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Volume of Executive Orders Issued in 2016 Calendar Year (CIHD+OFSEC)

2,164 EOs issued
2016 Calendar Year Average Application Processing Times (CIHD+OFSEC)

1,586 Regular Applications
16 Partial Carryover
164 Carryover

Average Processing Time (All Applications)
2016 Calendar Year Average Application Processing Times for CIHD+OFSEC (from Date of Initial Submittal)

- **40 day reduction in processing time**
- **35 day reduction in processing time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carryover Applications</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Carryover Applications</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular Applications (excluding carryover/partial carryover applications)</td>
<td>1,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Applications (carryover+partial carryover+regular)</td>
<td>1,766</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average EO Processing Time (from Date of Initial Submittal)
New DMS is being procured for 2017

Currently working with vendor setting up system requirements

ARB will be asking for manufacturers to participate in the New DMS Pilot Program

The current DMS will continue to be used while the new system is configured

Current DMS training is being provided on a monthly basis
• Initial Kick –off meeting held on March 14, 2017
• Agency and Manufacturer Associations submitted names for category specific workgroups
• Workgroup Lists will be emailed to participants by Friday
• Expect ARB and EPA leads to schedule May meeting
Things Learned from 2017 MY AECD Review

- Manufacturers were responsive and understood the necessity of supplying accurate information.
- Some manufacturers submitted AECDs early which helped to facilitate an early review and approval.
- Disclosure and description of all AECDs is a major element of certification.
- AECD reviews take time; manufacturers encouraged to start discussions early.
Example of “Good vs Bad” AECD Answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Good AECD Answer</th>
<th>Bad AECD Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 3) a) i. Type and location of sensors used to directly measure design parameter or operating conditions for which limits may be exceeded</td>
<td>The coolant temperature (ECT) sensor which is directly located on the engine and the engine oil pressure sensor (EOP) at the oil main gallery of the engine are used.</td>
<td>ECT, EOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem:</td>
<td>Problem:</td>
<td>Not enough details were provided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example of “Good vs Bad” AECD Answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question from EPA's AECD Reporting Template: CCD-04-12 (HD)</th>
<th>Good AECD Answer</th>
<th>Bad AECD Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 3) c) i. Summary of operational conditions expected to activate the AECD; i.e. range of altitudes, temperatures, loads, speeds, etc…</td>
<td>This AECD is active at either:</td>
<td>This AECD is active at high ECT and low EOP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. ECT greater than 105°C,</td>
<td>Problems:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a. Typical operating ECT over FTP cycle is 92°C. Typical ECT while the engine is being operated normally is 90-102°C.</td>
<td>- AECD activating conditions are not quantified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or</td>
<td>- No assurance that the AECD is not active during normal operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. EOP less than values explained in the table below:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engine Speed (RPM)</th>
<th>EOP values activating AECD (psi)</th>
<th>Typical EOP values under normal driving conditions (psi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1800</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>58.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Example of “Good vs Bad” AECD Answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Good AECD Answer</th>
<th>Bad AECD Answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Question 4) c) i. Emissions rates when AECD activated                    | This AECD only reduces EGR flow, however SCR will be still fully functional. With this AECD active, NOx emissions increases about 4 times compared to FTP cycle. No impact on other pollutants. | This AECD is primarily used for engine protection. Problems:  
  • Irrelevant answer.  
  • Emissions rates when AECD activated were not quantified. |
2017 MY AECD Approvals

• Approved 2017 MY AECDs and format will be accepted as carryover for the 2018 MY if:
  • Submitted Information has not changed
  • There are no new concerns regarding the specific content of the AECD

• CARB may ask for additional information that may have been missed during the 2017 MY which could lead to additional questions and concerns.
Compression-Ignition and Heavy-Duty Certification Section
E-Cert Update

- New design to include:
  - Diesel and Otto cycle engines and vehicles
  - Carryover and Partial Carryover applications
  - Greenhouse gas certification
- System staff currently working on Data Requirements
- Data Requirements to manufacturers once complete
- Workshop planned for the summer
• E-Cert will replace existing certification templates:
  • Filemaker Pro
  • On-highway certification Excel templates
• ARB will be asking manufacturers to participate in the E-Cert Pilot Program
On-Road HD Phase I GHG

- The California Phase 1 regulation includes Deemed to Comply provisions. ARB will approve manufacturers as “deemed to comply” with the Phase 1 GHG regulation once they comply with the requirements of the U.S. Phase 1 GHG program.

- Engine and vehicle manufacturers are receiving EOs using the “deemed to comply” provision.
On-Road HD Phase I GHG Submittal Information Necessary “Deemed to Comply Option”

- Copies of all data submitted to U.S. EPA in accordance with the reporting requirements of 40 CFR 1036.205, 1036.250, 1037.205, and 1037.250
- An application for certification for each engine family or vehicle subfamily.
- Manufacturers must submit California values, rather than national values, for the number of engines and vehicles sold and produced in California
- For GHG Vehicle certification, include list of CA engine families used in the production of vehicles
On-Road HD Phase I GHG

- Engine manufacturers should inform their vehicle customers that they must also comply with CA GHG regulations

- Please continue to include GHG data in the ABT reports
ARB Proposing to Adopt California Phase 2 Regulation That Harmonizes With Federal Phase 2

- Harmonize with the federal rules in structure, timing and stringency
  - Enables California to certify vehicles and engines
  - Enables California to enforce requirements
  - If Federal Phase 2 is revoked, ensures California requirements will remain in place
- Not “Deemed to Comply” with California Phase 2 if federally certified
- California differences to facilitate enforcement, align with existing California programs, and provide additional incentive for advanced technologies
Areas Where California Phase 2 May Differ From Federal Phase 2

• Additional credits for use of Low-Global Warming Refrigerants
• Label Information
  • Additional information to be included in vehicle and trailer labels to aid in enforcement
  • Require “light-duty style” consumer labels for heavy duty pick ups and vans (provides fuel efficiency and environmental performance scores)
• Exclude transit buses and refuse trucks from the custom chassis provisions
• Hybrids must demonstrate no NOx increases to qualify for Advanced Technology Credit multiplier
• Alternate emission standards for specialty vehicles
Areas Where California Phase 2 May Differ From Federal Phase 2 (continued)

- Engine and Vehicle Certification Requirements
  - Require engine family for each certified vehicle in end-of-year report
  - Require additional air conditioning system information to support A/C leakage standard
- Natural Gas Engine Requirements - Continue to include ethane in the hydrocarbon emission standards for natural gas compression-ignition engines
- Adopting tampering and selective enforcement audit Provisions of Phase 2 (didn’t for California Phase 1)
CERTIFICATION FOCUS AREAS
2017 FOCUS AREAS

- DPF Regeneration
  - Infrequent Regeneration Factors (IRAFs) Calculations
  - DPF regeneration during deterioration factor (DF) and exhaust testing

- Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)
  - Adaptive or Dual Dosing strategies
  - Aging factors
Off-Road Spark-Ignited Engine Certification Section
Board adopted Resolution 16-14
  - Requires all SSIEs to meet emission standards
  - Strengthen enforcement provisions
  - Requires E10 certification fuel
  - Provide optional streamlined fuel tank test procedure
• 15-day changes published
SSIE Evaporative Regulations
Regulatory Timeline

November 2016
Board approved adoption of amendments

Spring 2017
Publication of 15-day changes (opens comment period)

Summer 2017
Review and address comments

September 2017
File final rulemaking package with OAL

Late 2017
Amendments become effective
SSIE Evaporative Regulations Amendments

Late 2017
Amendments become effective

2018
• Production volume reports required
• Amended certification and test procedures optional

2019
Low-permeation and small production-volume tank exemptions eliminated

2020
• All > 80 cc engines must meet diurnal emission standards
• Amended certification and test procedures required
2020+ MY Certification Fuel Requirement

- New certification test fuel requirement (LEV III) for gasoline engines starting 2020 MY
- Applies to off-road categories for SSIE and LSIE. 40 CFR 1065.701(a) (California Version)
- Production-line testing must use same fuel as certification test fuel
- New Deterioration Factor (DF) testing required for engines certified with LEV III fuel
• Current DFs not representative of new engines
• DFs cannot be carried over to 2020+ MY engine families
• Manufacturers encouraged to coordinate with ARB and EPA to use same DF test data
• Manufacturers may utilize DF Carry-Across from worst case engine families to others
• Provide technical justification for DF carry-across
Field Operations
Warranty Section
Defect Reporting Requirements

- EMA request single defect reporting
  - CARB already is aligned with EPA for off-road defect reporting
  - CARB Emissions Warranty and Information Reporting Title 13 CCR 2144-2146
    - EWIR, FIR, and EIR reporting requirements very robust program
    - Since 2010 CY responsible for
      - 228 ARB-required recalls
      - 77 extended warranties
      - Affecting some 2.3M CA vehicles
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