> Zn Wht	49 Fe Wht	57 St Wht	58 St Wht	59 St Wht	<u>61</u> St Wht	87 <sup>2</sup> Pr Wht	95 Zn Wht
Viscosity (Mixed) Initial 4 wks @ 125°F	KU	63 65	100 129	90 92	82 141+	108 141+	108 128	58 54	72 127/82 141/89	61 61
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing		6 9 8 8	9 4 10 10	4 10 9 8	4 8 10 9	4 8 10 9	2 8 6 8	4 10 8 8	8/10 10/10 8/10 6/10	10 10 10 10
Pot Life	Hrs	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	16	Х
Speed of Dry Set to touch Tack free Dry hard Dry thru	Hrs	0.2 0.4 0.8 0.8	0.1 6.0 16 16	0.4 1.0 1.5 1.5	0.2 1.5 3.0 3.0	0.1 2.0 3.0 3.0	0.3 24 24 24	0.2 0.9 3.0 3.0	1.3 4.0 4.5 4.5	0.2 0.4 0.8 0.8
Gloss	Units	76	83	82	88	87	91	68	50	74
Whiteness Index	Units	64.9	54.9	35.3	56.0	52.1	61.3	79.1	80.8	85,4
Opacity	0/ /0	95.8	98.7	94.1	96.5	94.1	94.2	93.7	89.1	96.0
Hardness (Pencil)	- Pass	F	F	ΗB	ΗB	НВ	2 B	HB	2 H	НB
Adhesion _	06	100	100	100	100	100	100	70	100	100

2 - Two component

-108-

# <u>Appendix IIN</u> (Cont)

# <u>lest Results</u>

		<u>Water</u>	<u>Base To</u>	pcoats	- Air D	гу				
	Substrate Color		<u>13</u> Zn Wht	49 Fe Wht	57 St Wht	<u>58</u> St Wht	59 St Wht	<u>61</u> St Wht	87 <sup>2</sup> Pr Wht	95 Zn Wht
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	l+	1/4	1/8
Impact	In.Lbs	40	160+	84	48	36	160+	32	48	28
Abrasion Resistance	e L/mil	16	29	24	27	17	16	29	37	18
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change	% Score	0 6	· 0 6	10 9	16 4	14 6	20 6	26 10	40 6	12 10
Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	168	500 8MD 6 4 6 10	500 10 10 10 2 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	500 8MD 8 4 1 10	500 8F 10 9 1 10	100	336	168
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	24	500 4MD 8 6 4 10	96	500 6F 8 10 10	500 8F 10 10 10 10	500 8F 10 10 10 10	20	24	24
Alkali Resistance	Hrs	-1	1	1	-1	-1	20	20	96	-1

-109-

# <u>Appendix IIN</u> (Cont)

<u>Test Results</u>

		Water	Base To	pcoats	- Air D	<u>ry</u>				
	Substrate Color		<u>13</u> Zn Wht	<u>49</u> Fe Wht	57 St Wht	58 St Wht	59 St Wht	<u>61</u> St Wht	87 <sup>2</sup> Pr Wht	<u>95</u> Zn Wht
Xylol Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	-1	500 8MD 6 4 6 10	500 10 2 2 10 10	<b>-</b> 1	-1	-1	-1	500 10 4 10 10 10	-1
MEK Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	· 1	500 10 6 10 1 10	-1	-1	1	-1	-1	500 10 9 10 1 10	-1
Salt Fog Exposure Blistering at X " - over Creep at X Corrosion	Hrs ASTM mm Score	410	500 8M 8F 2 6	500 4M 4M 4 8	336	72	336	72	500 2F 9 2 10	100
Acc. Weathering - Color change Gloss change Chalking Checking Blistering Rusting	500 Hours Score " ASTM " " Score	8 6 10 10 10 10	10 4 8 10 10 10	6 2 6 10 10 10	4 2 8 10 10 10	4 2 10 10 10 10	9 9 10 10 10 10	9 9 10 10 10	4 10 6 10 10 10	6 4 10 10 10 10

-110-

#### -111-

### Appendix IIO

Test Results

High Solids Topcoats - Air Dry

	Substrate Color		99 <sup>2</sup> Zn Wht	<u>100<sup>2</sup> Zn</u> Wht
Viscosity (mixed) Initial 4 wks @ 125°F	KU	80 84	68* 101/123 87/114	61* 84/104 70/95
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing	Score	6 10 10 9	6/10 10/10 10/10 8/10	6/10 10/10 8/10 6/10
Pot Life	Hrs	х	1.5	16
Speed of Dry Set to touch Tack free Dry hard Dry thru	Hrs	5.0 16 16 16	0.5 3.0 4.0 4.0	2.0 6.0 16 16
Gloss	Units	78	80	98
Whiteness Index	Units	56.4	82.4	83.5
Opacity	0/ /0	99.6	95.4	96.5
Hardness (Pencil) -	Pass	HB	5H	7H
Adhesion	0/ /0	90	100	100
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	3/16
Impact	In.Lbs	56	160	56
Abrasion Resistance	L/mil	60+	54	39

2 - Two component

\* - Thinner added as directed

# -112-

#### Appendix IIO (Cont)

#### Test Results

>

High Solids Topcoats - Air Dry 99<sup>2</sup> Zn <u>100<sup>2</sup></u> 44 St Substrate -----Zn Color -----Wht Wht Wht UV Exposure Gloss change 20 33 13 3 9 Color change Score 6 10 500 Water Resistance Hrs 336 500 ASTM Blistering  $\overline{10}$  $\overline{10}$ Color change Score 10 1011 Gloss change 10 10 11 Hardness 10 10 11 Recovery 10 10 Acid Resistance Hrs 24 500 500 Blistering ASTM **8**M  $\overline{10}$ Color change Score 10 10 11 Gloss change 10 10 п Hardness 4 1011 Recovery 10 10 Alkali Resistance Hrs 96 -1 500 ASTM Blistering 2F Color change Score 8 Gloss change 11 6 11 Hardness 1011 Recovery 10 Xylol Resistance Hrs 1 500 500 Blistering ASTM 10 10 Color change Score 10 9 11 Gloss change 10 10 11 Hardness 10 10 11 Recovery 10 10 MEK Resistance Hrs 500 -1 500 Blistering ASTM 10  $\overline{10}$ Color change Score 10 8 Gloss change 11 10 10 11 Hardness 10 10 91 Recovery 10 10

2 - Two component

# <u>Appendix IIC</u> (Cont)

<u>Test Results</u>

High Sol	ids Tope	coats -	Air	Dry	

		99 <sup>2</sup> Zn Wht	<u>100<sup>2</sup> Zn</u> Wht
) Hours			
ASTM	6MD	2F	6F
41	6M	9	9
mm	2	2	2
Score	10	10	10
lours			
Score	4	8	8
11	2	8	6
ASTM	4	10	10
17	10	10	10
11	10	10	10
Score	10	10	10
	olor ) Hours ASTM " Score Hours Score " ASTM "	Ubstrate St Dlor Wht ASTM 6MD "6M mm 2 Score 10 Hours Score 4 "2 ASTM 4 "10 "10	Jbstrate       St       Zn         plor       Wht       Wht         D Hours       ASTM       6MD       2F         "       6M       9         mm       2       2         Score       10       10         Hours

## <u>Appendix IIP</u>

# <u>Test Results</u>

	Con	ventio	nal Tope	<u>oats - A</u>	ir Dry				
	Substrate Color		<u>12</u> Zn Wht	46 St Wht	52 Fe Wht	55 St Wht	56 St Wht	62 St Wht	<u>101<sup>2</sup> Zn</u> Wht
Viscosity (mixed) Initial 4 wks @ 125°F	KU	61 70	79 113	74 83	69 90	65 86	67 86	92 121	68 <sup>.</sup> 95/62 100/62
Package Stability Liq. separation Skinning Pigment settling Ease of remixing	Score	9 2 8 8	10 2 10 10	9 4 10 9	9 6 6 6	8 10 10 8	10 4 8 8	9 4 6 9	10 10 10 10
Pot Life	Hrs	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	6
Speed of Dry Set to touch Tack free Dry hard Dry thru	Hrs	0.2 0.8 1.3 1.3	0.2 16 24 24	0.2 16 16 16	0.2 1.0 1.3 1.3	0.1 0.5 2.0 2.0	0.1 0.5 2.0 2.0	0.2 2.0 3.0 3.0	0.5 4.0 5.0 5.0
Gloss	Units	90	86	86	85	90	90	72	100
Whiteness Index	Units	69.1	71.5	84.6	77.2	78.0	79.9	60.6	86.5
Opacity	0/ /0	96.8	100	96.9	96.0	96.1	99.3	97.2	95.4
Hardness (Pencil) - Pas	8	F	3B	НB	F	2 B	3B	2 B	7 H
Adhesion	0/ /0	100	100	100	100	50	· ()	90	1.00

2 - Two component

-114-

# Appendix IIP (Cont)

# Test Results

	<u> Conventional Topcoats - Air Dry</u>									
	Substrate Color		<u>12</u> Zn Wht	46 St Wht	52 Fe Wht	55 St Wht	<u>56</u> St Wht	62 St Wht	<u>101<sup>2</sup> Zn</u> Wht	
Flexibility	Inch	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/8	1+	1+	1/8	1/8	
Impact	In.Lbs	60	160+	108	44	-4	- 4	40	96	
Abrasion Resistance	L/mil	14	30	19	18	23	17	23	60+	
UV Exposure Gloss change Color change Water Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	% Score Hrs ASTM Score " " "	11 10 500 10 9 10 10 10 10	2 8 500 10 6 10 10 10	13 8 200	1 10 500 10 10 10 10 10 10	11 9 500 8D 10 6 1 10	13 9 264	28 6 144	3 10 500 10 10 10 10 10	
Acid Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score "	500 10 9 10 10 10	500 4M 10 8 6 10	240	450	500 8F 8 4 1	500 10 8 4 10 10	500 8F 9 6 10 10	500 10 10 10 10 10	
Alkali Resistance Blistering Color change Gloss change Hardness Recovery	Hrs ASTM Score " "	-1	1	-1	. 20	-1	-1	-1	500 6F 10 10 10 10	

-115-

Appendix IIP (Cont)

#### Test Results

Conventional Topcoats - Air Dry 1012 <u>56</u> St <u>52</u> Fe <u>55</u> St <u>62</u> St 10 <u>12</u> Zn 46 Substrate ----- Zn St Zn Wht Wht Color ----- Wht Wht Wht Wht Wht Wht Xylol Resistance 500 500 -1 -1 500 Hrs 1 -1 -1 10 10 10 Blistering ASTM 2 10 Color change Score 6 11 4 Gloss change 10 10 11 10 Hardness 1 10 11 10 8 Recovery 10 -1 -1 MEK Resistance -1 - 1 -1 - 1 - 1 500 Hrs ASTM 10 Blistering Color change 9 Score 11 Gloss change 10 11 10 Hardness п Recovery 10 Salt Fog Exposure Hrs 500 500 200 500 270 336 270 500 2F 8MD 4 M 10 Blistering at X ASTM 9 4F 11 8MD 10 - overall Score 2 3 Creep at X 3 mm 6 Corrosion Score 6 6 8 10 Acc. Weathering ~ 500 Hours 10 Color change 8 8 8 8 Score 6 6 6 2 4 Gloss change F 11 4 2 4 6 4 6 ASTM 4 4 8 8 10 Chalking 10 6 6 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 Checking 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 Blistering 10 10 10 10 Rusting Score 10 10 1.0 10

-116

# <u>Appendix III</u>

# Test Procedures

#### Appendix III

#### TEST PROCEDURE

Except as noted, tests were conducted in accordance with ASTM methods as described in Part 27 - "Tests for Formulated Products and Applied Coatings" issued by the American Society for Testing and Materials, Philadelphia, PA.

#### 1. Viscosity

ASTM D-562 "Consistency of Paints Using the Stormer Viscometer"

#### 2. Viscosity Stability

Viscosity was redetermined after storage for 4 weeks at 125°F.

#### 3. Package Stability

The following changes were scored (see Scoring Scheme below) after storage for 4 weeks at 125°F.

- a) Liquid separation
- b) Skinning
- c) Pigment settling
- d) Ease of remixing to a homogeneous condition

#### 4. Pot Life

Eight ounces (8 oz) of the two component products were mixed in accordance with the supplier's instructions. The time was recorded when viscosity increased beyond a useable value.

#### 5. Ease of Application

Water or the specified thinner (except for Powder Coatings) was added to spray viscosity. The thinned sample was then tested for sprayability. Powder Coatings were sprayed as received.

#### 6. Speed of Dry

ASTM D-1640 "Drying, Curing or Film Formation of Organic Coatings at Room Temperature".

-117-

Score\*

# Score\*

Hrs

# Hrs

ΚU

ΚU

7	•	0	v	е	г	с	u	г	е	

The applied coatings were baked at two schedules in accordance with ASTM D-2454 "Determining the Effect of Overbaking on Organic Coatings:

- a) Normal time but 50°F above normal temperature.
- b) Normal temperature but twice the normal time.

The cured coatings were then examined for -

- 1) Change in Appearance Score\*
- 2) Change in Gloss See No. 9 below % of Initial
- 3) Adhesion See No. 13 below
- 8. <u>Gloss</u>

ASTM D-523 "Specular Gloss"

9. Whiteness Index

ASTM E-313 "Index of Whiteness of Near-White Opaque Materials"

10. Opacity

The coatings were applied on Black and White Leneta charts, then cured as scheduled.

Opacity = Reflectance on Black X 100 Reflectance on White

11. Hardness

ASTM D-3363 "Film Hardness by Pencil Test"

12. Adhesion

ASTM D-3359 "Measuring Adhesion by Tape Test"

13. Flexibility

ASTM D-1737 "Elongation of Coatings With Cylindrical Mandrel Apparatus".

Inch

Pencil No.

%

Units

Units

20

#### 14. Impact Resistance

ASTM D-2794 "Resistance of Organic Coatings to the Effects of Rapid Deformation (Impact)"

#### Abrasion Resistance 15.

ASTM D-968 "Abrasion Resistance of Coatings of Paint, Varnish Lacquer and Related Products by the Falling Sand Method".

#### 16. UV Exposure

The cured coatings were exposed to ultraviolet light for two weeks. They were then evaluated vs the unexposed coatings for -

a) Change in Gloss - See No. 9 above % of Initial

b) Change in Color

17. The following tests were conducted in accordance with ASTM D-1308 "Test for Effect of Household Chemicals on Clear and Pigmented Organic Finishes" even though some reagents are industrial products:

a) Water Resistanc	e		. –	Imme	rsion
b) Acid Resistance	e (5% HCl)		: <b>-</b>	Spot	test

c) Alkali Resistance (5% NaOH)

d) Xylol Resistance

e) MEK (Methyl Ethyl Ketone) Resistance - Immersion

The following changes were recorded after an exposure of 500 hours:

1) Blistering - ASTM D-714 "Evaluating Degree of Blistering of Paints"

- 2) Color Change Score\* Score\* 3) Gloss Change
- 4) Hardness When removed and after 24 hour Score\* recovery

Coatings which failed before 500 hours were removed and the exposure time recorded.

-119-

L/mil

In.lbs

#### Score\*

- Immersion

- Immersion

#### Salt Fog Exposure 18.

ASTM B-117 "Salt Spray (Fog) Testing". After 500 hours of exposure, the coatings were evaluated for the following properties:

- a) Blistering at X Score
- b) Blistering overall Ditto
- c) Creep from the X
- d) Corrosion on the stripped panel Score\*

Coatings which failed before 500 hours were removed and the time recorded.

#### 19. Accelerated Weathering

ASTM G-53 "Operating Light-and Water- Exposure Apparatus (Fluorescent UV - Condensation Type) for Exposure of Nonmetallic Materials".

After 500 hours of exposure, the coatings were evaluated for the following properties:

a)	Color Change	Score*
b)	Gloss Change	Score*
c)	Chalking	ASTM D-659
d)	Checking	ASTM D-660
e)	Blistering	ASTM D-714
f)	Rusting	Score*

Coatings which failed before 500 hours were removed and the time recorded.

\* Scoring Scheme

The following ASTM scoring system was used to describe subjective observations:

Score	<u>Performance</u> o	r	Effect
10 9 8 6 4 2 1	Perfect Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor Very poor No value		None Trace Very slight Slight Moderate Severe Extreme Failed

ASTM D-714

mm

## <u>Appendix IV</u>

# Rating Scheme

ξ.

#### Appendix IV

#### RATING SCHEME

The following ratings or designations are used to evaluate or describe the data developed. They are numbered in accordance with the tests described in Appendix III, Test Procedure.

Viscosity (KU) 1.

VL	-	42	to	50
Ľ	-	53	to	-58
LM		60	to	69
Μ	~	72	to	86
ΜH		89	to	100
Н	~	105	to	120
VH	-	140+		

#### Viscosity Stability (Change) 2.

Rating

ating	. <u>KU</u>	<u>KU to 141+</u>
10	0 to 4	
. 9	5 to 12	
8	13 to 19	
6	20 to 30	
4	34 to 43	
2	52	33 to 35
1	77 to 80	59 to 66
.0	Solid	

Two component products - based on least stable component.

3. Package Stability

							Rating		
Tot	<u>al S</u>	core	Lovest	Score	 <u>9</u>	8	<u>6</u>	4	2
40	to	38			10				
37	to	30				9	8	6	4
28	to	. 20					6	4	2

Two component products - based on least stable component.



10		16+
8	-	6
4	-	2.5
2	-	1.5
0		0.5

#### 5. Ease of Application

Not rated since all were Excellent

Speed of Dry (Hrs) 6.

Tot	al	of al	l va	alues
10	_	2.2	to	2.8
9	-	3.6	to	4.6
8		6.1	to	10.1
6	-	11.0	to	14.5
2		38.1	to	48.2
0	-	53+		

7. Overcure

8.

ML L VL

5-

			Rat	ing		
	Lowest Score	9	8	6	4	2
<u>Total Score</u>	·					
60 to 54		10	9	8	6	
52 to 47			8	8	6	•
46 to 42	· · · ·			6	. 4	4
30 to 34						2
Scores	<u>Gloss Change (%)</u>			Adl	nesio	n (%)
10 9 8 6 4 2	0 to 5 6 to 15 17 to 24 26 to 33 38 to 40				100 95 20	•
Gloss (Units) VH - 90+ H - 89 to 80 MH - 78 to 64 M - 57 to 50 ML - 33 to 20 L - 10		•		•		

• .

9.	Whiteness (Round Units)
	10 - 95+ 9 - 92 to 89 8 - 88 to 80 6 - 79 to 71 4 - 69 to 60 2 - 56 to 51 1 - 50-
10.	Opacity (Round %)
	10 - 100 9 - 99 to 97 8 - 96 to 93 6 - 90 to 89 4 - 81 to 75 2 - 66
11.	Hardness (Pencil)
	10 - 6H to 5H 9 - 4H to 3H 8 - 2H to H 6 - F to HB 4 - 2B to 3B 2 - 4B to 5B 0 - Below 5B
12.	Adhesion (%)
12.	Adhesion (%) 10 - 100 8 - 90 6 - 70 - 50 2 - 15 - 5 0 - 0
12.	$ \begin{array}{rcrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
	$ \begin{array}{rcrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
	$ \begin{array}{rcrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$

3

••

## 15. Abrasion Resistance (L/Mil)

10		60-	ŀ	
9		58	to	49
8		45	to	35
6		33	to	23
4	-	21	to	11
2		10	to	9

#### 16. UV Exposure

Color Retention - Same as Score for Color Change

Gloss Retention (Change - %

10	-	0	to	5
9		6	to	14
8	·	16	to	24
6	-	26	to	35
<u> </u>	-	40	to	48
2	-	65		

### 17. Water Resistance

Rating Hours			
500		50 - 48	
500		46 - 16	
500		6	
450 - 336			
288 - 188			
168 - 96		,	
72 - 16			
Below 16			
	500 500 500 450 - 336 288 - 188 168 - 96 72 - 16	$500 \\ 500 \\ 500 \\ 450 - 336 \\ 288 - 188 \\ 168 - 96 \\ 72 - 16$	

#### 18. Salt Fog Exposure

Rating	Hours
10 9 8 6 4 2 0	500 500 410 - 336 270 - 200 140 - 92 72 - 20 Below 20

Total	Score

40 - 38 37 - 20

.

19. Accelerated Weathering (500 hours)

					Rating				
	Lovest	Score		8	<u>6</u>	4	2	<u>0</u>	
Total Score								•	
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	:			10 9 18	8 6	6 4	4 4 2	4 2 1	

# 20 hours = 0

#### Re: Nos. 17, 18, 19

Blistering (ASTM)

	Score					
Size	F	M	MD	<u>D</u>		
8	9	8	6 ·	4		
6	8	6	4	2		
4	6	4	2	1		
2	4	2	1	0		

### Creep at X (Salt Fog)

mm	Score	<u>e</u>
G	10	
1	. 9	
2	8	
3,4	6	
5	. 4	
9	2	



-126-

Appendix VA

DATA FORM

116 East 16th Street, New York, N.Y. 10003

#### LOW SOLVENT VS CONVENTIONAL METAL FINISHES

Provide whatever information you can comparing the low solvent finish vs the conventional product. If you want a copy of the report, please fill in your name and company. Otherwise, inclusion of your name is optional: Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_Position:\_\_\_\_\_ Address: Co.:\_\_\_\_\_ LOW SOLVENT CONVENTIONAL Product: - Name: - Code: Hi Solids:\_\_\_\_Powder:\_\_\_\_ Type: (Check) Water-Base: Generic (Resin) Type: Steel: \_\_\_\_\_Galv: Alum: Other: For Use On: Special Metal Treatment: Method of Application: No. of Coats: nils mils Total Thickness: mins. at\_\_\_\_\_ oF ٥F mins. at Cure: No. of Colors: . . Color Change Frequency: ¢/sq.ft. \$/gal ¢/sq.ft. \$/gal Coating Cost: Please fill in the following information for the Low Solvent Coatings: Equipment Changes Required: Production Changes Required:

(OVER)

Effect on Cost (Estimated \$ change and/or % change vs Conventional Coating): Increase (Check) Decrease \$\_\_\_\_\_ Capital Cost: % \$ Operating Cost: Maintenance Cost: \$\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \$ Energy Cost: \_\_\_\_\_ % Advantages - Production: -Performance: Problems - Production: - Performance: \$\_\_\_\_\_% Increase: Decrease: Effect on Sales: Where Can It Not Be Used: Can you tell us whom to contact for product information? Name: Name: Company: Company: \_\_\_\_ Address: Address:

Please send to:

Sidney B. Levinson President D/L Laboratories 116 East 16th Street New York, NY 10003 -2-

		-128-	
		Appendix VB	
		DATA FORM	
Nam	e :	Co.:	
Add	ress:		
	ne No.:		***************************************
1.	Do you use water base products?:	, high solids or powder	coatings on metal
	Yes	No	
2.	Product Name:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3.		Hi Solids:	
4.	VOC:	g/l	lbs/gal
5.	Resin Type:		
6.	What conventional coa	ting does it replace:	
7.		used?:	
8.	On what substrate?:	Steel Alum	Galv.
9.	Metal treatment:		
10.	Application Method:		
11.	No. of coats:	Total dft:	
12.	Cure:	mins. at	٥F
13.	No. of colors:		
14.	Color change frequenc	:y:	
15.	Coating cost:	¢/sq.ft	\$/gal
16.	Advantages: Production:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Performance:		
		· · · · ·	

i,

**.** 

6° .

۵

.

•

	•				· ·			
٠	Problems: Production:				•			
				<u></u>	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
	Performance	•						
	- -				•			
•	Equipment Chan							
,	•	-			·····			
•	Production Cha	nges Requir	ed:					
•	Effect on Cost	vs Convent	ional	:				
		\$		20		Increas	se	Decreas
	Capital Cost:				-			
	Operating Cost	•			~7			
	Maintenance:				~			•
	Energy Cost:				. ·	<b></b>		<b></b>
			•					
•	Effect on Sale	S		·····				<b></b>
•	Where Can It N	ot Be Used:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
•	Is it possible	to get a c	ору о	f your	speci	ficati	ons?:	· · ·
•	Can you sugges							urvey?:
		Name						
		Company						
		Tel. No.						
				_				•
}00000	*00002320*		•					• •
			•					
	A99F [							· ·