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CALIFORNIA EXHAUST EMISSION STANDARDS AND TEST PROCEDURES FOR 1987 AND SUBSEQUENT MODEL HEAVY-DUTY OTTO-CYCLE ENGINES AND VEHICLES

The following provisions of Subparts A, L, N, and P, Part 86, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, as adopted or amended by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency on the date listed, and only to the extent they pertain to the testing and compliance of exhaust emissions from heavy-duty Otto-cycle gasoline engines and vehicles, are adopted and incorporated herein by this reference as the California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1987 and Subsequent Model Heavy-Duty Otto-Cycle Engines and Vehicles, except as altered or replaced by the provisions set forth below.

The federal regulations contained in the subparts identified above which pertain to evaporative emissions and oxides of nitrogen emission averaging shall not be applicable to these procedures. Regulations pertaining to evaporative emissions are contained in "California Evaporative Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1978 and Subsequent Model Liquefied-Petroleum-Gas—or-Gasoline—or-Methanol-Fueled Motor Vehicles," as incorporated in Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Section 1976.

The federal regulations contained in the subparts identified above which pertain to nonconformance penalty shall be applicable for the 1988 model year. The Executive Officer shall not implement a nonconformance fee schedule until it is established that payment of nonconformance fees in California may substitute, on the basis of each heavy-duty engine or vehicle certified for sale in California, for payment of nonconformance fees to the federal government.

Starting with the 1990 model year, these regulations shall be applicable to all heavy-duty Otto-cycle natural-gas-fueled and liquefied-petroleum-gas-fueled engines (and vehicles) except those engines derived from existing Diesel engines. For any engine which is not a distinctly Otto-cycle engine nor derived from such, the Executive Officer shall determine whether the engine shall be subject to these regulations or alternatively to the heavy-duty Diesel engine regulations, in consideration of the relative similarity of the engine's torque-speed characteristics and vehicle applications with those of Otto-cycle and Diesel engines.

The regulations concerning the certification of methanol-fueled vehicles and engines including dedicated methanol and fuel-flexible vehicles and engines are not applicable in California until the 1993 and subsequent model years. Regulations concerning the certification of incomplete medium-duty Otto-cycle low-emission vehicles and engines and ultra-low-emission vehicles and engines operating on any fuel are applicable for the 1992 and subsequent model years.


* * * * *

(b) ...GVWR or less to the medium-duty vehicle...

* * * * *

(e) ...projected combined California sales of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty vehicles and heavy-duty engines in its product line are fewer than 3,000 units for the model...

* * * * *


(a) ... heavy-duty engines. Starting with the 1990 model year, the provisions of this subpart are also applicable to all Otto-cycle dedicated gaseous-fuel, dual-fuel and multi-fuel engines (or vehicles) except those engines derived from existing Diesel engines. Any reference to Otto-cycle heavy-duty engines and vehicles shall also apply to gaseous-fuel engines and vehicles, except where specifically noted. Starting with the 1992 model year, the provisions of this subpart are also applicable to all Otto-cycle low-emission vehicles and engines and ultra-low-emission vehicles and engines operating on any fuel.

* * * * *

(b) ...may request to certify any pre-1996 model-year heavy-duty vehicle of 10,000 pounds Gross Vehicle Weight Rating or less to the medium-duty vehicle...

* * * * *

(e) ...projected combined California sales of passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty vehicles and heavy-duty engines in its product line are fewer than 3,000 units for the model...

"Administrator" DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
"Administrator" means the Executive Officer of the Air Resources Board.

* * * * *

"Certificate of Conformity" DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
"Certificate of Conformity" means "Executive Order" certifying vehicles for sale in California.

"Certification" DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
"Certification" means certification as defined in Section 39018 of the Health and Safety Code.

* * * * *

"EPA Enforcement Officer" DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
"EPA Enforcement Officer" means the Executive Officer or his delegate.

* * * * *

"Heavy-Duty Engine" DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
"Heavy-duty engine" means an engine which is used to propel a heavy-duty vehicle.
"Heavy-Duty Vehicle" DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
"Heavy-duty vehicle" means any motor vehicle having a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating greater than 6,000 pounds, except passenger cars.

* * * * *

"Medium-duty vehicle" means any pre-1995 model-year heavy-duty vehicle having a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating of 8,500 pounds or less, any 1992 and subsequent model-year heavy-duty low-emission vehicle or ultra-low-emission vehicle having a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating of 14,000 pounds or less, or any
1995 or subsequent model year heavy-duty vehicle having a manufacturer's gross vehicle weight rating of 14,000 pounds or less.

* * * * *


* * * * *


* * * * *

"Dedicated Methanol Vehicle" means any methanol-fueled motor vehicle that is engineered and designed to be operated solely on methanol.

"Dedicated Methanol Engine" means any methanol-fueled heavy-duty engine that is engineered and designed to be operated solely on methanol.

"Flexible-Fuel Vehicle (or Engine)" or "Fuel-Flexible Vehicle (or Engine)" means ...


* * * * *

"Gaseous Fuels" means compressed natural gas or liquefied petroleum gas fuel for use in motor vehicles and engines.

"Dedicated Gaseous-Fuel Engine" means any gaseous-fuel engine that is engineered and designed to be operated solely on a gaseous fuel.

"Dual-Fuel Engine" means any gaseous-fuel engine that is engineered and designed to be operated on either a gaseous fuel or petroleum fuel.

"Multi-Fuel Engine" means any gaseous-fuel engine that is engineered and designed to be operated with a gaseous fuel simultaneously with a petroleum fuel.

# # # # #

86.098-2 Definitions. April 6, 1994
The definitions of 86.095-2 continue to apply to 1996 and later model year vehicles.

The definitions listed in this section apply beginning with the 1998 model year.

"Dispensed fuel temperature" DELETE
"Evaporative/refueling emission control system" DELETE
"Evaporative/refueling emission family" DELETE
"Integrated refueling emission control system" DELETE
"Non-integrated refueling emission control system" DELETE
"Refueling emissions" DELETE
"Refueling emission canister(s)" DELETE
"Resting losses" DELETE

Useful life means:

(1) DELETE
(2) DELETE
(3) For an Otto-cycle heavy-duty engine family:
   (i) DELETE
   (ii) For the oxides of nitrogen standard, a period of use of 10 years or 110,000 miles whichever first occurs.
   (iii) DELETE
(4) DELETE

86.090-3 Abbreviations. April 11, 1989.
86.084-4 Section numbering; construction. September 25, 1980.
86.084-5 General Standards; increase in emissions; unsafe conditions. November 2, 1982.
86.090-5 General Standards; increase in emissions; unsafe conditions. April 11, 1989.
86.078-7 Maintenance of records; submittal of information; right of entry. November 2, 1982.

* * * * *

(a)(2) Manufacturers may choose to certify incomplete medium-duty vehicles from 8501-14,000 pounds, gross vehicle weight to the emission standards and test procedures specified below as an alternative to the primary standards and test procedures specified
in Section 1960.1, Title 13, California Code of Regulations. Manufacturers certifying medium-duty vehicles to these optional heavy-duty standards and test procedures shall specify, in the application for certification, an in-use compliance test procedure, as provided in Section 2139(c), Title 13, California Code of Regulations. Exhaust emissions from new 1995 and later model year incomplete medium-duty vehicles certifying to the optional heavy-duty engine test procedures shall not exceed the following:

(i) Carbon Monoxide. 14.4 grams per brake horsepower-hour, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(ii) Non-methane Hydrocarbon and Oxides of Nitrogen. 3.9 grams per brake horsepower-hour total, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(a)(3) Manufacturers may choose to certify incomplete medium-duty low-emission and ultra-low-emission vehicles from 8501-14,000 pounds, gross vehicle weight to the emission standards and test procedures specified below as an alternative to the primary standards and test procedures specified in Section 1960.1, Title 13, California Code of Regulations. Manufacturers certifying medium-duty low-emission and ultra-low-emission vehicles to these optional heavy-duty standards and test procedures shall specify, in the application for certification, an in-use compliance test procedure, as provided in Section 2139(c), Title 13, California Code of Regulations. Exhaust emissions from new 1992 and later model year incomplete medium-duty low-emission (LEV) and ultra-low-emission (ULEV) vehicles certifying to the optional heavy-duty engine test procedures shall not exceed the following:

(i) Carbon Monoxide. 14.4 grams per brake horsepower-hour for LEVs and 7.2 grams per brake horsepower-hour for ULEVs, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(ii) Non-methane Hydrocarbon and Oxides of Nitrogen. 3.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour total for LEVs through the 2001 model year; 3.0 grams per brake horsepower-hour for LEVs through the 2003 model year; and 2.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour total for ULEVs through the 2003 model year, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(iii) Formaldehyde Emissions. 0.050 grams per brake horsepower-hour for LEVs and 0.025 grams per brake horsepower-hour for ULEVs, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(a)(4) Manufacturers may choose to certify incomplete medium-duty ULEVs from 8501-14,000 pounds, gross vehicle weight to the emission standards and test procedures specified below as an alternative to the primary standards and test procedures specified in Section 1960.1, Title 13, California Code of Regulations. Manufacturers certifying medium-duty ultra-low-emission vehicles to these optional
heavy-duty standards and test procedures shall specify, in the application for certification, an in-use compliance test procedure, as provided in Section 2139(c), Title 13, California Code of Regulations. Exhaust emissions from new 2004 and later model year incomplete medium-duty ULEVs certifying to the optional heavy-duty engine test procedures shall not exceed the following:

(i) Carbon Monoxide—14.4 grams per brake-horsepower-hour for ULEVs, as measured under transient operating conditions.
(ii) Oxides of Nitrogen—2.0 grams per brake-horsepower-hour total for ULEVs, as measured under transient operating conditions.
(iii) Non-Methane Organic Gases—0.5 grams per brake-horsepower-hour for ULEVs, as measured under transient operating conditions.
(iv) Formaldehyde Emissions—0.050 grams per brake-horsepower-hour for ULEVs, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(4) The standards set forth in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3)...

(5) A manufacturer...

* * * * *


Section 86.098-10 includes text that specifies requirements that differ from § 86.096-10. Where a paragraph in § 86.096-10 is identical and applicable to § 86.098-10, this may be indicated by specifying the corresponding paragraph and the statement "[Reserved]. For guidance see § 86.096-10."

(a)(1) Exhaust emissions from new 1998 and later model year Otto-cycle heavy-duty engines shall not exceed.

(i) DELETE
(ii) For Otto-cycle heavy-duty engines fueled with either gasoline or liquefied petroleum gas and intended for use only in vehicles with a Gross Vehicle Weight Rating of greater than 14,000 pounds.
  (A) DELETE
  (B) DELETE
(C) Oxides of nitrogen (1) (4.0) grams per brake horsepower-hour (1.49 grams per megajoule), as measured under transient operating conditions.

(2) DELETE
(3) DELETE
(4) A manufacturer may elect to certify to an optional oxides of nitrogen standard between 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour and 1.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour, inclusive, at 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour increments, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(iii) DELETE
(iv) For methanol-fueled Otto-cycle heavy-duty engines intended for use only in vehicles with a Gross Vehicle Weight Rating of greater than 14,000 lbs.

(A) DELETE
(B) DELETE
(C) Oxides of nitrogen. (1) 4.0 grams per brake horsepower-hour (1.49 grams per megajoule), as measured under transient operating conditions.

(2) DELETE; REPLACE WITH:
(2) A manufacturer may elect to certify to an optional oxides of nitrogen standard between 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour and 1.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour, inclusive, at 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour increments, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(v) DELETE
(vi) For natural gas-fueled Otto-cycle engines intended for use only in vehicles with a Gross Vehicle Weight Rating of greater than 14,000 pounds.

(A) DELETE
(B) DELETE
(C) Oxides of nitrogen. (1) (4.0) 4.0 grams per brake horsepower-hour (1.49) 1.49 grams per megajoule, as measured under transient operating conditions.
A manufacturer may elect to certify to an optional oxides of nitrogen standard between 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour and 1.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour, inclusive, at 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour increments, as measured under transient operating conditions.

The standards set forth in paragraph (a)(1) of this section refer to the exhaust emitted over the operating schedule set forth in paragraph (f)(1) of Appendix I to this part, and measured and calculated in accordance with the procedures set forth in subpart N or P of this part.

A manufacturer may elect to certify 1995 through 1997 model year Otto-cycle engines for use in vehicles with a Gross Vehicle Weight Rating of greater than 14,000 pounds, to an optional oxides of nitrogen standard between 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour and 2.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour, inclusive, at 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour increments, as measured under transient operating conditions.

ADD SUBPARAGRAPH (f) WHICH READS:

(f)(1) Exhaust emissions from new 2004 and later model year Otto-cycle heavy-duty engines shall not exceed:

(I) For Otto-cycle heavy-duty engines fueled with either gasoline or liquefied petroleum gas and intended for use only in vehicles with a Gross Vehicle Weight Rating between 8,500 and greater than 14,000 pounds.

(A) Total Hydrocarbons or OMHCE\(^3\) - 0.7 grams per brake horsepower-hour, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(B) Optional Non-Methane Hydrocarbons\(^3\) - 0.4 grams per brake horsepower-hour, as measured under transient operating conditions.

---

The total or optional non-methane hydrocarbon standards apply to petroleum-fueled, natural gas-fueled, and liquefied petroleum gas-fueled engines. The Organic Material Hydrocarbon Equivalent, or OMHCE, standards apply to methanol-fueled engines.
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(C) (A) Carbon Monoxide. 14.4 37.1 grams per brake horsepower-hour, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(D) Oxides of Nitrogen. 2.0 grams per brake horsepower-hour, as measured under transient operating conditions.

(B) Non-Methane Hydrocarbons + Oxides of Nitrogen.

(a) 2.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour total for ULEVs, as measured under transient operating conditions, including a cap of 0.5 grams per brake horsepower-hour for Non-Methane Hydrocarbons; or

(b) 2.4 grams per brake horsepower-hour total for ULEVs as measured under transient operating conditions.

(f)(2) Manufacturers may choose to certify incomplete medium-duty vehicles from 8501-14,000 pounds, gross vehicle weight, to the emission standards and test procedures specified above in section (f)(1) as an alternative to the primary standards and test procedures specified in Section 1960.1, Title 13, California Code of Regulations. Manufacturers certifying medium-duty vehicles to these optional heavy-duty standards and test procedures shall specify, in the application for certification, an in-use compliance test procedure, as provided in Section 2139(c), Title 13, California Code of Regulations. Exhaust emission from new 2004 and later model year incomplete medium-duty vehicles certifying to the optional heavy-duty engine test procedures shall not exceed the standards set forth in 86.098-10 subparagraph (f)(1).

# # # # # # # # #

86.080-12 Alternative certification procedures. April 17, 1980.

* * * * *

(b)(1) ... produced by manufacturers with California sales (for the model year in which certification is sought) of fewer than 3,000 units (PC, LDT, MDV, and HDE combined).

* * * * *

(c)(4) DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
(c)(4) The manufacturer shall include in its records all of the information that EPA requires in 86.084-21 of this subpart. This information will be considered part of the manufacturer's application for certification.
(c)(7)(I)(C) ... determines and prescribes based on design specifications or sufficient control over design specifications, development data, in-house testing procedures, and in-use experience. However, ...

(c)(11)(ii)(D)(1) ... We project the total California sales of vehicles (engines) subject to this subpart to be fewer than 3,000 units.

(c)(13)(ii) ... affect vehicle emissions. All running changes which do not adversely affect emissions or the emissions control system durability are deemed approved unless disapproved by the Executive Officer within 30 days of the implementation of the running change. This ...


(b)(1) ... produced by manufacturers with California sales (for the model year in which certification is sought) of fewer than 3,000 units (PC, LDT, MDV, and HDE combined).

(c)(4) DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
(c)(4) The manufacturer shall include in its records all of the information that EPA requires in 86.088-21 of this subpart. This information will be considered part of the manufacturer's application for certification.

(c)(7)(I)(C) ... determines and prescribes based on design specifications or sufficient control over design specifications, development data, in-house testing procedures, and in-use experience. However, ...
(c)(11)(ii)(D)(1)... We project the total California sales of vehicles (engines) subject to this subpart to be fewer than 3,000 units.

(c)(13)(ii)... affect vehicle emissions. All running changes which do not adversely affect emissions or the emissions control system durability are deemed approved unless disapproved by the Executive Officer within 30 days of the implementation of the running change. This...


(b)(2) For 1992 and subsequent model-year low-emission and ultra-low-emission vehicles and engines not powered exclusively by gasoline, projected California sales data and fuel economy estimates two years prior to certification, and projected California sales data for all vehicles and engines, regardless of operating fuel or vehicle emission category, sufficient to enable the Executive Officer to select a test fleet representative of the vehicles (or engines) for which certification is requested at the time of certification.

86.085-22 Approval of application for certification; test fleet selections; determinations of parameters subject to adjustment for certification and Selective Enforcement Audit, adequacy of limits, and physically adjustable ranges. August 30, 1985.

DELETE any reference to Selective Enforcement Audit.

86.090-22 Approval of application for certification; test fleet selections; determinations of parameters subject to adjustment for certification and Selective Enforcement Audit, adequacy of limits, and physically adjustable ranges. April 11, 1989.

DELETE any references to Selective Enforcement Audit.
(b)(1)(ii) ... useful life of the engine. Such data shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review. If the durability test method is accepted by EPA, it shall also be accepted by ARB, subject to the following condition. If, after certification for the first model year in which the method is used, the Executive Officer determines that a manufacturer's durability test procedures do not conform with good engineering practices, the Executive Officer may require changes to that manufacturer's durability test procedures for subsequent model years. The manufacturer's revised durability test procedures shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review and approval.

* * * * *

(b)(1)(ii) ... useful life of the engine. Such data shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review. If the durability test method is accepted by EPA, it shall also be accepted by ARB, subject to the following condition. If, after certification for the first model year in which the method is used, the Executive Officer determines that a manufacturer's durability test procedures do not conform with good engineering practices, the Executive Officer may require changes to that manufacturer's durability test procedures for subsequent model years. The manufacturer's revised durability test procedures shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review and approval.

* * * * *

(b)(1)(ii) ... useful life of the engine. Such data shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review. If the durability test method is accepted by EPA, it shall also be accepted by ARB, subject to the following condition. If, after certification for the first model year in which the method is used; the Executive Officer determines that a manufacturer's durability test procedures do not conform with good engineering practices, the Executive Officer may require changes to that manufacturer's durability test procedures for subsequent model years. The manufacturer's revised durability test procedures shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review and approval.
86.091-23  Required data.  April 11, 1989.

(b)(1)(ii)  ... useful life of the engine.  Such data shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review.  If the durability test method is accepted by EPA, it shall also be accepted by ARB, subject to the following condition.  If, after certification for the first model year in which the method is used, the Executive Officer determines that a manufacturer's durability test procedures do not conform with good engineering practices, the Executive Officer may require changes to that manufacturer's durability test procedures for subsequent model years.  The manufacturer's revised durability test procedures shall be submitted to the Executive Officer for review and approval.


(e)(1)(i)  DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
(e)(1)(i)  a combined total of 3000 California passenger cars, light-duty trucks, medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty engines,

(e)(1)(ii)  DELETE
(e)(1)(iii)  DELETE
(e)(1)(iv)  DELETE
(e)(1)(v)  DELETE
(e)(1)(vi)  may request a reduction in the number of test vehicles (or engines) ...

(e)(2) ... total sales of fewer than 3,000 ...
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(e)(1)(i) DELETE
REPLACE WITH:
(e)(1)(i) A combined total of 3,000 California passenger cars, light-duty trucks,
medium-duty vehicles, and heavy-duty engines,

(e)(1)(ii); (e)(1)(iii); (e)(1)(iv); (e)(1)(v); DELETE

(e)(1)(vi) may request a reduction in the number of test vehicles (or engines)...

(e)(2)...total sales of fewer than 3,000...

* * * * *

(f)...submitted. Durability data submitted may be from engines previously certified by
the EPA or the Air Resources Board.

* * * * *

86.084-26 Mileage and service accumulation; emission measurements. October 19, 1983.
86.090-26 Mileage and service accumulation; emission measurements. April 11, 1989.
86.090-28 Compliance with emission standards. April 11, 1989.

* * * * *

(c)(4)(iii)(A)(1) ... For transient HC (OMHCE), formaldehyde (methanol-fueled
engines and vehicles, low-emission vehicles and engines, and ultra-low-emission
vehicles and engines), CO, and NOx, the official exhaust emission ...

(c)(4)(iii)(A)(2) ... For transient HC (OMHCE), formaldehyde (methanol-fueled
engines and vehicles, low-emission vehicles and engines, and ultra-low-emission
vehicles and engines), CO, and NOx, the official exhaust emission ...

* * * * *
86.091-28 Compliance with emission standards. April 11, 1989.

* * * * *

(c)(4)(iii)(A)(1) . . . For transient HC (OMHCE), formaldehyde (methanol-fueled engines and vehicles, low-emission vehicles and engines, and ultra-low-emission vehicles and engines), CO, and NOx, the official exhaust emission . . .

(c)(4)(iii)(A)(2) . . . For transient HC (OMHCE), formaldehyde (methanol-fueled engines and vehicles, low-emission vehicles and engines, and ultra-low-emission vehicles and engines), CO, and NOx, the official exhaust emission . . .

* * * * *

86.090-29 Testing by the Administrator. April 11, 1989.
86.091-29 Testing by the Administrator. April 11, 1989.
86.079-32 Addition of a vehicle or engine after certification. September 8, 1977.
86.079-33 Changes to a vehicle or engine covered by certification. September 8, 1977.

86.084-40 Automatic expiration of reporting and recordkeeping requirements. September 25, 1980.

Subpart L - Nonconformance Penalties for Gasoline-Fueled and Diesel Heavy-Duty Engines and Heavy-Duty Vehicles, Including Light-Duty Trucks


* * * * *
...applicable for 1988 model...

* * * * *

86.1105-87 Emission standards for which nonconformance penalties are available. December 31, 1985.
86.1106-87 Production compliance auditing. August 30, 1985.

* * * * *

(b) A 50-state engine or vehicle configuration with engines available for sale in California fails a Selective Enforcement...

* * * * *

(c) A 50-state engine or vehicle configuration with engines available for sale in California, for which an NCP has been previously...

* * * * *

86.1107-87 Testing by the Administrator. August 30, 1985.
86.1109-87 Entry and access. August 30, 1985.
86.1111-87 Test procedures for PCA testing. August 30, 1985.
86.1112-87 Determining the compliance level and reporting of test results. August 30, 1985.

* * * * *

(a)(3)(iv)...not affect the previous year's penalty. In calculating AAFi for the California heavy-duty engines, it shall be equal to the value of n as is used federally.

* * * * *

(g)(1)(ii)...payable to: Air Pollution Control Fund, c/o Executive Officer, Air Resources Board, P.O. Box 2815, Sacramento, CA 95812.

* * * * *
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(g)(3)... date to: Chief, Mobile Source Division, Air Resources Board, 9528 Telstar Avenue, El Monte, CA 91731 and Director, Manufacturers Operations...

* * * * *

(h)...PCA take place. The refund to manufacturers shall be made from the Air Pollution Control Fund. The amount refunded will be as follows...

* * * * *

86.1114-87 Suspension and voiding of certificates of conformity. August 30, 1985.

Subpart N, Emission Regulations for New Otto-cycle and Diesel Heavy-Duty Engines; Gaseous and Particulate Exhaust Test Procedures

86.1301-84 Scope; applicability. November 16, 1983.
86.1301-90 Scope; applicability. April 11, 1989.
86.1304-84 Section numbering; construction. November 16, 1983.
86.1304-90 Section numbering; construction. April 11, 1989.
86.1306-84 Equipment required and specification; overview. November 16, 1983.
86.1306-90 Equipment required and specification; overview. April 11, 1989.
86.1309-84 Exhaust gas sampling system; gasoline-fueled engines. November 16, 1983.

* * * * *

(a)(3)... For methanol-fueled engines, the sample lines for the methanol and formaldehyde samples are heated to 235° ± 15° F (113° ± 8° C).

* * * * *

86.1311-84 Exhaust gas analytical system; CVS bag sample. November 16, 1983.
86.1311-88 Exhaust gas analytical system; CVS bag sample. August 29, 1986.
ADD SUBPARAGRAPH (A)(1) WHICH READS:

(a)(1)(l) For 1993-1994 model-year Otto-cycle LEVs and ULEVs, and for all 1995 and subsequent model-year heavy-duty and medium-duty Otto-cycle vehicles and engines, gasoline having the specifications listed below may be used in exhaust and evaporative emission testing as an option to the specifications referred to in paragraph (a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel Property a/</th>
<th>Limit</th>
<th>Test Method b/</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Octane, (R+M)/2 (min)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>D2699-88, D 2700-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (min)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>D 2699-88, D 2700-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead, g/gal (max) (No lead added) §2253.4(c)</td>
<td>0-0.01</td>
<td>Title 13 CCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distillation Range, degrees F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 pct. point,</td>
<td>130-150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 pct. point,</td>
<td>200-210 d/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 pct. point,</td>
<td>290-300 e/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP, maximum</td>
<td>390</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residue, vol% (max)</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur, ppm by wt.</td>
<td>30-40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorous, g/gal (max)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>Title 13 CCR §2263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RVP, psi</td>
<td>6.7-7.0</td>
<td>Title 13 CCR §2263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olefins, vol %</td>
<td>4.0-6.0</td>
<td>Title 13 CCR §2263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Aromatic Hydrocarbons (vol%)</td>
<td>22-25</td>
<td>Title 13 CCR §2263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene, vol %</td>
<td>0.8-1.0 f/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Substituted Alkyl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic Hydrocarbons, vol %</td>
<td>12-14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBE, vol %</td>
<td>10.8-11.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additives: Sufficient to meet requirements of Title 13, CCR §2257</td>
<td></td>
<td>Title 13 CCR §2263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper Corrosion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum, Washed, mg/100 ml (max)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>D 381-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation Stability, minutes (min)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>D 525-88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon, wt%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen, wt%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a/ The gasoline must be blended from typical refinery feedstocks.

Date of Release: 2/13/95; second 15-day changes
Board Hearing: 9/25/95
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b/ ASTM specification unless otherwise noted. A test method other than that specified may be used following a determination by the Executive Officer that the other method produces results equivalent to the results with the specified method.

c/ Although Title 13 CCR § 2263 refers to the temperatures of the 50 and 90 percent points, this procedure can be extended to the 10 percent and endpoint temperatures, and to the determination of the residue content.

d/ The range for interlaboratory testing is 195-215° F.

e/ The range for interlaboratory testing is 285-305° F.

f/ The range for interlaboratory testing is 0.7-1.1 percent by volume.


h/ The fuel producer should report this fuel property to the fuel purchaser. Any generally accepted test method may be used and shall be identified in the report.

* * * * *

(a)(3)(i) Methanol-Gasoline Fuel Specifications for 1993 Model-Year Engines. Methanol fuel used in service accumulation of methanol-fueled Otto-cycle engines shall be representative of commercially available methanol fuel. Methanol used in fuel for exhaust emission testing shall be chemical grade methanol. For fuel-flexible vehicles and engines, the gasoline used for blending fuel for use in service accumulation shall be representative of commercial regular unleaded gasoline which will be generally available through retail outlets. Gasoline used for blending fuel for use in emission testing shall conform with the unleaded gasoline specification noted in paragraph (a) above. The requirements set forth in subparagraph (a)(3)(ii) may be used as an option for 1993 model-year vehicles.


(a)(3)(ii)(A) Otto-cycle methanol-fuel vehicles

Mileage-accumulation fuel: For methanol-fueled Otto-cycle methanol engines, fuel which meets the specifications listed in Title 13, CCR, Section 2292.1 or 2292.2 as applicable.

Emission-testing fuel: For methanol-fueled Otto-cycle methanol engines, fuel which meets the specifications listed in Title 13, CCR, Section 2292.1 or 2292.2 as modified by the following:

The fuel specification for 2292.1 shall be modified to: a) require methanol content at 98.0 ± 0.5 volume percent; b) require ethanol content at 1.0 ± 0.1
volume percent; c) require certification gasoline as noted in paragraph 9(a) of the California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1988 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles, at 1.0 ± 0.1 volume percent.

The fuel specification for 2292.2 shall be modified to require certification gasoline as noted in paragraph 9(a) of the California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1988 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles, as the hydrocarbon fraction. The vapor pressure specification for the emission-testing fuel shall be adjusted to 8.0 - 8.5 psi, using common blending components from the gasoline stream.

(a)(3)(ii)(B) Fuel-flexible vehicles

**Mileage-accumulation fuel:** For both durability-data vehicles and emission-data vehicles, mileage accumulation shall be conducted with one fuel. For vehicles designed to operate on methanol, a fuel that meets the specifications listed in Title 13, CCR, Section 2292.2 shall be used.

**Emission-test fuel:** For emission testing, fuel that meets the specifications listed in Title 13, CCR, Section 2292.2 with the following exception. The fuel specification for 2292.2 shall be modified to require certification gasoline as noted in paragraph 9(a) of the California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1988 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles, as the hydrocarbon fraction. The vapor pressure specification for the emission-testing fuel shall be adjusted to 8.0 - 8.5 psi, using common blending components from the gasoline stream.

(a)(3)(iii) Fuel additives and ignition improvers intended for use in methanol test fuels shall be subject to the approval of the Executive Officer. In order for such approval to be granted, a manufacturer must demonstrate that emissions will not be adversely affected by the use of the fuel additive or ignition improver.

* * * * * *

ADD SUBPARAGRAPH (e) TO READ:

(e) **Natural Gas and Liquefied Petroleum Gas Test Fuel.**

(e)(1)(f) Natural Gas Test Fuel. Natural gas used in service accumulation for 1990 through 1993 model-year engines shall be representative of commercial natural gas which is generally available. Natural gas meeting the specifications below, or substantially equivalent specifications approved by the Executive
Officer, shall be used in exhaust emission testing for 1990 through 1993 model-year engines. The specifications set forth in subparagraph (e)(1)(ii) may be used as an option for 1993 model-year vehicles.

**Natural Gas Emission Test Fuel Specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Calculation Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wobbe Number</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>± 0.5%</td>
<td>ASTM D 1945 Using AGA Bulletin No. 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrocarbons (expressed as percent of total organic carbon present)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>± 0.5%</td>
<td>ASTM D 1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>± 0.3%</td>
<td>ASTM D 1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₃ and higher HC</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>± 0.2%</td>
<td>ASTM D 1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₆ and higher HC</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>ASTM D 1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total unsaturated HC</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>ASTM D 1945</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Species (expressed as mole percent)

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>ASTM D 2650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon Monoxide</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>maximum</td>
<td>ASTM D 2650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Requirements:

1. Free from liquids over the entire range of temperatures and pressures encountered in the engine and fuel system.
2. Free from solid particulate matter.

(e)(1)(ii) Natural gas used in service accumulation and in exhaust emission testing for 1994 and subsequent model-year engines shall meet the specification as follows:

**Mileage accumulation fuel:** Natural gas meeting the specifications listed in Title 13, CCR, Section 2292.5 shall be used in service accumulation.

**Emission-test fuel:** Natural gas meeting the following specifications listed in Title 13, CCR, Section 2292.5 as modified by the following: a) methane content at 90.0 ± 1.0 mole percent; b) ethane content at 4.0 ± 0.5 mole percent; c) C₃ and higher hydrocarbon content at 2.0 ± 0.3 mole percent; d) oxygen content at 0.5 mole percent maximum; e) inert gas (sum of CO₂ and N₂) content at 3.5 ± 0.5 mole percent.
(e)(2)(i) Liquefied Petroleum Gas Test Fuel. Liquefied petroleum gas used in service accumulation for 1990 through 1993 model-year engines shall be representative of commercial liquefied petroleum gas which is generally available through retail outlets. Liquefied petroleum gas used in exhaust and evaporative emission testing for 1990 through 1993 model-year engines shall conform to NGPA HD-5 specification. The specifications set forth in subparagraph (e)(2)(ii) may be used as an option for 1993 model-year vehicles.

(e)(2)(ii) Liquefied petroleum gas fuel that will be used in service accumulation and in exhaust and evaporative emission testing for 1994 and subsequent model-year engines shall meet the specifications as follows.

Mileage accumulation fuel: Liquefied petroleum gas meeting the specifications listed in Title 13, CCR, Section 2292.6 shall be used in service accumulation.

Emission-test fuel: Liquefied petroleum gas meeting the specifications listed in Title 13, CCR, Section 2292.6 shall be used for exhaust and evaporative emission testing with the following exceptions: a) propane content limited to 93.5 ± 1.0 volume percent; b) propene content limited to 3.8 ± 0.5 volume percent; and c) butane and heavier components limited to 1.9 ± 0.3 volume percent.

(e)(3) The specification range of the fuels to be used under paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this section shall be reported in accordance with 86.090-21(b)(3).

86.1316-84 Calibration; frequency and overview. December 10, 1984.
86.1316-90 Calibration; frequency and overview. April 11, 1989.
86.1318-84 Engine dynamometer system calibrations. November 16, 1983.
86.1320-88 Gas meter or flow instrumentation calibration; particulate measurement. December 16, 1987.
86.1320-90 Gas meter or flow instrumentation calibration; particulate, methanol, and formaldehyde measurement. April 11, 1989.
86.1322-84 Carbon monoxide analyzer calibration. November 16, 1983.
86.1324-84 Carbon dioxide analyzer calibration. November 16, 1983.
86.1326-84 Calibration of other equipment. November 16, 1983.
86.1327-90 Engine dynamometer test procedure; overview. April 11, 1989.

* * * * *

(a)...sample collection impingers (or capsules) for formaldehyde (HCHO). A bag or continuous sample of the dilution air...

* * * * *

86.1330-84 Test sequence, general requirements. November 16, 1983.
86.1330-90 Test sequence, general requirements. April 11, 1989.
86.1333-84 Transient test cycle generation. November 16, 1983.
86.1333-90 Transient test cycle generation. April 11, 1989.
86.1338-84 Emission measurement accuracy. November 16, 1983.
86.1341-84 Test cycle validation criteria. March 15, 1985.
86.1341-90 Test cycle validation criteria. April 11, 1989.

* * * * *

(d) Meaning of symbols:

* * * * *

(1)(ii) . . . (101.3 kPa) pressure; or, if gaseous fuels are being used, 18.64 g/ft³ for natural gas and 17.28 g/ft³ for liquefied petroleum gas, assuming an average carbon to hydrogen ratio of 1:3.803 for natural gas and 1:2.656 for liquefied petroleum gas, at 68° F and 760 mm Hg pressure. The Executive Officer may approve other density values deemed appropriate by a manufacturer when gaseous fuels are being used.
(3)(v)(A) CO<sub>e</sub> = (1 - 0.01925CO<sub>2e</sub> - 0.000323R)CO<sub>em</sub> for gasoline and petroleum diesel fuel, with hydrogen to carbon ratio of 1.85:1.

(3)(v)(B) CO<sub>e</sub> = [1 - (0.01 + 0.005HCR)CO<sub>2e</sub> - 0.00323R]CO<sub>em</sub> for methanol fuel, where HCR is hydrogen to carbon ratio as measured for the fuel used. For natural gas and liquefied petroleum gas, HCR is assumed to be 2.656 and 3.802 respectively.

(8)(i) K<sub>H</sub> = Humidity correction factor.
(ii) For gasoline-fueled, gaseous-fueled, and methanol-fueled diesel engines: K<sub>H</sub> = 1/[1 - 0.0047(H/75)] (or for SI units, ...
86.1516-84 Calibration; frequency and overview. November 16, 1983.
86.1522-84 Carbon monoxide analyzer calibration. November 16, 1983.
86.1524-84 Carbon dioxide analyzer calibration. November 16, 1983.
86.1526-84 Calibration of other equipment. November 16, 1983.
86.1527-84 Idle test procedure; overview. November 16, 1983.
86.1530-84 Test sequence; general requirements. November 16, 1983.
86.1540-84 Idle exhaust sample analysis. November 16, 1983.
86.1544-84 Calculation; idle exhaust emissions. March 15, 1985.
Appendix I- Urban Dynamometer Schedules.

(f)(1) EPA Engine Dynamometer Schedule for Heavy-Duty Gasoline-Fueled Engines.

Appendix XII - Tables for Production Compliance Auditing of Heavy-Duty Engines and Heavy-Duty Vehicles.

Additional Requirements

1. Any reference to vehicle or engine sales throughout the United States shall mean vehicle or engine sales in California.

2. Regulations concerning EPA hearings, EPA inspections, and specific language on the Certificate of Conformity, shall not be applicable to these procedures.

3. Any reference made to Selective Enforcement Auditing (SEA) shall not be applicable to these procedures except as explicitly stated in regards to nonconformance penalties.

4. In addition to the standards and provisions specified in CFR Section 86.091-10 (emission standards for 1991 and later model year Otto-cycle heavy-duty engines and vehicles), the following formaldehyde emission levels as measured under transient operating conditions shall not be exceeded for dedicated methanol and fuel-flexible vehicles and engines:

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Year} & \text{Emission (g/bhp-hr)} \\
\hline
1993-1995 & 0.10 \\
1996 and Subsequent & 0.05 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

The following formaldehyde emission levels as measured under transient operating conditions shall not be exceeded for 1992 and subsequent low-emission vehicles and ultra-low-emission vehicles operating on any fuel:

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Year} & \text{Emission (g/bhp-hr)} \\
\hline
1992 and Subsequent Low-Emission Vehicles & 0.050 \\
1992 and Subsequent Ultra-Low-Emission Vehicles & 0.025 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

5. All dedicated methanol-fueled and fuel-flexible vehicles and engines shall comply with the requirements which are applicable to heavy-duty gasoline-fueled Otto-cycle vehicles and engines, except where otherwise noted. In particular, for fuel-flexible vehicles and engines, a manufacturer's proposed
durability demonstration program, as required in sections 86.091-21(b)(4)(iii)(A) and 86.091-23(b)(1)(ii), shall provide for the assessment of the durability of the engine in operation with methanol and gasoline, as well as intermediate mixtures of both fuels. A manufacturer's proposed mileage and service accumulation, as required in section 86.090-24(c), shall be conducted on methanol.

The provisions of section 86.091-28(c), "Compliance with emissions standards," shall be used to determine the compliance requirements with the emission standards. For fuel-flexible vehicles and engines, the noted deterioration factors shall be determined from testing conducted with gasoline fuel. However, as an assurance that fuel-flexible vehicles and engines will comply with applicable exhaust emission standards throughout their useful lives when operated on methanol fuel, the manufacturer shall demonstrate that exhaust emissions tests conducted with methanol fuel at the beginning, middle, and end of the durability service accumulation schedule do not exceed the applicable exhaust emission standards. For certification to be granted, the vehicle or engine may not exceed applicable certification exhaust emission standards.

6. All dedicated gaseous-fuel, dual-fuel, and multi-fuel Otto-cycle engines (and vehicles), except engines derived from existing Diesel engines, shall comply with the requirements which are applicable to heavy-duty Otto-cycle engines, except where otherwise noted.

7. Non-methane hydrocarbon emissions shall be measured in accordance with the "California Non-methane Hydrocarbon Test Procedures" as last amended July 12, 1991, which is incorporated herein by reference.

8. For dual-fuel or multi-fuel gaseous engines and vehicles, the noted deterioration factors shall be determined separately for operation on each type of fuel or combination of fuels that the engine is designed to use. For certification to be granted, the provisions of 86.091-28(c) must be met separately for emissions using each type and combination of fuels.
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Part A

GENERAL APPLICABILITY AND REQUIREMENTS

1. These test procedures shall apply to all 1993 and subsequent model-year transitional low-emission vehicles (TELV), low-emission vehicles (LEV), and ultra low-emission vehicles (ULEV) certifying to non-methane organic gas (NMOG) emission standards.

2. This document sets forth the analysis and calculation procedures that shall be performed to determine NMOG mass emissions. The document consists of the following parts:

   A. General Applicability and Requirements
   B. Determination of Non-Methane Hydrocarbon Mass Emissions by Flame Ionization Detection
   C. Determination of Alcohols in Automotive Source Samples by Gas Chromatography (Method No. 1001)
   D. Determination of C₂ to C₅ Hydrocarbons in Automotive Source Samples by Gas Chromatography (Method No. 1002)
   E. Determination of C₆ to C₁₂ Hydrocarbons in Automotive Source Samples by Gas Chromatography (Method No. 1003)
   F. Determination of Aldehyde and Ketone Compounds in Automotive Source Samples by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (Method No. 1004).
   G. Determination of NMOG Mass Emissions

   Appendix 1  List of Light-End and Mid-Range Hydrocarbons
   Appendix 2  Definitions and Commonly Used Abbreviations
   Appendix 3  References

Alternative procedures may be used if shown to yield equivalent results and if approved in advance by the Executive Officer of the Air Resources Board.

3. The analyses specified in the table below shall be performed to determine mass emission rates of NMOG in grams per mile (g/mi) or milligrams per mile (mg/mi) for vehicles operated on the listed fuel:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel</th>
<th>NMHC by FID</th>
<th>NMHC by GC</th>
<th>Alcohols</th>
<th>Carboxylics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where “LPG” means “liquifed petroleum gas”, “CNG” means “compressed natural gas”, “NMHC” means “non-methane hydrocarbon”, “FID” means “flame ionization detector”, and “GC” means “gas chromatograph.” The specified analyses shall be performed in accordance with the following parts of this document:

**NMHC by FID**
---

**NMHC by GC**
---
Part D. Determination of C₂ to C₅ Hydrocarbons in Automotive Source Samples by Gas Chromatography (Method No. 1002); and Part E. Determination of C₆ to C₁₂ Hydrocarbons in Automotive Source Samples by Gas Chromatography (Method No. 1003).

**CARBONYLS**
---
Part F. Determination of Aldehyde and Ketone Compounds in Automotive Source Samples by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (Method No. 1004).

**ALCOHOLS**
---
Part C. Determination of Alcohol in Automotive Source Samples by Gas Chromatography (Method No. 1001).

4 For those manufacturers which choose to develop reactivity adjustment factors unique to a specific engine family, exhaust NMOG emissions shall be fully speciated. NMHC emissions shall be analyzed in accordance with parts D and E (Method Nos. 1002 and 1003). In addition, aldehydes and ketones, alcohols, and ethers shall be analyzed according to parts F, C, and E (Method Nos. 1004, 1001, and 1003). Analysis for alcohols shall be required only for vehicles which are operated on fuels containing alcohols.

5. For natural gas-fueled vehicles, the methane concentration in the exhaust sample shall be measured with a methane analyzer. A GC combined with a FID is used for direct measurement of methane concentrations. SAE Recommended Practice J1151 is a reference on generally accepted GC principles and analytical techniques for this...
application. A density of 18.89 g/ft\(^3\) shall be used to determine the methane mass emissions. The methane mass emissions shall be multiplied by the appropriate methane reactivity adjustment factor and then added to the reactivity-adjusted NMOG emissions as specified in "California Exhaust Emission Standards and Test Procedures for 1988 and Subsequent Model Passenger Cars, Light-Duty Trucks, and Medium-Duty Vehicles."

6. The mass of NMOG emissions shall be calculated in accordance with part G, "Determination of NMOG Mass Emissions". The mass of NMOG emissions in g/mile or mg/mile shall be calculated by summing the mass of NMHC determined by the FID, the mass of aldehydes and ketones, and the mass of alcohols.
PART B

DETERMINATION OF
NON-METHANE HYDROCARBON MASS EMISSIONS
BY FLAME IONIZATION DETECTION

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This procedure describes a method for determining non-methane hydrocarbon (NMHC) exhaust mass emissions from motor vehicles. Other applicable forms of instrumentation and analytical techniques which prove to yield equivalent results to those specified in this procedure may be used subject to the approval of the Executive Officer of the Air Resources Board.

1.2 All definitions and abbreviations are contained in Appendix 2 of these test procedures.

2. TOTAL HYDROCARBON MEASUREMENT

2.1 A flame ionization detector (FID) is used to measure total hydrocarbon concentration in vehicle exhaust in accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations. [Ref 1] SAE Recommended Practices J254 [Ref. 2] and J1094a [Ref. 3] are references on generally accepted gas analysis and constant volume sampling techniques. For Beckman 400 FIDs only, implementation of the recommendations outlined in SAE paper 770141, "Optimization of Flame Ionization Detector for Determination of Hydrocarbons in Diluted Automobile Exhaust," author, Glenn D. Reschke [Ref. 4] shall be required. Other FID analyzer models shall be checked and adjusted, if necessary, to minimize any non-uniformity of relative response to different hydrocarbons.

3. METHANE MEASUREMENT

3.1 A gas chromatograph (GC) combined with a FID constitute a methane analyzer and shall be used for direct measurement of methane concentrations. The SAE Recommended Practice J1151 [Ref. 5] is a reference on generally accepted GC principles and analytical techniques for this specific application.

4. TOTAL HC FID RESPONSE TO METHANE

4.1 The FID is calibrated to propane and therefore tends to over respond to the methane portion of the vehicle exhaust sample during hydrocarbon analysis. In order to calculate the NMHC concentration, a methane response factor must be applied to the methane concentration (as measured by the methane analyzer) before it can be deducted from the total hydrocarbon concentration. To determine the total hydrocarbon FID response to methane, known methane in air concentrations traceable to National...
Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) shall be analyzed by the FID. Several methane concentrations shall be analyzed by the FID in the range of the exhaust sample concentration. The total hydrocarbon FID response to methane is calculated as follows:

\[ r_{\text{CH}_4} = \frac{\text{FID}_{\text{ppm}}}{\text{SAM}_{\text{ppm}}} \]

where:

- \( r_{\text{CH}_4} \) = FID methane response factor.
- \( \text{FID}_{\text{ppm}} \) = FID reading in ppm.
- \( \text{SAM}_{\text{ppm}} \) = the known methane concentration in ppm.

The FID response to methane shall be checked at each calibration interval.

5. **NMHC MASS EMISSION PER TEST PHASE**

5.1 The following calculations shall be used to determine the NMHC mass emissions for each phase of the Federal Test Procedure. [Ref. 1].

5.2 Non-Alcohol Fueled Vehicles

5.2.1 \( \text{NMHC}_e = \text{FID THC}_e - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4_e) \)

NOTE: If \( \text{NMHC}_e \) is calculated to be less than zero, then \( \text{NMHC}_e = 0 \).

5.2.2 \( \text{NMHC}_d = \text{FID THC}_d - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4_d) \)

NOTE: If \( \text{NMHC}_d \) is calculated to be less than zero, then \( \text{NMHC}_d = 0 \).

5.2.3 \( \text{CO}_e = (1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times \text{HCR}) \times \text{CO}_2_e - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times \text{CO}_{e,m} \)

NOTE: If a CO instrument which meets the criteria specified in CFR 40, 86.111 is used and the conditioning column has been deleted, \( \text{CO}_{e,m} \) must be substituted directly for \( \text{CO}_e \).

a) For gasoline, \( \text{CH}_{1.85} \), where HCR = 1.85:
\[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.01925 \times \text{CO}_2_e - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times \text{CO}_{e,m} \]

b) For Phase 2 gasoline, \( \text{CH}_{1.94} \), where HCR = 1.94:
\[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.01970 \times \text{CO}_2_e - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times \text{CO}_{e,m} \]

c) For LPG, \( \text{CH}_{2.66 \pm 0.5a} \), where HCR = 2.66 ± 0.64:
\[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.02239 \times 0.02320 \times \text{CO}_2_e - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times \text{CO}_{e,m} \]

d) For CNG, \( \text{CH}_{3.78} \), where HCR = 3.78:
\[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.02890 \times \text{CO}_2_e - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times \text{CO}_{e,m} \]
5.2.4 \[ \text{DF} = \frac{100 \times x}{x + y/2 + 3.76 \times (x + y/4 - z/2)} \]
\[ \text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC} + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2) \times 10^4 \]

(where fuel composition is \( C_xH_{2y}O_z \) as measured for the fuel used.)

a) For gasoline, \( C_{1.85} \), where \( x = 1, y = 1.85, \) and \( z = 0 \):
\[ \text{DF} = 13.47 / [\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC} + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2) \times 10^4] \]

b) For Phase 2 gasoline, \( C_{1.94} \), where \( x = 1, y = 1.94, \) and \( z = 0.017 \):
\[ \text{DF} = 13.29 / [\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC} + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2) \times 10^4] \]

c) For LPG, \( C_{2.66} \), where \( x = 1, y = 2.66, \) and \( z = 0 \):
\[ \text{DF} = 11.64 / [\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC} + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2) \times 10^4] \]

d) For CNG, \( C_{3.78} \), where \( x = 1, y = 3.78, \) and \( z = 0.016 \):
\[ \text{DF} = 9.83 / [\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC} + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2) \times 10^4] \]

5.3 Vehicles Operating on Fuels Containing Methanol

5.3.1 \[ \text{NMHC}_c = \text{FID THC}_c - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4) - (r_{\text{CH}_2\text{OH}} \times \text{CH}_3\text{OH}_c) \]

NOTE: If \( \text{NMHC}_c \) is calculated to be less than zero, then \( \text{NMHC}_c = 0 \).

5.3.2 \[ \text{NMHC}_d = \text{FID THC}_d - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4) - (r_{\text{CH}_2\text{OH}} \times \text{CH}_3\text{OH}_d) \]

NOTE: If \( \text{NMHC}_d \) is calculated to be less than zero, then \( \text{NMHC}_d = 0 \).

5.3.3 \[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times \text{HCR}) \times \text{CO}_2 - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times \text{CO}_\text{em} \]

NOTE: If a CO instrument which meets the criteria specified in CFR 40 86.111 is used and the conditioning column has been deleted, \( \text{CO}_\text{em} \) must be substituted directly for \( \text{CO}_e \).

a) For M100 (100% methanol), \( \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \), where \( \text{HCR} = 4 \): \[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.03000 \times \text{CO}_2 - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times \text{CO}_\text{em} \]

b) For M85 (85% methanol, 15% indolene), \( \text{CH}_3\text{OH}_0.72 \), where \( \text{HCR} = 3.41 \):
\[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.02705 \times \text{CO}_2 - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times \text{CO}_\text{em} \]

\[ \frac{100 \times x}{x + y/2 + 3.76 \times (x + y/4 - z/2)} \]
\[ \text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC} + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{CH}_3\text{OH}_c + \text{HCHO}_c) \times 10^4 \]

(where fuel composition is \( C_xH_{2y}O_z \) as measured for the fuel used.)
a) For M100 (100% methanol), CH₃OH, where x = 1, y = 4, and z = 1:
\[ \text{DF} = \frac{11.57}{[\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC}_c + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO} + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCHO}) \times 10^4]} \]

b) For M85 (85% methanol, 15% Indolene), CH₃,41 O₀,72, where x = 1, y = 3.41, and z = 0.72:
\[ \text{DF} = \frac{12.02}{[\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC}_c + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO} + \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCHO}) \times 10^4]} \]

5.4 Vehicles Operating on Fuels Containing Ethanol

5.4.1 \( \text{NMHC}_c = \text{FID THC}_c - (r_{CH_4} \times CH_4) - (r_{C_2H_5OH} \times C_2H_5OH) \)
NOTE: If NMHC_c is calculated to be less than zero, then NMHC_c = 0.

5.4.2 \( \text{NMHC}_d = \text{FID THC}_d - (r_{CH_4} \times CH_4) - (r_{C_2H_5OH} \times C_2H_5OH) \)
NOTE: If NMHC_d is calculated to be less than zero, then NMHC_d = 0.

5.4.3 \( \text{CO}_e = (1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times \text{HCR}) \times \text{CO}_2 \times 0.000323 \times R_x) \times \text{CO}_en \)
NOTE: If a CO instrument which meets the criteria specified in CFR 40, 86.111 is used and the conditioning column has been deleted, CO_en must be substituted directly for CO_e.

a) For E100 (100% ethanol), \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} \), where HCR = 3:
\( \text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.02500 \times \text{CO}_2 - 0.000323 \times R_x) \times \text{CO}_en \)
\[ \frac{100 \times x}{x + y/2 + 3.76 \times (x + y/4 - z/2)} \]

5.4.4 \( \text{DF} = \frac{\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC}_c + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} + \text{HCHO}) \times 10^4}{(\text{where fuel composition is C}_x\text{H}_y\text{O}_z \text{ as measured for the fuel used.})} \]

a) For E100 (100% ethanol), \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} \), where x = 1, y = 3, and z = 0.5:
\( \text{DF} = \frac{12.29}{[\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC}_c + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} + \text{HCHO}) \times 10^4]} \)

5.5 All Vehicles

5.5.1 \( \text{NMHC}_{\text{conc}} = \text{NMHC}_c - \text{NMHC}_d \times [1 - (1 / \text{DF})] \)
NOTE: If NMHC_{conc} is calculated to be less than zero, then NMHC_{conc} = 0.

5.5.2 \( \text{NMHC}_{\text{max,}} = \text{NMHC}_{\text{conc}} \times \text{NMHC}_{\text{dms}} \times \text{VMIX} \times 10^6 \)

6. TOTAL WEIGHTED NMHC MASS EMISSIONS

6.1 All Vehicles
6.1.1 \( \text{NMHC}_{\text{em}} = 0.43 \frac{\text{NMHC}_{\text{mass}}}{D_{\text{phase 1}}} + 0.57 \frac{\text{NMHC}_{\text{mass}}}{D_{\text{phase 2}}} \)  

7. SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

7.1 Given the following data for a gasoline vehicle, calculate the weighted NMHC mass emission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Phase</th>
<th>FID THC(_e) (ppmC)</th>
<th>FID THC(_d) (ppmC)</th>
<th>CH(_{4e}) (ppmC)</th>
<th>CH(_{4d}) (ppmC)</th>
<th>CO(_{em}) (ppm)</th>
<th>CO(_{2e}) (%)</th>
<th>VMIX (ft(^3))</th>
<th>D(_{phase \alpha}) (mile)</th>
<th>R(_{e}) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>147.2</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2846</td>
<td>3.583</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>4856</td>
<td>3.848</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>2839</td>
<td>3.586</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Phase 1:

\[
\text{NMHC}_{e} = \text{FID THC}_e - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_{4e}) \\
= 41.8 \text{ ppmC} - (1.04 \times 7.53 \text{ ppmC}) \\
= 33.97 \text{ ppmC}
\]

\[
\text{NMHC}_{d} = \text{FID THC}_d - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_{4d}) \\
= 8.6 \text{ ppmC} - (1.04 \times 5.27 \text{ ppmC}) \\
= 3.12 \text{ ppmC}
\]

\[
\text{CO}_{e} = (1 - 0.01925 \times \text{CO}_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_{e}) \times \text{CO}_{em}
\]

\[
\text{CO}_{e} = (1 - 0.01925 \times 1.19\% - 0.000323 \times 38\%) \times 147.18 \text{ ppm}
\]

\[
\text{DF} = 13.47 / (\text{CO}_{2e} + (\text{NMHC}_e + \text{CH}_{4e} + \text{CO}_e) \times 10^{-4}
\]

\[
\text{DF} = \frac{13.47}{1.19\% + (33.97 \text{ ppmC} + 7.53 \text{ ppmC} + 142.0 \text{ ppmC}) \times 10^{-4}}
\]

\[
\text{DF} = 11.15
\]

\[
\text{NMHC}_{\text{em}} = \text{NMHC}_e - \text{NMHC}_d \times [1 - (1 / \text{DF})]
\]

Date of Release: 2/13/96; second 15-day changes
Board Hearing: 9/28/95
\[= 33.97 \text{ ppmC} - 3.12 \text{ ppmC} \times [1 - (1/11.15)] \]
\[= 31.13 \text{ ppmC} \]

\[\text{NMHC}_{\text{mass}} = \text{NMHC}_{\text{conc}} \times \text{NMHC}_{\text{dust}} \times \text{VMIX} \times 10^6 \]
\[= 31.13 \text{ ppmC} \times 16.33 \text{ g/ft}^3 \times 2846 \text{ ft}^3 \times 10^6 \]

\[\text{NMHC}_{\text{mass}} = 1.45 \text{ g} \]

Similarly, for Phase 2:
\[\text{NMHC}_{\text{mass}} = 0.33 \text{ g} \]
and for Phase 3:
\[\text{NMHC}_{\text{mass}} = 0.27 \text{ g} \]

Therefore,
\[\text{NMHC}_{\text{wn}} = 0.43 \times \frac{\text{NMHC}_{\text{mass}}}{D_{\text{phase}}} + 0.57 \times \frac{\text{NMHC}_{\text{mass}}}{D_{\text{phase}}} \]
\[= 0.43 \times \frac{1.45 \text{ g} + 0.33 \text{ g}}{3.583 \text{ mile} + 3.848 \text{ mile}} + 0.57 \times \frac{0.27 \text{ g} + 0.33 \text{ g}}{3.586 \text{ mile} + 3.848 \text{ mile}} \]

\[\text{NMHC}_{\text{wn}} = 0.15 \text{ g/mile} \]

7.2 Given the following data for a vehicle operating on 85% methanol and 15% gasoline (M85), calculate the weighted NMHC mass emission.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>FID THC_a (ppmC)</th>
<th>FID THC_d (ppmC)</th>
<th>CH_4e (ppmC)</th>
<th>CH_4d (ppmC)</th>
<th>CH_3OH_a (ppmC)</th>
<th>CO_em (ppmC)</th>
<th>CO_a (%)</th>
<th>VMIX (ft^3)</th>
<th>D_phase (mile)</th>
<th>R_a (%)</th>
<th>HCHO_a (ppmC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>303.2</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2832</td>
<td>3.570</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>4827</td>
<td>3.850</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>2825</td>
<td>3.586</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[For this example, CH_3OH_d was assumed to be 0.0 ppmC for all three background bag samples.]

For Phase 1:
\[\text{NMHC}_a = \text{FID THC}_a - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4_e) - (r_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}} \times \text{CH}_3\text{OH}_a) \]
\[= 88.5 \text{ ppmC} - (1.04 \times 17.76 \text{ ppmC}) - (0.66 \times 72.9 \text{ ppmC}) \]
\[= 21.92 \text{ ppmC} \]

\[\text{NMHC}_d = \text{FID THC}_d - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4_d) - (r_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}} \times \text{CH}_3\text{OH}_d) \]
\[= 5.5 \text{ ppmC} - (1.04 \times 2.82 \text{ ppmC}) - (0.66 \times 0.0 \text{ ppmC}) \]
\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_e &= (1 - 0.02705 \times \text{CO}_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_3) \times \text{CO}_{en} \\
\text{CO}_e &= (1 - 0.02705 \times 1.28\% - 0.000323 \times 32\%) \times 303.2 \text{ ppm} \\
\text{CO}_e &= 289.6 \text{ ppm} \\
\text{DF} &= 12.02 / [\text{CO}_{2e} + (\text{NMHC}_e + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_e + \text{CH}_3\text{OH}_e + \text{HCHO}_e) \times 10^4] \\
\text{DF} &= 12.02 / [21.92 \text{ ppmC} + 17.76 \text{ ppmC} + 289.6 \text{ ppmC} + 72.9 \text{ ppmC} + 0.96 \text{ ppmC}] \times 10^4 \\
\text{DF} &= 9.10 \\
\text{NMHC}_{conc} &= \text{NMHC}_e - \text{NMHC}_d \times \left[1 - \left(1 / \text{DF}\right)\right] \\
\text{NMHC}_{conc} &= 21.92 \text{ ppmC} - 2.57 \text{ ppmC} \times \left[1 - \left(1 / 9.10\right)\right] \\
\text{NMHC}_{conc} &= 19.63 \text{ ppmC} \\
\text{NMHC}_{mass} &= \text{NMHC}_{conc} \times \text{NMHC}_{dust} \times \text{VMIX} \times 10^{-6} \\
\text{NMHC}_{mass} &= 0.91 \text{ g} \\
\text{Similarly, Phase 2:} \quad \text{NMHC}_{mass_2} &= 0.0 \text{ g} \\
\text{and for Phase 3:} \quad \text{NMHC}_{mass_3} &= 0.10 \text{ g} \\
\text{Therefore,} \quad \text{NMHC}_{\text{wem}} &= 0.43 \times \frac{\text{NMHC}_{mass_1} + \text{NMHC}_{mass_2}}{D_{phase_1} + D_{phase_2}} + 0.57 \times \frac{\text{NMHC}_{mass_3} + \text{NMHC}_{mass_2}}{D_{phase_3} + D_{phase_2}} \\
\text{NMHC}_{\text{wem}} &= 0.06 \text{ g/mile} \\
\end{align*}
\]

8. **DEFINITIONS**

\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_e — the methanol concentration in the dilution air as determined from the dilution air methanol sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1001, ppmC.

\text{CH}_3\text{OH}_e — the methanol concentration in the dilute exhaust as determined from the dilute exhaust methanol sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1001, ppmC.
\[ \text{CH}_4 = \text{the methane concentration in the dilution air, ppmC.} \]

\[ \text{CH}_{10} = \text{the methane concentration in the dilute exhaust, ppmC.} \]

\[ \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}_e = \text{the ethanol concentration in the dilution air as determined from the dilution air ethanol sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1001, ppmC.} \]

\[ \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}_e = \text{the ethanol concentration in the dilute exhaust as determined from the dilute exhaust ethanol sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1001, ppmC.} \]

\[ \text{CO}_e = \text{the carbon monoxide concentration in the dilute exhaust corrected for carbon dioxide and water removal, ppm.} \]

\[ \text{CO}_{\text{unc}} = \text{the carbon monoxide concentration in the dilute exhaust uncorrected for carbon dioxide and water removal, ppm.} \]

\[ \text{CO}_{20} = \text{the carbon dioxide concentration in the dilute exhaust, \%}. \]

\[ D_{\text{phase-n}} = \text{the distance driven by the test vehicle on a chassis dynamometer during test phase n (where n is either 1, 2, or 3), mile.} \]

\[ DF = \text{dilution factor.} \]

\[ \text{FID-THC}_e = \text{the total hydrocarbon concentration including methane and methanol (for methanol-fueled engines) or ethanol (for ethanol-fueled engines) in the dilution air as measured by the FID, ppmC.} \]

\[ \text{FID-THC}_e = \text{the total hydrocarbon concentration including methane and methanol (for methanol-fueled engines) or ethanol (for ethanol-fueled engines) in the dilute exhaust as measured by the FID, ppmC.} \]

\[ \text{HCHO}_e = \text{the formaldehyde concentration in the dilute exhaust as determined from the dilute exhaust carbonyl sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1004, ppm.} \]

\[ \text{HCR} = \text{the hydrogen-to-carbon ratio for the fuel used.} \]

\[ \text{NMHC}_{\text{unc}} = \text{the non-methane hydrocarbon concentration in the dilute exhaust corrected for background, ppmC.} \]

\[ \text{NMHC}_4 = \text{the non-methane hydrocarbon concentration in the dilution air corrected for methane and alcohol removal, ppmC.} \]

\[ \text{NMHC}_{\text{dms}} = \text{the mass per unit volume of non-methane hydrocarbon corrected to standard conditions (16.33 g/ft}^3 \text{ at 293.16}\,^\circ\text{K and 760 mm Hg assuming a C:H ratio of 1:1.85 and 17.28 g/ft}^3 \text{ for LPG at 293.16}\,^\circ\text{K and 760 mm Hg), g/ft}^3 \text{.} \]
NMHC<sub>e</sub> = the non-methane-hydrocarbon concentration in the dilute exhaust corrected for methane and alcohol removal, ppmC.

NMHC<sub>mass</sub>_n = the mass-emission of non-methane-hydrocarbon per test phase n (where n is either 1, 2, or 3), g.

NMHC<sub>total</sub> = the total-weighted mass of non-methane-hydrocarbon per mile for all three phases of the FTP, g/mile.

R<sub>e</sub> = the relative humidity of the ambient air, percent.

reff<sub>meth</sub> = the FID-response factor to methanol (see CFR 40, 86.121-90(e)).

reff<sub>meth</sub> = the FID-response factor to methane (see section 4).

reff<sub>eth</sub> = the FID-response factor to ethanol (same procedure for methanol-response factor, see CFR 40, 86.121-90(e)).

VMIX = the total dilute exhaust volume measured per test phase and corrected to standard conditions (293.16° K and 760 mm Hg), ft<sup>3</sup>.
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Part C

DETERMINATION OF ALCOHOLS
IN AUTOMOTIVE SOURCE SAMPLES
BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

METHOD NO. 1001

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This document describes a method of sampling and analyzing automotive exhaust for alcohols in the range of 8 to 1200 micrograms µg per 15 milliliters (mL) of solution. The "target" alcohols which shall be analyzed and reported by this method are methanol and ethanol. These alcohols, when present in concentrations above the LOD, shall be reported.

1.2 This procedure is based on a method developed by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) [Ref 9.1-6] which involves flowing diluted engine exhaust through deionized or purified water contained in glass impingers and analyzing this solution by gas chromatography (GC).

1.3 All definitions and abbreviations are contained in Appendix 2 of these test procedures.

2. METHOD SUMMARY

2.1 The samples are received by the laboratory in impingers. Compound separation and analysis are performed using a GC. The sample is injected into the GC by means of a liquid autosampler. Separation of the sample mixture into its components constituents is performed by using a temperature-programmed capillary column operated with a temperature gradient. A flame-ionization detector (FID) is used for alcohol detection and quantification.

2.2 The computerized GC data system identifies the alcohol associated with each of the peaks. The alcohol concentrations are determined by integrating the peak areas and using response factors determined with from external standards.

3. INTERFERENCES AND LIMITATIONS

3.1 An interference interferent is any component present in the sample with a retention time similar to that of any the target alcohols described in this method. To reduce interference error, proof of chemical identity may require performance of periodic
confirmations using an alternate method and/or instrumentation, e.g., gas chromatograph/mass-spectrometer (GC/MS).

3.2 The concentration of the alcohols in the range of interest is stable for up to six days as long as the samples are sealed and refrigerated at a temperature below 40°F.

4. INSTRUMENTATION AND APPARATUS

4.1 For each mode of the CVS test, two sampling impingers, each containing a known amount of deionized or purified water (e.g., 15 mL for this procedure), are used to contain the sample.

4.1.1 A temperature-programmable GC, equipped with a DB-Wax Megabore column (30 meter (m), 0.53 millimeter (mm) ID, 1.0 micron (µ) film thickness) and FID is used. Other columns may be used, provided the alternate(s) can be demonstrated to be equivalent or better with respect to precision, accuracy and resolution of all the target alcohols.

4.1.2 A liquid autosampler is required.

4.1.3 A PC-controlled data acquisition system for quantitation quantifying of peak areas is required.

5. REAGENTS AND MATERIALS

5.1 Methanol shall have a purity of 99.9 percent, or be high performance liquid chromatography grade, EM Science or equivalent.

5.2 Ethanol shall be absolute, ACS reagent grade.

5.3 American Standards for Testing Materials ASTM Type I purified or Type II deionized water shall be used.

5.4 A stock solution is prepared gravimetrically or volumetrically by diluting methanol and ethanol with deionized or purified water, e.g., for this method the stock solution contains is approximately 1 µg/mL, 10 mg/mL percent by volume of each target alcohol.

5.4.1 A calibration standard within at the expected concentration range of the samples is prepared by successive dilutions of the stock solution with deionized or purified water, e.g., 50 parts-per-million (ppm) µg/mL volume-to-volume (v/v) is typical.

5.4.2 A control standard containing all target alcohols is prepared by successive dilutions of a stock solution different from that of Section 5.4.1. This standard, at an approximate concentration of the samples, is used to monitor the precision of the analysis of update control-charts for each target alcohol.

5.4.3 All standards should be refrigerated at less-than a temperature below 40°F during storage.
5.5 Gas requirements.
5.5.1 Air shall be "Zero" grade. "Ultra-zero" grade may be required to achieve the LOD required by Section 8.8.
5.5.2 Nitrogen shall have a minimum purity of 99.998 percent.
5.5.3 Helium shall have a minimum purity of 99.995 percent.
5.5.4 Hydrogen shall have a minimum purity of 99.995 percent.

6. PROCEDURE

6.1 Each of the graduated fritted sampling impingers is filled with 15 mL of deionized or purified water.

6.2 The impingers are placed in an ice bath during the sample collection.

6.3 After sampling, the impingers are allowed to warm to room temperature and the solution contained in each impinger is transferred to a vial and sealed.
6.3.1 Samples should be refrigerated (at a temperature below 40°F or lower) if immediate analysis is not feasible, or if reanalysis at a later date may be required.

6.4 One microliter aliquots of unmodified samples are injected via autosampler into a GC.
Suggested standard operating conditions for the GC are:
Column: DB-wax, 30 m, 0.53 mm ID, 1.0μ film thickness
Carrier gas flow: Helium at 5 milliliters per minute (mL/min)
Make-up gas flow: Nitrogen at 25 mL/min
Detector: FID, Hydrogen at 30 mL/min and Air at 300 mL/min
Injector: Packed column injector with Megabore adapter insert; on-column injection
Column temperature: 50°C (1 min), 50°C to 70°C (5°C/ min), 70°C to 110°C (15°C/ min), 110°C (4 min)
Data system: PC-based data acquisition system

6.4.1 One calibration standard, one control standard, and one deionized or purified water blank are analyzed daily at the beginning of each set of samples.
6.4.2 A replicate analysis is performed at least once per 24 hour period.
6.4.3 The control standard is analyzed performed at least once per 24 hour period.
6.4.4 For Samples containing compounds having concentrations above the documented range of instrument linearity—the sample must be diluted and reanalyzed.
6.4.5 The peak integrations are corrected as necessary in the data system. Any misplaced baseline segments are corrected in the reconstructed chromatogram.
6.4.6 The peak identifications provided by the computer are checked and corrected if necessary.
6.4.7 The target alcohol peaks at or above the maximum allowable limit of detection (LOD) are reported (Section 8.8). At the laboratory’s discretion, peaks at or
above the LOD calculated in section 8.8 may be reported. The calculated LOD must be lower than the maximum allowable LOD.

7. CALCULATIONS

7.1 The concentration of each target alcohol, in \( \mu g/mL \), is determined by the following calculation that compares the sample peak area with that of an external standard:

\[
\text{Concentration (\( \mu g/mL \))_{sample}} = \text{Peak Area}_{sample} \times \text{Response Factor}
\]

where the response factor (RF) is calculated during the calibration by:

\[
RF = \frac{\text{Concentration}_{standard (\( \mu g/mL \))}}{\text{Peak Area}_{standard}}
\]

7.2 This concentration is then used to calculate the total amount of alcohol in each impinger:

\[
\text{Mass (\( \mu g \))} = \text{Concentration (\( \mu g/mL \))} \times \text{Impinger volume (mL)}
\]

7.3 An internal standard method may also be used.

8. QUALITY CONTROL

8.1 Calibration and control standards are prepared at least every six months and analyzed daily.

8.2 Blank Run. A deionized or purified water blank run is performed before running the calibration standard. All target alcohol concentrations from the blank analysis must be below the LOD before the analysis may proceed.

8.3 Calibration Run. One run of the calibration standard is performed daily to generate the response calibration factor needed for quantitating quantifying sample analyses.

8.4 Control Standard Run. One run of the quality control standard is performed after the calibration run. Measurements of all target alcohols in the control standard must fall within the control limits before sample analysis may proceed. To meet this requirement, it may be necessary to inspect and repair the GC, and rerun the calibration and/or control standards.

8.5 Control Charts. A qQuality control chart(s) is are maintained for each analyte in of the control standard sample. The control charts, used on a daily basis, establishes that the method is "in statistical control". The following describes how to construct a typical control chart:
1. Obtain at least 20 daily control standard sample results;
2. Calculate the average control standard sample mean concentration and standard deviation(s) for the target analyte(s); and
3. Create a control chart for the target analyte(s) by placing the concentration on the Y-axis and the date on the X-axis. Establish Draw an upper warning limit and a lower warning limit at two standard deviations (2s) above and below the average concentration. Establish Draw an upper control limit and a lower control limit at three standard deviations (3s) above and below the average concentration.

The control sample must be “in control” for the measured concentrations of all target analytes contained in the control standard must be within the control limits (“in-control”) for the sample results to be considered acceptable. A control standard sample measurement is considered to be “out-of-control” when the analyzed value exceeds the 3s limit, or two successive control standard sample measurements of the same analyte exceed the 2s limit.

8.6 Duplicates. A duplicate analysis of one sample is performed at least once a day. The relative percent difference (RPD) is calculated for each duplicate run:

\[
\text{RPD(\%)} = \frac{\text{Difference between duplicate and original measurements}}{\text{Average of duplicate and original measurements}} \times 100
\]

For each compound, the allowable RPD depends on the average concentration level for the duplicate runs, as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Measurement for Duplicate Runs</th>
<th>Allowable RPD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 10 times LOD</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 20 &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 50 &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 50 &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the results of the duplicate analyses do not meet these criteria for all target alcohols, the sample must be reanalyzed. If the criteria are still not met, all sample results for the day from this instrument must be deleted and the samples reanalyzed.

8.7 Linearity. A multipoint calibration to confirm check-for instrument linearity is performed for all target alcohols for new instruments, after making instrument modifications which can affect linearity, and at least once every year six months. The multipoint calibration consists of at least five concentration or mass loading levels, each above the LOD, evenly distributed over the range of expected sample concentration linearity of the instrument. Each concentration level is measured at least twice. A linear regression analysis is performed using concentration and area counts to determine the regression correlation coefficient (r). The r must be greater than 0.995 to be considered sufficiently linear for one point calibrations.
8.8 Limit of Detection. The LOD limit of detection for the target alcohols must be determined for new instruments, after making instrument modifications which can affect the LOD and at least once every year. Every six months. To make the calculations, it is necessary to perform a multipoint calibration consisting of at least four "low" concentration levels each above the expected LOD. A linear regression is performed on the data. The LOD must be calculated using the following equation [Ref. 7] (Ref. 9.2):

\[ \text{LOD} = \frac{|b| + (t \times s)}{m} \]

where each term in the equation is expressed in concentration units, and \(|\Delta|\) is the absolute value of the least-squares X intercept calculated from the multipoint data. \(|b|\) is the absolute value of the y-intercept, \(m\) is the slope of the linear regression, \(s\) is the standard deviation of at least five replicate determinations of the lowest concentration standard, and \(t\) is the t-factor for 99 percent confidence for a one-sided normal (Gaussian) distribution. The number of degrees of freedom is equal to the number of replicates, minus one. An abbreviated t-table is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At least three replicates are required. The lowest standard must be of a concentration of at one to five times the estimated LOD detection limit. If data is not available in the concentration range near the detection limit, \(s\) may be estimated by:

\[ s = \text{RSD} \times |\Delta| \]

RSD is the relative standard deviation of the lowest standard analyzed.

An example of typical LODs is given in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS-Nos.</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>LOD (ug/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00067-56-1</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00064-17-5</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.8.1 The maximum allowable LOD for each alcohol is 0.50 \(\mu g/mL\). The calculated laboratory LOD must be equal to or lower than the maximum allowable LOD. All peaks identified as target compounds that are equal to or exceed the maximum allowable LOD must be reported. If the calculated laboratory LOD is less than the maximum allowable LOD, the laboratory may choose to set its reporting limit at either the maximum allowable LOD or the calculated laboratory LOD.
8.8.2. For the purpose of calculating the total mass of all species, the concentrations of
the compounds below the LOD are considered to be zero.

9. REFERENCES
9.1 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Characterization of Exhaust Emissions from
Methanol and Gasoline-Fueled Automobiles, EPA 460/3-82-004.
9.2 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Compendium of Methods for the
Determination of Toxic Organic Compounds in Ambient Air, (Method T03-15)
Part D

DETERMINATION OF C₂ TO C₅ HYDROCARBONS
IN AUTOMOTIVE SOURCE SAMPLES BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

METHOD NO. 1002

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This document describes a method of analyzing, by gas chromatography, C₂ to C₅ hydrocarbons (light-end hydrocarbons) in the range of parts-per-billion carbon (ppbC) from automotive source samples. This method does not include sample collection procedures (Ref. 81 (Ref. 9-1)). The "target" hydrocarbons which shall be analyzed and reported by this method and Method 1003 are listed in Attachment Appendix 1. All compounds on this list, when present in concentrations above the LOD, shall be measured and reported ("targeted") by either Method 1002 or Method 1003. Each laboratory should divide the list into light-end (Method 1002) and mid-range (Method 1003) hydrocarbons in the manner which best suits the laboratory instrumentation. All compounds on the list not targeted by Method 1002 must be targeted by Method 1003. More compounds may be measured than those on the target list.

1.2 All definitions and abbreviations are contained in Appendix 2 of these test procedures.

2. METHOD SUMMARY

2.1 This is a rapid method intended for routine analysis.

2.2 The samples are received by the laboratory in Tedlar bags, which are sub-sampled into a gas chromatograph (GC) for separation and analyses analysis.

2.3 The gas chromatographic analysis is performed on a packed column operated isothermally at 35°C, or an Alumina (Al₂O₃) Porous Layer Open Tubular (PLOT) column temperature programmed from 0°C to 200°C. An flame-ionization detector (FID) is used for detection and quantification.

2.4 The sample is injected into the GC by means of gas sampling valves. Separation of the sample hydrocarbon mixture into its components constitutes takes place in the chromatographic column. The chromatographic column and the corresponding operating parameters described in this method normally provide complete resolution of most target compounds.

2.5 The computerized GC data acquisition system identifies the hydrocarbons associated with each of the peaks. The hydrocarbon concentrations are determined by integrating the peak areas and using response calibration factors determined from with NIST-traceable standards.
3. INTERFERENCES AND LIMITATIONS

3.1 An interference interferent is any component present in the sample with a retention time very similar to that of any target hydrocarbons described in this method. To reduce interference error, proof of chemical identity may require performance of periodic confirmations using an alternate method and/or instrumentation, e.g., gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC/MS), photoionization detector (PID), different column, etc.

3.2 To maximize sample integrity, sample bags should not leak or be exposed to bright light or excessive heat. Sampling bags must be shielded from direct sunlight to avoid reactions occurring due to reactive hydrocarbons. The compound 1,3-butadiene, most of which is in CVS bag no. 1, is unstable. Therefore all CVS bag no. 1 samples must be analyzed within 8 hours; CVS bag no. 2, CVS bag no. 3, and background samples must be analyzed within 24 hours, although analysis within 8 hours is recommended.

4. INSTRUMENTS AND APPARATUS

4.1 Tedlar bags, 2 mil in thickness, nominally 5 to 10 liters in capacity and equipped with quick-connect fittings, are used to contain the samples.

4.2 For manual sub-sampling into a GC, a ground glass syringe is used to transfer gaseous samples from Tedlar bags to the GC sample inlet. For automated systems, a sample loop is used to transfer gaseous samples from the Tedlar bag to the sample inlet of the GC. Sample aliquot size is chosen based on considerations of instrument sensitivity and/or linearity.

4.3 A temperature-programmable GC equipped with a gas sampling valve system, a FID, and accessories is required.

4.4 A stainless steel column [6 feet (ft) x 1/8 inch (in)] packed with phenylisocyanate Durapak 80/100 mesh is used. An Al Alumina PLOT column (50 -60 m x 0.32 mm) may also be used, has been shown to be equivalent. A wax precolumn is recommended to prevent water damage to the PLOT column. Other columns may be used, provided the alternate(s) can be demonstrated to be equivalent or better with respect to precision, accuracy and resolution of all target hydrocarbons.

4.5 A sample trap capable of being cryogenically cooled may be used.

4.56 An electronic integrator for quantitation of peak areas is required. If the data acquisition system cannot record the chromatogram, an analog recorder is also required.

5. REAGENTS AND MATERIALS

5.1 Helium shall have a minimum purity of 99.995 percent. Higher purity helium may be required to achieve the LOD required by Section 8.7.1.

5.2 Hydrogen shall have a minimum purity of 99.995 percent.
5.3 Air shall be "Zero" grade. "Ultra-zero" grade may be required to achieve the LOD required by Section 8.7.1.

5.4 Nitrogen shall have a minimum purity of 99.998 percent.

5.5 **Calibration Standard** - The quantitative calibration standard for all target hydrocarbons shall be propane at a concentration level between 0.25 and 1 ppm-mole and within the calculated linearity of the method (see section 8.6). This propane standard must be traceable to a NIST-certified SRM to a Standard Reference Material (SRM) certified for propane by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). NIST-traceable means that the standard has been compared with not more than one intermediate standard to a NIST-certified SRM. A comparison between a SRM and a candidate standard will yield a secondary NIST traceable standard, while a comparison between a secondary NIST traceable standard and a candidate standard will yield a tertiary NIST traceable standard. A NIST SRM propane standard, secondary NIST traceable propane standard, or tertiary NIST traceable propane standard is required for calibration of Method 1002 or 1003.

The minimum requirements for the manufacture and use of a secondary or tertiary NIST traceable propane standard shall be the following: 1) the standard must be packaged in aluminum cylinders which have been precleaned and passivated, 2) a gas chromatograph shall be used to compare the candidate cylinders with the NIST-traceable standard using either direct or interpolation comparison. (In the direct comparison, the analyte concentration for the candidate standard may not differ more than 10 percent from the analyte concentration of the NIST-traceable standard; while in the interpolation comparison, the analyte concentration of a candidate standard is bracketed between the analyte concentrations of the NIST-SRM standards.), 3) analytes and balance gases in secondary candidate cylinders must be the same composition as the NIST-SRM propane standards used in an interpolation comparison procedure, 4) hydrocarbon analytes (except propane) and balance gases may differ in secondary candidate cylinders from the NIST-SRM propane standard used in a direct comparison procedure if there are no interferences with the propane measurement or stability, 5) comparison between a secondary and tertiary standard must be direct, 6) all candidate cylinders must be analyzed a minimum of 4 times and stated uncertainties must be determined for all secondary and tertiary standard analytes, and 7) gas in the cylinder cannot be used when the pressure of the cylinder falls below 300 pounds per square inch.

It is recommended that either the laboratory or standard supplier(s) for the laboratory certify the secondary standards through the NIST Traceable Reference Material program or obtain Research Gas Material/Mixtures of propane standards from NIST for use as secondary standards.

5.6 **Control Standard** - A quality control standard, containing at least ethene, propane, n-butane, and 2-methylpropene with a concentration between 0.2 and 1 parts per million carbon (ppmC) based on a propane standard, is used for the following quality control purposes:

1. Daily update of control charts, and
2. Daily determination of marker retention time windows.
6.4 Introduce the sample into the carrier gas stream through the injection valve.

6.4 For automated systems, connect the samples to the GC and begin the analytical process.

6.5 Each separated component exits from the column into the FID where a response is generated.

6.6 Concentrations of hydrocarbons are calculated by an electronic integrator device, which has been calibrated using a NIST-traceable propane calibration standard.

6.7 For compounds having concentrations above the documented range of instrument linearity, a smaller aliquot must be taken (for manual systems, a smaller syringe or smaller loop; for automated systems, a smaller loop).

6.8 The peak integrations are corrected as necessary in the data system. Any misplaced baseline segments are corrected in the reconstructed chromatogram.

6.9 The peak identifications provided by the computer are checked and corrected if necessary.

6.10 All the peaks area of identified as target compounds (Appendix 1) at or above the maximum allowable LOD are reported (Section 8.7). At the laboratory's discretion, peaks at or above the LOD calculated in section 8.7 may be reported. The calculated LOD must be lower than the maximum allowable LOD.

6.11 Target compounds which coelute are reported as the major component, as determined by the analysis of several samples by GC/MS or other methods. An exception to this is m- and p-xylene, where GC/MS data and fuel profiles are used to determine the relative contribution of each component to the peak. This method was used to determine the m- and p-xylene MIR value given in Appendix 1.

6.11 The maximum retention time in this analysis is typically about 15-30 mins.

6.12 After each run, the packed column is back-flushed with helium while the oven temperature is raised and maintained at 60°C for 15 mins, or as required to flush the column.

6.13 The Ai Alumina PLOT column is programmed to 200°C to assure all compounds are eluted before the next run.

6.14 Before the next run, sufficient time (typically 15 mins) is allowed after back-flush of the packed column to re-establish the required temperature of the column.

6.14 The total run time is typically about 45-60 mins.

7. CALCULATIONS
7.1 The target hydrocarbon concentrations, in ppbC, are calculated by the data system using propane as an external standard.

\[ \text{Concentration}_{\text{sample}} \text{ (ppbC)} = \text{Peak Area}_{\text{sample}} \times \text{Response Factor} \]

where the response factor (RF) is calculated during daily calibration by:

\[ \text{RF} = \frac{\text{Concentration of NIST-traceable propane standard, ppbC}}{\text{Area of propane peak}} \]

8. QUALITY CONTROL

8.1 **Blank Run.** A blank (pure nitrogen or helium) is run once daily before running the calibration standard, control standard, and samples. All target hydrocarbon concentrations from the blank analysis must be below the LOD before the analysis may proceed. As an alternative to a daily blank run, a daily partial blank check in tandem with a weekly blank run may be used. A partial blank check is where the calibration standard, consisting of only propane and make-up gas (all organic compounds except methane and propane are below 2 percent of the propane standard concentration), is run daily and is checked for contamination except in the propane region of the chromatograph. The weekly blank run will provide a check on contamination in the propane region of the chromatograph.

8.2 **Calibration Run.** One run of the calibration standard is performed per day to generate the response calibration factor needed for quantifying sample analyses.

8.3 **Control Standard Run.** One run of the quality control standard is performed daily. Measurements of all compounds in the control standard must fall within the control limits before sample analysis may proceed. To meet this requirement, it may be necessary to inspect and repair the GC, and rerun the calibration and/or control standards.

8.4 **Control Charts.** A quality control chart(s) are is maintained for each component of the control standard sample. The control charts, used on a daily basis, establishes that the method is "in-" statistical control." The following describes how to construct a typical control chart:

1. Obtain at least 20 daily control standard sample results;
2. Calculate the average control standard sample mean concentration and standard deviation(s) for the each target hydrocarbon; and
3. Create a control chart for the each target hydrocarbon by placing the concentration on the Y-axis and the date on the X-axis. Establish an upper warning limit and a lower warning limit at two standard deviations (2s) above and below the average concentration. Establish an upper control limit and a lower control limit at three standard deviations (3s) above and below the average concentration.
The control sample must be "in control" for the measured concentrations of all target hydrocarbons contained in the control standard sample must be within the control limits ("in-control") for the sample results to be considered acceptable. A control standard sample measurement is considered to be "out-of-control" when the analyzed value of the sample measurement exceeds the 3σ limit, or two successive control standard sample measurements of the same analyte exceed the 2σ limit.

8.5 Duplicates. A duplicate analysis of one sample is performed at least once a day. The relative percent difference (RPD) is calculated for each duplicate run:

\[
\text{RPD (\%)} = \frac{\text{Difference between duplicate and original measurements}}{\text{Average of duplicate and original measurements}} \times 100
\]

For each compound in the control standard, the allowable RPD depends on the average concentration level for the duplicate runs, as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Measurement for the Duplicate Runs</th>
<th>Allowable RPD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 10 times LOD</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 20 &quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 50 &quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 50 &quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the results of the duplicate analyses do not meet these criteria for all target hydrocarbons in the control standard, the sample must be reanalyzed. If the criteria are still not met, all sample results for the day from this instrument must be deleted and the samples reanalyzed.

8.6 Linearity. A multipoint calibration to confirm correct instrument linearity is performed for the target hydrocarbons in the control standard for new instruments, after making instrument modifications which can affect linearity, and at least once every year six months unless a daily check of the instrument response indicates that the linearity has not changed. To monitor the instrument response, a quality control chart is constructed, as specified in section 8.4, except using calibration standard area counts rather than control standard concentrations. When the standard area counts are out-of-control, corrective action(s) must be taken before analysis may proceed. The multipoint calibration consists of at least five concentration or mass loading levels (using smaller or larger volume sample sizes of existing standards is acceptable), each above the LOD, evenly distributed over the range of expected sample concentration linearity of the instrument. Each concentration level is measured at least twice. A linear regression analysis is performed using concentration and average area counts to determine the regression correlation coefficient (r). The r must be greater than 0.995 to be considered sufficiently linear for one-point calibrations.

8.7 Limit of Detection. The limit of detection LOD for the target hydrocarbons in the control standard must be determined must be determined at least once every year six months. unless a daily check of the instrument response indicates that the LOD has not changed. To monitor
the instrument response, a quality control chart is constructed, as specified in section 8.4, except using calibration standard area counts rather than control standard concentrations. When the standard area counts are out-of-control, corrective action(s) must be taken before analysis may proceed. To make the necessary calculations, it is necessary to perform a multipoint calibration consisting of at least four “low” concentration levels, each above the LOD. The LOD must be calculated using the following equation [Ref. 9] (Ref. 9.2):

\[
\text{LOD} = \frac{|b| + (t \times s)}{m}
\]

\[
\text{LOD} = \left| \Delta \right| + 3.3(s)
\]

where each term in the equation is expressed in concentration units, and \( |\Delta| \) is the absolute value of the least-squares \( X \)-intercept calculated from the multipoint data. \( S \) [b] is the absolute value of the \( y \)-intercept, \( m \) is the slope of the linear regression, \( s \) is the standard deviation of at least five replicate determinations of the lowest concentration standard, and \( t \) is the \( t \)-factor for 99 percent confidence for a one-sided normal (Gaussian) distribution. The number of degrees of freedom is equal to the number of replicates, minus one. An abbreviated \( t \)-table is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At least three replicates are required. The lowest standard must be of a concentration of at one to five times the estimated LOD detection limit. If data is not available in the concentration range near the detection limit, \( S \) may be estimated by:

\[
S = \text{RSD} \times \left| \Delta \right|
\]

RSD is the relative standard deviation of the lowest standard analyzed.

8.7.1. The maximum allowable LOD for each compound is 20 ppbC propane. The calculated laboratory LOD must be equal to or lower than the maximum allowable LOD. All peaks identified as target compounds that are equal to or exceed the maximum allowable LOD must be reported. If the calculated laboratory LOD is less than the maximum allowable LOD, the laboratory may choose to set its reporting limit at either the maximum allowable LOD or the calculated laboratory LOD.

8.7.2. For the purposes of calculating the total mass (ppbC) of all species, the concentrations of all compounds below the LOD are considered to be zero.

8.8 Method No. 1002/Method No. 1003 Crossover Check. For each sample, a compound shall be measured by both Method No. 1002 and Method No. 1003. The crossover compound shall be a compound that can reasonably be expected to be found and measured by both methods in the
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DETERMINATION OF C₆ TO C₁₂ HYDROCARBONS
IN AUTOMOTIVE SOURCE SAMPLES BY GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY

METHOD NO. 1003

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This document describes a method of analyzing, by gas chromatography, C₆ to C₁₂ hydrocarbons (mid-range hydrocarbons) in the range of parts per billion carbon (ppbC) from automotive source samples. This method does not include sample collection procedures [Ref. 7] (Ref 9.1). The target hydrocarbons which shall be analyzed and reported by this method and Method 1002 are listed in attachment Appendix 1. All compounds on this list, when present in concentrations above the LOD, shall be measured and reported (“targeted”) by either Method 1002 or Method 1003. Each laboratory should divide the list into light-end (Method 1002) and mid-range (Method 1003) hydrocarbons in the manner which best suits the laboratory instrumentation. All compounds on the list not targeted by Method 1003 must be targeted by Method 1002. More compounds may be measured than those on the target list.

1.2 All definitions and abbreviations are contained in Appendix 2 of these test procedures.

2. METHOD SUMMARY

2.1 This is a rapid method intended for routine analysis.

2.2 The samples are received by the laboratory in Tedlar bags, which are sub-sampled into a gas chromatograph (GC) for separation and analysis analyses.

2.3 The gas chromatographic analysis is performed through a temperature-programmed capillary column. operated using gradient temperature. A flame ionization detector (FID) is used for detection. A photoionization detector (PID) may be used to help with compound identification.

2.4 The sample is injected into the GC by means of gas sampling valves. Separation of the sample hydrocarbon mixture into its components constituents takes place in the chromatographic column. The chromatographic column and the corresponding operating parameters described in this method normally provide complete resolution of most target hydrocarbons.

2.5 The computerized GC data acquisition system identifies the hydrocarbons associated with each of the peaks. The hydrocarbon concentrations are determined by integrating the peak areas and using a response calibration factor determined from with NIST-traceable standards.
3. INTERFERENCES AND LIMITATIONS

3.1 An interference is any component present in the sample with a retention time similar to that of the target hydrocarbons described in this method. To reduce interference error, proof of chemical identity may require performance of periodic confirmations using an alternate method and/or instrumentation, e.g., gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer (GC/MS), photoionization detector (PID), different column, etc.

3.2 The concentration of hydrocarbons in the range of interest is stable for at least 24 hours in the Tedlar sampling bags, provided the sample bags do not leak and are not exposed to bright light or excessive heat. Sampling bags must be shielded from direct sunlight to avoid losses from reaction of the reactive hydrocarbons. Samples must be analyzed within 24 hours.

4. INSTRUMENTATION AND APPARATUS

4.1 Tedlar bags, 2 mil in thickness, nominally 5 to 10 liters in capacity and equipped with quick-connect fittings, are used to contain the samples.

4.2 For manual sub-sampling into a GC, a ground glass syringe is used to transfer gaseous samples from Tedlar bags to the GC sample inlet. For automated systems, a sample loop is used to transfer gaseous samples from the Tedlar bag to the sample inlet of the GC. Sample aliquot size is chosen based on considerations of instrument sensitivity and/or linearity.

4.3 The GC is equipped with a FID, a-PID, and a gas sampling valve system.

4.4 A non-polar capillary column [e.g., J&W DB-1, 60 m x 0.32 mm ID, film thickness 1.0 micron μ] is used. Other columns may be used, provided the alternate(s) can be demonstrated to be equivalent or better with respect to precision, accuracy and resolution of all the target hydrocarbons.

4.5 A sample trap capable of being cryogenically cooled may be used.

4.6 A computer-controlled data acquisition system is required for the quantifying quantitation of peak areas.

5. REAGENTS AND MATERIALS

5.1 Helium shall have a minimum purity of 99.995 percent. Higher purity helium may be required to achieve the LOD required by Section 8.7.1.

5.2 Hydrogen shall have a minimum purity of 99.995 percent.

5.3 Air shall be "Zero" grade. "Ultra-zero" grade may be required to achieve the LOD required by Section 8.7.1.
5.4 Nitrogen shall have a minimum purity of 99.998 percent.

5.5 **Calibration Standard** - The quantitative calibration standard for all target hydrocarbons shall be propane at a concentration level between 0.25 and 1 ppm-mole and within the calculated linearity of the method (see section 8.6). This propane standard must be traceable to a NIST-certified SRM to a Standard Reference Material (SRM) certified for propane by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). NIST traceable means that the standard has been compared with not more than one intermediate standard to a NIST-certified SRM. A comparison between a SRM and a candidate standard will yield a secondary NIST traceable standard, while a comparison between a secondary NIST traceable standard and a candidate standard will yield a tertiary NIST traceable standard. A NIST SRM propane standard, a secondary NIST traceable propane standard, or a tertiary NIST traceable propane standard is required for calibration of Method 1002 or 1003.

The minimum requirements for the manufacture and use of a secondary or tertiary NIST traceable propane standard shall be the following: 1) the standard must be packaged in aluminum cylinders which have been precleaned and passivated, 2) a gas chromatograph shall be used to compare the candidate cylinders with the NIST traceable standard using either direct or interpolation comparison (In the direct comparison the analyte concentration for the candidate standard may not differ more than 10 percent from the analyte concentration of the NIST traceable standard, while in the interpolation comparison the analyte concentration of a candidate standard is bracketed between the analyte concentrations of the NIST-SRM standards), 3) analytes and balance gases in secondary candidate cylinders must be the same composition as the NIST-SRM propane standards used in an interpolation comparison procedure, 4) hydrocarbon analytes (except propane) and balance gases may differ in secondary candidate cylinders from the NIST-SRM propane standard used in a direct comparison procedure if there are no interferences with the propane measurement or stability, 5) comparison between a secondary and tertiary standard must be direct, and 6) all candidate cylinders must be analyzed a minimum of 4 times and stated uncertainties must be determined for all secondary and tertiary standard analytes, and 7) gas in the cylinder cannot be used when the pressure of the cylinder falls below 300 pounds per square inch.

It is recommended that either the laboratory or standard supplier(s) for the laboratory certify the secondary standards through the NIST Traceable Reference Material program or obtain Research Gas Material/Mixtures of propane standards from NIST for use as secondary standards.

5.6 **Control Standard** - A quality control standard, containing at least n- hexane, n-octane, n-decane, benzene, toluene, and m- or p-xylene with concentrations between 0.2 and 1 parts per million carbon (ppmC) based on a propane standard, is used for the following quality control purposes:

1. Daily update of control charts, and
2. Daily determination of marker retention time windows.
The control standard(s) must have concentrations verified against a NIST-traceable propane standard (See Section 5.5 for definition of NIST-traceable) when used for either LOD determinations or linearity checks. This verification can be performed at the laboratory conducting the sample analysis.

5.7 A high concentration standard (higher than the calibration standard), containing the target hydrocarbons listed in section 5.6 is used semi-annually for linearity determinations. The high concentration calibration standard must have concentrations verified against a NIST-traceable propane standard (See Section 5.5 for the definition of NIST-traceable). This verification can be performed at the laboratory performing the analysis.

5.8 Liquid nitrogen may be required is used to cool the cryogenic trap and column oven where applicable.

6. PROCEDURE

6.1 Typical operating conditions.

6.1.1 Suggested operating conditions for the manual GC are:
Helium carrier gas average linear velocity: 30 cm/sec centimeters/second at 200° C
Nitrogen make-up gas flow: sufficient such that the total flow of helium plus nitrogen is 30 mL/min
Hydrogen gas flow (for FID): 30 mL/min
"Zero" air gas flow (for FID): 300 mL/min
Autozero FID and PID at: 0.0 min
Range 11, Attenuation 8 (or another suitable value)
Sample valve temperature: 150°C
Injector temperature: 150°C
Column Entrance Port temperature: 95°C
Detector temperature: 250°C
Column temperature: Initial temperature 0°C; 10°C/min to 200°C

6.1.2 Suggested operating conditions for the automated GC are:
Helium carrier gas average linear velocity: 20-30 cm/second at 200°C
Nitrogen make-up gas flow: sufficient such that the total flow of helium plus nitrogen is 30 mL/min
Hydrogen gas flow (for FID): 30 mL/min
"Zero" air gas flow (for FID): 300 mL/min
Autozero-FID and PID at 0.0 min
Range 11, attenuation 8 (or another suitable value)
Sample valve temperature: 150°C
Detector temperature: 250-300°C
Column Temperature: Initial temperature 0-50°C (5 min), 5°C/min to 50°C, 10°C/min to 200°C
6.2 Data Reduction

6.2.1 All peaks identified as target compounds (Appendix 1) at or above the maximum allowable limit of detection (LOD) are reported (Section 8.7). At the laboratory's discretion, peaks at or above the LOD calculated in section 8.7 may be reported. The calculated LOD must be lower than the maximum allowable LOD.

6.2.2 The results are calculated from the FID responses. The PID responses are used to help with peak identifications as the PID responds more strongly to aromatics and olefins.

6.2.3 The results are examined to see that the peaks are correctly identified and integrated.

6.2.4 After running a particularly "dirty" sample, the analyst should run a blank before proceeding to the next sample as there may be sample carryover, or flush the sampling system with air.

6.2.5 The peak identifications provided by the computer are reviewed and, if necessary, corrected using the following procedure and criteria:

(1) The relative retention indices from GC/MS analyses are used to help confirm peak identifications.
(2) The primary peak identification is done by the computer using the relative retention times based on reference calibration runs.
(3) Confirm that the relative peak heights for the peaks of the sample run ("fingerprint") match the typical fingerprint seen in past sample runs.
(4) Compare the relative retention time of the sample peaks with those of reference runs.
(5) Any peak with a reasonable doubt is labeled 'Unidentified'.

6.2.6 The concentrations of the hydrocarbons are calculated by an electronic integrator device.

6.2.7 Target compounds which coelute are reported as the major component, as determined by the analysis of several samples by GC/MS or other methods. An exception to this is m- and p-xylene, where GC/MS data and fuel profiles are used to determine the relative contribution of each component to the peak. This method was used to determine the m- and p-xylene MIR value given in Appendix 1.

7. CALCULATIONS

7.1 The target hydrocarbon concentrations, in ppbC, are calculated by the data system using propane as an external standard.

\[
\text{Concentration}_{\text{sample}} \, (\text{ppbC}) = \text{Peak Area}_{\text{sample}} \times \text{Response Factor}
\]

where the response Factor (RF) is calculated during daily calibration by:

\[
\text{RF} = \frac{\text{Concentration of NIST-traceable propane standard, ppbC}}{\text{Area of propane peak}}
\]

8. QUALITY CONTROL
8.1 **Blank Run.** A blank (pure nitrogen or helium) is run once daily before running the calibration standard, control standard, and samples. All target hydrocarbon concentrations from the blank analysis must be below the LOD before the analysis may proceed. As an alternative to a daily blank run, a daily partial blank check in tandem with a weekly blank run may be used. A partial blank check is where the calibration standard, consisting of only propane and make-up gas (all organic compounds except methane and propane are below 2 percent of the propane standard concentration), is run daily and is checked for contamination except in the propane region of the chromatograph. The weekly blank run will provide a check on contamination in the propane region of the chromatograph.

8.2 **Calibration Run.** One run of the calibration standard is performed per day to generate the response calibration factor needed for quantifying sample analyses.

8.3 **Control Standard Run.** One run of the quality control standard is performed daily. Measurements of all compounds in the control standard must fall within the control limits before sample analysis may proceed. To meet this requirement, it may be necessary to inspect and repair the GC, and rerun the calibration and/or control standards.

8.4 **Control Charts.** A quality control chart(s) is are maintained for each component of the control standard sample. The control charts, used on a daily basis, establishes that the method is "in-" statistical control". The following describes how to construct a typical control chart:

1. Obtain at least 20 daily control standard sample results,
2. Calculate the average control standard sample mean concentration and standard deviation(s) for the each target hydrocarbon, and
3. Create a control chart for each target hydrocarbon by placing the concentration on the Y-axis and the date on the X-axis. Establish Draw an upper warning limit and a lower warning limit at two standard deviations (2s) above and below the average concentration. Establish Draw an upper control limit and a lower control limit at three standard deviations (3s) above and below the average concentration.

The control sample must be "in-control" for the measured concentrations of all target hydrocarbons contained in the control standard sample must be within the control limits ("in-control") for the sample results to be considered acceptable. A control standard sample measurement is considered to be "out-of-control" when the analyzed value of the sample measurement exceeds the 3s limit, or two successive control standard sample measurements of the same analyte exceed the 2s limit.

8.5 **Duplicates.** A duplicate analysis of one sample is performed at least once a day. The relative percent difference (RPD) is calculated for each duplicate run:

\[
\text{RPD (\%)} = \frac{\text{Difference between duplicate and original measurements}}{\text{Average of duplicate original measurements}} \times 100
\]
For each compound in the control standard, the allowable RPD depends on the average concentration level for the duplicate runs, as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Measurement for Duplicate Runs</th>
<th>Allowable RPD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 10 times LOD</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 20 times LOD</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 50 times LOD</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 50 times LOD</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the results of the duplicate analyses do not meet these criteria for all compounds in the control standard, the sample must be reanalyzed. If the criteria are still not met, all sample results for the day from this instrument must be deleted and the samples reanalyzed.

8.6 Linearity. A multipoint calibration to confirm check for instrument linearity is performed for all target hydrocarbons in the control standard for new instruments, after making instrument modifications which can affect linearity, and at least once every year six months, unless a daily check of the instrument response indicates that the linearity has not changed. To monitor the instrument response, a quality control chart is constructed, as specified in section 8.4, except using calibration standard area counts rather than control standard concentrations. When the standard area counts are out-of-control, corrective action(s) must be taken before analysis may proceed. The multipoint calibration consists of at least five concentration or mass loading levels (using smaller or larger volume sample sizes of existing standards is acceptable), each above the LOD, evenly distributed over the range of expected sample concentration linearity of the instrument. Each concentration level is measured at least twice. A linear regression analysis is performed using concentration and average area counts to determine the regression correlation coefficient (r). The r must be greater than 0.995 to be considered sufficiently linear for one point calibrations.

8.7 Limit of Detection. The LOD limit of detection for the target hydrocarbons in the control standard must be determined for new instruments and after making instrument modifications which can affect linearity and/or sensitivity and at least once every year six months, unless a daily check of the instrument response indicates that the LOD has not changed. To monitor the instrument response, a quality control chart is constructed, as specified in section 8.4, except using calibration standard area counts rather than control standard concentrations. When the standard area counts are out-of-control, corrective action(s) must be taken before analysis may proceed. To make the necessary calculations, it is necessary to perform a multipoint calibration consisting of at least four "low" concentration levels, each above the LOD. The LOD must be calculated using the following equation [Ref. 71 (Ref 9-1):]

\[
LOD = \frac{|b| + (t \times s)}{m}
\]

\[
LOD = |\Delta| + 3.3(\xi)
\]
where each term in the equation is expressed in concentration units, and $|\Delta|$ is the absolute value of the least-squares $X$-intercept calculated from the multipoint data. $S_{\text{b}}$ is the absolute value of the $y$-intercept, $m$ is the slope of the linear regression, $s_0$ is the standard deviation of at least five replicate determinations of the lowest concentration standard, and $t$ is the t-factor for 99 percent confidence for a one-sided normal (Gaussian) distribution. The number of degrees of freedom is equal to the number of replicates, minus one. An abbreviated t-table is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At least three replicates are required. The lowest standard must be of a concentration of at least one to five times the estimated LOD detection limit. If data is not available in the concentration range near the detection limit, $S_0$ may be estimated by:

$$S_0 = \text{RSD} \times |\Delta|$$

RSD is the relative standard deviation of the lowest standard analyzed.

8.7.1 The maximum allowable LOD for each compound is 20 ppbC propane. The calculated laboratory LOD must be equal to or lower than the maximum allowable LOD. All peaks identified as target compounds that are equal to or exceed the maximum LOD must be reported. If the calculated laboratory LOD is less than the maximum allowable LOD, the laboratory may choose to set its reporting limit at either the maximum allowable LOD or the calculated laboratory LOD.

8.7.2 For the purposes of calculating the total mass (ppbC) of all species, the concentrations of the compounds below the LOD are considered to be zero.

8.8 **Method No. 1002/Method No. 1003 Crossover Check.** For each sample a compound shall be measured by both Method No. 1002 and Method No. 1003. The crossover compound shall be a compound that can reasonably be expected to be found and measured by both methods in the laboratory performing the analysis. The results of the two analyses should be compared and an acceptance criteria set for the relative percent difference.

9. **REFERENCE**

Part F

DETERMINATION
OF ALDEHYDE AND KETONE COMPOUNDS
IN AUTOMOTIVE SOURCE SAMPLES
BY HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY

METHOD NO. 1004

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 This document describes a method of analyzing automotive engine exhaust for aldehyde and ketone compounds (carbonyls) using impingers, containing acidified 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH)-absorbing solution or DNPH-impregnated cartridges. Carbonyl masses ranging between 0.02 to 200 µg are measured by this method. The "target" carbonyls which shall be analyzed and reported by this method are listed in Appendix 1. They are formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acrolein, acetone, propionaldehyde, butyraldehyde, hexanal, benzaldehyde, methyl ethyl ketone, methacrolein, crotonaldehyde, valeraldehyde, and m- or p-tolualdehyde. All of these carbonyl compounds, when present in concentrations above the LOD, shall be reported.

1.2 This procedure is derived from a method used by Hull [Ref. 10] (Ref. 9-1).

1.3 All definitions and abbreviations are contained in Appendix 2 of these test procedures.

2. METHOD SUMMARY

2.1 The samples are received by the laboratory in sample collection cartridges or impingers (See Section 4.2). The absorbing solution (2,4-DNPH) complexes the carbonyl compounds into their diphenylhydrazone derivatives. The cartridges are then eluted with 5 mL acetonitrile.

2.2 Separation and analysis are performed using a High-Performance Liquid Chromatograph (HPLC) with an ultraviolet detector.

3. INTERFERENCES AND LIMITATIONS

3.1 An interferent interference is any detectable compound present in the sample with a retention time very similar to that of any of the target carbonyls described in this method. To reduce interference error, proof of chemical identity may require performance of periodic confirmations using an alternate method and/or instrumentation, e.g., alternative HPLC columns or mobile phase compositions.
3.2 If samples are not analyzed the same day as received, they must be transferred to glass bottles, sealed, and refrigerated at a temperature below 40°F. Impinger solutions must first be transferred to glass bottles and sealed. Refrigerated samples are stable for up to 30 days.

3.3 When using the DuPont Zorbax column described in Section 4.1.5, methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) and butyraldehyde tend to coelute.

3.4 When using the Delta Bond column described in Section 4.1.5, formaldehyde tends to coelute with an unknown, non-carbonyl compound, and the tolualdehyde isomers tend to coelute. The guard column for the Delta Bond column must also be changed frequently in order to prevent the coelution of butyraldehyde and methacrolein.

4. INSTRUMENT AND APPARATUS

4.1 The HPLC analytical system consists of the following:

4.1.1 Dual high pressure pumps.

4.1.2 Automated gradient controller or pump module controller.

4.1.3 Temperature controller module for the column oven.

4.1.4 A liquid autosampler.

4.1.5 The primary system incorporates two DuPont Zorbax ODS columns in tandem and a guard column, (2 centimeters cm long packed with LC18 5 micrometers (μm) pellicular beads). The secondary system incorporates a Delta Bond AK (4.6 mm ID x 200 mm) packed column with a guard column (2 cm long packed with LC18 5 μm pellicular beads or equivalent). Other columns may used, provided the alternate(s) can be demonstrated to be equivalent or better with respect to precision, accuracy and resolution of all target carbonyls.

4.1.6 An ultraviolet/visible (UV/VIS) detector.

4.1.7 Data system for peak integration.

4.2 Sample collection containers are glass impingers or DNPH-impregnated cartridges may also be used.

5. REAGENTS AND MATERIALS

5.1 Acetonitrile, HPLC grade, (Burdick and Jackson or equivalent).

5.2 Water, HPLC grade, (Burdick and Jackson or equivalent).
5.3 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH), purified, Radian Corporation Eastman or equivalent. Unpurified DNPH must be recrystallized twice from acetonitrile. The recrystallized DNPH is checked for contaminants by injecting a dilute solution of DNPH in contaminant-free acetonitrile into the HPLC.

5.4 Sulfuric acid, or perchloric acid, analytical reagent grade, (Baker Analyzed or equivalent).

5.5 Synthesized 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazones (ref. 9.2) The carbonyl/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) complexes [Ref. 111 listed in Table F-1 may be purchased (e.g., Radian Corporation, in 1.2 mL ampules) or prepared in the laboratory. In-house standards must be recrystallized at least three times from 95 percent ethanol. are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>Molecular Weight (g/mole)</th>
<th>Melting Point °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>210.15</td>
<td>165-166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>224.18</td>
<td>152-153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acrolein</td>
<td>236.19</td>
<td>165.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>238.20</td>
<td>125-127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>propionaldehyde</td>
<td>238.20</td>
<td>144-145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>butyraldehyde</td>
<td>252.23</td>
<td>119-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hexanaldehyde</td>
<td>280.28</td>
<td>106-107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzaldehyde</td>
<td>286.25</td>
<td>240-242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methyl-ethyl-ketone</td>
<td>252.53</td>
<td>117-118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methacrolein</td>
<td>250.21</td>
<td>200-201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crotonaldehyde</td>
<td>250.21</td>
<td>185-188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>valeraldehyde</td>
<td>266.26</td>
<td>107-108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-tolualdehyde</td>
<td>300.27</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5.1 Formaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, recrystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 165° C.
5.5.2 Acetaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, recrystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 166-168° C.
5.5.3 Acrolein/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, recrystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol and acetonitrile, melting point (m.p.) 158-159° C.
5.5.4 Acetone/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, recrystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 126-128° C.
5.5.5 Propionaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, recrystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 138-140° C.

This compound has been known to decompose.

Date of Release: 2/13/96; second 15-day changes
Board Hearing: 9/28/95
5.5.6 Butyraldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, reerystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 109-111°C.
5.5.7 Hexanal/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, reerystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 105-106°C.
5.5.8 Benzaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, reerystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 123-127°C.
5.5.9 Methyl ethyl ketone/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, reerystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 115-116°C.
5.5.10 Methaerelein/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, reerystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 206°C.
5.5.11 Crotonaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, reerystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 185-188°C.
5.5.12 Valeraldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, reerystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 103-106°C.
5.5.13 m- or p-Tolu aldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone, reerystallized three times from 95 percent ethanol, melting point (m.p.) 230°C.

5.6 Stock Calibration Standard - A stock calibration standard is prepared by diluting the target carbonyl/2,4-DNPH complexes with acetonitrile, e.g., a typical 100 mL acetonitrile standard stock calibration standard solution contains approximately 5 to 15 mg/mL of each target carbonyl/DNPH complex.

14.8 milligrams (mg) formaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
8.4 mg acetaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
6.0 mg acrolein/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.7 mg acetone/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.7 mg propionaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.0 mg butyraldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.0 mg benzaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.0 mg hexanal/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.0 mg methyl ethyl ketone/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.0 mg methaerelein/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.0 mg eretonaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.0 mg valeraldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone
5.0 mg m- or p-tolu aldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone

Commercial standards are also available for these compounds.

5.7 Working Standard - A working standard is prepared when required by diluting the stock calibration solution, making sure that the highest concentration of the standard is above the expected test level; e.g., 4.5 mL of the stock calibration solution is diluted to 100 mL.

5.8 Control Standard - A quality control standard, containing all target carbonyls/2,4-DNPH/dinitrophenylhydrazone complexes within the typical concentration range of real samples, is analyzed to monitor the precision of the analysis of each target carbonyl. Update daily control charts. The control standard may be prepared by batch mixing old
samples and stirring for 24 hours. All target compounds except acrolein have been found to be stable in the control standard. Commercial standards are also available for these compounds.

6. **PROCEDURE**

6.1.1 For systems collecting the samples via impingers, an absorbing solution is prepared by dissolving 0.11 - 0.13 grams of recrystallized DNPH in 1 liter (L) of HPLC grade acetonitrile. The absorbing solution should be prepared at least every two weeks. Each batch of acetonitrile used in this procedure is checked for oxygenated impurities by adding it to a addition of contaminant-free dilute solution of DNPH and analyzing by ed-in-the HPLC. cartridges should be sealed and refrigerated at less than 40°F.

6.1.2 In the laboratory, pipette 15 mL of the DNPH absorbing solution into each of the 30 mL midget impingers for each emission test. Add 0.1 mL of 2.85 N sulfuric acid or 0.15 mL of 3.8M perchloric acid to each impinger.

6.1.3 DNPH-impregnated cartridges may also be used to collect carbonyl samples. At the volumes being sampled (1 L/minute), no back-up cartridge is needed. Leave the cartridges capped.

6.2 For systems collecting the samples via cartridges, DNPH-impregnated cartridges shall be sealed and refrigerated at a temperature less than 40°F., upon receipt from manufacturer, until ready for use.

6.2.1 At the exhaust volumes being sampled (1 L/min), a back-up cartridge may be required for CVS phase 1 but no back-up cartridge is needed for CVS phases 2 and 3.

6.2.2 Connect the impinger pairs in series and cap the open ends to prevent contamination. (These sets of impingers (cartridges) are picked up by the automotive test personnel for sampling.)

6.3 After the sampling has been completed, cap the impingers or cartridges and return them to the laboratory. After sampling at the laboratory, uncap and place all the impingers in the field blank-impinger in preheated water at 70-80°C for 30 minutes (min) to complete derivatization. Heating is not required when using perchloric acid.

6.3.1 For sampling with cartridges, remove the caps and extract with 5 mL acetonitrile, running the extract into glass storage bottles.

6.4 Remove the impingers from the water bath and cool to room temperature. Replace any lost solvent by adding acetonitrile to the 15 mL mark.

6.4.1 Replacing lost solvent is not required when using an internal standard method (Section 7.4).
6.5 Transfer the solution from each impinger/cartridge to glass vials and seal with new septum screw caps.

6.6 Place the vials containing blank, working standard, control standard, and samples into the autosampler for subsequent injection into an HPLC. Suggested standard operating conditions for the HPLC are configured as follows:

**Primary System:**

**Columns:** 4.6 millimeters (mm) ID x 250 mm x 1/4 inches OD Dupont Zorbax ODS two columns in series, Guard column - 2 cm long packed with C18 5 μm pellicular

**Column temperature:** 40°C

**Detector:** UV/VIS at 360 nanometers nm

**Sample volume:** 20 10 microliters μL

**Solvent A:** acetonitrile

**Solvent B:** 10 percent (volume/volume) methanol in water

**Flow:** 1 mL/min

**Program -**

50 percent A, 50 percent B 0 (initial time)
65 percent A, 35 percent B 0 to 5 2 min
100 percent A, 0 percent B 5 2 to 35 22 min
50 percent A, 50 percent B 35 22 to 36 37 min
50 percent A, 50 percent B 36 to 46 "(held)

Under the above configuration, methyl ethyl ketone and butyraldehyde tend to coelute. In order to report these compounds, it is necessary to analyze the samples using a secondary system. The tolualdehyde isomers (m-, p-, and o-) are separated using this configuration. The reporting of tolualdehyde is addressed in Section 7.4.

**Secondary System:**

**Columns:** Delta Bond AK C18 (4.6 mm ID x 200 mm x 1/4 in OD) packed column, Guard column - 2 cm long packed with C18 5 μm pellicular

**Column temperature:** 40°C

**Detector:** UV/VIS at 360 nm

**Sample volume:** 10 μL

**Solvent A:** purified water

**Solvent B:** acetonitrile

**Flow:** 1.5 mL/min

**Program -**

65 percent A, 35 percent B (initial time)
65 percent A, 35 percent B 0 - 5.5 min
This secondary system is not used to report all compounds because formaldehyde tends to coelute with a non-carbonyl compound. If this coelution is resolved, the secondary system may be used alone to analyze all carbonyl compounds. The tolualdehyde isomers, however, are not separated with this configuration. The reporting of tolualdehyde is addressed in Section 7.4.

Data System: The outputs from the UV/VIS detector are sent to a PC-controlled data acquisition system.

6.7 The peak integrations are corrected as necessary in the data system. Any misplaced baseline segments are corrected in the reconstructed chromatogram.

6.8 The target carbonyl peaks at or above the maximum allowable limit of detection LOD are reported (Section 8.10). At the laboratory's discretion, peaks at or above the LOD calculated in Section 8.10 may be reported. The calculated LOD must be lower than the maximum allowable LOD.

7. CALCULATIONS

7.1 For each target carbonyl, the carbonyl mass is calculated from its carbonyl/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone DNPH mass.

7.2 The mass of each carbonyl compound, µg per 45-mL impinger or cartridge, is determined by the following calculation:

\[
\text{Mass}_{\text{sample}} = \text{Peak Area}_{\text{sample}} \times \text{Response Factor} \times \text{Impinger (or Cartridge) volume(mL)} \times B
\]

where B is the ratio of the molecular weight of the carbonyl compound to its 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone derivative and where the response factor (RF) for each carbonyl is calculated during the calibration by:

\[
\text{RF} = \frac{\text{Concentration}_{\text{standard}} \text{ (units µg 2,4-DNPH species/mL)}}{\text{Peak Area}_{\text{standard}}}
\]

7.3 For tolualdehyde, the sum of all isomers present is reported as m-tolualdehyde.

7.3.1 Under the conditions of the primary system in Section 6.6, the isomers are separated. The m-tolualdehyde response factor is applied to each peak and the sum reported as m-tolualdehyde.

7.3.2 Under the conditions of the secondary system in Section 6.6, the isomers coelute. The m-tolualdehyde response factor is applied to the single tolualdehyde peak. This concentration is reported as m-tolualdehyde.

7.4 An internal standard method may also be used.
8. QUALITY CONTROL

8.1 Reagent Blanks. The solvents used are of the highest HPLC grade and are checked at least daily for impurities by conducting blank analyses. Tested for impurities when a new lot number is used. If this lot number is found to be acceptable, (no carbonyls present at concentrations at or above the LOD), daily blank analysis is not performed.

8.2 Carbonyl/2,4-DNPH Phenylhydrazone Purity. The carbonyl/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazones DNPHs are checked for purity by their melting points and their chromatograms (See Table F-1). Analysis of the solution of carbonyl/2,4-DNPH phenylhydrazone must yield only the peak of interest. No contaminant peaks above the LOD should be observed.

8.3 Calibration Run. One run of the calibration standard is performed at least daily to generate the response calibration factors needed for quantifying sample analyses.

8.4 Control Standard Run. One run of the quality control standard is performed at least daily after the calibration run. Measurements of all compounds in the control standard, except acrolein, must fall within the control limits before sample analysis may proceed. To meet this requirement, it may be necessary to rerun the calibration and control standards, and inspect and repair the HPLC.

8.5 Control Charts. A quality control chart(s) is maintained for each component of the control sample, except acrolein. The control charts, used on a daily basis, establishes that the method is "in-" statistical control." The following describes how to construct a typical control chart:

1. Obtain at least 20 daily control standard sample results,
2. Calculate the average control standard sample mean concentration and standard deviation(s) for the each target analyte, and
3. Create a control chart for the each target analyte by placing the concentration on the Y-axis and the date on the X-axis. Establish Draw an upper warning limit and a lower warning limit at two standard deviations (2s) above and below the average concentration. Establish Draw an upper control limit and a lower control limit at three standard deviations (3s) above and below the average concentration.

The control sample must be "in-control." The measured concentrations of all target analytes contained in the control standard must be within the control limits ("in-control") for the sample results to be considered acceptable. Due to the low variability of the carbonyl control standard measurements, a control standard sample measurement is considered to be "out-of-control" when the analyzed value exceeds either the 3s limit, or the range of ± 10% of the mean control measurement, whichever is greater, or if two successive control standard sample measurements of the same analyte exceed the 2s limit. No control requirements have been established for acrolein, since it has been shown to degrade over time.
8.6 **Field Blanks.** One field blank is analyzed for each set of impingers for each emission test. One cartridge for each per batch number used is analyzed as a field blank.

8.7 **At least one cartridge per batch is analyzed as a batch blank.**

8.8 **Duplicates.** A duplicate analysis of one sample is performed at least once a day. The relative percent difference (RPD) is calculated for each duplicate run:

\[
\text{RPD(\%)} = \frac{\text{Difference between duplicate and original measurements}}{\text{Average of duplicate and original measurements}} \times 100
\]

For each compound, the allowable RPD depends on the average concentration level for the duplicate runs, as shown in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average Measurement for Duplicate Runs</th>
<th>Allowable RPD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 to 10 times LOD</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 to 20</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 to 50</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 50</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the results of the duplicate analyses do not meet these criteria for all target carbonyls, the sample must be reanalyzed. If the criteria are still not met, all sample results analyzed during the day from this instrument must be deleted and the samples reanalyzed.

8.9 **Linearity.** A multipoint calibration to confirm check for instrument linearity is performed for all target analytes for new instruments, after making instrument modifications which can affect linearity, and at least once every year. Six months. The multipoint calibration consists of at least five concentration or mass loading levels (using smaller or larger volume sample sizes of existing standards is acceptable), each above the LOD, evenly distributed over the range of expected sample concentration linearity of the instrument. Each concentration level is measured at least twice. A linear regression analysis is performed using concentration and average area counts to determine regression correlation coefficient (r). The r must be greater than 0.995 to be considered linear for one point calibrations.

8.10 **Limit of Detection.** The limit of detection LOD for the target analytes must be determined for new instruments, after making instrument modifications which can affect the LOD and at least once per year, every six months. To make the necessary calculations, it is necessary to perform a multipoint calibration consisting of at least four "low" concentration levels, each above the LOD. The LOD must be calculated using the following equation [Ref. 7] (Ref. 9.3):

\[
\text{LOD} = \frac{|b| + (t \times s)}{m}
\]
LOD = |Δ| + 3.3(S)

where each term in the equation is expressed in concentration units, and |Δ| is the absolute value of the least-squares X-intercept calculated from the multipoint data. S |b| is the absolute value of the y-intercept. m is the slope of the linear regression. s is the standard deviation of at least five replicate determinations of the lowest concentration standard; and t is the t-factor for 99 percent confidence for a one-sided normal (Gaussian) distribution. The number of degrees of freedom is equal to the number of replicates, minus one. An abbreviated t-table is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degrees of Freedom</th>
<th>t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At least three replicates are required. The lowest standard must be of a concentration of at least five times the estimated LOD detection limit. If data is not available in the concentration range near the detection limit, S may be estimated by:

\[ S = \text{RSD} \times |\Delta| \]

RSD is the relative standard deviation of the lowest standard analyzed.

An example of typical LODs is given in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>LOD (μg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrolein/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyraldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexanal/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>—*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methacrolein/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crotonaldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valeraldehyde/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m- or p-Toluic acid/2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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8.10.1 The maximum allowable LOD is 0.06 μg carbonyl derivative/mL. The calculated laboratory LOD must be equal to or lower than the maximum allowable LOD. All peaks identified as target compounds that are equal to or exceed the maximum allowable LOD must be reported. If the calculated laboratory LOD is less than the maximum allowable LOD, the laboratory may choose to set its reporting limit at either the maximum allowable LOD or the calculated laboratory LOD.

8.10.2 For the purpose of calculating the total mass of all species, the concentration of the compounds below the LOD are considered to be zero.

9. REFERENCES

9.1 Hull, L.A., Procedures for 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone Aldehyde-Ketone Air Analysis, Internal Report at U.S. EPA.


Part G

DETERMINATION OF NMOG MASS EMISSIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Non-methane organic gases (NMOG) mass emissions consist of non-methane hydrocarbons and oxygenated hydrocarbons.

1.2 All definitions and abbreviations are set forth in Appendix 2 of these test procedures.

2. NMOG WEIGHTED MASS EMISSIONS

2.1 NMOG weighted mass (wm) emissions shall be calculated as follows:

\[ \text{NMOG}_{wm} = \sum \text{NMHC}_{wm} + \sum \text{ROH}_{wm} + \sum \text{RHO}_{wm} \]

Non-methane hydrocarbon weighted mass emissions (NMHC\textsubscript{wm}) can be determined by either flame-ionization detection (FID) or gas chromatography (GC). If the FID method is used to calculate NMHC\textsubscript{wm}, refer to Part B of these test procedures entitled "Determination of Non-Methane Hydrocarbon Mass Emissions by Flame Ionization Detection." If the GC method is used to calculate NMHC\textsubscript{wm}, refer to the section 3 "Speciated Hydrocarbon Mass Emissions Calculation" section contained herein. Carbonyl weighted mass emissions (RHO\textsubscript{wm}) are to be calculated according to the section 5 "Carbonyl Mass Emissions Calculation" section contained herein. For alcohol fueled vehicles, alcohol weighted mass emissions (ROH\textsubscript{wm}) are to be calculated according to the section 4 "Alcohol Mass Emissions Calculation" section contained herein.
3. SPECIATED HYDROCARBON MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATION

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Vehicular exhaust emissions are measured according to the Federal Test Procedure FTP [Ref. 1] (4). For each of the three phases of the FTP, a Tedlar bag of 0.5 ft$^3$ capacity is used to collect a dilute exhaust sample. A fourth 0.5 ft$^3$ Tedlar bag is used to collect a composite dilution air (background) sample from all three phases of the FTP. All bag samples are analyzed according to Method No. 1002 (Part D of these test procedures) and Method No. 1003 (Part E of these test procedures) to determine the dilute exhaust and dilution air concentrations of individual hydrocarbon compounds. The measured hydrocarbon compound concentrations are used in the following equations to calculate the weighted mass emissions of each hydrocarbon compound.

2. HC MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATION PER TEST PHASE

2.1 $\text{HC}_{\text{mass}} = \left( \text{HC}_{\text{conc}} \times \text{HC}_{\text{dens}} \times \text{VMIX} \times 10^{-6} \right) / (\text{Carbon No.})$

2.2 $\text{HC}_{\text{conc}} = \text{HC}_e - (\text{HC}_d \times (1 - (1 / \text{DF})))$

NOTE: If $\text{HC}_{\text{conc}}$ is calculated to be less than zero, then $\text{HC}_{\text{conc}} = 0$.

3. WEIGHTED HC MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATION

3.1 $\text{HC}_{\text{wm}} = 0.43 \times \frac{(\text{HC}_{\text{mass}1} + \text{HC}_{\text{mass}2})}{(D_{\text{phase}1} + D_{\text{phase}2})} + 0.57 \times \frac{(\text{HC}_{\text{mass}3} + \text{HC}_{\text{mass}2})}{(D_{\text{phase}3} + D_{\text{phase}2})}$

4. SAMPLE CALCULATION

4.1 Exhaust emissions from a gasoline vehicle are collected in three dilute exhaust sample bags and one dilution air (background) sample bag during the FTP. Gas chromatography is used to determine the benzene concentration of each bag sample. Calculate the weighted benzene mass emissions based on the following data:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Phase</th>
<th>HC₆ (ppbC)</th>
<th>HC₄ (ppbC)</th>
<th>FID THC₆ (ppmC)</th>
<th>CH₄e (ppmC)</th>
<th>CO₂e (%)</th>
<th>CO₃m (ppm)</th>
<th>R₄ (%)</th>
<th>VMIX (ft³)</th>
<th>Dₙₕₑₚₕₑ (mile)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2846</td>
<td>3.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4854</td>
<td>3.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>3.586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Phase 1:

\[
DF = \frac{13.47}{{[\text{CO}_2e + (\text{NMHC}_e + \text{CH}_4e + \text{CO}_2e) \times 10^4]}} \]

(see section 6, DF Calc.)

\[
\text{NMHC}_e = \text{FID THC}_e - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4e)
\]

\[
= 98 \text{ ppmC} - (1.04 \times 6 \text{ ppmC})
\]

\[
= 92 \text{ ppmC}
\]

\[
\text{CO} = \frac{1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times \text{HCR}) \times \text{CO}_2e - 0.000323 \times \text{R}_4 \times 10^4} \]

NOTE: If a CO instrument which meets the criteria specified in CFR 40, 86.111 is used and the conditioning column has been deleted, \( \text{CO}_e \) must be substituted directly for \( \text{CO}_o \).

\[
= (1 - (0.01925) \times 1.2\% - 0.000323 \times 28\%) \times 280 \text{ ppm}
\]

\[
= 271 \text{ ppm}
\]

\[
DF = \frac{13.47}{{[1.2\% + (92 \text{ ppmC} + 6 \text{ ppmC} + 271 \text{ ppm}) \times 10^4]}}
\]

\[
= 10.89
\]

\[
\text{HC}_{\text{cond}} = \text{HC}_e - (\text{HC}_e \times (1 - (1 / \text{DF})))
\]

\[
= 500 \text{ ppbC} - (25 \text{ ppbC} \times (1 - (1 / 10.89)))
\]

\[
= 477 \text{ ppbC}
\]

\[
\text{Mol. Wt. of C}_6\text{H}_6 = (6 \times 12.01115) + (6 \times 1.00797)
\]

\[
= 78.11472 \text{ g/mole}
\]

\[
\text{HC}_{\text{dens}} = \frac{\text{Mol. Wt.} \times \text{conversion of liter to ft}^3}{{(\text{Mol. Vol.)}}} = \frac{(78.11472 \text{ g/mole} \times 28.316 \text{ liter/ft}^3)}{24.055 \text{ liter/mole}}
\]

\[
= 91.952 \text{ g/ft}^3
\]

\[
\text{HC}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{(\text{HC}_{\text{cond}} \times \text{HC}_{\text{dens}} \times \text{VMIX} \times 10^{-6}}\}{{(\text{Carbon No.)}}}
\]

\[
= (477 \text{ ppbC} \times 91.952 \text{ g/ft}^3 \times 2846 \text{ ft}^3 \times 10^{-6}) / 6
\]

\[
= 20.8 \text{ mg}
\]
Similarly, for Phase 2:  \( HC_{\text{max}} = 5.7 \text{ mg} \)

and for Phase 3:  \( HC_{\text{max}} = 4.2 \text{ mg} \)

Therefore,

\[
HC_{\text{wm}} = 0.43 \times \frac{(HC_{\text{max}}^1 + HC_{\text{max}}^2)}{(D_{\text{phase}}^1 + D_{\text{phase}}^2)} + 0.57 \times \frac{(HC_{\text{max}}^3 + HC_{\text{max}}^2)}{(D_{\text{phase}}^3 + D_{\text{phase}}^2)}
\]

\[
HC_{\text{wm}} = 0.43 \times \frac{(20.8 \text{ mg} + 5.7 \text{ mg})}{(3.584 \text{ mile} + 3.842 \text{ mile})} + 0.57 \times \frac{(4.2 \text{ mg} + 5.7 \text{ mg})}{(3.586 \text{ mile} + 3.842 \text{ mile})}
\]

\[
HC_{\text{wm}} = 2.3 \text{ mg/mile (benzene weighted mass emissions)}
\]
4. ALCOHOL MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATION

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Vehicular emissions are measured according to the Federal Test Procedure—(FTP)[Ref. 1] (4). For each of the three phases of the FTP, a set of two impingers is used to collect alcohol emissions in the dilute exhaust. A fourth set of two impingers is used to collect a composite dilution air (background) alcohol sample from all three phases of the FTP. All impingers are analyzed according to Method No. 1001 to determine the alcohol concentration in each impinger. The measured alcohol concentrations are used in the following equations to calculate the weighted mass emissions of alcohol compounds.

2. ALCOHOL MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATION PER TEST PHASE

2.1 \[ \text{ROH}_{\text{mass}} = \frac{(\text{ROH}_{\text{conc}} \times \text{ROH}_{\text{dens}} \times \text{VMIX} \times 10^{-6})}{(\text{Carbon No.})} \]

2.2 \[ \text{ROH}_{\text{conc}} = \text{ROH}_c - (\text{ROH}_d \times (1 - (1 / DF))) \]

NOTE: If ROH_{conc} is calculated to be less than zero, then ROH_{conc} = 0.

2.3 \[ \text{ROH}_c = \left(\frac{\text{Imass}_c}{\text{Ivol}_c}\right) \times (\text{Mol. Vol.} / \text{Mol. Wt.}) \]

2.4 \[ \text{Imass}_c = \left(\text{Iconc}_{c1} + \text{Iconc}_{c2}\right) \times \text{Dens}_{\text{ROH}} \times \text{Ivol}_c \]

2.5 \[ \text{Ivol}_c = \left(\text{Ivol}_{temp} / 293.16^\circ \text{K}\right) \times (760 \text{ mm Hg} / P_g) \]

\[ (293.16^\circ \text{K} / \text{Itemp}) \times (P_g / 760 \text{ mm Hg}) \]

2.6 \[ \text{ROH}_d = \left(\frac{\text{Imass}_d}{\text{Ivol}_d}\right) \times (\text{Mol. Vol.} / \text{Mol. Wt.}) \]

2.7 \[ \text{Imass}_d = \left(\text{Iconc}_{d1} + \text{Iconc}_{d2}\right) \times \text{Dens}_{\text{ROH}} \times \text{Ivol}_d \]

2.8 \[ \text{Ivol}_d = \left(\text{Ivol}_{temp} / 293.16^\circ \text{K}\right) \times (760 \text{ mm Hg} / P_g) \]

\[ (293.16^\circ \text{K} / \text{Itemp}) \times (P_g / 760 \text{ mm Hg}) \]

3. WEIGHTED ALCOHOL MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATION

\[ \text{ROH}_{\text{wm}} = 0.43 \times \frac{(\text{ROH}_{\text{mass}1} + \text{ROH}_{\text{mass}2})}{(D_{\text{phase}1} + D_{\text{phase}2})} + 0.57 \times \frac{(\text{ROH}_{\text{mass}3} + \text{ROH}_{\text{mass}2})}{(D_{\text{phase}3} + D_{\text{phase}2})} \]
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4. SAMPLE CALCULATION

4.1 Alcohol emissions from an M85 fueled vehicle are collected in three sets of dilute exhaust impingers and one set of dilution air impingers during the FTP. Gas chromatography is used to determine the methanol concentration in each impinger. Calculate the weighted methanol mass emissions based on the following data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Phase</th>
<th>Ivol&lt;br&gt;(mL)</th>
<th>Iconc&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(ppmC)</th>
<th>Iconc&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(ppmC)</th>
<th>Ivol&lt;sub&gt;em&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(liter)</th>
<th>Iconc&lt;sub&gt;41&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(ppmC)</th>
<th>Iconc&lt;sub&gt;42&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(ppmC)</th>
<th>Ivol&lt;sub&gt;da&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(liter)</th>
<th>Temp&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(°K)</th>
<th>Temp&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>13.50</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Phase</th>
<th>FID THC&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt;(ppmC)</th>
<th>CH&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt; (%)</th>
<th>CO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt; (%)</th>
<th>CO&lt;sub&gt;em&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt; (ppm)</th>
<th>R&lt;sub&gt;f&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt; (%)</th>
<th>VMIX&lt;br&gt; (ft&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>D&lt;sub&gt;phase&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt; (mile)</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;d&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt; (mmHg)</th>
<th>HCHO&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;br&gt; (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2834</td>
<td>3.581</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4862</td>
<td>3.845</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2835</td>
<td>3.583</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Phase 1:

\[ I_{mass} = (\text{Iconc}_{a1} + \text{Iconc}_{a2}) \times \text{Dens}_{ROH} \times I_{vol} \]
\[ = (2.24 \text{ ppmC} + 0.05 \text{ ppmC}) \times 0.7914 \text{ g/mL} \times 15 \text{ mL} \times 10^6 \mu g/g \]
\[ = 27.2 \mu g \]

Mol. Wt. of CH<sub>3</sub>OH = \((1 \times 12.01115) + (4 \times 1.00797) + (1 \times 15.9994)\]
\[ = 32.0428 \text{ g/mole} \]

\[ I_{vol} = I_{vol_{em}} \times \left( \frac{\text{Temp}_{e} - 293.16^2 \text{ K}}{293/16^2 \text{ K}} \right) \times \left( \frac{760 \text{ mm Hg}}{P_d} \right) \times \left( \frac{P_d}{760 \text{ mm Hg}} \right) \]
\[ = 3.90 \text{ liter} \times (293^2 - 293.16^2) \times (293.16^2 / 295^2) \]
\[ = 3.92 \text{ 3.88 liter} \]

\[ ROH_{e} = \left( I_{mass} / I_{vol} \right) \times (\text{Mol. Vol.} / \text{Mol. Wt.}) \]
\[ = (27.2 \times 10^6 \text{ g} / 3.92 \times 3.88 \text{ liter}) \times (24.055 \text{ liter/mole} / 32.0428 \text{ g/mole}) \]
\[ = 5.20 \times 5.27 \text{ ppmC} \]
\[ \text{Imass}_d = (\text{Iconc}_{d1} + \text{Iconc}_{d2}) \times \text{Dens}_{\text{ROH}} \times \text{Ivol}_r \\
= (0.07 \ \text{ppmC} + 0.01 \ \text{ppmC}) \times 0.7914 \ \text{g/ml} \times 15 \ \text{ml} \times 10^6 \ \mu \text{g/g} \\
= 0.95 \ \mu \text{g} \\
\]

\[ \text{Ivol}_r = \text{Ivol}_{\text{am}} \times \left(\frac{\text{Temp}_r}{293.16^\circ \text{K}}\right) \times \left(\frac{760 \ \text{mm Hg}}{P_v}\right) \\
= \left(\frac{293.16^\circ \text{K}}{\text{Itemp}_r}\right) \times \left(\frac{P_v}{760 \ \text{mm Hg}}\right) \\
= 13.50 \ \text{liter} \times \left(\frac{294^\circ \text{K}}{293.16^\circ \text{K}}\right) \times \left(\frac{293.16^\circ \text{K}}{294^\circ \text{K}}\right) \\
= \left(\frac{760 \ \text{mm Hg}}{760 \ \text{mm Hg}}\right) \\
= 13.54 \ 13.46 \ \text{liter} \\
\]

\[ \text{ROH}_d = \left(\frac{\text{Imass}_d}{\text{Ivol}_r}\right) \times (\text{Mol. Vol.} / \text{Mol. Wt.}) \\
= (0.95 \times 10^6 \ \mu \text{g} / 13.54 \ 13.46 \ \text{liter}) \times (24.055 \ \text{liter/mole} / 32.0428 \ \text{g/mole}) \\
= 0.05 \ \text{ppmC} \\
\]

\[ \text{DF} = \frac{12.02}{[\text{CO}_2 + (\text{NMHC}_e + \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_e + \text{ROH}_e + \text{HCHO}_e) \times 10^4]} \\
\text{(see section 6, DF Calc.)} \\
\]

\[ \text{NMHC}_e = \text{FID THC}_e - (r_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4) - (r_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}} \times \text{ROH}_e) \\
= 82 \ \text{ppmC} - (1.04 \times 9 \ \text{ppmC}) - (0.66 \times 5.27 \ \text{ppmC}) \\
= 73.69 \ \text{ppmC} \\
\]

\[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times \text{HCR}) \times \text{CO}_2 - 0.000323 \times \text{R}_e) \times \text{CO}_{\text{en}} \\
\text{NOTE: If a CO instrument which meets the criteria specified in CFR 40, 86.111 is} \\
\text{used and the conditioning column has been deleted, CO}_{\text{en}} \ \text{must be substituted directly for CO}_e. \\
= (1 - (0.02705) \times 1.5\% - 0.000323 \times 30\%) \times 250 \ \text{ppm} \\
= 237 \ \text{ppm} \\
\]

\[ \text{DF} = \frac{1.5\% + (73.69 \ \text{ppmC} + 9 \ \text{ppmC} + 237 \ \text{ppmC} + 5.30 \times 5.27 \ \text{ppmC} + 0.81 \ \text{ppm})}{10^4} \\
= 7.85 \ 7.84 \\
\]

\[ \text{ROH}_{\text{conc}} = \text{ROH}_e - (\text{ROH}_d \times (1 - (1 / \text{DF}))) \\
= 5.20 \ 5.27 \ \text{ppmC} - (0.05 \ \text{ppmC} \times (1 - (1 / 7.84))) \\
= 5.15 \ 5.23 \ \text{ppmC} \\
\]

\[ \text{ROH}_{\text{dens}} = (\text{Mol. Wt.} \times \text{conversion of liter to ft}^3) / (\text{Mol. Vol.}) \\
= (32.0428 \ \text{g/mole} \times 28.316 \ \text{liter/ft}^3) / 24.055 \ \text{liter/mole} \\
= 37.719 \ \text{g/ft}^3 \\
\]

\[ \text{ROH}_{\text{mass}_a} = (\text{ROH}_{\text{conc}} + \text{ROH}_{\text{dens}} + \text{VMIX} \times 10^4) / (\text{Carbon No.}) \\
\text{ROH}_{\text{mass}_t} = (5.15 \ 5.23 \ \text{ppmC} \times 37.719 \ \text{g/ft}^3 \times 2834 \ \text{ft}^3 \times 10^6) / 1 \\
\]
\[ = 0.55 \, 0.56 \, \text{g} \]

Similarly, for Phase 2:
\[ \text{ROH}_{\text{mass}2} = 0.08 \, \text{g} \]
and for Phase 3:
\[ \text{ROH}_{\text{mass}3} = 0.07 \, 0.08 \, \text{g} \]

Therefore,
\[
\text{ROH}_{\text{wm}} = 0.43 \times \frac{(\text{ROH}_{\text{mass}1} + \text{ROH}_{\text{mass}2})}{(D_{\text{phase}1} + D_{\text{phase}2})} + 0.57 \times \frac{(\text{ROH}_{\text{mass}3} + \text{ROH}_{\text{mass}2})}{(D_{\text{phase}3} + D_{\text{phase}2})}
\]
\[
\text{ROH}_{\text{wm}} = 0.43 \times \frac{(0.55 \, 0.56 \, \text{mg} + 0.08 \, \text{mg})}{(3.581 \, \text{mile} + 3.845 \, \text{mile})} + 0.57 \times \frac{(0.07 \, 0.08 \, \text{mg} + 0.08 \, \text{mg})}{(3.583 \, \text{mile} + 3.845 \, \text{mile})}
\]
\[
\text{ROH}_{\text{wm}} = 0.05 \, \text{g} \, \text{(methanol weighted mass emissions)}
\]
5. CARBONYL MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Vehicular emissions are measured according to the Federal Test Procedure (FTP) [Ref. 1] (4). For each of the three phases of the FTP, a set of two impingers (or cartridges) is used to collect carbonyl emissions in the dilute exhaust. A fourth set of two impingers (or cartridges) is used to collect a composite dilution air (background) carbonyl sample from all three phases of the FTP. All impingers (or cartridges) are analyzed according to Method No. 1004 to determine the mass of individual carbonyl compounds in each impinger (or cartridge). The measured carbonyl masses are used in the following equations to calculate the weighted mass emissions of each carbonyl compound.

2. CARBONYL MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATION PER TEST PHASE

2.1 \( \text{RHO}_{\text{mass}a} = \left( \text{RHO}_{\text{cone}} \times \text{RHO}_{\text{deng}} \times \text{VMIX} \times 10^{-6} \right) \)

2.2 \( \text{RHO}_{\text{cone}} = \text{RHO}_e - (\text{RHO}_d \times (1 - (1 / \text{DF}))) \)

NOTE: If \( \text{RHO}_{\text{cone}} \) is calculated to be less than zero, then \( \text{RHO}_{\text{cone}} = 0 \).

2.3 \( \text{RHO}_e = \left( \text{Imass}_e / \text{Ivol}_e \right) \times \left( \text{Mol. Vol.} / \text{Mol. Wt.} \right) \)

2.4 \( \text{Ivol}_e = \frac{\text{Ivol}_{\text{en}} \times (\text{Temp}_e - 293.16^\circ \text{K}) \times (760 \text{ mm Hg} / \text{P}_g)}{(293.16^\circ \text{K} / \text{Itemp}_e) \times (\text{P}_g / 760 \text{ mm Hg})} \)

2.5 \( \text{RHO}_d = \left( \text{Imass}_d / \text{Ivol}_d \right) \times \left( \text{Mol. Vol.} / \text{Mol. Wt.} \right) \)

2.6 \( \text{Ivol}_d = \frac{\text{Ivol}_{\text{en}} \times (\text{Temp}_d - 293.16^\circ \text{K}) \times (760 \text{ mm Hg} / \text{P}_g)}{(293.16^\circ \text{K} / \text{Itemp}_d) \times (\text{P}_g / 760 \text{ mm Hg})} \)

3. WEIGHTED CARBONYL MASS EMISSIONS CALCULATION

\( \text{RHO}_{\text{wm}} = 0.43 \times \frac{\text{RHO}_{\text{mass}1} + \text{RHO}_{\text{mass}2}}{\text{D}_{\text{phase}1} + \text{D}_{\text{phase}2}} + 0.57 \times \frac{\text{RHO}_{\text{mass}3} + \text{RHO}_{\text{mass}2}}{\text{D}_{\text{phase}3} + \text{D}_{\text{phase}2}} \)

4. SAMPLE CALCULATION

4.1 Carbonyl emissions from a compressed natural gas (CNG) vehicle are collected in three sets of dilute exhaust impingers and one set of dilution air impingers during the FTP. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is used to determine the formaldehyde...
mass in each impinger. Calculate the weighted formaldehyde mass emissions based on the following data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Phase</th>
<th>Ivol, (mL)</th>
<th>Imass, (µg)</th>
<th>Ivol_{em} (liter)</th>
<th>Imass_{d} (µg)</th>
<th>Ivol_{em} (liter)</th>
<th>Itemp_{e} (°K)</th>
<th>Itemp_{d} (°K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Phase</th>
<th>FID THC_{e} (ppmC)</th>
<th>CH_{4e} (ppm)</th>
<th>CO_{2e} (%)</th>
<th>CO_{em} (ppm)</th>
<th>R_{s} (%)</th>
<th>VMIX (ft^{3})</th>
<th>D_{phase} (mile)</th>
<th>P_{b} (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2866</td>
<td>3.581</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4841</td>
<td>3.845</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2837</td>
<td>3.583</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Phase 1:

Mol. Wt. of HCHO = (1 * 12.01115) + (2 * 1.00797) + (1 * 15.9994)

= 30.0268 g/mole

Ivol_{e} = \frac{Ivol_{em} \cdot (Itemp_{e} / 293.16^°K) \cdot (760 \text{ mm Hg} / P_{b})}{(293/16^°K / Itemp_{e}) \cdot (P_{b} / 760 \text{ mm Hg})}

= 8.49 liter \cdot \frac{(293.16^°K / 293.16^°K)}{(293.16^°K / 295^°K) * (760 \text{ mm Hg} / 760 \text{ mm Hg})}

= 8.54 / 8.44 liter

RHO_{e} = \frac{Imass_{e} / Ivol_{e}}{(\text{Mol. Vol. / Mol. Wt.})} = \frac{(2.45 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ g} / 8.54 \text{ . 44 liter}) \cdot (24.055 \text{ liter/mole} / 30.0268 \text{ g/mole})}{233 \cdot 233 \text{ ppb}}

Ivol_{d} = \frac{Ivol_{em} \cdot (Itemp_{d} / 293.16^°K) \cdot (760 \text{ mm Hg} / P_{b})}{(293.16^°K / Itemp_{d}) \cdot (P_{b} / 760 \text{ mm Hg})}

= 31.57 liter \cdot \frac{(293.16^°K / 293.16^°K) \cdot (293.16^°K / 292^°K)}{(760 \text{ mm Hg} / 760 \text{ mm Hg})}

= 31.45 / 31.70 liter

Date of Release: 2/13/95; second 15-day changes
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G-10
\[ \text{RHO}_d = \frac{\text{Imass}_d}{\text{Ivol}_d} \times \text{(Mol. Vol. / Mol. Wt.)} \]
\[ = \frac{(0.17 \times 10^4 \text{ g} / 34.45 \text{ ft}^3 \times 31.70 \text{ liter}) \times (24.055 \text{ liter/mole} / 30.0268 \text{ g/mole})}{4 \text{ ppb}} \]
\[ \text{DF} = \frac{9.77}{[\text{CO}_{2o} + (\text{NMHC}_o + \text{CH}_4e + \text{CO}_e) \times 10^4]} \text{ (see section 6, DF Calc.)} \]

\[ \text{NMHC}_o = \frac{\text{FID THC}_o}{(\tau_{\text{CH}_4} \times \text{CH}_4e)} \]
\[ = 132 \text{ ppmC} - (1.04 \times 108 \text{ ppmC}) \]
\[ = 20 \text{ ppmC} \]

\[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times \text{HCR}) \times \text{CO}_{2o} - 0.000323 \times \text{R}_e) \times \text{CO}_{em} \]

\[ \text{NOTE: If a CO instrument which meets the criteria specified in CFR 40, 86.111 is used and the conditioning column has been deleted, CO}_{em} \text{ must be substituted directly for CO}_e. \]
\[ = (1 - 0.02999 \times 0.02890 \times 0.9\% - 0.000323 \times 68\%) \times 8 \text{ ppm} \]
\[ = 7.6 \text{ ppm} \]

\[ \text{DF} = \frac{9.77}{[0.9\% + (20 \text{ ppmC} + 108 \text{ ppmC} + 7.6 \text{ ppm}) \times 10^4]} \]
\[ = 10.69 \]

\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{conc}} = \frac{\text{RHO}_e - (\text{RHO}_d \times (1 - (1 / \text{DF})))}{230 233 \text{ ppb} - (4.33 \pm \text{ppb} \times (1 - (1 / 10.69)))} \]
\[ = \frac{226 222 \text{ ppb}}{} \]

\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{gms}} = \frac{\text{(Mol. Wt. * conversion of liter to ft}^3) / \text{(Mol. Vol.)}}{30.0268 \text{ g/mole} \times 28.316 \text{ liter/ft}^3 / 24.055 \text{ liter/mole}} \]
\[ = \frac{35.35 \text{ g/ft}^3}{\text{RHO}_{\text{mass}} ^o = \text{(RHO}_{\text{conc}} \times \text{RHO}_{\text{gms}} \times \text{VMIX} \times 10^6)} \]
\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{mass}} ^1 = \frac{(226 222 \text{ ppb} \times 35.35 \text{ g/ft}^3 \times 2866 \text{ ft}^3 \times 10^6)}{22.9 \times 23.2 \text{ mg}} \]

Similarly, for Phase 2:
\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{mass}} ^2 = \text{6.3} \times 6.6 \text{ mg} \]

and for Phase 3:
\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{mass}} ^3 = \text{42.2} \times 12.7 \text{ mg} \]
Therefore,

\[ \text{RHO}_{wm} = 0.43 \times \frac{(\text{RHO}_{\text{mass}1} + \text{RHO}_{\text{mass}2})}{(D_{\text{phase}1} + D_{\text{phase}2})} + 0.57 \times \frac{(\text{RHO}_{\text{mass}3} + \text{RHO}_{\text{mass}2})}{(D_{\text{phase}3} + D_{\text{phase}2})} \]

\[ \text{mg} \]

\[ \text{RHO}_{wm} = 0.43 \times \frac{(22.9 \text{ mg} + 6.3 \text{ mg})}{(3.581 \text{ mile} + 3.845 \text{ mile})} + 0.57 \times \frac{(12.7 \text{ mg} + 6.6 \text{ mg})}{(3.583 \text{ mile} + 3.845 \text{ mile})} \]

\[ \text{RHO}_{wm} = 3.1 \text{ g mg/ml} \text{ (formaldehyde weighted mass emissions)} \]
6. DILUTION FACTOR CALCULATION

1. DILUTION FACTORS

1.1 For Non-Alcohol Fueled Vehicles:

\[
100 \times \frac{x}{x + y/2 + 3.76 \times (x + y/4 - z/2)}
\]

1.1.1 \[DF = \frac{CO_{2e} + (NMHC_e + CH_4e + CO_e) \times 10^{-4}}{(1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times HCR) \times CO_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times CO_{em}}\]

(where fuel composition is \(C_xH_yO_z\) as measured for the fuel used.)

1.1.2 \[CO_e = \frac{(1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times HCR) \times CO_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times CO_{em}}{(1 - 0.01925 \times CO_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times CO_{em}}\]

NOTE: If a CO instrument which meets the criteria specified in CFR 40, 86.111 is used and the conditioning column has been deleted, \(CO_{em}\) must be substituted directly for \(CO_e\).

a) For gasoline, \(CH_{1.85}\) where \(x = 1, y = 1.85, and z = 0:\)

\[DF = 13.47 / [CO_{2e} + (NMHC_e + CH_4e + CO_e) \times 10^{-4}]
CO_e = (1 - 0.01925 \times CO_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times CO_{em}\]

b) For Phase 2 gasoline, \(CH_{1.94}\) where \(x = 1, y = 1.94\) and \(z = 0.017:\)

\[DF = 13.29 / [CO_{2e} + (NMHC_e + CH_4e + CO_e) \times 10^{-4}]
CO_e = (1 - 0.01970 \times CO_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times CO_{em}\]

c) For LPG, \(CH_{2.66\pm2.66}\) where \(x = 1, y = 2.66\) and \(z = 0:\)

\[DF = 14.64 / [CO_{2e} + (NMHC_e + CH_4e + CO_e) \times 10^{-4}]
CO_e = (1 - 0.02333 \times CO_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times CO_{em}\]

d) For CNG, \(CH_{3.78\pm3.78}\) where \(x = 1, y = 3.78\) and \(z = 0.016:\)

\[DF = 9.77 / [CO_{2e} + (NMHC_e + CH_4e + CO_e) \times 10^{-4}]
CO_e = (1 - 0.02890 \times CO_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times CO_{em}\]

1.2 For Alcohol Fueled Vehicles:

\[
100 \times \frac{x}{x + y/2 + 3.76 \times (x + y/4 - z/2)}
\]

1.2.1 \[DF = \frac{CO_{2e} + (NMHC_e + CH_4e + CO_e + ROH_2 + HCHO_e) \times 10^{-4}}{(1 - 0.00290 \times CO_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_s) \times CO_{em}}\]

(where fuel composition is \(C_xH_yO_z\) as measured for the fuel used.)
1.2.2 \[ \text{CO}_e = (1 - (0.01 + 0.005 \times \text{HCR}) \times \text{CO}_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_e) \times \text{CO}_{ea} \]

NOTE: If a CO instrument which meets the criteria specified in CFR 40, 86.111 is used and the conditioning column has been deleted, \( \text{CO}_{ea} \) must be substituted directly for \( \text{CO}_e \).

a) For M100 (100% methanol), \( \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \), where \( x = 1 \), \( y = 4 \), and \( z = 1 \):
\[
\text{DF} = 11.57 / \left[ \text{CO}_{2e} + (\text{NMHC}_e + \text{CH}_4_e + \text{CO}_e + \text{ROH}_e + \text{HCHO}_e) \times 10^4 \right]
\]
\[
\text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.03000 \times \text{CO}_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_e) \times \text{CO}_{ea}
\]

b) For M85 (85% methanol, 15% indolene), \( \text{CH}_{3.41} \text{O}_{0.72} \), where \( x = 1 \), \( y = 3.41 \), and \( z = 0.72 \):
\[
\text{DF} = 12.02 / \left[ \text{CO}_{2e} + (\text{NMHC}_e + \text{CH}_4_e + \text{CO}_e + \text{ROH}_e + \text{HCHO}_e) \times 10^4 \right]
\]
\[
\text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.02705 \times \text{CO}_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_e) \times \text{CO}_{ea}
\]

c) For E100 (100% ethanol), \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH} \), where \( x = 1 \), \( y = 3 \), and \( z = 0.5 \):
\[
\text{DF} = 12.29 / \left[ \text{CO}_{2e} + (\text{NMHC}_e + \text{CH}_4_e + \text{CO}_e + \text{ROH}_e + \text{HCHO}_e) \times 10^4 \right]
\]
\[
\text{CO}_e = (1 - 0.02500 \times \text{CO}_{2e} - 0.000323 \times R_e) \times \text{CO}_{ea}
\]
**Attachment APPENDIX 1**

**LIST OF COMPOUNDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>COMPOUND</th>
<th>MIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00067-56-1</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00064-17-5</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Alcohols**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>COMPOUND</th>
<th>MIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00074-85-1</td>
<td>ethene</td>
<td>7.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00074-86-2</td>
<td>ethyne</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00074-84-0</td>
<td>ethane</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00115-07-1</td>
<td>propene</td>
<td>9.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00074-98-6</td>
<td>propane</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00463-49-0</td>
<td>1,2-propadiene</td>
<td>10.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00074-99-7</td>
<td>1-propyne</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00075-28-5</td>
<td>2-methylpropane</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00115-11-7</td>
<td>2-methylpropane</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00106-98-9</td>
<td>1-butene</td>
<td>8.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-butadiene,</td>
<td>10.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00106-97-8</td>
<td>n-butane</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00624-64-6</td>
<td>trans-2-butene</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00463-82-1</td>
<td>2,2-dimethylpropane</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-00-6</td>
<td>1-butyne</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00590-18-1</td>
<td>cis-2-butene</td>
<td>9.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00563-45-1</td>
<td>3-methyl-1-butene</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00078-78-4</td>
<td>2-methylbutane</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00503-17-3</td>
<td>2-butyne</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00109-66-0</td>
<td>1-pentene</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00563-46-2</td>
<td>2-methyl-1-butene</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00109-66-0</td>
<td>n-pentane</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00078-79-5</td>
<td>2-methylmethyl-1,3-butadiene</td>
<td>9.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00646-04-8</td>
<td>trans-2-pentene</td>
<td>8.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00558-37-2</td>
<td>3,3-dimethyl-1-butene</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00627-20-3</td>
<td>cis-2-pentene</td>
<td>8.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00689-97-4</td>
<td>1-buten-3-yne</td>
<td>9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00513-35-9</td>
<td>2-methyl-2-butene</td>
<td>6.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00542-92-7</td>
<td>1,3-cyclopentadiene</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00075-83-2</td>
<td>2,2-dimethylbutane</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00142-29-0</td>
<td>cyclopentene</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00691-37-2</td>
<td>4-methyl-1-pentene</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Light End and Mid-Range Hydrocarbons**

(Listed in approximate elution order)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>COMPOUND</th>
<th>MIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00760-20-3</td>
<td>3-methyl-1-pentene</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00287-92-3</td>
<td>cyclopentane</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00079-29-8</td>
<td>2,3-dimethylbutane</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01634-04-4</td>
<td>1-methyl-tert-butyl-ether</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00691-38-3</td>
<td>4-methyl-cis-2-pentene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-83-5</td>
<td>2-methylpentane</td>
<td>1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00674-76-0</td>
<td>4-methyl-trans-2-pentene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00096-14-0</td>
<td>3-methylpentane</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00763-29-1</td>
<td>2-methyl-1-pentene</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00592-41-6</td>
<td>1-hexene</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110-54-3</td>
<td>n-hexane</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13269-52-8</td>
<td>trans-3-hexene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07642-09-3</td>
<td>cis-3-hexene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04050-45-7</td>
<td>trans-2-hexene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00616-12-6</td>
<td>3-methyl-trans-2-pentene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00625-27-4</td>
<td>2-methyl-2-pentene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01120-62-3</td>
<td>3-methylcyclopentene</td>
<td>5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07688-21-3</td>
<td>cis-2-hexene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00637-92-3</td>
<td>1-ethyl-tert-butyl-ether</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00922-62-3</td>
<td>3-methyl-cis-2-pentene</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00590-35-2</td>
<td>2,2-dimethylhexanepentane</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00096-37-7</td>
<td>methycyclopentane</td>
<td>2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00108-08-7</td>
<td>2,4-dimethylpentane</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00464-06-2</td>
<td>2,2,3-trimethylbutane</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07385-78-6</td>
<td>3,4-dimethyl-1-pentene</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00693-89-0</td>
<td>1-methylcyclopentene</td>
<td>7.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00071-43-2</td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03404-61-3</td>
<td>3-methyl-1-hexene</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00562-049-2</td>
<td>3,3-dimethylpentane</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110-82-7</td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00591-76-4</td>
<td>2-methylhexane</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00565-59-3</td>
<td>2,3-dimethylpentane</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110-83-8</td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td>5.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00589-34-4</td>
<td>3-methylhexane</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02532-58-3</td>
<td>cis-1,3-dimethylcyclopentane</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00617-78-7</td>
<td>3-ethylpentane</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00822-50-4</td>
<td>trans-1,2-dimethylcyclopentane</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00592-76-7</td>
<td>1-heptene</td>
<td>3.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00540-84-1</td>
<td>2,2,4-trimethylpentane</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14686-14-7</td>
<td>trans-3-heptene</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00142-82-5</td>
<td>n-heptane</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date of Release: 2/13/96; second 15-day changes
Board Hearing: 9/28/95
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02738-19-4</td>
<td>2-methyl-2-hexene</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03899-36-3</td>
<td>3-methyl-trans-3-hexene</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14686-13-6</td>
<td>trans-2-heptene</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00816-79-5</td>
<td>3-ethyl-eis-2-pentene</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-39-1</td>
<td>2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene</td>
<td>2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10574-37-5</td>
<td>2,3-dimethyl-2-pentene</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06443-92-1</td>
<td>cis-2-heptene</td>
<td>5.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00108-87-2</td>
<td>methylcyclohexane</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00590-73-8</td>
<td>2,2-dimethylhexane</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-40-4</td>
<td>2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene</td>
<td>5.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01640-89-7</td>
<td>ethylcyclopentane</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00592-13-2</td>
<td>2,5-dimethylhexane</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS #</td>
<td>COMPOUND</td>
<td>MIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03221-61-2</td>
<td>2-methyloctane</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02216-33-3</td>
<td>3-methyloctane</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00100-42-5</td>
<td>styrene(ethenylbenzene)</td>
<td>2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00095-47-6</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td>6.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00124-11-8</td>
<td>1-nonene</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00111-84-2</td>
<td>n-nonane</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00098-82-8</td>
<td>(1-methylethyl)benzene</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15869-87-1</td>
<td>2,2-dimethyloctane</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04032-94-4</td>
<td>2,4-dimethyloctane</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00103-65-1</td>
<td>n-propylbenzene</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00620-14-4</td>
<td>1-methyl-3-ethylbenzene</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00620-96-8</td>
<td>1-methyl-4-ethylbenzene</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>10.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00611-14-3</td>
<td>1-methyl-2-ethybenzene</td>
<td>7.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00095-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>8.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00124-18-5</td>
<td>n-decane</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00538-93-2</td>
<td>(2-methylpropyl)benzene</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00135-98-8</td>
<td>(1-methylpropyl)benzene</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00535-77-3</td>
<td>1-methyl-3-(1-methylethyl)benzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005726-73-8</td>
<td>1,2,3-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>8.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00099-87-6</td>
<td>1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)benzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00496-11-7</td>
<td>2,3-dihydroindene(indan)</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00527-84-4</td>
<td>1-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)benzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00141-93-5</td>
<td>1,3-diethylbenzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00105-05-5</td>
<td>1,4-diethylbenzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01074-43-7</td>
<td>1-methyl-3-n-propylbenzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01074-55-1</td>
<td>1-methyl-4-n-propylbenzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00135-01-3</td>
<td>1,2-diethylbenzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01074-17-5</td>
<td>1-methyl-2-n-propylbenzene</td>
<td>6.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01758-88-9</td>
<td>1,4-dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00874-41-9</td>
<td>1,3-dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00934-80-5</td>
<td>1,2-dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02870-040-4</td>
<td>1,3-dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00120-21-4</td>
<td>n-undecane(hendecane)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00933-98-2</td>
<td>1,2-dimethyl-3-ethylbenzene</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00095-93-2</td>
<td>1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03968-85-2</td>
<td>(2-methylbutyl)benzene</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00527-53-7</td>
<td>1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27138-21-2</td>
<td>1-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-2-methylbenzene</td>
<td>5.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00488-23-3</td>
<td>1,2,3,4-tetramethylbenzene</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00538-68-1</td>
<td>n-pentylbenzene</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date of Release: 2/13/96; second 15-day changes
Board Hearing: 9/28/95
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00098-19-1</td>
<td>1-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-3,5-DMbenzene</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00091-20-3</td>
<td>naphthalene</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00112-40-3</td>
<td>n-dodecane</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carbonyl Compounds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAS #</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>MIR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00050-00-0</td>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00075-07-0</td>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-02-8</td>
<td>acrolein</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00067-64-1</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00123-38-6</td>
<td>propionaldehyde</td>
<td>6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00123-72-8</td>
<td>butyraldehyde</td>
<td>5.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00066-25-1</td>
<td>hexanaldehyde</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00100-52-7</td>
<td>benzaldehyde</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00078-93-3</td>
<td>methyl ethyl ketone (2-butanone)</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00078-85-3</td>
<td>methacrolein</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04170-30-3</td>
<td>crotonaldehyde</td>
<td>5.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110-62-3</td>
<td>valeraldehyde</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00620-23-5</td>
<td>m-tolualdehyde</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>Compound</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00050-00-0</td>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00620-23-5</td>
<td>m-tolualdehyde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00664-17-5</td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00666-25-1</td>
<td>hexanal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00667-56-1</td>
<td>methanol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00667-64-1</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0071-43-2</td>
<td>benzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0074-84-0</td>
<td>ethyne ethane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0074-85-1</td>
<td>ethene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0074-86-2</td>
<td>ethyne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0074-98-6</td>
<td>propane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0074-99-7</td>
<td>1-propyne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0075-07-0</td>
<td>acetaldehyde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0075-28-5</td>
<td>2-methylpropane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0075-83-2</td>
<td>2,2-dimethylbutane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0078-78-4</td>
<td>2-methylbutane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0078-79-5</td>
<td>2-methyl-1,3-butadiene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0078-85-3</td>
<td>methacrolein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0078-93-3</td>
<td>methyl ethyl ketone (2-butanone)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0079-29-8</td>
<td>2,3-dimethylbutane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0091-20-3</td>
<td>naphthalene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0095-47-6</td>
<td>o-xylene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0095-63-6</td>
<td>1,2,4-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0095-93-2</td>
<td>1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0096-14-0</td>
<td>3-methylpentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0096-37-7</td>
<td>methylcyclopentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0098-19-1</td>
<td>1-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-3,5-dimethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0098-82-8</td>
<td>(1-methylethyl)benzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0099-87-6</td>
<td>1-methyl-4-(1-methylethyl)benzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00100-41-4</td>
<td>ethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00100-42-5</td>
<td>styrene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00103-65-1</td>
<td>n-propylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00104-87-0</td>
<td>p-tolualdehyde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00105-05-5</td>
<td>1,4-diethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00106-97-8</td>
<td>n-butane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00106-98-9</td>
<td>1-butene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00106-99-0</td>
<td>1,3-butadiene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-00-6</td>
<td>1-butyne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-02-8</td>
<td>acrolein</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-39-1</td>
<td>2,4,4-trimethyl-1-pentene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Chemical Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-40-4</td>
<td>2,4,4-trimethyl-2-pentene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00107-83-5</td>
<td>2-methylpentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00108-08-7</td>
<td>2,4-dimethylpentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00108-38-3</td>
<td>m&amp;p-xylene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00108-67-8</td>
<td>1,3,5-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00108-87-2</td>
<td>methylcyclohexane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00108-88-3</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110-62-3</td>
<td>valeraldehyde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00109-66-0</td>
<td>n-pentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00109-67-1</td>
<td>1-pentene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110-54-3</td>
<td>n-hexane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110-82-7</td>
<td>cyclohexane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110-83-8</td>
<td>cyclohexene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00111-65-9</td>
<td>n-octane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00111-66-0</td>
<td>1-octene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00111-84-2</td>
<td>n-nonane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00112-40-3</td>
<td>n-dodecane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00115-07-1</td>
<td>propene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00115-11-7</td>
<td>2-methylpropene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00123-33-6</td>
<td>propionaldehyde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00123-72-8</td>
<td>butyraldehyde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00124-11-8</td>
<td>1-nonene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00124-18-5</td>
<td>n-decane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00135-01-3</td>
<td>1,2-diethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00135-98-8</td>
<td>(1-methylpropyl)benzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00141-93-5</td>
<td>1,3-diethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00142-29-0</td>
<td>cyclopentene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00142-82-5</td>
<td>n-heptane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00287-92-3</td>
<td>cyclopentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00463-49-0</td>
<td>1,2-propadiene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00463-82-1</td>
<td>2,2-dimethylpropane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00464-06-2</td>
<td>2,2,3-trimethylbutane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00488-23-3</td>
<td>1,2,3,4-tetramethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00496-11-7</td>
<td>2,3-dihydroindene (indan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00503-17-3</td>
<td>2-butyne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00513-35-9</td>
<td>2-methyl-2-butenone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00526-73-8</td>
<td>1,2,3-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00527-53-7</td>
<td>1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00527-84-4</td>
<td>1-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)benzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00535-77-3</td>
<td>1-methyl-3-(1-methylethyl)benzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00538-68-1</td>
<td>n-pentylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00538-93-2</td>
<td>(2-methylpropyl)benzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00540-84-1</td>
<td>2,2,4-trimethylpentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00542-92-7</td>
<td>1,3-cyclopentadiene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00558-37-2</td>
<td>3,3-dimethyl-1-butene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound ID</td>
<td>Compound Name</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00560-21-4</td>
<td>2,3,3-trimethylpentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00562-49-2</td>
<td>3,3-dimethylpentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00563-16-6</td>
<td>3,3-dimethylhexane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00563-45-1</td>
<td>3-methyl-1-butene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00563-46-2</td>
<td>2-methyl-1-butene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00563-78-0</td>
<td>2,3-dimethyl-1-butene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00565-59-3</td>
<td>2,3-dimethylpentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00565-75-3</td>
<td>2,3,4-trimethylpentane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00576-73-8</td>
<td>1,2,3-trimethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00583-48-2</td>
<td>3,4-dimethylhexane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00584-94-1</td>
<td>2,3-dimethylhexane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00589-34-4</td>
<td>3-methylhexane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2-dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl-cis-2-pentene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3,5-trimethylhexane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-dimethylheptane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-methyl-2-n-propylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-methyl-3-n-propylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-methyl-4-n-propylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-undecane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methylcyclopentene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-methyl-2-n-butylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-methyl-tert-butyl-ether</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethylcyclopentane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethylcyclohexane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,4-dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,6-dimethyloctane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2,5-trimethylheptane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,3-dimethylcyclohexane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-1,4-dimethylcyclohexane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-dimethylheptane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methylcycloptane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eoes cis-1,3-dimethylcyclopentane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methyl-2-hexene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-dimethyl-2-ethylbenzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-dimethylheptane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methylcycloheptane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl-1-hexene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2,3-trimethylhexane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methyl-trans-3-hexene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2-methylbutyl)benzene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,4-dimethylcycloheptane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-hexene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crotonaldehyde</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-heptene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,4-dimethyl-1-pentene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-octene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-3-hexene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-hexene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-dimethyl-2-pentene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-3-hexene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-octene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-heptene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-3-heptene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-4-octene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2-dimethylcycloheptane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1-eis,2-trans,
(1a,2a,3b)-1,2,3-trimethylcyclopentane
APPENDIX 2
DEFINITIONS AND COMMONLY USED ABBREVIATIONS

1. The abbreviations and definitions set forth in this section apply to Parts A through G of these test procedures:

ASTM = American Society for Testing and Materials

Carbon No. = number of carbon atoms in the hydrocarbon or organic compound being measured.

CH₃OH₄ = the methanol concentration in the dilution air as determined from the dilution air methanol sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1001, ppmC.

CH₃OHₑ = the methanol concentration in the dilute exhaust as determined from the dilute exhaust methanol sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1001, ppmC.

CH₄ₑ = the methane concentration in the dilution air, ppmC.

CH₄ₑ = the methane concentration in the dilute exhaust, ppmC.

C₂H₅OH₄ = the ethanol concentration in the dilution air as determined from the dilution air ethanol sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1001, ppmC.

C₂H₅OHₑ = the ethanol concentration in the dilute exhaust as determined from the dilute exhaust ethanol sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1001, ppmC.

CNG = compressed natural gas

COₑ = the carbon monoxide concentration in the dilute exhaust corrected for carbon dioxide and water removal, ppm.

COₑₑ = the carbon monoxide concentration in the dilute exhaust uncorrected for carbon dioxide and water removal, ppm.

CO₂ₑ = the carbon dioxide concentration in the dilute exhaust, %.

CVS = constant volume sampler
\[ D_{\text{phase } n} = \] the distance driven by the test vehicle on a chassis dynamometer during test phase \( n \) (where \( n \) is either 1, 2, or 3), mile.

\[ \text{Den}_{\text{ROH}} = \] density of alcohol, g/mL.

\[ \text{DF} = \] dilution factor (see Dilution Factor Calculation).

\[ \text{FID} = \] flame ionization detector

\[ \text{FID THC}_d = \] the total hydrocarbon concentration including methane and methanol (for methanol-fueled engines) or ethanol (for ethanol-fueled engines) in the dilution air as measured by the FID, ppmC.

\[ \text{FID THC}_e = \] the total hydrocarbon concentration including methane and methanol (for methanol-fueled engines) or ethanol (for ethanol-fueled engines) in the dilution exhaust as measured by the FID, ppmC.

\[ \text{FTP} = \] Federal Test Procedure

\[ \text{GC} = \] gas chromatograph

\[ \text{GC/MS} = \] gas chromatography/mass spectrometry

\[ \text{HC}_{\text{conc}} = \] net concentration of an HC compound in the dilute exhaust corrected for background per test phase, ppbC.

\[ \text{HC}_d = \] composite concentration of an HC compound in the dilution air (background) for all three test phases as determined from the composite dilution air sample using the procedure specified in Method No. 1002 and Method No. 1003, ppbC.

\[ \text{HC}_{\text{dens}} = \] mass per unit volume of an HC compound corrected to standard conditions \( (293.16^\circ \text{K} \text{ and } 760 \text{ mm Hg}) \) g/ft\(^3\).

\[ \text{HC}_e = \] concentration of an HC compound in the dilute exhaust per test phase as determined from the dilute exhaust sample using the procedure specific in Method No. 1002 and Method No. 1003, ppbC.

\[ \text{HC}_{\text{mass } n} = \] mass emissions of an HC compound per test phase \( n \) (where \( n \) is either 1, 2, or 3), mg.

\[ \text{HC}_{\text{wem}} = \] total weighted mass of an HC compound per mile, g/mile.
\[ \text{HCHO}_e = \text{formaldehyde concentration in the dilute exhaust as determined from the dilute exhaust carbonyl sample using the procedure specified in Method No 1004, ppm.} \]

\[ \text{HCR} = \text{the hydrogen-to-carbon ratio for the fuel used.} \]

\[ \text{HPLC} = \text{high performance liquid chromatography} \]
\( I_{vol_{cm}} = \) total volume of dilution air (background) bubbled through the impingers for all three test phases as measured during testing, liter.

\( I_{vol_{e}} = \) total volume of dilute exhaust bubbled through the impingers per test phase corrected to standard conditions (293.16°K and 760 mm Hg), liter.

\( I_{vol_{em}} = \) total volume of dilute exhaust bubbled through the impingers per test phase as measured during testing, liter.

\( I_{vol_{r}} = \) volume of the reagent used in an impinger, mL.

\( LOD = \) limit of detection

\( LPG = \) liquified petroleum gas

\( Mol. Vol. = \) molecular volume which is 24.055 liter/mole at standard conditions (293.16°K and 760 mm Hg).

\( Mol. Wt. = \) molecular weight of the compound being measured, g/mole.

\( NIST = \) National Institute of Standards and Technology

\( NMHC = \) non-methane hydrocarbons

\( NMHC_{\text{conc}} = \) the non-methane hydrocarbon concentration in the dilute exhaust corrected for background, ppmC.

\( NMHC_{d} = \) the non-methane hydrocarbon concentration in the dilution air corrected for methane and alcohol removal, ppmC.

\( NMHC_{\text{dust}} = \) the mass per unit volume of non-methane hydrocarbon corrected to standard conditions (16.33 g/ft³ at 293.16°K and 760 mm Hg assuming a C:H ratio of 1:1.85 for gasoline; 16.78 g/ft³ at 293.16°K and 760 mm HG assuming a C:H ratio of 1:1.94 for Phase 2 reformulated gasoline; 19.52 g/ft³ at 293.16°K and 760 mm HG assuming a C:H ratio of 1:3.78 for natural gas; and 47.28 17.26 g/ft³ for LPG at 293.16°K and 760 mm Hg assuming a C:H ratio of 1:2.64), g/ft³.

\( NMHC_{e} = \) non-methane hydrocarbon concentration in the dilute exhaust corrected for methane and alcohol removal, ppmC.
\[ \text{NMHC}_{\text{mass a}} = \] the mass emission of non-methane hydrocarbon per test phase \( n \) (where \( n \) is either 1, 2, or 3), g.

\[ \text{NMHC}_{\text{wm}} = \] the total weighted mass of non-methane hydrocarbon per mile for all three phases of the FTP, g/mile.

\[ \text{NMOG} = \] non-methane organic gases

\[ P_\text{bar} = \] barometric pressure during testing, mm Hg.

\[ \text{PID} = \] photoionization detector

\[ \text{PLOT} = \] porous layer open tubular

\[ R_\text{a} = \] the relative humidity of the ambient air, %.

\[ r_{\text{CH}_2\text{OH}} = \] the FID response factor to methanol (see CFR 40, 86.121-90(c)).

\[ r_{\text{CH}_4} = \] the FID response factor to methane (see Part B, "Determination of NMHC by FID").

\[ r_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}} = \] the FID response factor to ethanol (same procedure for methanol response factor, see CFR 40, 86.121-90(c)).

\[ \text{RHO} = \] generic symbol representing a carbonyl compound such as formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acetone, etc.

\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{conc}} = \] net concentration of a carbonyl compound in the dilute exhaust corrected for background per test phase, ppm.

\[ \text{RHO}_d = \] composite concentration of a carbonyl compound in the dilution air (background) for all three test phases, ppm.

\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{dens}} = \] mass per unit volume of a carbonyl compound corrected to standard conditions (293.16\(^\circ\)K and 760 mm Hg), g/ft\(^3\).

\[ \text{RHO}_e = \] concentration of a carbonyl compound in the dilute exhaust per test phase, ppm.

\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{mass a}} = \] mass emissions of a carbonyl compound per test phase \( n \) (where \( n \) is either 1, 2, or 3), g.

\[ \text{RHO}_{\text{wm}} = \] total weighted mass emissions of a carbonyl compound per mile, g/mile.
ROH = generic symbol representing an alcohol compound such as methanol or ethanol.

ROH_{conc} = net concentration of an alcohol compound in the dilute exhaust corrected for background per test phase, ppm.

ROH_d = composite concentration of an alcohol compound in the dilution air (background) for all three test phases, ppm.

ROH_{doln} = mass per unit volume of an alcohol compound corrected to standard conditions (293.16°K and 760 mm Hg), g/ft^3.

ROH_e = concentration of an alcohol compound in the dilute exhaust per test phase, ppmC.

ROH_{mass n} = mass emissions of an alcohol compound per test phase n (where n is either 1, 2, or 3), g.

ROH_{wm} = total weighted mass emissions of an alcohol compound per mile, g/mile.

SAE = Society of Automotive Engineers

SRM = Standard Reference Material

VMIX = the total dilute exhaust volume measured per test phase and corrected to standard conditions (293.16° K and 760 mm Hg), ft^3.

II. The following list is commonly used measurement abbreviations:

m = meter

cm = centimeter

µm = micrometer

µ = micron

L = liter

mL = milliliter

µL = microliter

ppb = parts per billion.

ppbC = parts per billion carbon equivalent.

ppm = parts per million.

ppmC = parts per million carbon equivalent.
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