"At the present time, do you smoke cigarettes?" "Have you ever smoked cigarettes on a regular basis?"

CURRENT SMOKING STATUS

	MAI	_ES	FEM	ALES	TOT	AL
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Current Smokers	75	3 5%	64	27%	139	31%
Former Smokers	42	20%	49	21%	91	20%
Never Smoked	98	45%	122	52%	-220	49%
	215	100%	235	100%	450	100%

FOR FORMER SMOKERS

"When you were smoking regularly, on the average how many cigarettes did you smoke per day?"

	MALES	FEMALES	TOTAL
No. of cigarettes	No. %	No. %	No. %
1-19	24 57%	32 65%	56 62%
20-39	16 38%	14 29%	30 33%
<u>></u> 40	2 5%	1 2%	3 3%
Don't know	0 -	2 4%	2 2%
	42 100%	49 100%	91 100%

FOR CURRENT SMOKERS

"What is the average number of cigarettes you smoke per day now?"

	MALES	FEMALES	TOTAL
No. of Cigarettes	No. %	No. %	No. %
1-19	29 39%	26 41%	55 40%
20-39	34 45%	32 50%	66 47%
<u>></u> ∙40	12 16%	5 8%	17 12%
Don't Know	0 -	1 1%	1 1%
	75 100%	64 100%	139 100%

When current drinkers were asked the number of days per month they typically drink, they responded as shown in Table 4-23. Again there is a difference between males and females in the higher alcohol consumption categories.

Twenty-three percent of the male regular drinkers reported drinking 5 or more drinks on the days they did drink, while only 5% of the female drinkers reported this.

Table 4-24 shows the response to the question about heavy drinking. Again males responded to having three or more drinks per day regularly, and five or more drinks at a time, more frequently than females.

Table 4-25 gives the frequency of once-regular drinkers among current non-drinkers. More males among the non-drinkers than females have been regular drinkers in the past (30% to 13%). For former regular drinkers, the median age they began drinking was 20 years and the median age they stopped was 23 years (the same for males and females).

4.2.3 Drug Usage

The administration of the drug questionnaire included a methodological trial of two alternative ways of collecting the data. As described in Appendix C, some of the subjects received a self-administered drug questionnaire with their identification number (ID) on it, and some of the subjects were given a completely anonymous version. For face-to-face interviews, the ID-numbered version was handed back to the interviewer, while the anonymous version was to be mailed by the respondent back to the study office after the interview. For interviews conducted by telephone, both versions were mailed to the subjects after the interview, with instructions on how to complete it and mail it back to us.

While confidentiality was assured in both cases, subjects receiving the ID-numbered version knew that his or her responses were not anonymous. But we felt that subjects receiving the anonymous version would be convinced that their responses would be truly anonymous and not traceable back to them.

FOR FORMER SMOKERS

"What was the main reason you stopped smoking?"

	91	100%
Other (e.g. religious, changed friends, got tired of it, etc.)	37	41%
Pregnancy	9	10%
Adverse publicity about smoking.	17	19%
Health related reason, following a doctor's suggestion.	2	2%
Health related reason, but not on a doctor's suggestion.	26	28%
REASON	<u>No</u> .	20

.

FOR CURRENT DRINKERS

"Have you ever regularly had three or more drinks per day, every day?"

	MAL	.ES	FEMAL	ES	TOTA	L
	Nô.	%	No.	%	No.	%
YES	35	29%	10	12%	45	22%
NO	122	100%	84	100%	206	100%

"Have there been periods when you have had five or more drinks at at time, at least twice a month?

۰.

	MALES	FEMALES	TOTAL
	<u>No. %</u>	No. %	No. %
YES	90 74%	39 46%	129 63%
NO	32 26%	45 54%	<u> </u>
	122 100%	84 100%	206 100%

Table 4-25 FOR CURRENT NON-DRINKERS

"In the past, has there ever been a time when you regularly drank alcoholic beverages?"

	MALES	FEM	ALES	TOTAL
	<u>No. %</u>	No.	%	<u>No. %</u>
YES	28 30%	20	13%	48 20%
NO	<u>65</u> 70%	131	87%	<u> 196 80%</u>
	93 100%	151	100%	244 100%

ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION

"At the present time do you regularly drink alcoholic beverages?"

	4	IALES	FEM	ALES	TOT	AL
x -	, <u>No</u> .	%	No.	<u>%</u>	<u>No</u> .	<u>%</u>
YES	122	57%	84	36%	206	46%
NO	93	43%	151	64%	244	54%
	215	100%	235	100%	450	100%

Table 4-23 FOR CURRENT DRINKERS

"During a typical month, about how many days do you drink alcoholic beverages?"

	M	ALES	FEM	IALES	тот	AL
<u>No. of Days</u>	<u>No</u> .	<u>%</u>	<u>No</u> .	<u>%</u>	<u>No</u> .	<u>%</u>
1-9 days	62	51%	52	62%	114	5 5%
10-19 days	25	2 0%	22	26%	47	23%
<u>></u> 20 days	35	29%	10	12%	45	2 2%
	122	100%	84	100%	206	100%

"On the days you do drink, about how many drinks do you have on an average day?"

	MA	LES	FE	MALES	тс	TAL
No. of Drinks	<u>NO</u> .	<u>%</u>	<u>No</u> .	_%	<u>No</u> .	<u>%</u>
1-2 per day	68	56%	56	67%	124	6 0%
3-4 per day	26	21%	24	2 8%	50	24%
<u>></u> 5 per day	2 8	23%	4	5%	32	16%
	122	100%	84	100%	206	10 0%

Refusal Rates for ID-Numbered and Anonymous Drug Questionnaires, By Interview Type.

	ID	ANONYMOUS
Face to Face Interviews:		
Refused	1 (1%)	1 (1%)
Agreed to Complete	125 (99%)	101 (99%)
	126 (100%)	102 (100%)
Telephone Interviews	. ,	. ,
Refused	22(21%)	1 (1%)
Agreed to Complete	83(79%)	116 (99%)
	105 (100%)	117 (100%)

Because the two versions were randomly allocated (using a table of random numbers at the time the subjects were assigned to interviewers), the drug use histories should tend to be equally distributed between the two groups of subjects. If the two questionnaire versions yielded different drug use histories, this could be because subjects did not trust the confidentiality of the ID-numbered version and so falsified answers or refused to answer. If the two questionnaire versions yielded similar results, we could infer that anonymous drug histories were not needed to elicit valid information and future questionnaire versions can have ID-numbers included.

Table 4-26 shows the rates of refusal for ID-numbered drug questionnaires and anonymous questionnaires according to whether the interview was administered face-to-face or by telephone. The "refusals" in this analysis are instances in which the study subject actually refused to accept the drug questionnaire from the interviewer and would not complete it. Table 4-26 indicates a higher refusal rate for ID-numbered questionnaires which are administered over the telephone (21% refusal rate, compared to 1% for anonymous questionnaires administered by telephone).

Besides refusing to complete the questionnaire at the time of the interview, it is also possible to tacitly "refuse" to complete a drug questionnaire administered by telephone by simply not mailing it back. Table 4-27 compares completion rates (i.e. rates that take into account both out right refusal to complete and failure to return the completed form). It appears as if, when the interviewer is not physically present with the subjects (perhaps reassuring them), the subjects are more reluctant to return the ID-numbered questionnaires.

Tables 4-28 and 4-29 compare the responses on the marijuana and other drug use questions between the ID-numbered and anonymous questionnaires. For those subjects responding, the responses are very similar on all questions. Where the results differ, however, is in the proportion of subjects refusing to respond to individual questions. (For the purpose of this analysis, questions left blank (tacit refusal) and "Don't wish to answer" responses have been combined). For the questions on marijuana use, the ID-numbered questionnaires have a slightly larger proportion of refusals.

Comparison of Responses on Marijuana Use Between ID-Numbered and Anonymous Questionnaires

	ID	ANONYMOUS
1. Ever Used Marijuana	%	<u>%</u>
% Responding Yes	56%	55%
% Refusing to Respond	5%	3%
2. Age of First Use		
Median of Responses	17 years	17 years
% Refusing to Respond	13%	7%
3. Years of Marijuana Use		
Median of Responses	5 years	4 years
% Refusing to Respond	12%	7%
4. Frequency of Marijuana Use		
(% of Responses)		
Every day or Nearly	9%	10%
3-4 times per week	12%	11%
l-2 times per week	12%	16%
1-3 times per month	20%	18%
Less than once a month	38%	43%
Don't wish to answer	9%	2%

Overall Completion Rates for ID- Numbered and Anonymous Drug Questionnaires Requiring Return to Study Office By Mail

	ID (by telephone)	ANONYMOUS
Administred	105	219
Returned to office	66	179
Return Rate	66/105 = 63%	179/219 = 82%

Comparison of Responses on Drug Use Between ID-Numbers and Anonymous Questionnaires (Cont.)

• · .

			I.I. I.I. I.I.		MOUS
_		<u>No</u> .	<u>%</u>	<u>No</u> .	%
5.	Heroin				
	Regular User	0	0%	J	1%
	Occasional User	3	2%	3	2%
	Have Never Used	184	9 6%	164	91%
	Refused to Answer	4	2%	<u> </u>	6%
		191	100%	179	100%
6.	Cocaine				
	Regular User	2	1%	2	1%
	Occasional User	32	17%	22	12%
	Have Never Used	153	80%	142	80%
	Refused to Answer	4	2%	13	7%
		191	100%	179	100%
7.	Inhalants		-		
	Regular User	0	0%	0	0%
	Occasional User	0	0%	4	2%
	Have Never Used	186	97%	162	91%
	Refused to Answer	5	3%	13	7%
		191	100%	179	100%

....

Comparison of Responses on Drug Use Between ID-Numbers and Anonymous Questionnaires

.

		· ·	<u>ID</u>	AN	DNYMOUS
1.	Barbituates	No.	%	No.	%
	Regular User	1	1%	1	1%
	Occasional User	17	9%	21	12%
	Have Never Used	167	87%	141	78%
	Refused to Answer	6	3%	16	9%
		191	100%	179	100%
2.	Amphetamines				
	Regular User	2	1%	1	1%
	Occasional User	40	21%	38	21%
	Have Never Used	140	73%	124	69%
	Refused to Answer	9	5%	16	9%
		191	100%	179	100%
3.	Tranquilizers				
	Regular User	. 1	1%	1	1%
	Occasional User	24	12%	22	12%
	Have Never Used	157	82%	139	7 8%
	Refused to Answer	9	5%	17	9%
		191	100%	179	100%
4.	Hallucinogens				
	Regular User	1	1%	0	0%
	Occasional User	28	15%	23	13%
	Have Never Used	155	81%	140	7 8%
	Refused to Answer	7	3%	16	9%
		191	100%	179	100%

• 1

. . .

Drug Use of Subjects 25 Years of Age or Less Compared to National Survey on Drug Abuse Data

	Subjects ★ ≤25 yrs. ∦ Ever Used	NSDA Data 18-25 years % Ever Used
Marijuana	60%	68%
Stimulants (Amphetamines)	27%	18%
Tranquilizers	12%	16%
Hallucinogens	15%	25%
Heroin	2%	4%
Cocaine	23%	28%
Inhalants (glue)	0	17%

*Study subjects in this age group range from 21 to 25 years. N = 60

For the questions on other drug use, the anonymous questionnaires appear to have a higher refusal rate.

To see how the drug usage in our cohort compares to that of the general population, we have used data from the National Survey on Drug Abuse. This survey's findings are summarized for age groups 12 to 17 years, 18 to 25 years and adults 26 years and older. In order to compare similar age groups we restricted the comparison to study subjects less than 25 verses national data in young adults 18 to 25 years. These comparisons are presented in Table 4-30. Note, however, that there were no study subjects less than 21 at the time of the interview. So, in effect, Table 4-30 compares subjects 21 to 25 years of age to national survey data for persons 18 to 25 years of age.

Study subjects have about the same proportion of "ever used" as the national data for marijuana, heroin and cocaine; a smaller proportion than the national data for tranquilizers, hallucinogens, and inhalants; and a greater proportion of users of stimulants.

4.2.4 Occupational Exposures

The reported occupational exposures have been categorized and listed in Table 4-31. Up to three hazards could have been coded for each respondent. It is interesting to note that several of these exposures are substances with known carcinogenic potential, such as asbestos, various organic chemicals, and of course, vinyl chloride.

The number of reported hazardous exposures per subject is given in Table 4-32. Over half (55%) of the subjects did not report a hazardous exposure, while 22% reported 2 or more.

"Do you know of any hazardou By that, I mean any exposure persons health. What hazard (Continued)	s exposures in this job? s that might affect a s were you exposed to?"
OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE	NO. OF SUBJECTS REPORTING
Insecticides or Herbicides	
Insect sprays	14
Herbicides, week killers	5
Crop sprays, N.O.S.	7
Plastics or Resins	
Castin resins, epoxy resins, acrylic res	sin 5
Vinyl chloride, PVC	10
Plastics, N.O.S., N.E.C.	7
Anaesthetic gases	1
Radiologic Exposures	
Nuclear power plant worker	2
Radar technician	. 2
Nuclear medicine, laboratory work	2
X-ray machine operator	11
Radon (mining)	1
Radiologic N.O.S.	1
Petroleum Products, Fuels, Combustion Product	.s
Gasoline, automobile, service station	19
Diesel fuel, heavy equipment driver	3
Exhaust fumes, N.O.S.	19
Jet, airplane fuel, exhaust	1.
Asphalt, road surfacing	1
Oil (Machinery lubrication)	1
Smoke, fire-fighting	4
Petroleum products, N.O.S., N.E.C.	3

REPORTED OCCUPATIONAL HAZARDS

"Do you know of any hazardous exposures in this job? By that, I mean any exposures that might affect a persons health. What hazards were you exposed to?"

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE	NO.	OF	SUBJECTS	REPORTING
Organic Chemicals				
Cleaning chemicals, solvents			36	
Wood glue, sawdust, preservatives			9	
Aromatics (toluene, xylene, etc.)			6	
Paints, thinner, turpentine			23	
Dyes (textile, leather)			3	
Hair dyes, sprays (cosmetologist)			10	
Gases (methane, tear gas, toxic gases)			7	
Organic chemicals, N.O.S.* or N.E.C.**			13	
Inorganic Chemicals				
Inorganic acids			9	
Amonia			3	
Cement, sand dust, glass dust			9	
H ₂ S gas			2	
Sulphur (glass works)			2	
Pool chemicals, water treatment chemica	ls		7	
chlorine gas (not for water treatment)			3	
Chemicals N.O.S.			16	
Insulation Materials				
Brake, clutch dust (automobile work)			11	
Asbestos (construction, pipes, welding)			8	
Asbestos (hair dryer)			1.	
Fiberglass insulation			. 8	
Insulation materials, N.O.S., N.E.C.			3	

* N.O.S. : Not Otherwise Specified

** N.E.C. : Not Elsewhere Classified

--.

Number of Occupational Hazards Reported Per Subject

Number of Hazards Reported	Number of Subjects	_%
None	248	55%
1	105	23%
2	61	14%
3	23	5%
4	8	2%
5	3	1%
6	_2	<u><1%</u>
	450	100%

"Do you know of any hazardous exposures in this job? By that, I mean any exposures that might affect a persons health. What hazards were you exposed to? (Continued)

OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE

NO. OF SUBJECTS REPORTING

Metal	Fumes, Dust
	Lead fumes
	Aluminum forging, dust
	Heavy Metals (e.g. Hg, Mn)
	Welding fumes
	Soldering fumes, flux
	Coke over gases

Reported Diseases

"Have you ever been told by a doctor that you had any of the following?"

	<u>Males Re</u> (N =	porting 215)	Females F (N =	Reporting 235)
Respiratory Diseases	<u>NO.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>NO.</u>	
Asthma Bronchitis Pneumonia Emphysema	24 40 31 1	11% 19% 14% <1%	26 54 50 2	11% 23% 21% 1%
Heart or Circulatory Diseases				
Hypertension Buerger's Disease Raynaud's Disease Thrombophlebitis Rheumatic Fever Varicose Veins	21 0 0 4 5	10% 2% 2%	23 1 4 5 5 13	10% <1% 2% 2% 5%
Blood Diseases				
Anemia Aplastic Anemia Sickle Cell Anemia Thalassemia Leukopenia Thrombocytopenia Leukemia Polycythenia Lymphoma	10 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	5% <1% 	69 1 0 0 0 1 0 0	29% <1% <1%
Digestive System Diseases				
Hepatomegaly Splenomegaly Cirrhosis of the Liver Gall Stones Pancreatitis Colitis Diverticulitis	0 0 0 2 3 0	 1% 1%	5 2 1 11 1 6 0	2% 1% <1% 5% 1% 3%

4.2.5 Reported Diseases

The numbers (and percentages) of subjects reporting that they have had the specific diseases listed in the questionnaire are given in Table 4-33. These data were collected as incidence data, not as prevalence. The questions ask, "Have you ever been told by a doctor that you had . . . " a given disease, and the specific year of the diagnosis. After follow-up has been completed and the entire study and control groups interviewed, it will be possible to compute year by year incidence rates or use a life table analysis for these diseases. In the present analysis the incidences tabulated here represent the proportion of study subjects reporting that they have had a given disease during their lifetime.

These incidences have been presented for males and females separately, since several diseases have had a much higher incidence among females (e.g. anemia, bladder infections, eczema). In fact, females had a higher reported incidence of diseases overall. The 235 women interviewed have reported a total of 556 diseases; for an average of 2.4 diseases per woman. The men reported 260 diseases, for an average of 1.2 diseases per man.

The list of diseases in Table 4-33 includes many that have been associated with vinyl chloride exposure, as well as diseases with no known VC association. These non-VC diseases have been added to serve as distractors, to prevent subjects from knowing which specific conditions we were studying.

Tables 4-34 and 4-35 presents the proportions of females and males who have ever had these diseases, stratified by length of exposure (10 months vs. greater than 10 months). Furthermore, we have separated the diseases in these tables into those which have possible VC association (whose proportions we might expect to be elevated in the high exposure group) and those diseases without a VC association (whose proportions we would expect to be about the same between the high and low exposure groups).

In Table 4-34, which shows data for the 235 females, nine of the VC related diseases are elevated in the high exposure group. These are asthma, emphysema, Raynaud's disease, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, ulcers, hepatitis (all forms combined), pyelonephritis, and other kidney diseases.

.- .

Proportion of Females Reporting Diseases With 10 Months Exposure and Greater than 10 Months Exposure

.

-	$\frac{10 \text{ Mos. Exposure}}{(N = 68)}$	$\frac{>10 \text{ Mos. Exposure}}{(N = 167)}$
Diseases with Possible Association to VC Exposure:		
Asthma	4%	14%
Bronchitis	25%	22%
Emphysema	7%	11%
Raynaud's Disease	1%	2%
Leukopenia	0	0
Thrombocytopenia	0	0
Leukemia	0	<1%
Lymphoma	0	0
Hepatomegaly	1%	2%
Splenomegaly	0	1%
Cirrhosis of the Liver	0	%</td
Ulcers	3%	11%
Hepatitis (all forms)	6%	7%
Other Digestive or Liver Diseases	6%	2%
Glomerulonephritis	0	<1%
Pyelonephritis	0	1%
Other Kidney Diseases	4%	10%
Osteoarthritis	4%	4%
Acroosteolysis	0	0
Finger clubbing	0	0
Scleroderma	0	< 1%

Reported Diseases (Cont.)

"Have you ever been told by a doctor that you had any of the following?"

	$\frac{Males Reporting}{(N = 215)}$		Females Reporting	
			(N =	235)
Digostivo System Discossos (Cont.)	No.	%	No.	%
Digestive system Diseases (cont.)				
Ulcers	24	11%	21	9%
Appendicitis	17	8%	27	11%
Infectious Hepatitis	2	1%	1	< 1%
Serum Hepatitis 🛛 🔸	0		1	< 1%
Viral Hepatitis, N.O.S.	0		3	1%
Chemical Hepatitis	0		0	
Hepatitis, N.O.S.	10	5%	11	5%
Other Digestive or Liver Diseases	8	4%	8	3%
Kidney or Urologic Diseases				
Bright's Disease	0		1	< 1%
Glomerulonephritis	0		1	< 1%
Pyelonephritis	0		2	1%
Kidney Stones	2	1%	3	1%
Bladder Infection	15	7%	105	47%
Other Kidney Problems	9	4%	19	8%
Bone, Joint, or Skin Diseases				
Osteoarthritis	7	3%	10	4%
Rheumatoid Arthritis	7	3%	3	1%
Gout	4	2%	1	<1%
Fibrositis	0		0	
Acroosteolysis	0		0	
Clubbing of the Fingers	1	<1%	0	
Psoriasis	3	1%	0	
Eczema	4	2%	27	11%
Scieroderma	0		1	< 1%
LOID SORES	12	0% 1%	23	10%
Sningles	3	1%	3	1%

*N.O.S. -- Not otherwise specified

Proportion of Males Reporting Diseases With 10 Months Exposure and Greater than 10 Months Exposure

		ARE
	10 Mos. Exposure	>10 Mos. Exposure
	(N = 51)	(N = 164)
Diseases with Possible Association to VC Exposure:	_%	
Asthma Bronchitis Emphysema	8% 8% 0	12% 22% < 1%
Raynaud's Disease	0	0
Leukopenia Thrombocytopenia Leukemia Lymphoma	0 0 0 0	0 < 1% 0 0
Hepatomegaly Splenomegaly Cirrhosis of the Liver Ulcers Hepatitis (all forms) Other Digestive or Liver Disease	0 0 14% 6% s 2%	0 0 10% 5% 4%
Glomerulonephritis Pyelonephritis Other Kidney Diseases	0 0 4%	0 0 4%
Osteoarthritis Acroosteolysis Finger Clubbing Scleroderma	4% 0 0 0	3% 0 <1% 0

Table 4-34 (cont.)

Proportions of Females Reporting Diseases With 10 Months Exposure and Greater than 10 Months Exposure

	$\frac{10 \text{ Mos. Exposure}}{(N = 68)}$	$\frac{>10 \text{ Mos. Exposure}}{(N = 167)}$
Diseases Not Associated With VC Exposure:		
Pneumonia	12%	25%
Hypertension	7%	11%
Buerger's Disease	O	<1%
Thrombophlebitis	O	3%
Rheumatic Fever	1%	2%
Varicose Veins	9%	4%
Anemia	21%	33%
Aplastic Anemia	0	<1%
Sickle Cell Anemia	0	0
Thalassemia	0	0
Polycythemia	0	0
Gall Stones	6%	4%
Pancreatitis	0	<1%
Colitis	6%	4%
Diverticulitis	0	0
Appendicitis	10%	12%
Bright's Disease	1%	0
Kidney Stones	0	2%
Bladder Infection	50%	43%
Rheumatoid Arthritis	1%	1%
Gout	0	<1%
Fibrositis	0	0
Psoriasis	0	3%
Eczema	13%	11%
Cold Sores	12%	11%
Shingles	1%	1%

Only two of the VC associated disease incidences are elevated in the low (10 month exposure) group: bronchitis, and other digestive and liver diseases. The remaining 10 VC related diseases have approximately equal incidences within the two groups.

The non-VC associated diseases, however, are divided more evenly. Eight are elevated in the high exposure group, 7 are elevated in the low exposure group, and ll are approximately equal.

Table 4-35 presents the same analysis for males. Three VC associated diseases (asthma, bronchitis, and other digestive and liver diseases) were elevated in the group with greater than 10 months exposure, while three diseases (ulcers, hepatitis and obsteoarthritis) were elevated in the low exposure group. The remaining VC related diseases were about equal between the two groups.

For non-VC related illnesses, 7 were elevated in the high exposure group, 6 were elevated in the low exposure group, and 13 diseases had about the proportions in both groups.

Unfortunately, no other comparisons can be adequately performed at this time. For most of the diseases in Table 4-33 no general population incidence data are available. A control group responding to the same questions would be necessary to generate non-exposed comparison data.

Table 4-35 (Cont.)

Proportion of Males Reporting Diseases With 10 Months Exposure and Greater than 10 Months Exposure

.

	$\frac{10 \text{ Mos. Exposure}}{(N = 51)}$	$\frac{>10 \text{ Mos. Exposure}}{(N = 164)}$
Diseases Not Associated with VC Exposure:		
Pneumonia	8%	16%
Hypertension	6%	11%
Buerger's Disease	0	0
Thrombophlebitis	0	0
Rheumatic Fever	2%	2%
Varicose Veins	0	3%
Anemia	8%	4%
Aplastic Anemia	0	0
Sickle Cell Anemia	0	0
Thalessemia	0	0
Polycythemia	0	0
Gall Stones	0	0
Pancreatitis	0	1%
Colitis	2%	1%
Diverticulitis	0	0
Appendicitis	8%	8%
Bright's Disease	0	0
Kidney Stones	2%	0
Bladder Infection	12%	5%
Rheumatoid Arthritis	2%	3%
Gout	2%	2%
Fibrositis	0	0
Psoriasis	0	2%
Eczema	0	2%
Cold Sores	8%	5%
Shingles	2%	1%

Table 4-36 REPORTED CANCERS

"Have you ever had any form of cancer?"

	No.	%
YES	7	2%
NÒ	442	98%
DON'T KNOW	1	<1%
	450	100%

4.2.6 Chronic Conditions, Limitations, and Hospitalizations

Cancer

Seven of the 450 interviewed subjects ($\gtrsim 2\%$) responded that they had ever had some form of cancer (Table 4-36). One person was reported to be currently undergoing diagnostic procedures, and so was listed in the "Don't Know" category.

The 7 reported cancers were grouped into the following categories:

4- Uterine, cervix, or other female genito-urinary

2- Skin

1- Leukemia

Ninety-eight (22%) of the subjects reported that a member of their family had had cancer (Table 4-37). The distribution of these cancers is given below.

32-Skin

17-Uterine, cervix, other female genito-urinary organs

13-Digestive organs and peritoneum

6-Breast

4-Lymphatic and hematopoietic

- 3-Bladder
- 3-Thyroid

3-Malignant melanoma

3-Respiratory system

2-Bone

1-Pharynx

11-Site unknown

Please bear in mind, however, that family histories are vulnerable to the biasing effect of large family size, as discussed in Section 4.1.5.

Other Medical Problems

Forty-nine perecent (222 subjects) reported that they have had other medical problems as shown in Table 4-38. The numbers of the various first-reported problems (grouped according to ICD classifications) are given in Table 4-38:

The median age of onset for their first reported condition was 19 years.

Table 4-38 OTHER MEDICAL PROBLEMS

"Have you ever had any other medical problems that were serious enough to require 3 or more visits to a doctor for one attack or episode of the problem? This refers to outpatient or office treatment?" "What was the problem?"

MEDICAL PROBLEM	NO. OF SUBJECTS REPORTING	_%
None Reported	228	51%
Infective and Parasitic Diseases	25	6%
Neoplasms (all benign)	6	1%
Endocrine, Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	6	1%
Diseases of Blood and Blood-Forming Organs	4	1%
Diseases of the Nervous System and Sense Organs	19	· 4%
Diseases of the Circulatory System	5	1%
Diseases of the Respiratory System	33	7%
Diseases of the Digestive System	9	2%
Diseases of the Genito-Urinary System	18	4%
Complications of Pregnancy and Childbirt	h · 2	<1%
Diseases of the Skin and Subcutaneous Ti	ssue 12	3%
Diseases of the Musculoskeletal System	14	3%
Congenital Abnormalities	2	<1%
Symptoms and Ill-Defined Conditions	28	6%
Accidents, Poisoning, Violence	38	8%
Don't Know	1	<1%
	450	100%

Table 4-37 REPORTED FAMILY CANCERS

"Has anyone in your family (father, mother, brother, or sister) ever had any form of cancer?"

	<u>No.</u>	%
YES	98	22%
NO	351	78%
DON'T KNOW	ı [.]	<1%
	450	100%

Table 4-39	Number of	Reported	"Other	Medical	Problems"	per Sub	iect

Number of Problems	Number of Subjects Reporting	
None Reported	228	51%
1	124	28%
2	72	16%
3	18	4%
4	5	1%
5	3	<1%
	450	100%

.

Table 4-39 gives the number of subjects reporting zero, one or more other medical problems. Twenty-eight percent reported one problem while 21% reported more than one.

Chronic Health Problems

One third (150) of the subjects reported that they have other chronic health problems, not already discussed. Table 4-40 shows the distribution of these responses. As in the other tables, for respondents reporting more than one problem, only the first is categorized in Table 4-40.

The distribution of conditions in Table 4-40 reveals that almost three-fourths of the reported conditions are problems of the respiratory tissue, skin and subcutaneous tissue and symptoms and ill-defined conditions. This differs from the responses summarized in Table 4-38 for other medical problems, which sought information on serious, acute conditions that required medical treatment. There the categories of infective and parasitic diseases, genito-urinary diseases, and accidents and violence were also well represented.

The median age of onset for their first reported chronic condition was 16 years.

The number of chronic conditions reported per person is given in Table 4-41. Twenty-five percent of the subjects reported one chronic condition, 8% reported more than one.

Medications

Table 4-42 displays the medications the subjects reported taking for a period of three months or more. For respondents who have taken more than one medication for this length of time, only the first is shown in Table 4-42. Almost half (223) of the respondents reported no medications. Of those that have taken medications, oral contraceptives is the largest single category, although analgesics, antihistamines, and antibiotics also account for a large number. Together, these four classifications make up 62% of the medications reported.

The number of medications reported per subject is given in Table 4-43 .

Table 4-41	Number of	Reported	Chronic	Health	Problems	Per	Subject
	number of	Reput Lea	onionic	neurun	11001003	1.01	Juplece

Number of Chronic Health Problems	Number of Subjects Reporting	%
None reported	300	67%
1	112	25%
2	33	7%
3	2	<1%
4	3	1%
	450	100%

.

.

Table 4-40 CHRONIC HEALTH PROBLEMS

"Do you have any chronic health problems we have not already discussed? By that, I mean problems which keep coming back, even if they do not bother you all the time?" What kinds of conditions are these?"

CHRONIC_PROBLEM	NUMBER OF SUBJECTS REPORTING	%
None Reported	300	67%
Infective and Parasitic Diseases	6	1%
Endocrine, Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	3	1%
Disease of the Nervous System and Sense Organs	9	2%
Diseases of the Circulatory System	7	1%
Diseases of the Respiratory System	31	7%
Diseases of the Digestive System	6	1%
Diseases of the Genito-Urninary System	8	2%
Disease of the Skin and Subcutaneous Ti	ssue 12	3%
Diseases of the Musculoskeletal System and Connective Tissue	25	6%
Congenital Abnormalities	1	<1%
Symptoms and Ill-Defined Conditions	40	9%
Accidents, Poisonings, Violence	2	<1%
	450	100%

Number of Medications Reported Per Subject

Number of Medications	Number of Subjects Reporting	%
None Reported	223	50%
1	148	. 33%
2	55	12%
3	14	3%
4	7	2%
≥5	3	<1%
	450	100%

•
REPORTED MEDICATIONS

"Please tell me about all the medicines or prescriptions you have taken regularly for a period of three months or more."

MEDICATION TYPE	NO.	%
No medications reported	223	50%
Analgesics	24	5%
Anorexics	7	2%
Antiarthritics	4	1%
Antiasthma	6	1%
Antibacterials	28	6%
Anticonvulsants	2	<1%
Antidiabetic	2	<1%
Antihistamines	17	4%
Antiinflammatory agents	2	<1%
Antinauseants	2	<1%
Antispasmodics	5	1%
Bronchial dilators	4	1%
Antihypertensives	. 6	1%
Oral Contraceptives	72	16%
Dermatologicals	2	<1%
Diuretics	2	<1%
Hormones	3	1%
Muscle relaxants	6	1%
Sedatives	2	<1%
Thyroid Preparations	6	1%
Tranquilizers	7	2%
Other Medication Types	14	3%
Unable to Classify	4	1%
	450	

REPORTED HOSPITALIZATIONS

"Have you ever been admitted to the hospital and stayed at at least two nights for a nonsurgical illness or injury?" "What was the problem?"

CAUSE OF HOSPITALIZATION	UMBER OF SUBJECTS REPORTING	%
None Reported	336	75%
Infective and Parasitic Diseases	7	2%
Benign Neoplasms	3	1%
Endocrine, Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	2	%</td
Diseases of Blood and Blood Forming Orga	ins 1	<1%
Mental Disorders	2	<1%
Diseases of the Nervous System and Sense Organs	4	1%
Diseases of the Circulatory System	3	1%
Diseases of the Respiratory System	29	6%
Diseases of the Digestive System	12	3%
Diseases of the Genito-Urinary System	6	1%
Complications of Pregnancy and Childbirt	:h · 3	1%
Diseases of the Skin and Subcutaneous Ti	ssue 1	<1%
Diseases of the Musculoskeletal System a Conective Tissue	nd 3	1%
Symptoms and Ill-Defined Conditions	19	4%
Accidents, Poisonings, and Violence	18	4%
Don't Know	1	<1%
	450	100%

Hospitalization

Twenty-five percent (114) of the subjects reported having been hospitalized for a nonsurgical illness. Table 4-44 lists the causes of hospitalization for the 114 subjects. The median age of hospitalization for this group was 18 years. For subjects who have had multiple hospitalizations, only the first reported one is listed in Table 4-44. Table 4-45 shows the number of persons reporting multiple hospitalization.

Limitations and Disabilities

The questions on limitations, disabilities and doctor visits were taken from the instrument used in the National Health Survey (see Vital and Health Statistics, Series 10, National Center for Health Statistics). Thus we are able to make comparisons with NHS data for these questions.

Seventy-one (15.7%) of the subjects reported that they were limited in activity because of disability or health, with the proportion of females with a limitation slightly greater than the proportion of males (17% to 14% respectively).

Thirty-one (6.9%) reported a limitation that was classified as a limitation of the major activity of the subjects. As used by the NCHS, major activity refers to "the ability to work, keep house or engage in school activities", (Vital and Health Statistics, Series 10, No. 130, 1979).

Table 4-46 compares the proportions of subject with limitations to data from the National Health Survey from 1978 (the most recent available). Note that NHS data is published for age range 17 to 44 years, whereas study subjects spanned 21 to 33 years, at the time of the interview. The proportion of study subjects with a limitation in their major activity is similar to national data (6.9% to 5.2%, respectively). However, study subjects have a greater proportion of persons reporting any activity limitation. This may be because of the different age ranges being compared, or because of a reporting bias. It may be that subjects who know they have been expoused to a hazardous environmental agent are inclined to exaggerate minor activity limitation.

DISTRIBUTION OF SUBJECTS BY LIMITATION CATEGORY AND COMPARISON WITH 1978 NATIONAL HEALTH SURVEY DATA

PROPORTION OF SUBJECTS REPORTIN				
	AGE RANGE	WITH ACTIVITY LIMITATION	WITH LIMITATIONS IN MAJOR ACTIVITY	WITH NO ACTIVITY LIMITATION
Sexes:				
Subjects	21-33	15.7%	6.9%	84.3%
NHS Data	17-44	8.5%	5.2%	91. 5%
s:				
Subjects	21-33	14.0%	6.1%	86.0%
NHS Data	17-44	9.1%	5.5%	90.9%
les:				
Subjects	21-33	17.0%	7.7%	83.0%
NHS Data	17-44	7.9%	4.9%	92. 1%
	Sexes: Subjects NHS Data s: Subjects NHS Data les: Subjects NHS Data	AGE RANGE Sexes: Subjects 21-33 NHS Data 17-44 s: Subjects 21-33 NHS Data 17-44 les: Subjects 21-33 NHS Data 17-44	AGE WITH ACTIVITY RANGE WITH ACTIVITY LIMITATION Sexes: Subjects 21-33 15.7% NHS Data 17-44 8.5% s: Subjects 21-33 14.0% NHS Data 17-44 9.1% les: Subjects 21-33 17.0% NHS Data 17-44 7.9%	PROPORTION OF SUBJECTS REPORTAGE RANGEWITH ACTIVITY LIMITATIONWITH LIMITATIONS IN MAJOR ACTIVITYSexes: Subjects21-3315.7%6.9% 5.2%NHS Data17-448.5%5.2%s: Subjects21-3314.0%6.1% 5.5%NHS Data17-449.1%5.5%les: Subjects21-3317.0%7.7% 4.9%

NUMBER OF HOSPITALIZATIONS REPORTED PER SUBJECT

Number of Hospitalizations Nu	umber of Subjects	<u>%</u>
None Reported	336	75%
1	92	20%
2	16	4%
3	4	1%
4	i	<1%
<u>></u> 5	1	<1%
	450	100%

CONDITIONS CAUSING ACTIVITY LIMITATION

"What conditions cause this limitation?"

CONDITION REPORTED

NUMBER OF SUBJECTS REPORTING

%

None Reported	379	84%
Infective and Parasitic Diseases	1	<1%
Endocrine, Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases	1	<1%
Diseases of the Nervous System and Sense Organs	5	· 1%
Diseases of the Circulatory System	2	<1%
Diseases of the Respiratory System	7	2%
Diseases of the Digestive System	1	<1%
Diseases of the Genito-Urinary System	1	<1%
Diseases of the Skin and Subcutaneous Tissue	. 2	<1%
Diseases of the Musculoskeletal System and Connective Tissue	19	4%
Symptoms and Ill-Defined Conditions	14	3%
Accidents and Violence	16	4%
Don't Know	2	<1%
	450	100%

The median age that subjects with a limitation reported they first became limited was 22 years, although the range extended from 1 year to 31 years of age.

The reported conditions are categorized in Table 4-47, again according to ICD classifications. Diseases of the musculoskeletal system, accidents and violence, and symptoms and ill-defined conditions account for more than two-thirds of the reported limiting conditions.

The number of reported days of bed disability are distributed as shown in Table 4-48. Males and females are shown separately since it appears as if the females have a slightly higher proportion reporting 10 or more bed-days.

When the mean numbers of bed disability days are calculated for males, females, and both sexes combined, they are found to be very similar to 1978 NHS data (Table 4-49). The NHS data cover a different age range than the study subjects, and so may not be strictly comparable. However, there does not appear to be a great difference in reported bed disability days.

The number of doctor visits within the last 12 months are distributed for males and females as shown in Table 4-50. Here the distribution of doctor visits for females is definitely skewed toward the high end. One-third of the females reported 5 or more visits, while only 14% of males reported 5 or more.

When the number of reported physician visits per year are compared with NHS data (Table 4-51), they are found to be almost identical for males, females and both sexes combined.

159

MEAN DAYS OF BED DISABILITY AND COMPARISON WITH 1978 NATIONAL HEALTH SURVEY DATA

SEX	SUBJECTS (AGE 21 TO 33 YRS)	NHS DATA (AGE 17 TO 44 YRS)
Both Sexes	446 days/100 persons per yr	423 days/100 persons per yr
Males	357 days/100 persons per yr	319 days/100 persons per yr
Females	524 days/100 persons per yr	520 days/100 persons per yr

REPORTED DAYS OF BED DISABILITY

"During the past 12 months, about how many days did illness or injury keep you in bed all or most of the day?"

No. of Days Reported	· <u>1</u>	Male	Fe	<u>male</u>	Tot	al
	No	%	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No</u> .	_%
None reported	91	42%	92	39%	183	41%
1-4	84	39%	79	34%	163	36%
5-9	27	13%	31	13%	58	13%
10-14	8	4%	16	7%	24	5%
> 15	5	2%	17	7%	22	5%
-	215	100%	235	100%	450	100%

SUBJECTS REPORTING

NUMBER OF PHYSICIAN VISITS PER PERSON PER YEAR AND COMPARISON WITH 1978 NATIONAL HEALTH SURVEY DATA

NUMBER OF VISITS PER PERSON PER YEAR

	Subjects	NHS Data NHS	Data
<u>Sex</u>	(21 to 33 yrs)	(17 to 24 yrs)(25	to 44 yrs)
Both Sexes	4.2	4.3	4.7
Males	2.8	3.0	3.4
Females	5.4	5.5	5.8

NUMBER OF REPORTED PHYSICIAN VISITS

"During the past 12 months, about how many times did you see or talk to a medical doctor? (Do not include doctors seen while you were a patient in a hospital)."

SUBJECTS REPORTING

	<u>Ma</u>	<u>ale</u>	Fer	<u>nale</u>	<u>To</u>	tal
No. of Times Reported	No.	%	<u>No.</u>	%	<u>No.</u>	%
None Reported	75	35%	35	15%	110	24%
1-4	109	51%	123	52%	232	52%
5-9	10	4%	31	13%	41	9%
10-14	15	7%	25	11%	40	9%
<u>></u> 15	6	3%	21	9%	27	6%
	215	100%	235	100%	450	100%

In order to consider whether the two exposure groups might differ in their willingness to respond to questions about diseases and symptoms, we examined the association between months of exposure and interview method. The proportion of subjects in the two exposure groups receiving face to face and telephone interviews is given below, separately for males and females.

Males	10 months Exposure	>10 months Exposure	
	(n=51)	(n=164)	
Face to Face Interview	41%	51%	
Telephone Interview	59%	49%	
$x_{1 \text{ d.f.= 1.46, p = 0.22}}^{2}$	100%	100%	
Females	10 months Exposure	>10 months Exposure	
	(n=68)	(n=167)	
Face to Face Interview	38%	55%	
Telephone Interview	62%	45%	
_	100%	100%	

 χ^2_1 d.f.= 5.12, p= 0.02

Among the interviews conducted so far, therefore, cohort members who fall into the high exposure group were more likely to be interviewed face to face than members of the low exposure group.

This did not occur by design. The choice of face to face verses telephone interview was based strictly upon the availability of an interviewer in the area of the subjects' home. Length of exposure was unkown to both the persons tracing and contacting subjects. However, one might easily imagine that students who completed several years at Saugus Elementary School would tend to be long term residents of the Los Angeles area, and hence more likely to be available today for a face to face interview by one of our interviewers in the field. On the other hand, students who completed only a few months at the Saugus school before moving with their families to another district might be

4.2.7 Reported Symptoms

The numbers (and percentages) of subjects reporting that they have experienced the specific symptoms listed in the questionnaire are given in Table 4-52. As in section 4.2.5 which presented data for diagnosed diseases, we have presented data for males and females separately. Table 4-52 shows, however, that the proportions of males and females reporting these symptoms are for the most part very similar.

In Tables 4-53 and 4-54, the symptoms are grouped according to whether they are associated with VC exposure, for males and females respectively. Table 4-53 compares the reported symptoms for males with 10 months exposure and greater than 10 months exposure. Table 4-54 displays the same statistics for females. There appears to be no obvious relationship between months of exposure and proportion of subjects reporting symptoms. There are no good general population data for these symptoms, so that comparison with an unexposed population will require the incorporation of a control group.

Tables 4-55 and 4-56 show the symptoms recalled while the subjects were attending elementary school. We have shown both the proportion of students recalling these symptoms at any elementary school they attended, and those recalling them while attending the Saugus school.

Table 4-58 compares the proportions of women who recall being sent bome from school, for women with 10 months exposure and greater than 10 months exposure. For this table, we have presented the proportions of subjects recalling being sent home from <u>any</u> school. We presented the data in this way, because being sent home from the Saugus school is partly a function of the length of attendance. Therefore examining episodes at the Saugus school for those with shorter or longer periods of attendance would not be a fair comparison.

Table 4-58 shows that most symptoms (even those not associated with VC exposure) were more commonly recalled for women with more than 10 months exposure.

Table 4-57 gives the same comparisons for males. For males, however, more non-VC related symptoms are elevated in the higher exposure group, while the VC associated symptoms were more frequently reported in the low exposure group.

SYMPTOMS: "Do you remember a time when any of the following bothered you enough to limit your activities or cause you to see a doctor?

SYMPTOMS	MALES R	EPORTING	FEMALES	FEMALES REPORTING	
	(n = 21	5)	(n = 235	5)	
	<u>No.</u>	%	No.	_%	
Dizziness or light headedness	47	22%	65	28%	
Headaches	55	26%	98	42%	
Pain in Upper Abdomen or Stomach	42	20%	50	21%	
Lower Back Pain	72	33%	77	33%	
Insomnia	15	7%	33	14%	
Ringing or Buzzing in Ears	17	8%	14	6%	
Pain in Joints of Your Legs or Arms	40	19%	34	14%	
Loss of Feeling or Numbness in Your Hands or Feet	16	7%	31	13%	
Tingling in Hands or Feet	9	-4%	19	8%	
Trouble breathing, short of breath	33	15%	46	20%	
Diarrhea	18	8%	26	11%	
Have you been bothered by pro- blems in your arms, legs, hands, or feet, such as:					
Coldness or Numbness	19	9%	30	13%	
Tingling sensations in your joints	9	4%	14	6%	
Pain in the joints of your fingers or hands	8	4%	10	4%	
Redness or flushing in your hands or feet	7	3%	3	1%	
Whiteness or loss of color in your hands or feet	8	4%	4	2%	
Cracking and peeling of the skin of your hands or feet	23	11%	22	9%	
)				

168

more likely to be living today outside the range of face to face interviews, and would have to be interviewed by telephone.

It is possible that different proportions of interview types between the two exposure groups would bias the result. It is not known, however, in which direction this bias might operate. Studies summarized by Selltiz et al (1976) and Sudman and Bradburn (1974) indicate that telephone interviews may be better than face to face interviews in eliciting responses on sensitive issues. The study by Henson et al (1977) on this subject was inconclusive.

If telephone interviews (because of their more impersonal nature) were better at eliciting sensitive and personal information, this might be expected to bias the results towards finding more diseases and symptoms among the low-exposure group. This would therefore be a conservative bias.

At this state of the follow-up, we have elected not to stratify the analysis by interview type because of the small numbers involved. Several of the disease frequencies would have to be derived from cells containing less than 30 subjects.

In the next phase of the study, all interviews will be conducted in a standard form, namely by telephone. This will prevent any possible bias that might be due to different interview techniques.

PROPORTION OF FEMALES REPORTING SYMPTOMS WITH 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE : AND GREATER THAN 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE

Symptoms Associated with VC Exposure:	10 mos exposure (n = 68) 	>10 mos exposure (n = 167)
Dizziness	26%	28%
Headaches	50%	38%
Stomach Pain	22%	21%
Pain in Joints	19%	13%
Numbness in Hands or Feet	10%	14%
Tingling in Hands or Feet	10%	.7%
Coldness, Numbness in Arms, Legs, Hands, Feet	13%	13%
Tingling Sensations in Fingers or Toes	4%	7%
Pain in Fingers or Hands	4%	4%
Whiteness, Loss of Color in Hands or Feet	0%	2%
Cracking, Peeling of Skin	12%	8%
Symptoms Not Associated with VC Exposure		
Lower Back Pain	34%	32%
Insomnia	26%	9%
Ringing in the Ears	4%	7%
Short of Breath	12%	23%
Diarrhea	7%	13%
Redness, flushing in Hands or Feet	0%	2%

170

PROPORTION OF MALES REPORTING SYMPTOMS WITH 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE AND GREATER THAN 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE

Symptoms Associated with VC Exposure	10 mos. exposure (n = 51) <u>%</u>	>10 mos. exposure (n = 164)
Dizziness	22%	22%
Headaches	27%	25%
Stomach Pain	20%	20%
Pain in Joints	18%	19%
Numbness in Hands or Feet	8%	7%
Tingling in Hands or Feet	6%	4%
Coldness or Numbness in Arms, Legs, Hands, Feet	6%	10%
Tingling Sensation in Fingers or Toes	4%	4%
Pain in Fingers or Hands	0%	5%
Whiteness, Loss of Color in Hands or Feet	8%	2%
Cracking, Peeling of Skin	14%	10%
Symptoms Not Associated with VC Exposure		
Lower Back Pain	41%	31%
Insomnia	4%	8%
Ringing in the Ears	4%	9%
Short of Breath	12%	16%
Diarrhea	16%	6%
Redness, Flushing in Hands or Feet	2%	4%

SYMPTOMS RECALLED IN SCHOOL BY FEMALES

Symptoms: "Thinking back to when you were in elementary school, do you remember being sent home from school because of any of the following conditions?" If yes, "Do you remember what school you were attending?"

Symptoms Associated With VC Exposure	Sent Home School	F EMALES (n from Any	=235) Sent Ho Saugus	me from
	No.	%	No.	_%
Dizziness	10	4%	7	3%
Headaches	11	5%	9	4%
Pain in Joints	2	1%	1	<1%
Numbness in Hands, Feet	2	1%	1	<1%
Tingling in Hands, Feet	0	-	0	-
Stomach Pain	17	7%	8	3%
Symptoms Not Associated With VC Exposure				
Ringing in Ears	3	1%	2	1%
Short of Breath	7	3%	5	2%
Bad Cough	26	11%	150	5%
Fever	40	17%	25	11%
Diarrhea	2	1%	1	<1%
Vomiting	27	11%	17 7	7%

SYMPTOMS RECALLED IN SCHOOL BY MALES

"Thinking back to when you were in elementary school, do you remember being sent home from school because of any of the following conditions?" If yes, "Do you remember the school you were attending?"

.

Symptoms Associated with VC Exposure	MALES (n = 215) Sent Home from Any School		Sent Home from Saugus	
	No.	%	No.	%
Dizziness	8	4%	3	1%
Headache	18	8%	12	6%
Pain in Joints	1	<1%	1	<1%
Numbness in Hands, Feet	0	-	0	-
Tingling in Hands, Feet	0	-	0	-
Stomach Pain	21	10%	10	5%
Symptoms Not Associated With VC Exposure				
Ringing in ears	3	1%	2	1%
Short of Breath	8	4%	5	2%
Bad Cough	21	10%	11	5%
Fever	41	19%	27	13%
Diarrhea	I	< 1%	1	< 1%
Vomiting	24	11%	13	6%

.

171

PROPORTION OF FEMALES REPORTING SYMPTOMS AT SCHOOL WITH 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE AND GREATER THAN 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE

Sumatoma Accordiated	Subjects Reporting Bei	ing Sent Home From Any
with VC Exposure	lo Mos. Exposure (n = 68)	>10 Mos. Exposure (n = 167)
	%	%
Dizziness	3%	5%
Headaches	1%	6%
Pain in Joints	0%	1%
Numbness in Hands, Feet	0%	1%
Tingling in Hands, Feet	0%	0%
Stomach Pain	1%	8%
Symptoms Not Associated with VC Exposure		
Ringing in Ears	1%	1%
Short of Breath	1%	4%
Bad Cough	9%	12%
Fever	15%	18%
Diarrhea	0%	1%
Vomiting	10%	12%

PROPORTION OF MALES REPORTING SYMPTOMS AT SCHOOL WITH 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE AND GREATER THAN 10 MONTHS EXPOSURE

Sumptome Associated	Subjects Reporting B	leing Sent Home
with VC Exposure	10 Mos. Exposure $(n = 51)$	>10 Mos. Exposure (n = 164)
		_%
Dizziness	8%	2%
Headaches	10%	8%
Pain in Joints	2%	0%
Numbness in Hands, Feet	0%	0%
Tingling in Hands, Feet	0%	0%
Stomach	12%	9%
Symptoms Not Associated With VC Exposure		
Ringing in Ears	0%	2%
Short of Breath	2%	4%
Bad Cough	6%	11%
Fever	10%	22%
Diarrhea	0%	<1%
Vomiting	4%	13%

173

MENSTRUAL PROBLEMS

"Has there ever been anything unusual about your periods, or anything that concerned you or your doctor?"

PROBLEM	WOMEN REF	PORTING
	No.	%
No problem reported	141	60%
Started late	2	1%
Cramps and/or pain	22	9%
Heavy flow and/or clots	12	5%
Irregular Periods	29	12%
Very long Periods	4	2%
More than one Problem	21	9%
Other Problem, N.E.C.*	4	2
	235	100%

* Not elsewhere classified

4.2.8 Reproductive History

Two-hundred thirty five of the study subjects were female. All of these women were asked several questions about their menstrual history. In addition, the women who had been married were asked a detailed series of questions about their reproductive histories.

Age at Menarche

The median age at menarche of the 235 women was 12 years, with the extremes of 8 and 18 years.

Menstrual Problems

Ninty-four of the women (40%) reported that they had had something unusual about their periods. The reported problems were categorized and given in Table 4-59. When asked if their periods have usually been regulary, 76% responded yes, 24% responded no.

Reproductive History

Of the 235 interviewed female subjects, 189 (80%) had been married and of these 189, 159 (84% of the married women) had been pregnant at least once. The number of pregnancies per woman is given in Table 4-60.

Pregnancy Outcome

The lack of a control group hampers our ability to make meaningful comparisons of observed pregnancy outcomes. Published vital statistics generally do not include miscarriages (spontaneous abortions) occurring before 20 weeks of gestational age. Also, the denominators of these statistics are live births, rather than number of pregnancies.

Published studies that have examined fetal deaths have produced rates of between 6 fetal deaths per 100 pregnancies and 15 per 100 pregnancies (Infante, et al,(1976), Monson (1979), Shapiro et al, (1962), Warburton and Fraser (1964)). The variation in the so-called general population or "control" rates is probably accounted for by:

175

- o Differences in the population studied (e.g., women enrolled in a HMO, wives of chemical workers)
- Differences in the source of information (e.g., clinic records, husband's recollections), and
- Differences in data collection (e.g., personal reporting, retrospective interview).

In short, there is no good single source of comparison data, and so without a control group, we have relied on internal comparisons in this initial, exploratory analysis.

One source of internal comparison for the exposed women is the experience of the (unexposed) wives of exposed males. Vinyl chloride monomer has been suggested to cause mutagenic effects in offspring of male VCM workers (Infante, et al, 1976). But since male germ cells have a continual turnover, it is unlikely that offspring of male members of our cohort would be affected by their father's VC exposure in elementary school.

Table 4-61 compares pregnancy outcome among exposed women and nonexposed spouses. For these comparisons, we have calculated statistics of four pregnancy outcomes.

- The proportion of pregnancies that terminated in fetal deaths (miscarriages and still births).
- The proportion of pregnancies that terminated in induced abortions.
- The proportion of live births that women reported were born with a congenital malformation.
- The proportion of live births that women reported had had a major illness.

We point out, however, that none of these outcomes has been verified by medical records. They are based strictly upon each woman's own recollection.

Fetal deaths, congenital malformations, and major illnesses might be associated with VC exposure. Induced abortions are unlikely to be

Ta	b1	е	4-	60

Number of Pregnancies Reported Per Woman

.

.

	Women R	eporting
No. of Reported Pregnancies	No.	%
	74	0.04
None	/6	32%
1	39	17%
2	64	27%
3	42	18%
4	5	2%
5	7	3%
6	2	1%
	235	100%

Pregnancy Outcome Among Exposed Women and Non-Exposed Wives of Exposed Men

	Fetal Deaths	Abortions	Congenital Abnormalities	Major Illnesses
	per 100 pregnancies	per 100 pregnancies	per 100 live births	per 100 live births
Exposed Women (n = 235)	36/360 = 10%	47/360 = 13%	23/277 = 8%	87/277 = 31%
Non-Exposed Wives	12/219 = 5%	18/219 = 8%	15/189 = 8%	21/189 = 11%
(n = 122)	RR = 1.8	RR = 1.6	RR = 1.0	'RR = 2.8
18((p = 0.07)	(p = 0.10)	(p = 1.0)	(p < 0.001)

•

.

.

associated and are included as a dummy variable.

The risk ratios (RR=the risk in the exposed group relative to the unexposed are also given in Table 4-61, as well as p values^{*}. It should be stressed, however, that the purpose of these analyses is not to accept or reject statistical hypotheses, but to explore associations.

Table 4-61 suggests that the risks of three of these pregnancy outcomes are elevated among exposed women- fetal deaths, induced abortion, and major illnesses in children.

There are unaccounted-for factors that might confound these results. One possible confounder is age -- these risk ratios have not been ageadjusted. However, the age distribution in these two groups appears to be very similar. The exposed women range in age from 21 to 33 years, the unexposed spouses range from 21 to 35 years. The data presented by Warburton and Fraser (1964) indicate that spontaneous abortion rates over the maternal age range 20 to 34 year increases by only 1-2 fetal deaths/100 pregnancies. The rates do not appreciably increase with maternal age until past the age of 40. Therefore, we do not feel that differences in maternal age are likely to be accounting for the higher rates observed among exposed women.

Alchohol consumption or smoking might differ between the groups, however, we did not include questions on these subjects in the original version of the spouse questionnaire. The revised version of the spouse questionnaire (to be used in the next phase of the study) will ask about smoking and drinking.

Statistical significance has been ε valuated under the null hypothesis that the difference in proportions is zero. The probability that the observed difference in proportion occurred under the null hypothesis has been calculated with the method given by Fleiss (1973), p. 18. This method uses Yates' Correction for Continuity, and is more conservative than similar methods that do not (for example, the equation in Dixon and Massey (1969) p. 249).

Pregancy Outcome Among Exposed Women, by Marital Status, (at time of interview)

.

	Fetal Deaths	Abortions	Congenital Abnormalities	Major Illnesses
182	per 100 pregnancies	per 100 pregnancies	per 100 live births	per 100 live births
Currently Married (n = 147)	29/292 = 10%	31/292 = 11%	22/232 = 9%	72/232 = 31%
Single, Divorced, Separated, Widowed (n = 88)	7/68 = 10%	16/68 = 24%	1/45 = 2%	1 5 /45 = 33%

One possible confounder that can be examined is current marital status. All unexposed wives of exposed males are by definition currently married, while the exposed women may be married, divorced, separated or widowed^{*}. This might explain the higher proportion of induced abortions among exposed women -- an outcome thought to be unrelated to VC exposure.

Table 4-62 presents: the same outcome measures for currently married exposed women and for exposed women who were divorced, separated or widowed at the time of the interview. As expected, a greater proportion of induced abortions occurred in the divorced, separated group, but the proportion of pregnancies ending in fetal deaths remained constant.

Table 4-63 gives the risk ratios for the four outcome measures among the currently married exposed women and the (currently married) unexposed wives. Again, these ratios show the trend of increased fetal death ratios, as well as congenital abnormalities and major illnesses.

Another internal control can be examined. Table 4-64 displays the four outcome measures for exposed women who attended Saugus Elementary School. for only one school term (10 calendar months) and for women who attended for more than one term (11 calendar months or more). Ten months represents the minimum exposure period in this cohort, and we can look at risk in women with more exposure relative to these women.

Here the trend toward increasing fetal death risk is supported, although the congenital abnormalities and major illnesses have reversed their trend in proportion. The age distribution of these groups of women are very similar; both range from 21 to 33, and have a median age of 26 years. Smoking and drinking habits of these groups are summarized in Tables 4-65 and 4-66 and are seen to be similar. The association of fetal death with current marital status was not examined. However, among the entire group of exposed

Note -- All information on pregnancy outcome was derived from women women who had been married. The questions were not asked of women who had never been married because of our expectation that responses on this subject might be unreliable.

Pregnancy Outcome Among Women with Greater than 10 Months Exposure and Women With 10 Months Exposure Only

	Fetal Deaths	Abortions	Congenital Abnormalities	Major Illnesses
	per 100 pregnancies	per 100 pregnancies	per 100 live births	per 100 live births
Women with > 10 months Exposure (n = 167)	32/278 = 12%	39/278 = 14%	14/208 = 7%	54/208 = 26%
Women with 10 months Exposure (n = 68)	4/82 = 5%	8/82 = 10%	9/69 = 13%	33/69 = 48%
184	RR = 2.4	RR = 1.4	RR = 0.5	RR = 0.5
	(p = 0.12)	(p = 0.41)		

Risk Ratios for Currently Married Exposed Women Relative to Non-Exposed Wives of Exposed Men

	Fetal Deaths per 100 pregnancies	Abortions per 100 pregnancies	Congenital Abnormalities per 100 live births	Major Illnesses per 100 live births
Currently Married Exposed Women (n=147)	29/292 = 10%	31/292 = 11%	22/232 = 9%	72/232 = 31%
Non-Exposed Wives (n=122)	12/219 = 5%	18/219 = 8%	15/189 =8%	21/189 = 11%
183	RR = 1.8 (p=0.09)	RR = 1.3 (p=0.45)	RR = 1.2 (p=0.70)	RR = 2.8 (p<0.001)

Drinking Habits of Women With 10 Months and Greater Than 10 Months Exposure

	Women with 10 months Exposure (n = 68)	Women with > 10 months Exposure (n = 167)				
Current Regular Drinkers	32%	37%				
Former Regular Drinkers	12%	7%				
Never Regular Drinkers	56%	56%				
	100%	100%				

CURRENT REGULAR DRINKERS

Median	Age Began	Drinking	19 yrs	21 yrs
Median	number of	drinking days per month	4 days	5 days
Median	number of	drinks per drinking day	2 drinks	2 drinks

Smoking Habits of Women With 10 Months And Greater Than 10 Months Exposure

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Women with 10 months of Exposure (n = 68)	Women with > 10 months of Exposure <u>(n = 167)</u>
Current Regular Smokers	25%	28%
Former Regular Smokers	16%	23%
Never Regularly Smoked	59%	49%
CURRENT SMOKERS	100%	100%
Median number of cigarettes per day currently	20/day	20/day
Median age began smoking	17 yrs	17 yrs
Median number of cigarettes per day since started smoking	16/day	15/day
FORMER SMOKERS		
Median number of cigarettes per day	10/day	10/day
Median age began smoking	18 yrs	18 yrs
Median age quit smoking	22 yrs	23 yrs

ì

4.2.9 <u>Mortality</u>

During our efforts to trace the cohort members, we were informed that 11 former students were deceased. Many of these deaths came to our attention through the Department of Motor Vehicles records, a few deaths we heard about from family members.

We were able to examine death certificates for 9 of the eleven deaths, and the cause of death, age, sex and months of exposure at the Saugus school are given in Table 4-67. Six of the death certificates related the cause as due to trauma or accident, and the two deaths for which we did not see the death certificates were said to have been accidents.

Three of the deaths, however, were of causes unusual for this age group. Two were malignancies (malignant lymphoma and malignant melanoma) and the third death was attributed to erythema multiforme. Erythema multiforme is a dermatological manifestation of many disease processes, and is unlikely to be a true underlying cause of death. So the etiology of this death is uncertain.

To show the unusual nature of these deaths, we have provided the age distribution of deaths for the year each of these occurred, from the California Vital Statistics. For the malignant lymphoma death (ICD No. 200.2, 7th Rev.) and the erythema multiforme death (ICD No. 705.1, 7th Rev.), the two deceased study subjects represented the only deaths in the state, for their respective age groups, for their respective years of death (Tables 4-68 and 4-69). For malignant melanoma, (ICD No. 172.7, 8th Rev.) the death of a study subject at age 23 is not unique, but given the age distribution on Table 4-70, it is unusual.

women, marital status showed no association with fetal death risk (Table 4-62). Extensive and detailed stratified analyses were not performed with these data because the numbers were too small.

In studying Table 4-64, it should be noted that all women in this table were exposed. More than half of the "greater than 10 month exposure" group were exposed only 20 months or less. Less than one-fourth of this group were exposed for more than 30 months. Therefore, in Table 4-64, the exposure of the "high exposure" group is not that much greater than the "reference" group.

To repeat, these data are crude. None have been verified by medical records. Also, there are several potential confounding factors that would have been difficult to examine, such as family size and number of previous miscarriages, which appear to be related to fetal death risk (Warburton and Fraser, 1964).

However, we feel that the above results (especially the consistent elevation of fetal death risk) are sufficiently suggestive to warrant completing the interviews of the exposed women, and most importantly the formation of a control group so that meaningful comparisons can be made. -----

Table 4-68 DEATHS FROM EACH CAUSE BY SEX AND AGE CALIFORNIA, 1964

State and an end of the

المراجع المراجع التي يوم عن يرجع الإنتاجين المراجع من يومن الكتيبين المراجع من المراجع من المراجع المراجع المر ا**لإسلامية المقادمة** إلى المراجع بين المراجع المراجع المراجع من الكتيبين المراجع المراجع من المراجع المراجع المرا

(By place of residence)

<u>Index Case</u> is Male, Age 13, Cause = 200.2					· · ·	
	<u>Index Case</u>	is	Male,	Age 13	, Cause = 200.2	

_	SEVENTH REVISION NUMBER	CAUSE OF DEATH	GEX	TOTAL ALL AGES	UNIDER ONE YEAR	1-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30-34	25-39	40-44	45-49	50-54	55-59	60-64	65-69	70-74	75-79	80-84	65-89	90-94	95-99	100 AND CVER	ACE NOT STATED
	200-205	Neoplasms of lymphatic and hematopoietic tissues	T M F	2,571 1,457 1,114	8 5 3	69 52 37	86 50 36	60 32 28	76 51 25	44 20 24	54 27 27	65 38 27	87 51 36	99 62 37	125 76 49	175 99 76	211 131 80	243 152 91	316 173 143	300 173 127	272 143 129	166 75 91	82 43 39	10 2 8	2	1 1 ~	
	200.0	Lymphosarcona and reticulosarcona Reticulum-cell sarcoma	T M	210 126	-	2	1	32	4.	32	5	4	10 8	13 7	16 13	17 6	25 17	22 16	28 16	26 12	15 8	10 3	63	-	-	-	=
	200,1	Lymphosarcoma	T M F	377 204 173	1	422	3	422	8 6 2	1	6 5 1	1 - 1	844	17 11 6	21 15 6	27 11 16	37 21 16	46 30 16	59 27 32	14 49 20 21	44 20 24	7 25 8 17	8	3	-	1	
	200,2	Other primary malignant neoplasms of lymphoid tissue	T M	113 59	-	:	2	$\hat{\mathbf{q}}$	2	-	1 1	1 1	1 1	64	5	14 7	73	6 4	18 9	16 11	20 9	9	2	-	-	-	-
	201	Hodgkin's discase	r T M F	281 163 118	-	-	3 2 1	2 1 1	20 10 10	14 3 11	- 15 9 6	18 12 6	27 14 13	18 11 7	21 8 13	23 17 6	4 20 15 5	33 25 8	25 12 13	5 14 7 7	11 14 10	6 11 5 6	23		-		-
	202.0	Other forms of lymphoma (reticulosis) Giant follicular lymphoma (Brill-Symmers' disease)	T M	15 11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	-		-	-	-
190	202.1	Other	F T M	4 67 35	2	312	ī	ī	1 1 1	122	-	1 3 2	1	1 1	- 2 2	- 3 3	2 6 3	52	- 8 5	73	15	1 5 2	1	-	-	- - -	-
·	203	Multiple myeloma (plasmocytoma)	r T M F	301 184 117	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	5	927	16 12 4	25 19 9	34 22 12	44 25 19	52 31 21	47 33 14	9 37 18 19	3 15 8 7	11	2	1	-	-
	204.0	Leukemia and aleukemia Lymphatic	T M F	236 135	-	. 1	73) 1	1	2	2	-	1	3	נ ב	15 9	16 10	19 13	34 22	39 24	39 24	30 16	16	2	1	-	=
	204.1	Mycloid	T M F	182 101 81		44	1	1	3	1	2 8 1 7	11 8 3	8 6 2	844	8 4 4	9 5 4	14 5 9	12 9 3	12 19 9 10	15 28 19 9	15 15 8 7	14 18 11 7		3	-	-	
	204,2	Monocytic	T M F	122 61 61	-	1	2	321	2 1 1	4 3	4 2 2	7 3 4	5	3	6 3 3	8 5 3	13 9 4	18 10 6	13 9 4	13 3 10	12 7 5	4 1 3	4		-	-	=
	204.4	Other and unspecified	M F T	321 252 83	2 2 1	37 25 10	37 22 7	19 20 3	24 8 3	7 5	5 8 -	8 11 1	12 6 2	11 4 -	12 13 1	12 13 4	21 10 6	16 16 3	27 20 8	20 25 7	23 21 14	10 14 11	19			-	-
	205	Mycosis fungoides	M F T M F	49 34 11 8 3	1	64	3 4	3	3	1		1	1 1 - -	1 2 2 1	1 1 1 -	3 1 - -	5 1 - - -	1) 5 4 2 2	43	8 6 2 1 1	5 6 2 2	1				
	210-229	Benign neopl as m	T M F	239 114 125	3	633	743	3	4	4 2 2	743	9 3 6	8 1 7	- 18 6 12	25 12 11	25 12 13	23 13 10	24 12	28 15 13	16 8 8	11 5 6	11 3 8	6 3	1	-	-	-
	210	Buccal cavity and pharynx	T	.1	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ĩ	-	-	-	-
	211	Other parts of digestive system	T	20	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	ī	4	3	2	4	1	-	-	-	-
	212	Respiratory system	F T M	9 13 11		1	1 - -		-	-		-	· _	1	-	1 1 1	- 1 1	1 3 3	1 3 2	22	2	1 3 -	-		-	-	-
	213	Breast	T	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 -	-	-	-	ĩ	-	-	-	
	21/.	Uterine fibromyoma	F	1]	-	-	-	_	-	-	-1	-	3	- 4	2	ī	-	-	-	-	-	1 -	=	-	-	
	215	üteme, other	T F	11 1 1		,	-			-	-	1 1 1	-	2 - -	4 - -	2	1 - -		- - -	- - -						-	-
Table 4-67

KNOWN	DEATHS	AMONG	COHORT
		· · · ·	

Cause of Death	<u>Sex</u>	Age	Months of Exposure
Vehicle Accident	Male	23	17
Vehicle Accident	Male	19	48
Homicide	Male	25	20
Vehicle Accident	Male	21	19
Vehicle Accident	Male	28	10
Vehicle Accident	Male	23	10
Malignant Melanoma	Male	23	15
Erythema Multiforme	Male	11	33
Malignant Lymphoma	Male	13	40

,

.

Table 4-70

DEATHS FROM EACH CAUSE BY SEX AND AGE CALIFORNIA, 1975

(By place of residence)

EIGHTH REVISION NUMBER	CAUSE OF DEATY	SE X	T OT AL I ALL I AGE SI	UNDERI UNE I YEARI	1-4	5-91	10	15-1912	0-2412	5-2413	0-3413	5-3914	0-4414	3 -4 91	50-541	55-541	60-64	65-641	70-7+1	75-19	1 80-841	1 85-6919	0-94195	991CV	DOI AGE NDI NDT EKISTATE
170-174	HALIGNANT NEOPLASH OF BONE, CONNECTIVE TISSUE, Skipp and breast	;	4+6961 5501 3+5461	- 1 - 1 - 1	11 21 11	51 21 31	141 81 63	221 121 101	101 261 101	323	641 171 521	761 221 741	2021	1001 351 2711	4351 613 4241	4941 591 4351	514) 551 4591	5161 571 591	4073 551 3521	359 50 304	i 2021 I 301 I 2521	1441	711	22) 01 191	11 - -1 - 11 -
170.0	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF BONE Bones of skule and face	"	1 181 111	-		-	-	-1	-1		11	-1	-1		-1 -1 -1	21	1 21 -1	1 61 61	1 31 11	2	1 1 1 11 1 11	21		-1 -1 -1	
170.1	LD4ER JAW SONE	r N	181			-1	-1								41 31	li	41 31	21	51 21 34	-		11			
170.2	YERTEBRAL COLUMN (EXCLUDING SACRUM AND COCCYX)	r M	161			-	-	-	11			· -i -i	-	11	-1 -1 -1	21 11 14	41 41 -1	21 21 - 1	31	1 1	i 1i 1 -1 1 11		-i -i -i		
170.3	RIBS, STERNUM, AND CLAVICLE		11	-	-	-	-	-1	-i	-1	-1	-	-1	11	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-		-1	-	-1	: :
170.4	LONG BONES OF UPPER LING AND SCAPULA	N.	31	-1	-	-	-	-1	-			-1	-1	-1 -1 -1	-1 -1	11		-1	-1	-	i 11 L -F				-
170.6	PELVIC BONES, SACRUM, COCCYX	r M	141	-1	21	-	21	ij		-i -i			-1	1) 11 -1	21 11		-1	11 -1 11	21	1		-i -i	-1		
170.7	LOWER LIMB, LONG BONES	M	261			11	-1 -1	81 41	1			21		21 11	11 11 -4		-1	14	21	1	i 21 i -1 i 21			-	1 -
170.9	STTE UNSPECIFIED	7	451 251 201	-1 -1		-	21	31	61 31 31	11	-1		11 -1 11	11	21 -1 21	21 21 -1	71 31 41	61 41 21	11	5	1 41 1 21 1 21	11 -1 11	-	-i	
171.0	MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF CONNECTIVE AND OTHER 30ft 11550e 14 ad; Face, and Neck	N	201 	-1 -1			-1 -1	1				-		1 4 11 11	-1 -1	-1	-1	-1	1	-		-1	1		
171.1	TRUNK	F M	21 341 181	-1		-	-1	21			21		-1	31	21	31	4	41	51	1				-1	
171.2	UPPER LIMB (INCLUDING SHOULDER)	F M	101	-1		-	-1	-1	-	-		11	-1		-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-		:: -!	-1		
171.3	LOWER LINS FINCLUDING HIPS	Р М	31 141 51	-1 -1 -1		11	-	-				-	-	-1 -1	21		31	-1 -1		1			-		
171.9	SITE UNSPECIFIED		951 951 431	-	11	21	51	31	31	11	61	31	-1		71	151	101	11	01 41	9	1 61 1 41	41		-	
172.0	MALICNANT MELANDMA DF SKIN Lips	,	231	-	-	-1	-1		-		-1			-	-1		-i -i		-1	-	-1 -1	-1	-	-	
172.1	EYELIDS, INCLUDING CANTHI	-]	ij	-	-	-	-	-	-i	-i		-i	-1	-1	-1		-i	-1		L		-i	-	-	
172.2	EAR AND EXTERNAL AURICULAR CANAL	-	31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ij	-1	-i	-i	-1	-1	-1	1	i	1 3	-	-	-	-
172.3	OTHER AND UNSPECIFIED PARTS OF FACE		101 51			-		-						21 -1 21	-1		11	-1 -1	21 11	2		21			
172.4	SCALP AND NECK	, P	111		-	-	-	-	ij	-1 -1 -1	21	21	ij		li	H H			21	-					1 -
172.6	TRUNK, EXCEPT SCROTUM	ň	451		-	-			21	31	11 -1	21	51	- 11	71 61 11	51	61 51		41 31	Ξ	-	21	-	-	
172.7	UPPER LIMB	, M	231 191 41			-	-		24 - (21 - 1	21		21 21 -1	11 -1 11	31 21 11	21 21 -1		3) 21 11	21 21 -1	61 31 11	1		-1	11	-1	-1 -
172.8	LOVER LIM8	r M	331 171 161		- (-				21 11 11		41 31 11	11	41 21 21	31 21 11	11 11 -1	41 11 31	51 31 21	41 21 21	3	21				
		•		•				•	•				•						,	-			·		-

Index Case is Male, Age 23, Cause of Death = 172.7

Index Cause	Case is Male, Age 11 e of Death = 705.1		DEA	THS	FR	мс (1	EAC CAL By pl	CH IFOI ace	CAL RNIA of r	JSE A, 1 esid	BY 963 ence	SEX		DA	GE											
SEVENDI REVISION MODER	CAUSE OF DEATH	SFX	TOTAL AIJ. AGES	UNDER OEZ YFAR	1-4	5-9	10-1/ 1	5-19	20-2/	25-29	30-34	35-39 40	-44 45	5-49 5	0-54	55-59	60-11.	62+69	70-74	75-71	80-1-	85-01	170-24	93-95	100 Anii OVFII	AGE NOT STATYD
704.0 704.1	Pemphigus Dernatiis herpetiformis Other	T F T M	1 1 10 5		1.1.1		-							1 1 1		1 1 1	יייי	1 1 2 1	1 1 2 2	1111	-			-		-
705,1	Erythematous conditions Erythema multiforme (erythema iris)	F T M	5 2 1	-	1 1	-	- - -			-		-		7			ג ד	2				-	-	-		-
705.4	Lupus erythematosus	T M	1 26 6		111	1	-	181		1 1 1 1		101	1114	441	187		141	1 1 1	L N L	1 1 1	-					
705.5	Other and unqualified	F T M F	6 5 5			1		244		2		3			1		ברבס		1		1	-				-
706.0	Paorinsis and similar disorders Paoriasis	T F	1 1	-	11	•	-	-			-	1	-					1			-					:
760.3	Fruritus and related conditions Licherification and lichen simplex chronicus	т	1	-	1	-	-		-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-		-
710.0	Other hypertrophic and atrophic conditions of akin Seleroderma and dermatexayoritie	Ť	40	-	1	-	1			2	,	4	1]]	1	2		1	1					-
710.2	Hereditary edema of legs (Milroy's disease)	P T	26 1	-		-	ī]	2	17	2	4	21	2	21	7	2	1	1	1	-		-		-
711	Other dermatoses	r T	1	-	• 1	-	-1	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	ĺ			L L		-	-] :			
725	Chronic ulcer of skin	T M F	1 23 0 15		111	-	-		1		-		1	111	1	1	1 1 2 2 2	72.12	1	4	5		1			-
720-749	Total - Discases of the Bones and Organs of Bovement	T M F	312 12n 10,	15 10 5		5	6 4 2	10 10) 1 2		743	ERE	17	19 12	26 12 14	2/ 10 17	2) N 13	35 10 25	2	37 13 14	21	6		1	-
780-787	Artialija avi rhoumatiam, except rhoumatio Fover	т	171	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	1		10	11	10	17	11	2:1	2	10	1		-		-
720	Acute arthritis due to pyogenis organisme	F T V	117 4 1	-	111	-	-	1		-	1	1	1271	1.4	7	0 1 7	11		21 - -	22 1 1	12	1:				-
722.0	Rheumatoid arthritis and allied conditions Rheumatoid arthritis	r T X	111 32		1	-	1				1	1	4	4	10 4	12	16	- 10 4	- 20 5	17	1 2		2	-		-
722.1	Spondylitis ankylopoietica	F T M	79	-	1	-	-		1	-	1 - -	1 - -	111	4 1	9 1	61	11	6	15	15	711		2			-
723.0	Ostco-arthritis (arthrosis) and allied conditions Ostco-arthritis (arthrosis)	r T M	2/. 7	-	1	- -	-			-		-		2		-	-	2	- 4 1	6	4	4	2			-
723.1	Syondylitia osten-arthritica (spondylarthrosis)	F	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Ì	-	-	1 -	-	-	-	2	2	1	-	1	-	-		-
724	Other specified forms of arthritis	T	1		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1	7	-	1	-	-	-	1	-		:	:	1	:
725	Arthritis, unspecified	ר ע ד	20 10 10	- - -	-	-	-			-	-			1211		1	1	1	-2 1 1	1	4 2 2		1			

National Center for Heath Statistics, 1979. "Current estimates from the Health Interview Study, United States, 1978," <u>Vital and Health Statistics</u>, Series 10, No. 130.

Nicholson, W.J., et. al., 1975. "Mortality experience of a cohort of vinyl chloride and polyvinyl chloride workers," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 246:255-230.

Ott, M.G., R.R. Langer and B.B. Holder, 1975. "Vinyl chloride exposure in a controlled industrial environment," Arch. Env. Health 30:333-339.

Pitchforth, Lee, U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Long Beach, California. Personal interview (7 November 1978).

Pushkin, G.A., 1965. "Lesion in the liver and bile ducts in workers producing some kinds of plastics," <u>Soviet Med.</u> 28:132.

Schoultz, K.S., J.H. Bochinski and J.A. Gideon, 1977. "Engineering control assessments of the plastics and resins industry . . . case study: manufacture of PVC by bulk polymerization," Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 38:653-661.

Scott, Everett, Plant Manager, Keysor-Century Corporation, Saugus, California. Personal communication (19 March 1979).

Selltiz, C., L.S. Wrightsman, and S.W. Cook, 1976. Research Methods in Social Relations," Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York.

Shapiro, S., E.W. Jones, and P.M. Densen, 1962. A life table of pregnancy termination and correlates of fetal loss. <u>Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly</u> 40(1):7-45.

Smythe, C., District Superintendent, Secondary Schools. Private communication (1978).

Suciu, et. al., 1975. "Clinical manifestation in vinyl chloride poisoning," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 246:53-69.

Sudman, S., and N.M. Bradburn, 1974. Response Effects in Surveys," Aldine Publishing Co., Chicago.

Tabershaw, R., and W.R. Gaffey, 1974. "Mortality study of workers in the manufacture of vinyl chloride and its polymers," <u>J. Occup. Med.</u> 16:509-518.

Tukey, J.W., 1977. "Exploratory Data Analysis," Addison-Wesley, Reading.

Turkington, R., 1977a. "Engineering controls vinyl chloride at Keysor-Century," unpublished draft report, California Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Occupational Cancer Control Unit, Los Angeles, California (30 August).

Turkington, R., 1977b. "Self-initiated carcinogen study, September 1, 2, and 7." Internal memorandum, California Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Occupational Cancer Control Unit, Los Angeles, California.

Turkington, Robert, California Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Occupational Cancer Control Unit, Los Angeles, California. Personal interview (7 November 1978). Foster, J., Superintendent, Saugus Union Elementary School District, Saugus, California. Private communication (1978).

Heath et. al., 1975. "Characteristics of cases of angiosarcoma of the liver among vinyl chloride workers in the United States," <u>Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.</u> 246:258.

Hefner Jr., R.E., P.G. Watanabe and P.J. Gehring, 1975. "Preliminary studies on the fate of inhaled vinyl chloride monomer (VCM) in rats," <u>Ann. N.Y. Acad.</u> Sci. 246:135-148.

Henson, R., A. Roth, and C.F. Connell, 1977. "Personal vs. Telephone Interviews: The Effects of Telephone Interviews on Reporting of Psychiatric Symptomatology," in <u>Experiments in Interviewing Techniques</u>, C.F. Connell et. al (eds.). National Center for Health Services Research, Hyattsville, MD.

Hill, H., Keysor-Century Corporation President. Private communication (1978).

Holzworth, George C., 1972. <u>Mixing heights, wind speeds and potential for</u> urban air pollution throughout the contiguous United States. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Meteorology.

Infante, P.F., 1976. "Oncogenic and mutagenic risks in communities with polyvinyl chloride production facilities," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 271:49-57.

Infante, P.F., et.al., 1976. "Genetic risks of vinyl chloride." Lancet I : 734-735.

Jones, H.B. and A. Grendon, 1975. "Environmental Factors in the origin of cancer and estimation of the possible hazard to man," F. Cosmet Toxicol. 18:251-268.

Khan, Z.S. and T. W. Hughes, 1978. <u>Source assessment: polyvinyl chloride</u>, prepared by Monsanto Research Corporation for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Industrial Environmental Research Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio, EPA-600/2-78-004i.

Lilis, R. et. al., 1975. "Prevalance of disease among vinyl chloride workers," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 246:22-40.

Makk, L., J.L. Creech, et. al., 1974. "Liver damage and angiosarcoma in vinyl chloride workers," JAMA, 230(1): 64-68.

Marsteller, H.J., et. al., 1975. "Unusual splenomegalic liver disease among polyvinyl chloride production workers," <u>Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci</u>.246:95-134.

Miller, A., et. al., 1975. "Changes in pulmonary function in workers exposed to vinyl chloride," <u>Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.</u> 246:42.

Monson, R.R., 1979. "Occupational hazards and fetal deaths," paper presented at the 10th Birth Defects Institute Symposium Albany, New York.

Monson, R.R., J.M. Peters and M.N. Johnson, 1974. "Proportionate mortality among vinyl chloride workers," Lancet II: 397-398.

Turner, D.B., 1970. <u>Workbook of Atmospheric dispersion estimates</u>, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, AP-26.

U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1979. "Population profile of the United States: 1978," Current Population Reports, Series P-20, No. 336.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1975a. <u>Standard support and environ-</u><u>mental impact statement: emission standard for vinyl chloride</u>, Office of Air and Waste Management, Office of Air Quality and Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, EPA-450/2-75-009.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1975b. Scientific and technical assessment report on vinyl chloride and polyvinyl chloride, Office of Research and Development, EPA-600/6-75-004.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976. "National emission standards for hazardous air pollutants--Standard for vinyl chloride," <u>Federal Register</u>, 41(205):46560-46573.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1977. <u>Interim guidelines on air quality</u> models, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1978. <u>Survey of Vinyl chloride levels</u> in the vicinity of Keysor-Century, Saugus, California, National Enforcement Investigations Center, Denver, Colorado, and Region IX, San Francisco, California, EPA-330/2-77-017a.

U.S. Geological Survey, 1952. <u>Newhall Quadrangle, California-Los Angeles</u> County, 1:24000 (photorevised 1969)

Van Duuren, B.L., 1975. "On the possible mechanism of carcinogenic action of vinyl chloride," <u>Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.</u> 246:258-267.

Veltman, G. et. al., 1975. "Clinical manifestations of vinyl chloride disease," Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 246:6-17.

Walter, S.D., 1977. "Determination of significant relative risks and optimal sampling procedures in prospective and retrospective comparative studies of various sizes," <u>Am. J. Epid.</u> 105(4):387-397.

Warburton, D., and F.C. Fraser, 1964. Spontaneous abortion risks in man: Data from reproductive histories collected in a medical genetics unit. Human Genetics 16(1):1-25.

Watanabe, R.G. and P.J. Gehring, 1976. "Dose dependent fate of vinyl chloride and its possible relationship to oncogenicity in rats." Env. Health Perspectives, 17:145-152.

REFERENCES

Belloc, N. and L. Breslow, 1972. "Relationship of physical health status and health practices, "Prev. Med. 1:409-421.

Berk, P.D., J.F. Martin, and J.G. Waggoner, 1975. "Persistence of vinyl chloride induced liver injury after cessation of exposure," <u>Ann. N.Y. Acad.</u> <u>Sci.</u> 246:70-77.

Bingham, T., 1977. <u>Report on NESHAP compliance inspections at the Keysor-</u> <u>Century Corporation PVC plant in Saugus, California</u>, prepared by Engineering-Science, Inc. for U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, Air and Hazardous Materials Branch, Surveillance and Analysis Division.

Brady, J., et. al., 1977. "Angiosarcoma of the liver," <u>J. Nat. Ca. Inst.</u> 59 (5):1383-1385.

Breslow, L., 1972. "A quantitative approach to the World Health Organization definition of health" Int. J. Epi. 1(4): 347-355.

California Air Resources Board, 1977. <u>Effects of airborne vinyl chloride</u>, Research Division Staff Report No. 78-1-1.

Christiansen, J.H., 1976. <u>Users guide to the Texas Episodic Model</u>, Texas Air Control Board, Austin, Texas.

Cohen, Jules, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Enforcement Investigations Center, Denver, Colorado. Telephone conversation (15 November 1978).

Creech, J.L. and M.N. Johnson, 1974. "Angiosarcoma of liver in the manufacture of polyvinyl chloride," J. Occup. Med. 16(3):150-151.

Dixon, W.J. (ed.), 1977. "BMDP-77: Biomedical Computer Programs", University of California Press, Berkeley.

Dixon, W.J. and F.J. Massey, Jr., 1969. "Introduction to Statistical Analysis," Mc Graw - Hill, New York.

Fishburne, P.M., H.I. Abelson, and I. Cisin, 1980. "National Survey on Drug Abuse, Main Findings, 1979", National Institute on Drug Abuse, Rockville, 1980.

Fleiss, J.L., 1973. "Statistical Methods for Rates and Proportions", John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Foon, Julian, Southern California Air Quality Management District, Technical Services Division, Atmospheric Measurement Section, Los Angeles, California. Telephone conversation (15 November 1978).

APPENDIX A

-

.

Waxweiler, R.J. et. al., 1976. "Neoplastic risk among workers exposed to vinyl chloride," <u>Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.</u>, 271:40-48.

White, N.M., 1979. <u>Wind dispersion characterisitcs and predicted vinyl</u> chloride concentrations at the Saugus Elementary School, Saugus, California. Science Applications, Inc.

.



		ID No	
	CONFID	ENTIAL	
	HEALTH EFFECTS SURVEY OF	VINYL CHLORIDE EXPOSURE	
Name			
	LAST		
	MAIDEN (if	applicable)	
		and the second	
	FIRST		
	MIDDLE		
Address	NUMBER AND STREET		
	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
elephone Number			
	AREA CODE	NUMBER	

1

l

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

THE PURPOSE OF THIS SURVEY IS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION WHICH WILL BE USED SOLELY FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH INTO THE EFFECTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS ON PUBLIC HEALTH. THIS WORK IS BEING CONDUCTED FOR THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.

THE INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM YOU WILL BE HELD IN STRICT CONFIDENCE. ALL RESULTS WILL BE SUMMARIZED FOR GROUPS OF PEOPLE. NO INFORMATION ABOUT INDIVID-UAL PERSONS WILL BE RELEASED.

THE QUESTIONNAIRES USED IN THIS SURVEY ARE AUTHORIZED BY LAW (42 U.S.C. 7401 AS AMENDED). WHILE YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RESPOND, YOUR COOPERATION IS NEEDED TO MAKE THE RESULTS OF THIS SURVEY COMPREHENSIVE, ACCURATE, AND TIMELY. FAILURE TO PARTICIPATE OR FAILURE TO COMPLETE THE STUDY ONCE ENROLLED WILL CARRY NO PENALTY.

I HAVE READ AND UNDERSTAND THE STATEMENT ABOVE.

Date

Signature of Respondent

ID No.

CONFIDENTIAL

This is an important medical survey of possible health effects of exposure to vinyl chloride gas, which is being conducted by Science Applications, Inc., for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

You should have received a letter explaining the importance of this survey. Elevated levels of vinyl chloride (which might effect health) were present around the area of the Saugus Elementary School. This school is located near a factory that uses vinyl chloride to make plastic. We are giving survey questionnaires to persons who may have been exposed while attending this school, as well as to persons from other schools who were not exposed, for comparison.

HAND R STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND PEN

This form is a statement of confidentiality prepared by the Environmental Protection Agency.

This is to assure you that this questionnaire is for health research only and that all of your answers will be strictly confidential and will never be connected with your name.

Please read it, sign and date the bottom to show that you understand, and return it to me.

- 1. STATEMENT HAS BEEN SIGNED AND RETURNED.
- 2. OTHER, SPECIFY

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 158-S79005, EXPIRES 10/81 - COPYRIGHT 1979

A-3

		ID No.	
	CONFIDENTIAL		
any sisters and brother	rs did you have in you	ır family?	
(RECORD) Sisters			34
Brothers			36
ou live with your natur parents or guardians?	ral parents during you	ır childhood, or wit	h
(RECORD)MALE		FEMALE	38
DID NOT HAVE A FATHER O	DR MALE GUARDIAN DURIN	G CHILDHOOD, GO TO	7
was your father's (OR _ hood? (Be specific) . (RECORD)) major occ	upation during your	Э
is his present occupati	on?		41
(RECORD)			
WRITE "D	ECEASED" OR "RETIRED"	IF APPROPRIATE	
was the highest grade i	n school he completed	?	43
9, 10, 11, 12	13, 14, 15, 16,	17 18	19
ol High School	Years of College or post high school training	Some Masters post- college	Doctorate
		Graduate schoo	51
		Post college educa	ition .
-			
-			
	any sisters and brother (RECORD) Sisters Brothers 'ou live with your natur parents or guardians? (RECORD) MALE DID NOT HAVE A FATHER (was your father's (OR hood? (Be specific) - (RECORD) (RECORD) is his present occupati (RECORD) WRITE "D was the highest grade i (CIRCLE ONE) 9, 10, 11, 12 ol High School	CONFIDENTIAL The many sisters and brothers did you have in you (RECORD) Sisters Brothers Tou live with your natural parents during you parents or guardians? (RECORD) MALE DID NOT HAVE A FATHER OR MALE GUARDIAN DURIN Was your father's (OR) major occ hood? (Be specific)) (RECORD) (RECORD) KRITE "DECEASED" OR "RETIRED" Was the highest grade in school he completed (CIRCLE ONE) 9, 10, 11, 12 13, 14, 15, 16, ol High School Years of College Or post high School training	ID No. CONFIDENTIAL many sisters and brothers did you have in your family? (RECORD) Sisters Brothers 'ou live with your natural parents during your childhood, or wite parents or guardians? (RECORD) MALE FEMALE DID NOT HAVE A FATHER OR MALE GUARDIAN DURING CHILDHOOD, GO TO was your father's (OR (RECORD) (RECORD) Was your father's (OR (RECORD) Was your father's (OR (RECORD) (RECORD) Was your father's (OR (RECORD) (RECORD) WRITE "DECEASED" OR "RETIRED" IF APPROPRIATE was the highest grade in school he completed? (CIRCLE ONE) 9, 10, 11, 12 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 01 High School Years of College Some Masters post- school training School training College Graduate schooc Post high school area dura

CONFIDENTIAL	
Now, let's begin.	
I need to first ask you a few general questions about you and your family. This information is important for statistical purposes, to see how people in this survey compare with the rest of the population.	
1. What is your birthdate?	24-29
(RECORD)	ļ
2. What was the highest grade in school you completed?	30-31
(CIRCLE ONE)	
5,6,7,89,10,11,1213,14,15,16171819Grade SchoolHigh SchoolYears of College or post high school trainingSome post- college 	
3. Are you currently enrolled in a school or college?	32
$\stackrel{\downarrow}{3A.}$ What is the name and location of the school? \ldots \ldots \ldots	33
(RECORD)NAME	-
CITY STATE .	
A-5	

۰.

.

			CONFID	ENTIAL	
			50M 15		
	8A	÷	8B		80
	What is (your job	was) title?	What are (major duti job?	were) your es in this	What kind of company is (was) this?
Current or most recent)					
. <u></u>		······			
Before that?					
Before that?					
····				. •	
-					

A-8

..

•

.

۰.

•

.

					CC	ONFIDENT	IAL					
		IF R	DID NOT	HAVE A	MOTHE	R OR FEM	ALE GUARDI	AN DURIN	IG CHILDHO	OD, GO	TO 8	
7A.	What your	was y child	our moth hood? .	er's	(OR	••••) major	occupat	ion during	g [45-46
		(RECO	RD)									1
7B.	What	is he	r presen	it occu	pation	?			••••	[47-48
		(RECO	RD)									
				WRIT	E "DECE	EASED" 0	R "RETIRED	", IF AP	PROPRIATE			
7C.	What	was th	ne highe	st gra	de in s	school s	he complet	ed?	••••	[49 - 50
		(CIRCL	_E ONE)									
5,6	, 7, 8	3	<u>9, 10,</u>	11, 1	2	<u>13, 14</u>	, 15, 16	<u>17</u>	18	19		
Grade	e Scho	100	High	School		Years o or post school	of College t high training	Some post- colleg	Masters e	Doct	orate	
								Gr	aduate sch	1001		
								Post	College E	ducat	10n	
8	The r	next pa	irt of tl	his que	estionn	aire cor	icerns jobs	; that y	ou have he	ld.		
	I am peric jobs	intere d of o you ma	sted in one month y have l	all t h or mo held wi	he diff ore. P hile yo	erent ki lease ir u were g	nds of wor clude sumn joing to so	rk you h Ner jobs Nool.	ave done f or part-t	or a ime		
	First	, are	you curi	rently	employ	ed, eith	er full or	part-t	ime?	• •	. 📖	51
		1. N	10									
		2. Y	ES	,					-			
	IF NO	<u></u>	I would backwar SKIP TO I would backwar	1 like ^d. (I) 10.) 1 like ^d.	to sta F R HAS to sta	rt with S NEVER rt with	your most HAD A JOB, your curre	recent ; EVEN VC nt job a	job and wo DLUNTEER WO and work	rk DRK,		

CONFIDENTIAL

· .

•

8D	* 8E	8F		8G	
Do you know of any hazardous exposures in this job? By that I mean any exposures	What hazards were you exposed to? (RECORD SPECIFICS)	When of start job?	did you this	When d this j end?	id ob
that might affect a person's health.		MONTH	YEAR	MONTH	YEAR
NO-→GO TO 8F				-	
YES→GO TO 8E	-				
DK → GO TO 8F					
NO GO TO 8F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•		
YES→GO TO 8E					
DK →GO TO 8F					
N0→G0 TO 8F					
YES→GO TO 8E					
DK -→GO TO 8F					
NO	······································				
YES-→GO TO 8E					
DK→GO TO 8F					
NO→GO TO 8F					
YES-→GO TO 8E					
DK••GO TO 8F					
NO·→GO TO 8F			· · ·		
YES- GO TO 8E					
DK→GO TO 8F					
	HAZARD]	YEAR		
]	STARTED		
]			
	TOTAL NUMBER OF HAZARDOUS	EXPOSURE	S		

CONFIDENTIAL

9. I'm now going to read through a list of things you might have had contact with, either in a job or a hobby. Please tell me if you have worked with or been exposed to any of these things at least once a week for more than one month.

READ LIST BELOW, ASK FOR SPECIFICS IF YES TO ANY

- Chemicals, cleaning fluids or solvents (specify)
- 2. Asbestos, insulation material
- 3. Insecticides or plant sprays
- 4. Plastics or resins (specify)
- 5. X-rays

- 6. Anaesthetic gases
- 7. Radioactivity, isotopes
- 8. Petroleum products, fuels, benzene (specify)
- Lead or metal smelting fumes (specify)

9A.	9B.	9C.	
Exposure (RECORD SPECIFICS)	When were you first exposed to this? (YEAR)	When was the last time you were ex- posed to this? (YEAR)	
			69 - 7 3
·			
·			

RECORD NUMBER

74

YES

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR EACH DISEASE OR CONDITION, ASK R FOR THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF HIS/HER PHYSICIAN. ASK ONLY ABOUT DISEASES IN R, NOT IN FAMILY.

Disease/Condition (Name or number)	Name of Treating Physician or Clinic	Address of Treating Physician or Clinic
	•	
	-	
	• -	

I	D	No	
_	-		_

CONFIDENTIAL

10. The next section of the questionnaire will be a health history. I am going to read through a list of health problems. As we go through this list, please tell me if you have ever been told by a doctor that you had the disease mentioned. Then I will ask you if anyone in your family has ever had any of these diseases. By your family, I mean the people you were raised with, parents or step-parents, brothers and sisters. Any questions?

			RES	SPONDENT	FAMILY
	Have you ever been told by a docto	or	No,Yes,	Date of First	
	that you had any of the following		Don't	Diagnosis	M, F, S, B
	Respiratory Diseases?		Know	(YEAR)	·
			Ν.		
100			Y	4	
<u>10</u> A.	Asthma	01	DK	1	1
			N		
<u> </u>	Bronchitis	02	י אס		
			N		
r	Pneumonia	~	Ŷ		{ }
	Theunorra	03	DK_		
			N Y		
D.	Emphysema	04	DK		
E.	Has any member of your family ever had any of these diseases? (REPEAT IF NECESSARY, RECORD INITIALS OPPOS DISEASE)	LIST	(RECORD Doctor's address)	name and
11.	Have you ever been told that you ha any of the following <u>Heart or Circu</u> <u>Diseases</u> ?	ad ulato	<u>ry</u>	-	
			N		
-			Y		
<u> </u>	Hypertension (high blood pressure)	05	DK		
			N		
R	Buerger's Disease (inflammation of		Y		
υ.	veins of the legs)	06	DK		
			N		· · · [
~	Develop Disease (second state		Ϋ́Υ		
С.	Raynaud's Disease (poor circulation hands or feet)		DK		
				·	
			N V		
D.	Thrombophlebitis (blood clot in a		אס		
	vein)	-08			
	, ·		N		
<u> </u>	Rheumatic Fever	09	YDK		
			N		
F	Varicoso Voins	10	אח אח		
- <u></u> G	Has any member of your family over	-10			
ч.	had any of these diseases?				
	(REPEAT 1 IST)				
	A-1	11			

I.D. No.

CONFIDENTIAL

				RES	PONDENT	FAMILY
13	Have you ever been told by a		No	,Yes,	Date of First	MESB
10.	doctor that you had any of the		Kr		(YEAR)	119 1 9 U 9
	following <u>Diseases of the</u> Digestive System?		N			
	<u></u>			Y		
<u>13A.</u>	Hepatomegaly (enlarged liver)	20		DK		
. –			N	Y		
<u> </u>	Splenomegaly (enlarged spleen)	21		DK		
			N			
C.	Cirrhosis of the liver	22		Y DK		
			N			
-				Y		
<u> </u>	Gall stones	23		DK		
			N		1	
Ε.	Pancreatitis (inflammation			Y		
	of the pancreas					
		ļ	М	•		
F.	Colitis (inflammation of the	1	.	Y .		
	colon)	_25		DK		
			N			
G.	Diverticulitis	26		ץ		
			N			
				Y		
<u>H.</u>	Ulcers	_27		DK		
			Ν			
т	Appendicitis	20		Y		
		- 20				

.

(RECORD Doctor's name and address)

CONFIDENTIAL

			r		+
			RES	PONDENT	FAMILY
12.	Have you ever been told that you		No,Yes,	Date of First	
	had any of the following Blood		Don't	Diagnosis	M, F, S, B
	Diseases?		Know	(YEAR)	
			N		
			Y		
101	Anomia (lass blass)		DV		
<u>17</u> A.	Anemita (Tow Diood)		UK		
			N		
-			Y		
Β.	Aplastic anemia	12	DK		
			AI.		
			NI IN		
			Y		
<u> </u>	Sickle cell anemia	13	DK		
			N		· ·
			Y		
D	Thelecomia	1/	DK		
<u> </u>	marassemra	14			+
			N		
			Ŷ		
Έ.	Leukopenia (low white blood cell		DK		
	count)	15	-		
			N		
			Υ I		
F.	Thrombocytopenia (low platelet				
···	count)	16	DK		
			N		
				1	
G	Leukemia	17	א מ'		
			N		
<u>H.</u>	Polycythemia	18	DK		
			N		
	,		Y		
т	Lumphoma	10	חא		
<u> </u>	Lymphoma	-15			
J.	Has any member of your family ever				
	had any of these diseases?	[
					ļ
/-	(REPEAT LIST)	ļ	ł		
(Re	meber to record Doctor's Name and		1		
Ad	aress)		ł		Í
		ł	ł	14	
		ł	}		
	A-12	1			1
		1	1		

T		D		No
-	٠	~	٠	110

CONFIDENTIAL

14.	Have you ever been told by a doct that you had any of the following Kidney or Urologic Problems?	or			
			RES	PONDENT	FAMILY
			No,Yes, Don't Know	Date of First Diagnosis (YEAR)	M, F, S, B
14A.	Bright's Disease		N Y		
		36	DK		
в.	Glomerulonephritis	. 37	N Y DK		
C.	Pyelonephritis	38 _	N Y DK		
D.	Kidney stones	39	N Y DK		
Ε.	Bladder infection	40	N . Y DK		
F.	Any other kidney problems? (SPECIFY)	41	Dk A N		-

G. Has anyone in your family ever had any of these kidney problems? (REPEAT LIST)
(RECORD Doctor's name and address)

I.D. No. ____

-

CONFIDENTIAL

	RES	PONDENT	FAMILY
	No,Yes, Don't Know	Date of First Diagnosis (YEAR)	M, F, S, B
FOR R ONLY, IF N OR DK, GO TO 13K	N Y		
29	<u>ĎK</u>		
Ji Did the doctor tell you what kind of hepatitis it was? (RECORD)			
			\angle
Jii Did the doctor tell you how or why you got it? (RECORD)			
13K. Any other Digestive or Liver Diseases? Specify	N Y DK		

(RECORD Doctor's name and address)

13L. Has any member of your family ever had any of these diseases? (REPEAT LIST, RECORD INITIALS OF FAMILY MEMBERS)'

.

				ID No.		3
		CONFID	ENTIAL			
Uni		. form of our				8
16. Hav	e you ever had any		cer:		• • • • • •	·
1.	NO GO TO	17				
2.	YES 					
16A.	What form of can In what part of	cer was it? the body?	16B	When was it f (YEAR)	irst diagnos	ed?
	·		•			9 ·
(RECOR	D Doctor's Name an	d Address)				
(RECOR 17. Has had	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance	d Address) mily (father r?	, mother,	brothers, or	sisters) eve • • • • • •	r13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NOGO TO	d Address) mily (father r? 18	, mother,	brothers, or	sisters) eve	r13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NO <u>GO TO</u> YES 	d Address) mily (father r? 18	, mother,	brothers, or	sisters) eve	r 13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NOGO TO YES U Who had it? (M,	d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B)	, mother,	brothers, or B. What form In what pa	sisters) eve of cancer wa rt of the bo	r 13 13 s it? dy?
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NO <u>GO TO</u> YES U Who had it? (M,	d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B)	, mother,	brothers, or B. What form In what pa	sisters) eve of cancer wa rt of the bo	r 13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NO <u>GO TO</u> YES U Who had it? (M,	d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B)	, mother,	brothers, or B. What form In what pa	sisters) eve of cancer wa <u>rt of the bo</u>	r13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NO GO TO YES U Who had it? (M,	d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B)	, mother,	brothers, or 	sisters) eve	r13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NOGO TO YES U Who had it? (M,	d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B)	, mother,	brothers, or B. What form In what pa	sisters) eve	r 13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NOGO TO YES U Who had it? (M,	<pre>d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B) [</pre>	, mother, 	brothers, or B. What form In what pa	sisters) eve	r 13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NOGO TO YES U Who had it? (M,	d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B)	, mother,	brothers, or 	sisters) eve	r 13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NO <u>GO TO</u> YES U Who had it? (M,	d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B)	, mother,	brothers, or 	sisters) eve	r 13
(RECOR 17. Has had 1. 2. 17A.	D Doctor's Name an anyone in your <u>fa</u> any form of cance NOGO TO YES U Who had it? (M,	d Address) mily (father r? 18 F, S, B)	, mother,	brothers, or 	sisters) eve	r 13

۰.

÷

.

A-17

I		D		N	о	
---	--	---	--	---	---	--

CONFIDENTIAL

			RES	PONDENT	FAMILY
15.	Have you ever been told by a doc	tor	No,Yes,	Date of First	
	Bone Joint on Skin Problems?	g	Don't	Diagnosis	M, F, S, B
	bone, contr, or skin problems:		Know	(YEAR)	
			N		
			Y		
<u>15A.</u>	Osteoarthritis	42	DK		
			N		
			Ϋ́Υ	`	
р. [.]	Dhoumatoid Authoritic				
D.		43	UK		
			N		
			Y		
С.	Gout	4 4 ·	рк		
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		N		
			N V		
-		45			
<u> </u>	Fibrositis	45	DK		
			N		
			Y		
F	Acronstenlysis (changes in		אס		
	finger bones)	46			
····				· ·	
_		47			
<u> </u>	Clubbing of the fingers	47	DK		
			Ν.		
			Y		
G	Psoriasis	48	אס		
			N		
		10	Ý		
<u> </u>	Eczema	49	DK		
			N		
			Ϋ́Υ		
Ţ	Sclongdorma (thickoning on		DV		
1.	scaling skin)	50	UK		
	scaring sking				
			N		
	_		Y		
J.	Cold Sores (Herpes simplex)	51	DK		
			N	-	•
		[	''γ '		
ĸ	Shinalos	52			
	54119165				l

L. Has anyone in your family ever had any of these Bone, joint or skin problems? (REPEAT LIST)

(RECORD Doctor's name and address)



A-19

#### CONFIDENTIAL

FOR EACH DISEASE OR CONDITION, ASK R FOR THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF HIS/HER PHYSICIAN. ASK ONLY ABOUT DISEASES IN R, NOT IN FAMILY.

Disease/Condition (Name or number)	Name of Treating Physician or Clinic	Address of Treating Physician or Clinic		
		•		
	-	•		
		· · · · ·		
		· ·		



A-21

<u>.</u>				ID No.		
:		CONFIC	DENTIAL			
20.	Please tell me about all regularly for a period o prescribed by your docto a prescription. Please has prescribed. (Do not	the medi of <u>three m</u> or, and on tell me f include v	cines or pres onths or more es you buy at irst about me vitamins.)	criptions you ha . Include all m the drugstore w dicines your doc	ave taken medicines vithout ctor	
	Name of Medicine What take	did you it for?	How often did you take it?	When was the first time you took it? (YEAR)	When did most re- cently take it?	
20 <b>A</b> .						38-39
20B.						40-41
21.	RECORD ADDITIO TOTAL NUMBER OF Have you ever been admit	NAL MEDIC MEDICINES ted to the	INES ON LAST F , RECORD "O" 1 e hospital and	PAGE IF NONE 1 stayed at leas	t two	42
•	1. NO $\longrightarrow$ GO TO 22	illness (	or injury?	· • • • • • •	••••	43
	<ol> <li>YES</li> <li>21A. What was the problem (RECORD)</li> </ol>	Hosp No n?	pitalization <u>5.1</u>	Hospita No.	lization 2	
	218 When were you admitte	ed? Mo.	Year		Year	48-51
	21C. What hospital?					
	21D. What City and State?	2				
	RECORD ADDITIONAL	HOSPITALI	ZATIONS ON LA	ST PAGE		
		TOTAL N A-20	UMBER OF HOSP	PITALIZATIONS		52

#### CONFIDENTIAL

FOR EACH DISEASE OR CONDITION, ASK R FOR THE NAME AND ADDRESS OF HIS/HER PHYSICIAN. ASK ONLY ABOUT DISEASES IN R, NOT IN FAMILY.

Disease/Condition (Name or number)	Name of Treating Physician or Clinic	Address of Treating Physician or Clinic
	-	
		·

				Card No.	1-2 3-7
			CONFIDENTIAL		:
27	7. Now may	y, I am going to read th have noticed in yourse	rough a list of lf.	symptoms or conditions that you	
	Do lin	you remember a time whe it your activities, or	n any of the fol cause you to see	llowing bothered you enough to e a doctor?	
	-		No, Yes Dont' Know	IF YES, When were you <u>first</u> bothered enough to limit your activities or see a doctor? (YEAR)	
	27 A.	Have you ever been bothered by dizziness or light headedness? 53.	N Y DK		8-9
	<u>B.</u>	Headaches? 54	N Y DK		] 10-11
	Ċ.	Pain in your upper abdomen or stomach? 55	N Y DK		12.13
	D	Lower back pain? 56	N Y DK		14-15
	<u> </u>	Insomnia? 57	N Y DK		16-17
	F.	Ringing or buzzing in the ears? 53	N Y DK		18-19
	G.	Pain in the joints of your legs or arms?59	N Y DK		20-21
	Н.	Loss of feeling or numbness in your hands or feet? 60	N Y DK		22-23
-				(RECORD Doctor's name and address, if any)	
			A-22		

#### CONFIDENTIAL

28. Thinking back to when you were in elementary school, do you remember being sent home from school because of any of the following conditions?

-	No, Yes Dont' Know	IF YES, Do you remember what grade you were in, and what school you were attending? (RECORD)	
- · · ·	N		
28 A. Dizziness 70	т DК		42
D. U., d h	N Y		1
B. Headaches /1	DK.		J 43
	ini .Y		
C. Ringing or Buzzing in the ears 72	DK		44
	N		1
D. Trouble breathing, short	Y DK		45
75	N		
E Pad couch 74	י את אח		1.0
Z. Dau cougn 74	N		, 40
F. Pain in the joints of	יי אַרַ		47
your arms and regs 75	N		1
G. Loss of feeling or numb-	ץ אח		
ness in your hands or feet 76			48
	N		
H. Tingling in hands or feet 77	Y DK		49
	N	·	
I. Fever 78	Y   DK		50
			-

A-24

ID No. CONFIDENTIAL No, Yes IF YES, When were you first Dont' Know bothered enough to limit your activities or see a doctor? (YEAR) Ν ÷ Y 27 I. Tingling in hands 24-25 DK or feet? 61 . Ν Y J. Trouble breathing, DK 26-27 short of breath? 62 Ν Y 28-29 К. Diarrhea? 63 DK Have you been bothered by problems in your arms, legs, hands, or feet, such as: Ν Y 27L. Coldness or 30-31 DK numbness? 64 Ν Y Tingling sensations in your fingers or Μ. DK 32-33 toes? 65 Ν Ν. Pain in the joints of Y your fingers or hands DK **3**4-35 66 Ν 0. Redness or flushing Y in your hands or feet DK 36-37 67 Ν Ρ. Whiteness or loss of Y color in your hands DK or feet? 38-39 68 Ν Y Cracking and peeling Q. DK of the skin of your hands or feet? 40-41 69 (RECORD Doctor's Name and address, if any) A-23

	Card No.	0 6
	ID No.	
	CONFIDENTIAL	
	R IS MALE, SKIP 29 THROUGH 34, GO TO 35	
	R IS FEMALE, PROCEED WITH 29	<del>~</del>
29.	Next, I'm going to ask you some questions about your menstrua	l periods.
	How old were you when your periods started?	•••
30.	Has there ever been anything unusual about your periods, or an that concerned you or your doctor?	nything
	1. NO GO TO 31	
	2. YES	•
3	30A. Can you tell me what this was?	<u> </u>
	(RECORD)	
		•
. '		
31.	Since your periods started, have they usually been regular? F	for this
	control pills. 1. NO 2. YES	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	R HAS NEVER BEEN MARRIED, SKIP 32 THROUGH 34, GO TO 35	
· r		
!_	R HAS BEEN MARRIED, PROCEED WITH 32	
ID No.

CONFIDENTIAL

۰.

-			No, Yes Dont' Know	IF YES, Do you remember what grade you were in, and what school you were attending? (RECORD)	
			N		
-	•		Y		. 1
2 <u>8 J.</u>	Diarrhea	7.9	DK		T
к.	Stomach pain	80	N Y DK	5	2
		<u></u>	N		
<u> </u>	Vomiting	81	Y DK	5	3
	<del>.</del> .				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•				
			-		
	•				
				•	
			A-25		

	CON	FIDENTIAL	ID No		-
		First	Second	Third	_
34A.	When did your (first, second, third pregnancy end? (MONTH, YEAR)	Current=88 88			- 20
34B.	How many months did this pregnancy last?				32
34C.	Did this pregnancy end with the birth of a live baby that lived at least one month? If not, how did it end?	<ol> <li>LB ≥ 1 mo.</li> <li>LB &lt; 1 mo.</li> <li>Stillborn</li> <li>Miscarriage</li> <li>Abortion</li> <li>Ectopic</li> </ol>	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	] 30
	IF 4, 5, or 6, SKIP D THROUGH J, GC	BACK TO 34 A			
34D.	Was this a boy or girl?	1. Girl 2. Boy	1. 2.	1.	41
34E.	How much did he/she weigh at birth?	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	lbs. oz.	44
4F.	Were there any congenital ab- normalities, or birth defects in the baby?	1. No. 2. Yes, Specify	1. 2.	1. 2.	56-
-	IF STILLBORN, SKIP G THROUGH	J, GO BACK TO 34	ان A.		
4G.	Has this child had any major illnesses during its lifetime?	1. No. 2. Yes, Specify	1. 2.	1. 2.	
					59 -
4H.	Is the child alive at present?	1. No 2. Yes	1. 2.	1. 2.	65-
	IF CHILD IS ALIVE, SKIP I THROUGH J,	GO BACK TO 34 A.			
41.	What was the date of the child's death? (MO, YEAR)				68-
4J.	What was the <u>cause</u> of death? (RECORD)				74-
CORD	ADDITIONAL PREGNANCIES ON LAST DAGE	TOTAL NUMBER O	F PREGNANCIES .	· · · · ·	80

ID No.	
CONFIDENTIAL	
32. Have you ever been pregnant?	14
<ol> <li>YES 1. NO</li> <li>32B. Was there ever a time when you were trying to become pregnant and could not do so?</li> <li>NO 2. YES</li> </ol>	15
GO TO 35 32A. How many times have you been pregnant?	16
(RECORD)	
<ul> <li>33. Was there ever a period of time when you were trying to become pregnant, and either could not do so, or it took more than six months to do so?</li> <li>1. NO GO TO 34</li> </ul>	17
2. YES	
33A. What is the most number of months or years at one stretch that you tried to become pregnant?	18-1
(RECORD)	
34. Next, I am going to ask you a few questions about (each of) your pregnancy (ies).	

.

-

# CONFIDENTIAL

ID No.

		CONFIDENTIAL
	36C.	How old were you when you stopped smoking cigarettes
	36D.	What was the main reason you stopped smoking?
		(RECORD)
		<ol> <li>HEALTH REASON</li> <li>HEALTH REASON, DOCTOR'S SUGGESTION</li> <li>OTHER</li> </ol>
37.	Do you sr	noke pipes or cigars now?
	1.	NO GO TO 38
	2.	YES
	37A.	Which do you smoke? 1. Pipe 2. Cigar 3. Both
	37́В.	How many pipefuls or cigars do you usually smoke each day?
	:37C.	How old were you when you first smoked pipes or cigars?
		GO TO 39
38.	Have you	ever smoked pipes or cigars regularly?
	1.	NO
	2.	YES
	38A.	Which did you smoke? 1. Pipe 2. Cigar 3. Both 430 (Circle)

.

	• Card No.	0 7 1-2
CONFIDENTI	AL I.D. No.	3-7
35. At the present time, do you smoke cigar cigars, or brown cigarettes	rettes? Please include	little 8
1. NO $\longrightarrow$ GO TO 36		
2. YES		
↓ 35A. What is the average number of day now?	cigarettes you smoke j	per9 -1'0
(RECORD)		
35B. How old were you when you beg regularly?	an smoking cigarettes	
35C. Thinking back over the time s what is the average number of day? Please give your best e	ince you first started cigarettes you've smol stimate.	smoking, ked per
(RECORD)		
GO TO 37		
26 11 1 1 1 1		
36. Have you ever smoked cigarettes, on a r	egular basis?	••••
1. NO <u>GO 10 37</u>		
36A. When you were smoking regular cigarettes did you smoke per	ly, on the average how day?	many 16-17
(RECORD)		
36B. How old were you when you began regularly?	an smoking cigarettes • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
A-29		

	I.D. No	
	ĆONFIDENTIAL	
39.	At the present time, do you regularly drink alcoholic beverages?	38
	1. NO GO TO 40	
	2. YES	
	39A. About how old were you when you began drinking regularly?	39 - 40
	39B. During a typical month, on about how many days do you drink alcoholic beverages?	41-42
	39C. On the days you do drink, about how many drinks do you have on the average day? By a drink, I mean a can of beer, a glass of wine, or one shot glass of hard liquor	43
	(RECORD)	
	39D. Have you ever regularly had three or more drinks per day every day?	44
•	1. NO	
	2. YES	
	39E.Have there been periods when you've had five or more drinks at one time, at least twice a month?	45
	1. NO	
	2. YES	
<u> </u>	GO TO 41:	

.

A-32

ID No. CONFIDENTIAL How many pipefuls or cigars did you usually smoke each 38B. 31-32 How old were you when you first smoked pipes or cigars 38C. 33-34 .-How old were you when you stopped smoking . ) 35-36 38D. 37 38E. What was the main reason you stopped? . . . . . . . . . . (RECORD) 1. HEALTH REASON 3. ADVERSE PUBLICITY 2. HEALTH REASON, DOCTOR'S 4. OTHER SUGGESTION .

I.D. No.

# CONFIDENTIAL

41. This next section of the questionnaire will ask you about marijuana and other drugs. In order to keep your answers to these important questions strictly confidential, you will fill out this short section yourself, and seal it in an envelope before you give it back to me.

HAND R THE SUPPLEMENTAL DRUG QUESTIONNAIRE (WITH I.D. NUMBER)

AND ENVELOPE

Please read the instructions in the box at the top of the page. (PAUSE)

Each question has an answer choice that requires the same amount of writing. Even if a question does not apply to you, or you'd rather not answer it, there is a choice that fits this, too. I will not be able to tell how you are answering any question.

As with the rest of the questionnaire, this section is confidential, and your name will not be connected with your answer We need this important information for statistical purposes only.

Do you have any questions?

#### R COMPLETED SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONNAIRE? . .

57

I.D. NUMBER: 1. NO

- 2. YES
- 3. YES, RELUCTANTLY
- ANONYMOUS:
- 4. NO
- 5. YES
  - 6. YES, RELUCTANTLY

	I.D. No.	
	CONFIDENTIAL	
40. In alc	the past, has there ever been a time when you regularly drank	46
1.	NO $\longrightarrow$ GO TO 41	
2.	YES	
40 A.	About how old were you when you began drinking regularly?	47 48
40B.	During a typical month when you were drinking, on about how many days per month did you drink alcoholic beverages?	49 50
40C.	On the days when you did drink, about how many drinks did you have on the average day? By a drink, I mean a can of beer, a glass of wine, or one shot glass of hard liquor	51
	(RECORD)	
40D.	Have you ever regularly had three or more drinks per day every day?	52
	1. NO	
	2. YES	
40E.	Have there been periods when you've had five or more drinks at one time, at least twice a month?	53
	1. NO	
	2. YES	
40F.	How old were you when you stopped drinking regularly?	54 55
40G.	What was the main reason you stopped drinking regularly?	56

,

.

-

ID No.

#### RELEASE OF MEDICAL RECORDS

I have agreed to participate in a study being conducted by Science Applications, Inc. for the Environmental Protection Agency. This study is investigating possible health effects of exposure to vinyl chloride.

I authorize the release of my medical records to:

Program Physician Vinyl Chloride Health Survey Science Applications, Inc. 1801 Avenue of the Stars, #1205 Los Angeles, California 90067

so that they may be reviewed for information pertinent to the study. This authorization is valid for a period of 6 months from this date.

Subject

Date

Witness (Interviewer)

Date

		1
	CONFIDENTIAL I.D. NO.	
42.	That concludes the medical portion of the questionnaire. There are just a few more general questions.	
	How old were you on your last birthday?	71-72
43.	In what city and state were you born?	73
	(RECORD)(CITY) (STATE)	
44.	What is your religious preference?	74
45.	Before we finish, there are two more consent forms that you must read.	
	HAND R RELEASE OF MEDICAL RECORDS FORM AND PEN	
	Your signature on this form will allow doctors working with our study to have access to your medical records, if they feel this is necessary.	
	Please read it, sign and date the bottom to show that you agree, and return the form to me.	e e
	<ol> <li>RELEASE OF RECORDS FORM HAS BEEN SIGNED AND RETURNED.</li> <li>FORM NOT SIGNED. REASON:</li> </ol>	75
	SIGN YOUR NAME AND DATE THE FORM AS THE WITNESS.	

÷.

;

A-35

## CONSENT FOR FOLLOW-UP

I have agreed to participate in a study being conducted by Science Applications, Inc. for the Environmental Protection Agency. This study is investigating possible health effects of exposure to vinyl chloride.

I also agree to allow Science Applications, Inc. to keep my name and address in a confidential file, which may be used to contact me again. I understand that any future contact will only be for the purposes of gathering information pertinent to the study, and that I am not agreeing to any actual participation.

Subject

Date

Witness (Interviewer)

Date

ID No. CONFIDENTIAL 46. This last form is a consent for follow up. HAND R CONSENT FOR FOLLOW UP FORM AND PEN ..... This is to allow us to contact you in the future, if necessary, in order to update the information needed for this study or contact you about any findings which might be important to you. Please read it, sign and date the bottom to show that you agree, and return it to me. 76 1. CONSENT FOR FOLLOW UP HAS BEEN SIGNED AND RETURNED. 2. FORM NOT SIGNED. REASON: _____ SIGN YOUR NAME AND DATE THE FORM AS THE WITNESS. 47. To help us keep in touch with you, could you please give me the names and addresses of two people who will always know how to reach you? 77 1. YES 2. RESPONDENT REFUSES 1. 2. 

## CONFIDENTIAL

ID No.

-----

Other than brothers and sisters, can you think of anyone else who went to Saugus Elementary School? Please provide us with names and addresses.

.

_____

.

(RECORD Name and Addresses and Telephone Numbers).

.

_____

	I.D. No	-
	ĊONFIDENTIAL	
48.	That finishes the questionnaire. Thank you very much for your cooperation in this study. Would you like to make any comments about this interview?	78
	1. NO	
	2. YES (RECORD)	
49.	RECORD THE TIME THE INTERVIEW ENDED.	

		ID No.		<b>1-2</b> 3-7
. (	CONFIDEN	TIAL		
FILL IN THE FOLLOWING ITEMS I	MMEDIAT	ELY AFTER LEAVING RESPONDENT'S HOME	:	
Interview was conducted	1. 2. 3.	In person, face to face By telephone Other, Specify	ء د	В
Location of respondent during interview	1. 2. 3. 4.	respondent's home respondent's workplace survey office other, Specify:	9	
Housing Type (If interview conducted at home)	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	single family residence duplex apt. bldg (under 20 units) apt. bldg. (20 units or more) mobile home other, Specify:		. 0
Respondent was:	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	White Black Chicano, Hispanic Oriental Other, Specify:		1
Interest of Respondent during Interview	1. 2. 3.	very interested somewhat interested uninterested	i;	2
Language of Interview	1. 2. 3.	English Spanish Other, Specify:		3
Was there any other person present during the interview?	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Yes, part of the time, spouse Yes, most of the time, spouse Yes, part of the time, parents Yes, most of the time, parents Yes, part of the time, other Yes, most of the time, other No		.+ -

•

.

· · ·	ID No.
· · · .	
	•

A-41

.

CONFIDENTIAL

ID No.

·.

•

.



A-44

ID No. CONFIDENTIAL 1. great How much influence did that 15 2. moderate person(s) exert on the 3. little or none Respondent? no one else present, does not apply 4. Did the Respondent receive help or prompting during: 16 Parents 1. a. Occupational Section: 2. Spouse Other, Specify 3. 4. None -17 Parents b. Medical Section? 1. 2. Spouse 3. Other, Specify _____ None 4. How honest do you feel the Respondent's answers were? 18 1. very honest somewhat honest 2. not very honest 3.

ID No. CONFIDENTIAL Show your answers by circling the number next to the answer that fits. Example: Do you usually eat breakfast? YES 2. NO Or, some questions ask you to write in a number. Example: How old were you when you learned to drive a car? 16 (estimated age) (If you have never learned to drive, write in 99) 58 41A. Have you ever used marijuana (grass, pot)? 1. YES 2. NO 3. DON'T WISH TO ANSWER 59 - 60 41B. How old were you when you first started to use marijuana? (estimated age) (If you have never used marijuana, write in 99) 41C. Altogether, about how many years have you used marijuana? 61-62 (estimated number of years) (If you have never used marijuana, write in 99) 41D. During the time you used marijuana about how often have you 63 used it, on the average? (Circle the number) EVERYDAY, OR NEARLY EVERYDAY 1. 2. THREE TO FOUR TIMES PER WEEK 3. ONE TO TWO TIMES PER WEEK 4. ONE TO THREE TIMES PER MONTH 5. LESS OFTEN THAN ONCE PER MONTH 6. DON'T WISH TO ANSWER 7. DOES NOT APPLY/HAVE NEVER USED

4

A-46

I.D. No.

## CONFIDENTIAL

41. This next section of the questionnaire will ask you about marijuana and other drugs. In order to keep your answers to these important questions strictly confidential, you will fill out this short section yourself, and seal it in an envelope before you give it back to me.

HAND R THE SUPPLEMENTAL DRUG QUESTIONNAIRE (WITH I.D. NUMBER)

AND ENVELOPE

Please read the instructions in the box at the top of the page. (PAUSE)

Each question has an answer choice that requires the same amount of writing. Even if a question does not apply to you, or you'd rather not answer it, there is a choice that fits this, too. I will not be able to tell how you are answering any question.

As with the rest of the questionnaire, this section is confidential, and your name will not be connected with your answer We need this important information for statistical purposes only.

Do you have any questions?

### R COMPLETED SUPPLEMENTAL QUESTIONNAIRE? . . .

57

I.D. NUMBER: 1. NO

- 2. YES
- 3. YES, RELUCTANTLY
- ANONYMOUS:
- 4. NO
- 5. YES
  - 6. YES, RELUCTANTLY

## CONFIDENTIAL .

~

68

:69

70

Heroin or Morphine

- 1. REGULAR USER
- 2. OCCASIONAL USER
- 3. HAVE NEVER USED
- 4. DON'T WISH TO ANSWER

Cocaine (Coke)

- 1. REGULAR USER
- 2. OCCASIONAL USER
- 3. HAVE NEVER USED
  - 4. DON'T WISH TO ANSWER

Glue Sniffing (or other inhalants)

- 1. REGULAR USER
- 2. OCCASIONAL USER
  - 3. HAVE NEVER USED
  - 4. DON'T WISH TO ANSWER

THAT FINISHES THIS SECTION OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR COOPERATION. PLEASE SEAL THESE PAGES IN THE ENVELOPE AND RETURN IT TO THE INTERVIEWER.



		ID No.	
	CONFI	DENTIAL	
			±
	QUESTIONNAIRE F		
ł	HEALTH EFFECTS SURVEY O	F VINYL CHLORIDE EXPOS	SURE
		•	
NAME	LAST		
	MAIDEN (1† app	licable)	
	FIRST		· ·
	MIDDLE		
ADDRESS			
	NUMBER AND STREE	ET	
<u></u>	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
TELEPHONE NUM	BER AREA CODE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		NORDER	

I

APPENDIX B



I.D. No.

#### CONFIDENTIAL

This is an important medical survey of the possible health effects of exposure to vinyl chloride gas, which is being conducted by Science Applications, Inc., for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Elevated levels of vinyl chloride (which might affect health) were present around the area of the Saugus Elementary School. This school is located near a factory that uses vinyl chloride to make plastic. We are giving survey question naires to persons who may have been exposed while attending this school, as well as to persons who were not exposed.

One part of this survey deals with pregnancies and births, which are, of course, influenced by both husband and wife. Therefore it is necessary to ask you a few questions.

### HAND R STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY AND PEN

This form is a statement of confidentiality prepared by the Environmental Protection Agency.

This is to assure you that this questionnaire is for health research only and that all of your answers will be strictly confidential and will never be connected with your name.

Please read it, sign and date the bottom to show that you understand, and return it to me.

1. STATEMENT HAS BEEN SIGNED AND RETURNED.

2. OTHER, SPECIFY

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 158-S79005, EXPIRES 10/81 - COPYRIGHT 1979.

B-3

		CONFIDENTIAL	I.	D. NO		
Now, let	's begin.					
I need to for stat rest of ⁻	o first ask you a few istical purposes, to the population.	general questions see how people in t	. This in this surve	formation y compare	is important with the	
1. Wha	t is your birthdate? (RECORD)		••••			24-29
			<u></u>	<u></u>		30-31
2. Wha	t was the highest gra	de in school you co	ompleted?		••••	
	(CIRCLE ONE)					
<u>5,6,7,8</u> Grade School	<u>9,10,11,12</u> High School	<u>13,14,15,16</u> Years of College or post high school training	17 Some post- college Gi Post co	18 Masters raduate Sch llege educe	19 Doctorate hool ation	
3. Are	you currently enroll 1. NO GO TO 2. YES	ed in a school or c	college? .		[	32
33. What is the name and location of the school?					33	
	(RECORD)	NAME				
	CITY		STATE			
		•				
		B-5				Ì

. 1 i

ID No.

### STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

THE PURPOSE OF THIS SURVEY IS TO OBTAIN INFORMATION WHICH WILL BE USED SOLELY FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH INTO THE EFFECTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS ON PUBLIC HEALTH. THIS WORK IS BEING CONDUCTED FOR THE U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY.

THE INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM YOU WILL BE HELD IN STRICT CONFIDENCE. ALL RESULTS WILL BE SUMMARIZED FOR GROUPS OF PEOPLE. NO INFORMATION ABOUT INDIVID-UAL PERSONS WILL BE RELEASED.

THE QUESTIONNAIRES USED IN THIS SURVEY ARE AUTHORIZED BY LAW (42 U.S.C. 7401 AS AMENDED). WHILE YOU ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RESPOND, YOUR COOPERATION IS NEEDED TO MAKE THE RESULTS OF THIS SURVEY COMPREHENSIVE, ACCURATE, AND TIMELY. FAILURE TO PARTICIPATE OR FAILURE TO COMPLETE THE STUDY ONCE ENROLLED WILL CARRY NO PENALTY.

I HAVE READ AND UNDERSTAND THE STATEMENT ABOVE.

Date

Signature of Respondent

B-4

ID No.

# CONFIDENTIAL

.

•

	5A	5B	5C	
	What is (was) your job title?	What are (were) your major duties in this job?	What kind of company is (was) this?	
-				
urrent or most ecent)				
-				
Sefore that?				
efore hat?				

RECORD ADDITIONAL JOBS ON LAST PAGE

B-7

### CONFIDENTIAL

4.

ID No.

The next part of this questionnaire concerns jobs that you have held. I am interested in all the different kinds of work you have done for a period of one month or more. Please include summer jobs or part-time jobs you may have held while you were going to school. First, are you currently employed, either full or part-time? . . . NO 1. 2. YES IF NO I would like to start with your most recent job and work backward. (IF SPOUSE HAS NEVER WORKED, EVEN VOLUNTEER WORK, SKIP TO 7.) I would like to start with your current job and IF YES work backward.

34

ID No. CONFIDENTIAL  $I\,{}^{\prime}\text{m}$  now going to read through a list of things you might have had contact 6. with, either in a job or a hobby. Please tell me if you have worked with or been exposed to any of these things at least once a week for more than one month. READ LIST BELOW, ASK FOR SPECIFICS IF YES TO ANY 1. Chemicals, cleaning fluids 6. Anaesthetic gases or solvents (specify) Radioactivity, isotopes 7. 2. Petroleum products, Asbestos, insulation material 8. Insecticides or plant sprays fuels, benzene (specify) 3. Plastics or resins (specify) 9. Lead or metal smelting 4. fumes (specify) 5. X-rays ١ 6B. 6C. 6A. Exposure -When were you When was the last first exposed time you were ex-(RECORD SPECIFICS) to this? (YEAR) posed to this? (YEAR) 52-56

RECORD NUMBER

57

YES

ID No.

CONFI	[ DEN	TIAL
-------	-------	------



		ID No.	•.	
		CONFIDENTIAL	,	
10.	Have	e you ever been pregnant?	14	
	2.	YES 1. NO 10B. Was there ever a time when you were trying to become pregnant and could not do so?	15	
		1. NO 2. YES GO TO 13		
1	OA.	How many times have you been pregnant?	16	
	(REC	ORD)		
11.	Was and do si	there ever a period of time when you were trying to become pregnant, either could not do so, or it took more than six months to o?	17	
	1.	NO-GO TO 12		
	2.	YES		
11	Α.	What is the most number of months or years at one stretch that you tried to become pregnant?	18-19	
		(RECORD)		
12. Next, I am going to ask you a few questions about (each of) your pregnancy (ies).				

1

		Card No. ID No.	
	CONFIDENTIAL		
	SPOUSE IS MALE, SKIP 7 THROUGH 12, GO TO 1	13	······
	]SPOUSE IS FEMALE, PROCEED WITH 7		
[,] 7.	Next, I'm going to ask you some questions a How old were you when your periods started	about your men ?	strual periods.
8.	Has there ever been anything unusual about that concerned you or your doctor?	your periods,	or anything
	1. NO $\longrightarrow$ GO TO 9 2. YES		
	8A. Can you tell me what this was?		
	(RECORD)		
9.	Since your periods started, have they usual question please ignore any time you might h control pills. 1. NO 2. YES	ly been regula ave been takir	r? For this og birth
		·	
		·	

.

13.	That finishes the questionnaire.	Thank you very much for your
	cooperation in this study.	

CONFIDENTIAL

ID No.

RECORD THE TIME THE INTERVIEW ENDED.
	CONFIDENTIAL					
		First Second Third				
12A.	When did your (first, second, third) pregnancy end? (MONTH, YEAR)	Current=88 88				
128.	How many months did this pregnancy last?					
12C.	Did this pregnancy end with the birth of a live baby that lived at least one month? If not, how did it end?	1. LB $\geq$ 1 mo. 1. 1.   2. LB < 1 mo.				
	IF 4, 5, or 6, SKIP D THROUGH J, GO	ВАСК ТО 12 А				
12D.	Was this a boy or girl?	1. Girl 1. 1. 2. Boy 2. 2.	_			
12E.	How much did he/she weigh at birth?	lbs. oz. lbs. oz. lbs. oz.				
12F.	Were there any congenital ab- normalities, or birth defects, in the baby?	1. No. 2. Yes, Specify 2. 2.				
	IF STILLBORN, SKIP G THROUGH J	, GO BACK TO 12 A.	~			
12G.	Has this child had any major illnesses during its lifetime?	1. No. 2. Yes, Specify 2. 2.				
12 H.	Is the child alive at present?	1. No 2. Yes 2. 2. 2.				
	IF CHILD IS ALIVE, SKIP I THROUGH J, G	ю васк то 12 а.				
12'I.	What was the date of the child's death? (MO, YEAR)		- j e			
12 J.	What was the <u>cause</u> of death? (RECORD)		7			
	RECORD ADDITIONAL PREGNANCIES ON LAST	TOTAL NUMBER OF PREGNANCIES	] ] €			

ID No. CONFIDENTIAL 1. English Language of Interview 16 . 2. Spanish Other, Specify: 3. Was there any other person 1. Yes, part of the time, spouse 17 Yes, most of the time, spouse present during the interview? 2. Yes, part of the time, parents 3. Yes, most of the time, parents 4. 5. Yes, part of the time, other Yes, most of the time, other 6. 7. No How much influence did that 1. great 18 moderate 2. person(s) exert on the little or none 3. Respondent? no one else present, does not apply 4. Did the Respondent receive help or prompting during: 19 a. Occupational Section: 1. [.] Parents 2. Spouse Other, Specify _____ 3. 4. None 20 Parents 1. b. Reproductive History 2. Spouse Other, Specify 3. 4. None How honest do you feel the Respondent's answers were? 1. very honest 21 2. somewhat honest 3. not very honest

		Card No. ID No.	12	1-2. 3-7			
			ن <u>۔ ا ل ا ۔ ۔ ۔</u>				
CC	ONFIDE	INTIAL					
FILL IN THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN	1MEDIA	TELY AFTER LEAVING RESPONDENT'S HOME					
Spouse Questionnaire was Admir	Spouse Questionnaire was Administered:						
	1. 2.	At the same session as the Study- Subject Questionnaire At a different session		8			
Respondent for Spouse Question	naire	was:	[]				
	1. 2. 3.	Spouse Study Subject Other, Specify		9			
If not spouse, explain why:	1. 2.	Spouse is deceased. Spouse is otherwise unable to be interviewed. Specify reason		10			
Interview was conducted	1. 2. 3.	In person, face to face By telephone Other, Specify		11			
Location of respondent during interview	1. 2. 3. 4.	respondent's home respondent's workplace survey office other, Specify:		12			
Housing Type (If interview conducted at home)	1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	single family residence duplex apt. bldg (under 20 units) apt. bldg. (20 units or more) mobile home other, Specify:		13			
Respondent was:	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	White Black Chicano, Hispanie Oriental Other, Specify:		14			
Interest of Respondent during Interview	1. 2. 3.	very interested somewhat interested uninterested		15			

APPENDIX C

-

.

·

ID No. CONFIDENTIAL

.

The funding for the study began in October 1978 for a two year pilot segment with a subsequent one year option period. The first several months of the program have involved identifying the student population, developing the questionnaire and the detailed study protocol and establishing the models and information base to support the calculation of plant emissions and cohort exposure. This questionnaire has been thoroughly reviewed by Dr. G. Wilkinson, Senior Epidemiologist with the Epidemiology Branch of the EPA Health Effects Research Laboratory. The questionnaire has been revised by the performing contractor in response to these reviews. It is considered to be appropriate toward accomplishing the objectives of this program. Prompt further review and clearance are deemed to be vital in order that the study proceed in a timely manner to bring about efficient use of contract funds and allow for sufficient time for data reduction and analysis.

In summary, for this research program, <u>Health Effects in Children</u> <u>Exposed to Vinyl Chloride</u> (EPA Contract No. 68-02-2986), the performing contractor, Science Applications, Inc. (SAI), will survey the health status of the exposed cohort. In order to accomplish this objective a comprehensive health survey questionnaire administered by personal interview will be utilized. Comparison with concomitant health statistics data for unexposed populations will be made. Survey by questionnaire is the only appropriate procedure for developing the necessary data base for analysis. No existing data base contains information which can answer the study questions.

## 1.2 Data Utilization

Although a considerable body of occupational and laboratory toxicological data have demonstrated the carcinogenic action of vinyl chloride monomer, there appears to be no epidemiological literature uniquely detailing significant exposure to children. The administration and analysis of the questionnaire is a necessary component of the program which will: determine whether any statistically significant elevation of health effects exists in the cohort; evaluate their dose/effects relationship; provide a contrast with respect to latency period - pathology type observed - dose sensitivity, etc. SUPPORTING STATEMENT - VINYL CHLORIDE HEALTH EFFECTS SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE

## **1.0** JUSTIFICATION

1.2

## 1.1 Information Requirement

In the spring of 1978 the Saugus Elementary School was permanently closed because of concern about the hazards of vinyl chloride monomer (VCM) emissions from an adjacent polyvinyl chloride (PVC) fabrication and product manufacturing plant. Estimations of the VCM level at the school, based upon direct monitoring and calculations, exceed current occupational limits under particular conditions.

The plant has been in operation for twenty years and during the major portion of this period the occupational threshold limit value (TLV) was 500 parts per million (ppm) for the time weighted average (TWA) over a 40 hour week. By 1974 the TLV was reduced to 1 ppm because of a greater appreciation of the hazards posed by VCM exposure. VCM is a recognized human carcinogen and additionally has been associated with a number of non-carcinogenic pathological conditions often referred to as vinyl chloride disease.

The purpose of this research program is to determine if children who attended this school have manifest any unusual occurrences of disease conditions. The study will also delineate the factors characteristic of each condition such as latent period, exposure concentration-effect relationship, sex or other cofactor dependency. The results of this study are directly important to assist in evaluating the risk to children due to exposure from carcinogens. Certainly information derived from this research will be of direct benefit to the cohort and their families.

In view of the importance of this subject, the Health Effects Research Laboratory of the USEPA issued a request for proposals to conduct such a study (RFP DU-78-B180, July 1978). The merit and approach of the responses to this request were evaluated and the present study was selected (Science Applications, Inc., Los Angeles, Principal Investigator, Richard Ziskind, Ph.D).

No information on ambient air levels of vinyl chloride was presented, nor is it known whether the plants use vinyl chloride or PVC. Also no consideration was given to other environmental factors that might contribute to the incidence of cancer, such as infectious agents, other industrial exposures, <u>background</u> radiation, genetic factors, or exposure to other chemicals. Personal habits such as smoking were not considered.

The occupational exposure studies dealt with, of course, an adult and principally male population. It has been postulated (Hefner, 1975 and also Watanabe, 1976) that risk to young children may be greater because of the reduced activity of several enzyme systems which are thought to be important in the metabolic processes that facilitate body elimination of VCM.

There are no data already available with which to survey the health status of children who had been exposed to elevated levels of VCM. It is only by virtue of the proximity of the plant to the school that this highly unique cohort exists. Unlike a community situation every child has been exposed at the same location over a known time period. Since the myriad of potential outcomes of vinyl chloride exposure in childhood are unknown (making the case/control approach impossible), and since records are available to identify this unique exposure group, the cohort study design is the only feasible method to accomplish the research objectives. The questionnaires will be administered by the contractor, SAI, and tabulated in form suitable for processing by the BMDP Biomedical Computer program software. The general purpose is to develop the necessary detailed health status data for the cohort in order to compare the exposed cohort with that of zero exposure population statistics. Pathological conditions to be examined include carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic disease occurrence by site, degree of exposure, latent period, sex, etc. Other factors which will be considered include socio-economic grouping, occupational history, and various cofactors such as smoking, drinking and drug use. Data use could include, as appropriate, risk elucidation to the cohort with recommendations of procedures for early detection of particular disease conditions. The results of the study will be used by the EPA to contribute to its ongoing assessment of the risk posed to the general population due to airborne carcinogenic release.

### 1.3 Existing Data

As recently summarized in the joint NIOSH/OSHA Current Intelligence Bulletin 88 (September 21, 1978), vinyl chloride is known to cause angiosarcoma of the liver and cancers at other sites in laboratory animals and in humans. Tumor sites reported in animal studies among four species (rat, mouse, rabbit, hamster) include mammary gland, skin, liver, lung, kidney and lymphatic. A number of epidemiological (cohort and community) studies and case reports have been conducted with the principal definite finding linking occupational exposures with angiosarcoma of the liver and cancer of the central nervous system. There have been reports in the literature (Christine, 1974 and also Schanche, 1974) of angiosarcoma occuring in individuals living in proximity to a vinyl chloride facility. These case reports did not survey the health status of the community. Only one such community study has been reported (Infante, 1976). This research concluded there was a statistically significantly greater number of deaths due to tumors of the central nervous system in the Ohio communities studied where vinyl chloride production facilities are either located or are nearby. Milby (1977) noted the limitations of the study as follows:

Ideally, these non-responders will be small in number, and representative of the remainder of the group that is interviewed. Otherwise, a bias may be introduced into the findings. In order to examine the comparability of responders and non-responders, information on demographic variables recorded at the time of school attendance will be compared between the two groups.

Subjects who are successfully traced, but who do not consent to be interviewed, can still be characterized as living, and used in the calculation of overall mortality rates. For those subjects who, for some reason, begin the interview, but refuse to complete it, the demographic variables at the begining of the questionnaire can be compared to those of the subjects who finish.

The questionnaire is self coding as an aid to data management. It is being pretested on members of the program staff, and close associates in the age range of interest. The survey has been designed to examine the overall health status and history of the respondent as well as immediate family members. The procedure used is as follows:

- A contact letter will be sent to each subject explaining the reasons for the study; requesting their participation; discussing confidentiality of their responses; explaining the voluntary nature of their participation; and stating the procedures which will be followed.
- Telephone contact will be made, questions answered and an appointment set up. The subject's marital status will also be assessed, and provision set up to interview the spouse, if any.
- Interviews will be conducted in person rather than through mail unless absolutely impractical.
- The questionnaire includes the areas of: medical history; review of systems (to assess for possible diseases in various organ systems); reproductive experience (where appropriate); confounding variables including socioeconomic status, smoking, drinking, drugs, medication, hobbies, employment history.

#### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF SURVEY PLAN

### 2.1 Respondent Universe

The Saugus Elementary School pupil record cards reveal that from the years overlapping plant operation (1959-present) 5000-6000 students attended the school. The student's record card contains attendance, vital statistics, residence and parental information. The first phase of this research will encompass 1000 students initially exposed during the period between plant opening and June of 1964. This approach has been adopted since it initially focuses on the sub-group most likely to evidence VCM effects (due to a possible latent period) and allows the agency to refine the research protocol as may be necessary based on findings.

### 2.2 Survey Design

### 2.2.1 Overview

All students who attended the Saugus Elementary School for at least -one month, from September 1958 to June 1964, supplied the basis for pilot group formation. These students (numbering approximately 1700) will be ordered according to months of exposure, and the top 1200 students with the greatest number of exposure months will become the pilot study cohort, targeted for follow-up and interview. This cohort formation scheme allows for the inclusion of students with the greatest exposure, and a latent period since first exposure of 15 to 20 years.

The remaining 500 students (those on the lower end of the exposure months scale), and the students attending from June 1964 to the present with at least one month's exposure, will form the basis for the secondary phase cohort.

Figure 2-1 illustrates the respondent selection and location procedures. As can be seen a number of independent locating strategies will be used. One major problem encountered in follow-up designs of this type is nonresponse of the cohort members. Members of the original exposed cohort can become non-responders in two ways: either because they are not traceable (lost to follow-up) or because they refuse to participate in the interview.



- Interviewers will record all answers to questions, even if response is "Don't know" or inappropriate. Responses of this type will be handled in the coding. Only diseases in Q 10 through 15 that the respondent or family has had will be coded, in order to save space in the data file. These diseases will be coded after the interview on page 46.
- A random 10 percent sample of the completed questionnaires will be checked by telephoning the respondents to determine first, if the interview has in fact taken place, and second, if the questionnaire agrees with the answers to a few short questions repeated to the respondent over the phone.
- Medical information releases will be requested in order to facilitate developing more specific details concerning disease conditions and also to verify responses on a random spot basis.
- The questionnaire has been formulated for use in both the pilot and the subsequent study of the remaining 4000 students. Therefore some information taken will be used for quantitative comparison with the control group developed in the second phase. This includes information on non-specific symptoms such as headaches and dummy variables (such as gall stones and lower back pains) which are not known to be connected with vinyl chloride exposure. We will also use these questions to assist in spotting individuals who may be simply over-reporting all diseases. See table.

# TABLE

.

C-10

# HEALTH HISTORY DISEASES AND SYMPTOMS

.

.

# By Questionnaire ID Number (see Q 10 to 15 and Q 27 to 28)

	Associated with VC exposure	Not associated with VC exposure (Distractor or Dummy Variables)		
Diagnosed Diseases	01, 02, 04, 07, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27, 29, 35, 36, 37, 38, 41, 42, 46, 47, 50	03, 05, 06, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 39, 40, 43, 44, 45, 48, 49, 51, 52		
Undiagnosed Symptoms	53, 54, 55, 59, 60, 61, 64, 65, 66, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 75, 76, 77, 80	56, 57, 58, 62, 63, 67, 73, 74, 78, 79, 81		

## 2.2.2 Question Rationale

## 2.2.2.1 Internal Validity and Reliability Checks

Q1,42,43(birthdate, age, city and state of birth) are included as validity and reliability checks. For each respondent, we know birthdate and city and state of birth from school records. Correct birthdate and birthplace responses will be used as validity checks, and consistant birthdate and age as a reliability check.

In assessing outcome, many (about half) of the diseases and conditions in the health history section are distractors, or dummy variables. That is, they have no known association with vinyl chloride exposure. These also will be used as quality checks. Subjects who report an increased number of VC related illnesses or symptoms would not be expected to report an increased number of non-related illnesses. If they do, this may indicate that these subjects are simply over-reporters of disease, and are not truly experiencing effects of VC exposure. (See Table)

Another validity check will be the use of subject's medical records, available after the subject signs the release form. We expect to abstract the medical records of all subjects who report VC-associated diseases, and a sample of the records of subjects who do not.

### 2.2.2 Socioeconomic Status

Since we are ultimately interested in events that have occurred in the respondent's life from grade school to the present, ideally we should be able to characterize the respondent's socioeconomic status (SES) both in childhood (as reflected by parents SES) and in the present.

Respondents' SES in the present will be characterized by:

- 1. Occupational history, including present, or most recent job (Q 8, 9).
- 2. Educational level attained (Q 2, 3).

Since many persons in our study group's age range will still be in school, we need to ask about current enrollment, as well as highest level completed.

in order to look at possible disease risk in the study subjects through genetic predisposition or environmental effects. The combined influence of genetics and environment can be assessed in subjects who lived with their biological parents. In subjects who were raised with step-parents or guardians, the questionnaire will, of necessity, focus on the family of orientation, since the subjects knowledge of his natural parents is likely to be limited. Genetic predisposition will not be assessed in these subjects, although familial effects of a common environment, lifestyle, socioeconomic status, and health practice will still be accounted for.

2. Other Chronic Health Problems, not included in the list of specific diseases.

- 3. Measures of General Health Status
  - a. Serious medical problems requiring three or more visits to a doctor.
  - b. Hospitalizations
  - c. Medications, taken for a period of three months or more. Medication use is also a possible confounder.
  - d. Limitations in activity because of disability or poor health.
  - e. Days spent in bed during the past 12 months.
  - f. Number of doctor visits during the past 12 months.

4. Symptoms or conditions not diagnosed by a doctor (including non-VC-related symptoms)

For each disease specifically diagnosed, each hospitalization, each doctor visit, the interviewer will be instructed to ask for the name and location of the doctor and hospital, and will record this information on page 26.

Q 22-26 (Limitations, bed days, and doctor visits) are reproduced from Q 24, 27, 28, 34, and 18 of the National Health Interview Survey, 1978, Bureau of the Census. The Health Interview Survey represents a large reservoir of general population health statistics, which will be used for comparisons, it is crucial that these questions be phrased and coded identically. For example, a person who has completed the 12th grade, and is enrolled in college, may be of different SES than someone who had completed 12th grade, but never advanced beyond. Also, recording the name and location of the current school will help in future tracing, if necessary for a prospective study.

Parents SES will be characterized by recording brief occupational and educational histories of the parents or guardians (Q 6,7). Both past and present occupations will be recorded because wide discrepancies could signal rapidly shifting SES during the respondent's life. Further, current status of the parents (especially if deceased) may help in future tracing.

Q 5 (natural parents or guardians) will be necessary, first, for the interviewer to tailor the parent questions to the respondent's situation, and second, for interpreting family disease history.

### 2.2.2.3 Occupation

Occupational histories (Q 8,9) from the respondent will be gathered for 2 purposes:

- 1. To characterize the respondent's SES
- 2. To determine if there are environmental exposures besides elementary-school VC exposure that may influence health status.

We feel it is necessary to place these sections outlined above in the opening portion of the questionnaire, preceeding the Health History.

First, they will serve as warm-up questions, to loosen-up the respondent before administering the crucial, but challenging, Health History. Second, it is standard practice to place deomographic variables at the beginning of questionnaires. For those respondents, who for some reason, do not complete the questionnaire, we will at least be able to compare them demographically with the rest of the subjects.

2.2.2.4 The Health History (Q10 through 28 ) includes

1. Specific Conditions or Diseases Diagnosed by a Doctor. This list includes conditions not thought to be related to VC exposure, to act as a response check. Familial occurrence of disease will also be ascertained

The simplest way to gather this information is for the interviewer to ask about drug use history, similar to the alcohol and smoking items. However, we anticipate that our study subjects might be reluctant to answer questions about drug use truthfully. Each one knows that he or she is not a randomly selected, anonymous respondent, but a person who has been actively traced and contacted. It is not unreasonable that they may doubt our assurances of confidentiality in revealing illegal acts.

We have attempted to minimize the respondent's fear by several means.

1. The interviewer will give the respondent an short, selfadministered drug questionnaire, on which the respondent will both read the question and enter the response. Every question will have an equivalent response, so that there will be no way of the interviewer knowing if the respondent has admitted to drug use or not.

2. All questions are phrased in the past tense to avoid forcing the respondent to confess to current use.

3. Each item will have a "Don't wish to answer" option.

4. The interviewer will repeat the assurance of complete confidentiality, that names will never be linked to results.

5. The respondent will seal the completed form in an envelope, before handing it back to the interviewer.

However, these measures may not fully convince the respondent of confidentiality, because the responses are not truly anonymous. The answer form is still identified by the subject's I.D. number, and is returned directly to the interviewer.

Therefore, in order to evaluate the impact this method versus complete anonymity has on subjects' responses, we will undertake a methodological comparison. By random allocation, one half of the subjects will take the drug questionnaire in the I.D. numbered, sealed envelope form described above. The remainder of the subjects will be given an identical questionnaire form, but without any identifying number on it. The respondent will complete the questionnaire in the interviewer's presence, but take the sealed envelope after the interview, and return it to us by mail. In this form, it will be apparent to all concerned that the drug-use questionnaire will have total anonymity.

The Health History will be used for several purposes:

1. To assess the occurrence of specific VC related events in the study group.

2. To assess the general overall health status of the group.

3. To act as a validity check, by the use of unrelated distractor or dummy variables (see Section 2.2.2.1).

## 2.2.2.5 Smoking and Alcohol Consumption

Cigarette, cigar, and pipe smoking (Q 35 through 38) are associated with a variety of human diseases, including respiratory and malignant diseases, and therefore must be accounted for. Alcohol consumption is likewise important, because it is directly associated with liver pathologies and some cancers. Q 39 and 40 are comparable to Q 13 and 16 in the Current Trends questionnaire, <u>Non-Medical Use of Psychoactive Substances</u>, National Institute on Drug Abuse, 1976. The findings of this national probability sample, divided into age groups 12-17, 18-25, greater than 25, will be used for comparison with the exposed cohort, before the incorporation of a control group.

### 2.2.2.6 Drug Use Questionnaire

The non-medical use of drugs, while far more sensitive a topic than cigarette or alcohol use, also requires assessment. Marijuana smoking has been linked to respiratory disease, alteration of lung function, and increased susceptibility to infection. Drugs such as barbiturates and opiates potentially affect the liver in several ways. The act of taking drugs by injection carries the risk of contracting viral hepatitis. As these drugs are detoxified by the liver, they may interfere with liver function, interact with alcohol in damaging the liver, and conceivably alter the liver's ability to detoxify other substances, such as carcinogens. Lastly, there exists the possibility that the drugs themselves may be carcinogenic.

While the prevalence of hard drug use in the general population is not high, the age range of our cohort (middle to late 20's) constitutes a high risk group. This, coupled with the potentially great effect of drugs on the major target organ of vinyl chloride, necessitates some form of drug use assessment. By restricting our questioning to children of married couples, we will still encompass by far the majority of births experienced by our cohort. At the same time, we will avoid such embarrassing scenes as taking information from an unmarried woman, still living with her parents, about her pregnancies, or asking a married man about children sired out of wedlock, and how we can get in touch with his mistress. At the very least, this information will be of dubious validity, and more probably will result in the interview being terminated.

Detailed information concerning gestation and birth outcomes are much more likely to be recalled accurately by the women who underwent the experience than by men. For a female study subject who is married, we will simply add the reproductive history questions to the main questionnaire. However, since environmental exposures of both parents can affect the births in this cohort, we will require detailed occupational and environmental hazard information from her husband. This will be obtained by administering to the husband a short spouse questionnaire, containing occupational history questions identical to the ones in the main questionnaire.

For a study subject who is a married man, the pregnancy and birth histories that are required will only be accurately obtained from his wife. For married male subjects, the reproductive history section of the main questionnaire will be omitted by the interviewer. Wives of subjects will be administered a version of the spouse questionnaire with these items included, in addition to the occupational history questions.

The educational and occupational portions of the spouse questionnaire will be used to characterize the SES of the couple. This is especially useful when the exposed subject is a married female, as her occupation and education may not reflect the SES of the couple.

It is essential to the reliability of the questionnaire that study subject and spouse versions of the same question be asked in exactly the same wording.

The marital status of the subject will be established at the time of the telephone contact, and provisions for interviewing the spouse will be made at that time.

The anonymous mail-back method, while quite possibly eliciting more candid responses, will only allow characterization of respondents allocated to this method as a group only, not as individuals. This group can be compared to published data on drug use among similar age groups, such as the <u>Non-Medical Use</u> <u>of Psychoactive Substances</u>, National Institute on Drug Abuse. While we would be able to contrast the prevalence of drug use in the anonymous group to the general population, we would not be able to compare, for example, the drug use among subjects with a history of liver disease vs. those subjects without. Comparisons such as these can be made among subjects in the ID-numbered group.

We will be able to compare the success of these two methodological approaches in terms of response rates, proportion of "Don't wish to answer" responses, and drug use histories. Random allocation of the two questionnaire types will mean that the drug use histories should tend to have equal distributions betwen the groups. A significant departure could indicate that the two questionnaire types differ in the validity of the information elicited.

We will still be able to cross-tabulate drug-use responses with other variables of interest for half our subjects, and the entire group can be compared to published statistics.

## 2.2.2.7 Spouse Questionnaire

Since there have been reports of chromosomal abnormalities among VC workers, and possibly increased occurrence of neural tube defects in communities near VC plants (Infante 1976) one of the major outcomes of interest to this study is congenital malformations in the offspring of our subjects. This requires the taking of a detailed history of past pregnancies and births and the current status of all children.

For several reasons, we have decided to restrict the reproductive histories to subjects who are married. Pregnancy outside of marriage is such a strikingly sensitive issue that information elicited on this will probably not be valid. These pregnancies are likely to be either terminated in abortion, or denied by both parents, or of unknown paternity, or of outcome unknown to the father. In order to properly evaluate the reproductive history, extensive information on confounding variables (e.g. age, race, socioeconomic status, occupational exposures) are required from both parents. The chance of interviewing both parents is far greater if they are married than if they are not.

## 2.4 Contractor Information

The performing contractor is Science Applications, Inc., 1801 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1205, Los Angeles, California 90067. The research program will be conducted out of their Los Angeles, California office. It is their responsibility, under the contractual arrangement, to conduct the project according to the protocol proposed in response to the EPA RFP DU-78-B180. The Agency has reviewed their technical proposal and the subsequent contract deliverable - Health Effects in Children Exposed to Vinyl Chloride - Study Protocol, SAI-068-79-532, 16 November 1978. Technical and financial monitoring is being maintained by the Agency through means of formal contract documentation requirements including - Work Plan, Study Protocol, Monthly - Quarterly - Annual and Final Reports. In addition a copy of the Quality Controlled Data Base in the form of computer tape will be supplied to the Agency. Regular informal telephone contact is maintained between the Principal Investigator and the Agency Technical Program Manager.

A number of procedures have been adopted to assure the confidentiality of collected data. A coded identification number has been assigned for each respondent. The correspondence between identification number and identity will only be known by the few key principals in the program. All data handling such as computer coding and statistical analyses will be performed utilizing only the numbers as identifiers. After the questionnaire is completed the respondent's name and critical identifying information such as address and phone number will be separated from the remainder and the code number substituted prior to data handling. Each interviewer will be trained to administer the questionnaire in a uniform manner. They may not depart from the narrative. Each will be informed that divulging information to a third party is strictly forbidden and immediate grounds for dismissal. Interviewers will be required to provide three character references (family members excluded). Training is scheduled to be about 5-6 sessions of 4-6 hours each and consist of the following elements: brief explanation of the study, confidentiality requirements, appearance, interviewing techniques, coding and detailed supervised practice with the questionnaire. In addition

### IF STUDY SUBJECT IS:

### Married Male

#### Married Female

The subject will get:	Main Questionnaire (without reproductive history)	Main Questionnaire (with reproductive history)	
and			
The Spouse will get:	Spouse Questionnaire (including education and occupation) and reproductive history.	Spouse Questionnair (without reproducti history)	e ve

## 2.2.2.8 Religion

Certain religious groups follow practices that tend to influence disease risk. The Mormons and the Seventh Day Adventists, for example, by generally abstaining from tobacco and alcohol have lower rates than the general population for several cancer sites. A large representation of these religions in our study group will influence (1) the applicability of general statistics for comparison and (2) the choice of a control group.

## 2.2.2.9 Items Completed By The Interviewer

The questions on page 44-45 are standard items that will be completed afterwards by the interviewer. These include the environment of the interview. the language used, the race of the respondent, the respondent's level of interest, and the participation of others present.

Assessing race by having the interviewer record this(rather than asking the respondent) is standard procedure for OMB-approved questionnaires (see for example the Upstate New York Diet Study). Race is a sensitive issue. Asking an obviously White, Black, or Oriental person his race will appear ridiculous to the respondent (and may be offensive), and will be embarrassing to the interviewer. From our prior knowledge of the ethnic makeup of this group, we anticipate relatively few non-white subjects.

### 2.3 Agency Statistical Review

The agency statistician who has reviewed this work plan and who has been involved in selection of the contractor is:

Dr. William Nelson, Director Office of Statistics and Data Management Health Effects Research Laboratory Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

## 3.0 TABULATION AND PUBLICATION PLANS

### 3.1 Publication

The Contractor will submit periodic reports as discussed above. The final report on the pilot program is expected to be published as an EPA document and made available to the public under NTIS or alternative distribution. This material will be reviewed to assure no breach in confidentiality is made. Interim reports will only recieve interal Agency distribution. It is anticipated that oral presentations and peer reviewed journal articles may be developed which describe program methodology or results. Any submittals made by SAI during the contracting period will be reviewed by the Agency.

### 3.2 Tabulation

The questionnaire has been formatted to facilitate its direct coding onto the computer. The BMPD Biomedical Computer Programs (P-series 1977) will provide the principal statistical analyses software package. The exposed cohort will be considered as an entire group; differentiated as a function of calculated exposure; as a function of period from initial exposure; by sex; marital and reproductive status, etc. Analyses will ininclude calculation and tabulation of the following categories:

- Data Description
- Data in Groups description, t test and one-way analyses of variance
- Frequency Tables measures of association, departures from independence
- Regression linear and non-linear
- Analyses of Variance and Covariance
- Plots and Histograms

The development of comparison (zero exposure population) data to serve as a baseline will consist of published literature sources during the pilot program and the use of a control group for the subsequent study. During the pilot phase alternative control groups will be considered. to these safeguards, questions related to drug use history will be separately administered by a more confidential procedure. The respondent will be asked to complete a series of such questions by filling out the drug questionnaire on a separate form. The interviewer will not know how the respondent is answering. The respondent will seal the drug questionnaire form in an envelope, and either return it to the interviewer at the time of the interview, or return it to the program staff by mail, as part of a methodological trial. This trial will assess the impact of assured confidentiality (ID number on drug questionnaire) versus complete anonymity (no ID number) on the respondent's willingness to disclose sensitive and illegal acts (see Section 2.2.2.6).

The Agency views this study as having a potential prospective component for which provision has been made to periodically renew contact with members of the cohort and update their health status. It is expected, at this time, that both the contractor and the agency will retain the survey material for the purposes of follow-up. The Agency has slated periodic site visits during which storage compartments will be inspected. All sensitive materials will be maintained under locked and limited access conditions. Since SAI's Los Angeles facility has both Department of Energy and Department of Defense Top Secret Clearance approval, it is anticipated that adequate resources are available to meet this program's needs.

### 4.0 SCHEDULING

Figure 4.1 illustrates the planned schedule for the entire project. The pilot program starting date was October 1, 1978. Figure 4-2 presents a more detailed breakdown of the program subtasks. Data collection dates for the pilot phase are scheduled between 8 and 18 months after program start (1 June 1979 - 1 April 1980). Final report completion is 1 October 1980. The second phase would include data collection throughout most of its one year period of performance. Therefore no comments can be made as to that potential respondent universe at this time. Specific data base sources for the pilot phase have been identified and include: Third National Cancer Survey; USC Cancer Surveillance Program; National Health Survey - various Vital and Health Statistics Reports; Alameda County Health Survey; Center for Disease Control Hepatitis Surveillance rates; UCLA Chronic Obstructive Respiratory Disease Study.



Figure : 4.1.Program Schedule

.*

### Months After Contract Initiation



C-23

.

### 6.0 ESTIMATION OF RESPONDENT REPORTING BURDEN

Based upon several trials with program staff, it is estimated that the interview will require about one-half hour. Each respondent will be interviewed only once. In the pilot study, this will require about 1000 x 0.5 hours = 500 hours. The spouse questionnaire is expected to last 5 to 10 minutes, depending upon whether the reproductive history is included. We cannot estimate precisely the total burden of the spouse interview, because we do not know how many of the study group cohort have married. If we assume one-half are married, the burden would be about  $(1000/2) \ge 0.17$  hours = 85 hours.

The second phase will interview approximately 4,000 additional respondents, requiring about 4000 x 0.5 hours = 2000 hours. Spouse questionnaires will also be added here, as needed. Since the subjects in the second phase will be younger than those in the first phase (many will still be teenagers), we might estimate the proportion married as one-third. The spouse burden in this group might therefore be  $(4000/3) \times 0.17$  hours = 227 hours.

### 5.0 CONSULTATIONS OUTSIDE EPA

The contracting organization is Science Applications, Inc. The Principal Investigator is Richard A. Ziskind, Ph.D., and key program staff include Gary H. Spivey, M.D., M.P.H. epidemiology consultant; Daniel F. Smith, epidemiology; Ruth Sheridan, air quality modeling; Michael Rogozen, source evaluation.

In addition to agency personnel a number of individuals have reviewed and provided input to the development of the study protocol. These include:

> John R. Holmes, Ph.D., Chief Research Division, California Air Resources Board

Duane Reed, M.D., Medical Epidemiologist, Department of Health Services, State of California

James Foster, Ph.D., Superintendent, Saugus Union School District, California

Hamilton C. Smyth, Ed.D., Superintendent, Hart Union High School District, California

Howard Hill, President, Keysor-Century Corporation, Saugus, California.

## 8.0 COST TO FEDERAL GOVERNMENT

The total cost of the pilot study over its full two year time period will be \$110,922, with funding provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, N.C. Cost for the subsequent . one year phase is estimated at \$232,000.

#### REFERENCES

1.

Christine, B.W. et al.: Angiosarcoma of the liver-Connecticut. <u>Morbidity</u> and Mortality Weekly Report 23:210-211, 1974.

Hefner, R.E. et al.: Preliminary Studies on the Fate of Inhaled Vinyl Chloride Monomer (VCM) in Rats. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 246:135-148, 1975.

Infante, P.F.: Oncogenic and mutagenic risks in communities with polyvinyl chloride production facilities; Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 271:49-57, 1976.

Milby, T.H.: Cancer Control Monograph - Vinyl Chloride; Prepared for National Cancer Institute by Stanford Research Institute, March, 1977.

Watanabe, R.G. and P.J. Gehring: Dose dependent fate of vinyl chloride and its possible relationshp to oncogenicity in rats. <u>Env. Health</u> Perspectives 17:145-152, 1976.