EARL	EARLY ACTION DESK REVIEW FINDINGS					
ARB Staff Use Only	ARB Form Tracking Number: Date Findings Reviewed:	Date Findings Re	ceived: mation Requested:	Date Findings Proce	ARI Sta	ff e
PART	I. VERIFICATION BODY I	NFORMATION				
	tion Body Name: Blobal Services			Verification Body II H2-12-007	D Number:	
PART	II. EARLY ACTION PROJE	ct informatio	ON			
Blue S	ction Project Name: Source — Noles North Avoide f Party Requesting Desk Review		orest Project	EAOP Project ID#: CAR688	ARB Project ID#: CAFR0028	100
	el Noles ng Period Start Date: 2005		Reporting Period En 12/31/2005	nd Date:		
Note: A	separate Early Action Desk Revi	ew Findings must b	e provided for each	reporting period bein	g reviewed.	
Part I	II. ATTACHMENT					
	Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings					
Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY						
accurance to cupport the icculance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset			⊠ Yes □ No			
2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification No methodology?						
ve th	3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period?					
Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE						
If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B.						
Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING						
SIGNAT	SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME:					*
TITLE: DATE:						

Part V.B. LEAD VERIFIER ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE IF CONCURRING I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I have conducted a desk review in accordance with the requirements of section 95990(f)(3) and concur with the issuance of a positive verification statement based on the Early Action Verification Report and Offset Verification Statement that was submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME: Zane Haxtema TITLE: DATE: 13 March 2015 Senior Forester, Greenhouse Gas Verification Part V.C. SIGNATURE BY VERIFICATION BODY OFFICER I concur with the findings in this form and certify that I am duly authorized to represent and legally bind the Offset Verification Body on all matters related to this form. ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME: Robert J. Hrubes, Ph.D. TITLE: DATE: 13 March 2015 **Executive Vice President**

EARLY ACTION DESK REVIEW FINDINGS						
ARB Staff	ARB Form Tracking Number:	: Date Findings Received:		Date Findings Processed:		ARB Staff
		mation Requested:	Date Findings Appr	oved:	Use Only	
PART	I. VERIFICATION BODY I	NFORMATION				
	ition Body Name: Global Services			Verification Body II H2-12-007	D Number:	
PART	II. EARLY ACTION PROJE	et informati	ON		Special districts	
Blue S	ction Project Name: Source – Noles North Avoide		orest Project	EAOP Project ID#: CAR688	ARB Project CAFR002	
	of Party Requesting Desk Review el Noles				•	
Reporti 01/01/	ng Period Start Date: 2006		Reporting Period E 12/31/2006	ind Date:		
Note: A	separate Early Action Desk Revi	ew Findings must l	be provided for each	reporting period bein	g reviewed.	
Part I	II. ATTACHMENT	12.50 m				
	Report Detailing the Desk Re	view Findings				
Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY						
 Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 			arly Action Offset		Yes No	
2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology?				and the second s		
3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period?						
Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE						
If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B.						
Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING						
SIGNATURE: PRINTED			PRINTED NAME:	INTED NAME:		
			<u> </u>			
TITLE: DATE:			DATE:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Part V.B. LEAD VERIFIER ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE IF CONCURRING I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I have conducted a desk review in accordance with the requirements of section 95990(f)(3) and concur with the issuance of a positive verification statement based on the Early Action Verification Report and Offset Verification Statement that was submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting PRINTED NAME: ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: Zane Haxtema DATE: Senior Forester, Greenhouse Gas Verification 13 March 2015 Part V.C. SIGNATURE BY VERIFICATION BODY OFFICER I concur with the findings in this form and certify that I am duly authorized to represent and legally bind the Offset Verification Body on all matters related to this form. ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME: Robert J. Hrubes, Ph.D. TITLE: DATE: **Executive Vice President** 13 March 2015

EARLY ACTION DESK REVIEW FINDINGS						
ARB Staff Use Only	ARB Form Tracking Number: Date Findings Reviewed:	Date Findings Re	eceived: mation Requested:	Date Findings Proce		ARB Staff Use Only
PART	I. VERIFICATION BODY I	NFORMATION				
	tion Body Name: Blobal Services			Verification Body II H2-12-007	D Number:	
PART	II. EARLY ACTION PROJE	CT INFORMATI	ON			
Blue S	ction Project Name: Source — Noles North Avoide of Party Requesting Desk Reviews el Noles		orest Project	EAOP Project ID#: CAR688	ARB Project 3 CAFR0028	
	ng Period Start Date:		Reporting Period E 12/31/2007	nd Date:		
Note: A	separate Early Action Desk Revi	ew Findings must i	be provided for each	reporting period bein	g reviewed.	
Part III. ATTACHMENT						
Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings						
Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY						
 Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 			=	es lo		
2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology?			<u> </u>	es lo		
V(th	Torritorion ocacement of the area of the a			and 📗 🔀 Y	es lo	
Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE						
If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B.						
Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING						
SIGNATURE:			PRINTED NAME:	PRINTED NAME:		
TITLE:			DATE:			

Part V.B. LEAD VERIFIER ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE IF CONCURRING I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I have conducted a desk review in accordance with the requirements of section 95990(f)(3) and concur with the issuance of a positive verification statement based on the Early Action Verification Report and Offset Verification Statement that was submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting PRINTED NAME: ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: Zane Haxtema TITLE: DATE: Senior Forester, Greenhouse Gas Verification 13 March 2015 Part V.C. SIGNATURE BY VERIFICATION BODY OFFICER I concur with the findings in this form and certify that I am duly authorized to represent and legally bind the Offset Verification Body on all matters related to this form. ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME: Robert J. Hrubes, Ph.D. TITLE: DATE: 13 March 2015 **Executive Vice President**

EARL	EARLY ACTION DESK REVIEW FINDINGS					
ARB Staff Use Only	ARB Form Tracking Number: Date Findings Reviewed:	Date Findings Re	eceived: mation Requested:	Date Findings Proce	ARB Stafi	f
PART	I. VERIFICATION BODY I	NFORMATION				
	ation Body Name: Global Services			Verification Body II H2-12-007	O Number:	
PART	II. EARLY ACTION PROJE	CT INFORMATI	ON			
Blue S	ction Project Name: Source — Noles North Avoide of Party Requesting Desk Review: el Noles		orest Project	EAOP Project ID#: CAR688	ARB Project ID#: CAFR0028	
	ing Period Start Date:		Reporting Period E 12/31/2008	nd Date:		
Note: A	separate Early Action Desk Revi	ew Findings must l	e provided for each	reporting period bein	g reviewed.	_
Part I	II. ATTACHMENT					
	Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings					
Part I	Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY					
 Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasona assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Off Program? 				⊠ Yes □ No		
c a	2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? Yes No			∑ Yes		
v tl						
Part V	Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE					
If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B.						
Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING						
SIGNATURE:			PRINTED NAME:			
TITLE:			DATE:			
						

Part V.B. LEAD VERIFIER ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE IF CONCURRING I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I have conducted a desk review in accordance with the requirements of section 95990(f)(3) and concur with the issuance of a positive verification statement based on the Early Action Verification Report and Offset Verification Statement that was submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME: Zane Haxtema DATE: TITLE: Senior Forester, Greenhouse Gas Verification 13 March 2015 Part V.C. SIGNATURE BY VERIFICATION BODY OFFICER I concur with the findings in this form and certify that I am duly authorized to represent and legally bind the Offset Verification Body on all matters related to this form. ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME: Robert J. Hrubes, Ph.D. TITLE: DATE: 13 March 2015 **Executive Vice President**

State of California California Environmental Protection Agency California Air Resources Board EARLY ACTION DESK REVIEW FINDINGS

StaffStaffStaffUseDate Findings Reviewed:Date More Information Requested:Date Findings Approved:Use	EARLY ACTION DESK REVIEW FIN	DINGS				
PART I. VERIFICATION BODY INFORMATION Verification Body Name: SCS Global Services PART II. EARLY ACTION PROJECT INFORMATION Early Action Project Name: Blue Source – Noles North Avoided Conversion Forest Project CAR688 Reporting Period End Date: 01/01/2009 Reporting Period Start Date: 01/01/2009 Note: A separate Early Action Desk Review Findings must be provided for each reporting period being reviewed. Part III. ATTACHMENT Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings survives sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program: Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program: Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program: Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program: Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement submitted to the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE: If the verification body answered "Yeo" to all three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yeo" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	ARB Staff Use Date Findings Reviewed: Date More			ARB Staff roved: Use		
Verification Body Name: SCS Global Services PART II. EARLY ACTION PROJECT INFORMATION Early Action Project Name: Blue Source - Noles North Avoided Conversion Forest Project CAR688 EAOP Project ID#: CAR688 CAFR0028 Name of Party Requesting Desk Review: Michael Noles Reporting Period Start Date: 0/1/01/2009 Note: A separate Early Action Desk Review Findings must be provided for each reporting period being reviewed. Part III. ATTACHMENT Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Tes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Tes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Tes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B.	Only			Only		
PART II. EARLY ACTION PROJECT INFORMATION Early Action Project Name: Blue Source — Noles North Avoided Conversion Forest Project Name of Party Requesting Desk Review: Michael Noles Reporting Period Start Date: 01/01/2009 Reporting Period Start Date: 01/01/2009 Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings must be provided for each reporting period being reviewed. Part III. ATTACHMENT: Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable quantification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	PART I. VERIFICATION BODY INFORMAT	ION				
Early Action Project Name: Blue Source — Noles North Avoided Conversion Forest Project CAR688 CAFR0028 Name of Party Requesting Desk Review: Michael Noles Reporting Period End Date: 01/01/2009 Note: A separate Early Action Desk Review Findings must be provided for each reporting period being reviewed. Part III. ATTACHMENT Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings Part IV: DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.8. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING				D Number:		
Blue Source - Noles North Avoided Conversion Forest Project CAR688 CAFR0028 Name of Party Requesting Desk Review: Michael Noles Reporting Period End Date: 01/01/2009 12/31/2009 Note: A separate Early Action Desk Review Findings must be provided for each reporting period being reviewed. Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING		MATION		10		
Michael Noles Reporting Period Start Date: 01/01/2009 Note: A separate Early Action Desk Review Findings must be provided for each reporting period being reviewed. Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	Blue Source - Noles North Avoided Conver	sion Forest Project		-		
Note: A separate Early Action Desk Review Findings must be provided for each reporting period being reviewed. Part III. ATTACHMENT Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	Michael Noles					
Part III. ATTACHMENT Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING			End Date:			
Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	Note: A separate Early Action Desk Review Findings	must be provided for each	ch reporting period bein	ıg reviewed.		
Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY 1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	Part III. ATTACHMENT					
1. Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings					
assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program? 2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY					
calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology? 3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset					
verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting period? Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE: If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification No			∑ Yes		
If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	verification statement should have been issued based on the Early Action Verification Report and the Offset Verification Statement submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable No			and Xes		
below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B. Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE					
Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING	If the verification body answered "No" to any of the below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to a	three questions in Part 1 Il three questions, provid	V, sign this form in Par le the attestation in Par	t V.A immediately t V.B.		
SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME:						
	SIGNATURE:	PRINTED NAMI	:			
TITLE: DATE:	TITLE:	DATE:				

Part V.B. LEAD VERIFIER ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE IF CONCURRING I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I have conducted a desk review in accordance with the requirements of section 95990(f)(3) and concur with the issuance of a positive verification statement based on the Early Action Verification Report and Offset Verification Statement that was submitted to the Early Action Offset Program for the applicable early action reporting PRINTED NAME: ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: Zane Haxtema DATE: Senior Forester, Greenhouse Gas Verification 13 March 2015 Part V.C. SIGNATURE BY VERIFICATION BODY OFFICER I concur with the findings in this form and certify that I am duly authorized to represent and legally bind the Offset Verification Body on all matters related to this form. ATTESTATION SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME: Robert J. Hrubes, Ph.D. TITLE: DATE: **Executive Vice President** 13 March 2015

EARL	Y ACTION DESK REVIE	M FINDING				16 14 B
ARB Staff	ARB Form Tracking Number:	Date Findings Re		Date Findings Processed:		ARB Staff
Use Only	Date Findings Reviewed:	Date More Infor	nation Requested:	Date Findings Appro	oved:	Use Only
PART	I. VERIFICATION BODY IN	IFORMATION				
	tion Body Name: Blobal Services			Verification Body II H2-12-007	O Number:	
PART	II. EARLY ACTION PROJEC	T INFORMATION	ON			7.7
Blue S	ction Project Name: Source – Noles North Avoide	d Conversion F	orest Project	EAOP Project ID#: CAR688	CAFR002	
	f Party Requesting Desk Review: el Noles					
Reporti 01/01/	ng Period Start Date: 2010		Reporting Period E 12/31/2010	nd Date:		
23 Sept. Accept 4.4 (1) and 5	separate Early Action Desk Revie	w Findings must l	pe provided for each	reporting period bein	g reviewed.	
Part III. ATTACHMENT						
	Report Detailing the Desk Review Findings					
Part IV. DESK REVIEW FINDINGS SUMMARY						
Were the previously provided offset verification services sufficient to render a reasonable assurance to support the issuance of early action offset credits by the Early Action Offset Program?				Yes No		
2. Were the data checks conducted by the offset verification body for the Early Action Offset Program calculated correctly and demonstrate the early action offset project data report meets the applicable quantitative materiality threshold as set forth in the early action quantification methodology?				Yes No		
3. D ve th	3. Does this verification body conclude with reasonable assurance that it concurs that a positive				Yes No	
Part V. VERIFICATION BODY ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE						
If the verification body answered "No" to any of the three questions in Part IV, sign this form in Part V.A immediately below. If the verification body answered "Yes" to all three questions, provide the attestation in Part V.B.						
Part V.A. LEAD VERIFIER SIGNATURE IF NOT CONCURRING						
SIGNATURE: PRINTED NAME:						
TITLE:	TITLE: DATE:					

Part V.B. LEAD VERIFIER ATTESTATION AND SIGNATURE IF CONCURRING					
I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the review in accordance with the requirements of section positive verification statement based on the Early Act Statement that was submitted to the Early Action Offs period.	n 95990(f)(3) and concur with the issuance of a ion Verification				
ATTESTATION SIGNATURE:	PRINTED NAME: Zane Haxtema				
TITLE:	DATE:				
Senior Forester, Greenhouse Gas Verification	13 March 2015				
Part V.C. SIGNATURE BY VERIFICATION BODY OFFICER					
I concur with the findings in this form and certify that I am duly authorized to represent and legally bind the Offset Verification Body on all matters related to this form.					
ATTESTATION SIGNATURE	PRINTED NAME: Robert J. Hrubes, Ph.D.				
TITLE:	DATE:				
Executive Vice President	13 March 2015				