



Chrome Plating ATCM Amendments

Technical Working Group #3

3/11/2021

Meeting Agenda

- Introductions
- Working Group #2 summary
- Actions since Working Group #2
- Trivalent Plating Technology
- Trivalent Cost Conversion Estimates
- Draft Amendment Concepts
- Questions

Working Group #2 Summary

- Facility and emissions inventory
 - 57 dec platers, 41 hard platers, 34 chromic acid anodizing facilities
 - ~4 lb hex chrome actual emissions (10.7 lb of potential emissions)
- Chrome containing non-electroplating tanks
 - Facilities operating chrome containing tanks not subject to ATCM
 - Majority of tanks operating in South Coast and subject to Rule 1469
- Additional compliance measures
 - Summary of some measure taken beyond ATCM requirements

Recent Actions

- Meetings with
 - Metal Finishers Association of California
 - National Association of Surface Finishers
 - Department of Defense experts
 - Other industry representatives
 - Plating chemistry manufacturers
 - California Communities Against Toxics
- Cost estimates for trivalent plating
- Initiated health risk evaluation, CEQA analysis, regulatory concepts



Trivalent Plating Technology

Decorative Trivalent

- Chloride or sulfate-based systems commercially available
- Works for a wide range of applications (automotive, door hardware, leisure equipment, plumbing fixtures, etc.)
- Range of color options with colors approaching those of hexavalent chrome
- Provides comparable or better (in some case) corrosion resistance and durability
 - Showed better performance in some high chloride environments

Advantages

- Less toxic than hexavalent chrome
- Reduced waste treatment costs
- No PFAS compounds
- Lower energy requirements
- Can withstand electrical current interruptions
- Fewer part defects and rejects
- Similar plating process

Disadvantages

- Color doesn't match exact with hexavalent chrome plated parts
- Some customer acceptance issues
- Not yet fully accepted by automotive OEMs
- Equipment requirements vary facility by facility
- Different processes for different applications

Functional Trivalent

- Applicable for hydraulic cylinders, ball bearing parts, pump shafts, printing cylinders, brake discs, and other thin dense chrome applications
- Limited functional trivalent plating options on the market
- Technology not currently ready for aerospace and DOD applications

Advantages

- Less toxic
- No PFAS compounds
- No lead anodes
- Some applications can operate at lower temperatures
- Lower chromium concentration in bath

Disadvantages

- High capital expense up front
- Increased operating costs
- More difficult to control
- More complex process
- Doesn't support complex geometries yet
- Different deposit characteristics

Chromic Acid Anodizing

- No trivalent technology exists to replace chromic acid anodizing
- Looking for input on how to best transition away from hexavalent chromium
- CARB will re-evaluate technology in the future



Initial Cost Estimates

Cost of Decorative Trivalent

- Price varies by facility size and throughput
- Equipment and installation cost:
 - Small facility (<20,000 amp-hrs/year): ~\$60,000 - \$100,000
 - Large facility (>20,000,000 amp-hrs/year): ~\$250,000 - \$310,000
- Operating expenses estimated to be similar to hexavalent chromium
- Requesting any additional cost data for dec trivalent plating operations from industry

Small Facility Estimate (300 gallon tank, <20,000 amp hrs)

| Item | Cost |
|---|-------------|
| Equipment: Plating Tank, Rinse Tank, Filter Pump, Ion Exchange System, Anodes, Flight Bar and Saddle | \$32,067.00 |
| Chemistry: Chrome 3 Salts, Conductivity Salts, Catalyst, HCD Adjuster, Wetting Agent | \$6,329.91 |
| Exhaust Fan | \$8,100.00 |
| Ducting | \$6,000.00 |
| Freight cost (estimated) | \$1,000.00 |
| Hex Chrome Removal (estimated) | \$3,000.00 |
| Total | \$56,496.91 |

Cost of rectifier (\$15,000) and closed-loop water system (\$32,000) not included

Large Facility Estimate (2,200 gallon tank, 24,000,000 amp-hrs)

| Item | Cost |
|---|-----------|
| Equipment: Tank, rectifier, amp-hr meter, cooling, heating, tank busing, mixing, exhaust, feed pump, anodes, hangers | \$150,000 |
| Installation Cost (may vary based on complexity of old tank removal or specific equipment changes) | \$100,000 |
| Total | \$250,000 |
| Optional reclaim equipment | \$60,000 |
| Total with reclaim equipment | \$310,000 |

Operating Costs Comparison

| Component | Trivalent (\$/Kamp/hr) | Trivalent with reclaim (\$/Kamp/hr) | Hexavalent (\$/Kamp/hr) |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Chemistry | 14.3 | 9.2 | 5.4 |
| Anodes | 0.03 | 0.03 | 1.6 |
| Waste Treatment | 0.3 | 0.03 | 4.6 |
| Ion Exchange | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |
| Total | 14.73 | 9.50 | 11.6 |

- Based on yearly costs at high throughput facility
- Excluding savings on reject parts

Cost of Functional Trivalent

- Looking for additional data
 - Equipment and installation cost
 - Operating cost



Proposed Amendment Concepts

New Facilities

- No new facility may use hexavalent chromium for the purposes of decorative chromium plating, hard chromium plating, or chromic acid anodizing
- A new facility is defined as any facility not operating a decorative chromium plating, hard chromium plating, or chromic acid anodizing line under District permit prior to the effective date of this rule

Existing Facilities

- Existing facilities to transition to non-hexavalent chromium alternatives
- Trivalent chromium is currently feasible for a wide range of applications
- Fugitive controls to address uncontrolled non-plating tanks and facility operations

Decorative Chrome Transition

- Decorative plating facilities to begin transition of hexavalent chromium within 2 years after the date of the amended ATCM or in 2024
- Transition to:
 - Trivalent chromium plating or
 - Other less toxic/lower emitting technology

Functional Chrome Transition

- Functional plating facilities to begin transition of hexavalent chromium within 4 years of the effective date of the amended ATCM or in 2026
- Transition to:
 - Trivalent chromium plating
 - Other less toxic/lower emitting technology

Housekeeping

- Use an approved cleaning method for all cleaning
 - wet mop, damp cloth, wet wash, low pressure spray nozzle, HEPA vacuum, or other method as approved by the Executive Officer
 - Clean spills within one hour after spill
 - Clean any area that may accumulate hex chrome weekly
- Remove any materials from walkways that can trap hex chrome – such as fabric carpets and rugs
- Clean buffing, grinding, polishing areas on days when buffing, grinding, polishing take place.



Next Steps

Next Steps

- Draft Regulatory Language – Late March 2021
- Initial Draft Staff Report – April 2021
- Working Group Meeting #4 – April 2021
- Health Risk Assessment – May 2021
- Economic Impact Assessment – May 2021

CARB Contacts

- Eugene Rubin (Staff Lead)
 - Eugene.Rubin@arb.ca.gov
 - (916)-323-0006
- Maria Vacaru (Staff)
 - Maria.Vacaru@arb.ca.gov
 - 916-322-7433
- Greg Harris (Manager)
 - Greg.Harris@arb.ca.gov
 - 916-327-5980
- Robert Krieger (Branch Chief)
 - Robert.Krieger@arb.ca.gov
 - 916-323-1202
- [Subscribe to the Chrome Plating ATCM Mailing List](#)