

Appendix F: Documents Relied Upon

Proposed Zero-Emission Forklift Regulation

The following documents are the technical, theoretical, or empirical studies, reports, or similar documents relied upon in proposing these regulatory amendments, identified as required by Government Code, section 11346.2, subdivision (b)(3). Additionally, each appendix References the documents upon which it relies, as required by Government Code, section 11346.2, subdivision (b)(3).

I. Public Notice

1. Not Applicable (N/A).
2. Reidmiller, D.R., et al., Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II, Chapter 14, Human Health, U.S. Global Change Research Program, 2018 (web link: <https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/14/>).
3. McMichael, A.J., et al. (Eds.), Climate Change and Human Health: Risks and Responses, World Health Organization, page 12, 2003 (web link: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42742/924156248X_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y).
4. NRDC, Issue Brief: Climate Change and Health in California, page 3, February 2019 (web link: <https://www.nrdc.org/sites/default/files/climate-change-health-impacts-california-ib.pdf>).
5. Singleton, M.P. et al., Increasing Trends in High-Severity Fire in the Southwestern USA from 1984 to 2015, Forest Ecology and Management, Volume 433, 2019 (web link: https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_journals/2019/rmrs_2019_singleton_m001.pdf).
6. Kadir, T. et al. (Eds.), Indicators of Climate Change in California, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, August 2013 (web link: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/climate-change/document/climatechangeindicatorsreport2013.pdf>).
7. California Air Resources Board, Health & Air Pollution (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/health-air-pollution>, last accessed August 2023).
8. Mann, M.E. and Gleick, P.H., Climate Change and California Drought in the 21st Century, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, March 2015 (web link: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/epdf/10.1073/pnas.1503667112>).
9. Swain, D.L. et al., Increasing Precipitation Volatility in Twenty-First-Century California, Nature, 2018 (web link: <https://www.sierraforestlegacy.org/Resources/Conservation/FireForestEcolog>

[y/ThreatsForestHealth/Climate/CI Swain et al 2018 Increasing Precip Volatility.pdf](#)).

10. Dettinger, M., Climate Change, Atmospheric Rivers, and Floods in California—a Multimodel Analysis of Storm Frequency and Magnitude Changes, Journal of the American Water Resources Association, June 2011 (web link: <https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2011/climate-change-atmospheric-rivers-floods-california-dettinger.pdf>).
11. Lindgren, E. et al., Monitoring EU Emerging Infectious Disease Risk Due to Climate Change, Science, April 2012 (web link: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224856024_Monitoring_EU_Emerging_Infectious_Disease_Risk_Due_to_Climate_Change).
12. Solomon, G. et al, Airborne Mold and Endotoxin Concentrations in New Orleans, Louisiana, After Flooding, October through November 2005, Environmental Health Perspectives, September 2006 (web link: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1570051/>).
13. California Air Resources Board, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, page 184, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).
14. California Air Resource Board, Mobile Source Strategy, page 5, May 2016 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrsrc.pdf>).
15. California Air Resources Board, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, page 56, Figure 1-8: 2019 State GHG emission contributions by Scoping Plan sector, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).
16. California Air Resources Board, Staff Report for the Proposed Amendments to the In-Use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets Regulation, page 35, September 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2022/off-road-diesel/isor.pdf>).
17. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Powered Industrial Trucks (Forklift) eTool (web link: <https://www.osha.gov/etools/powered-industrial-trucks/types-fundamentals/types/classes>, last accessed August 2023).
18. N/A.
19. N/A.
20. N/A.

21. N/A.
22. Based on REMI Policy Insight Plus (v3.0.0), Local governments' share of State and Local government employment is 77 percent.
23. California Air Resources Board, Carbon Monoxide and Health (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/carbon-monoxide-and-health#:~:text=Carbon%20monoxide%20is%20harmful%20because,oxygen%20delivery%20to%20the%20brain>, last accessed on August 2023).
24. N/A.
25. The statewide average income tax rate varies over time. It averaged about four percent over the period of 2015-2022 based on historical personal income data. Specifically, statewide average income tax rate was calculated by dividing annual personal income tax revenue projections obtained from the May Revision of the California Governor's Proposed Budget for fiscal years 2017-2018 through 2023-2024, which are available through <https://ebudget.ca.gov/>, last accessed October 2023, and dividing by total personal income provided in the California Economic Forecast spreadsheet prepared by the California Department of Finance (web link: <https://dof.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/352/Forecasting/Economics/Documents/California-Economic-Forecast-MR-2023-24.xlsx>); the California Economic Forecast spreadsheet is also available through the Department of Finance's Economic Forecasts webpage at <https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/Economics/economic-forecasts-u-s-and-california/>, last accessed October 2023.
26. N/A.

II. Initial Statement of Reasons

1. CARB, 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, September 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf).
2. Reidmiller, D.R., et al., Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II, Chapter 14, Human Health, U.S. Global Change Research Program, 2018 (web link: <https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/14/>).

3. A.J. McMichael et al. (Eds.), Climate Change and Human Health: Risks and Responses, World Health Organization, page 12, 2003 (web link: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42742/924156248X_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y).
4. NRDC, Issue Brief: Climate Change and Health in California, page 3, February 2019 (web link: <https://www.nrdc.org/sites/default/files/climate-change-health-impacts-california-ib.pdf>).
5. Singleton et al., Increasing Trends in High-Severity Fire in the Southwestern USA from 1984 to 2015, Forest Ecology and Management, Volume 433, 2019 (web link: https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_journals/2019/rmrs_2019_singleton_m001.pdf).
6. Kadir et al. (Eds.), Indicators of Climate Change in California, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, August 2013 (web link: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/climate-change/document/climatechangeindicatorsreport2013.pdf>).
7. CARB, Health & Air Pollution (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/health-air-pollution> last accessed August 2023).
8. Mann, M. E. and Gleick, P. H., Climate Change and California Drought in the 21st Century, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, March 2015 (web link: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/epdf/10.1073/pnas.1503667112>).
9. Swain et al., Increasing Precipitation Volatility in Twenty-First-Century California, Nature, 2018 (web link: https://www.sierraforestlegacy.org/Resources/Conservation/FireForestEcology/ThreatsForestHealth/Climate/CI_Swain_etal_2018_Increasing_Precip_Volatility.pdf).
10. Dettinger, M., Climate Change, Atmospheric Rivers, and Floods in California—a Multimodel Analysis of Storm Frequency and Magnitude Changes, Journal of the American Water Resources Association, June 2011 (web link: <https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2011/climate-change-atmospheric-rivers-floods-california-dettinger.pdf>).
11. Lindgren et al., Monitoring EU Emerging Infectious Disease Risk Due to Climate Change, Science, April 2012, web link:

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224856024 Monitoring EU Emerging Infectious Disease Risk Due to Climate Change](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224856024_Monitoring_EU_Emerging_Infectious_Disease_Risk_Due_to_Climate_Change)).

12. Solomon et al, Airborne Mold and Endotoxin Concentrations in New Orleans, Louisiana, After Flooding, October through November 2005, Environmental Health Perspectives, September 2006 (web link: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1570051/>).
13. CARB, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, March 2017 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).
14. CARB, Mobile Source Strategy, May 2016 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrc.pdf>).
15. CARB, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, October 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-12/2020_Mobile_Source_Strategy.pdf).
16. Governor of the State of California, California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, July 2016 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-10/CSFAP_FINAL_07272016.pdf).
17. State of California Executive Department, Executive Order N-79-20, September 2020 (web link: <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/9.23.20-EO-N-79-20-Climate.pdf>).
18. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Powered Industrial Trucks (Forklift) eTool (web link: <https://www.osha.gov/etools/powered-industrial-trucks/types-fundamentals/types/classes>, last accessed August 2023).
19. N/A
20. CARB, 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, pages 89 and 87, September 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf).
21. Zhukov A., Review of the North American Lithium Forklift Battery Market: The 7 Most Popular Brands in the USA and Canada, OneCharge, October 2021 (web link: https://www.onecharge.biz/blog/review-of-the-north-american-lithium-forklift-battery-market-the-7-most-popular-brands-in-the-usa-and-canada/?utm_source=PR&utm_medium=Industry+Media&utm_campaign=Battery+review).

22. Summit ToyotaLift, Forklift Battery Types, May 2021 (web link: <https://www.summithandling.com/forklift-battery-types/>).
23. CARB, Mobile Source Strategy, May 2016 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrsrc.pdf>).
24. CARB, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, March 2017 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).
25. N/A
26. State of California Executive Department, Executive Order N-79-20, September 2020 (web link: <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/9.23.20-EO-N-79-20-Climate.pdf>).
27. CARB, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, March 2017 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).
28. N/A
29. CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).
30. CARB, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, October 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-12/2020_Mobile_Source_Strategy.pdf).
31. State of California Executive Department, Executive Order B-55-18, September 2018 (web link: <https://www.ca.gov/archive/gov39/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/9.10.18-Executive-Order.pdf>).
32. State of California Executive Department, Executive Order N-79-20, September 2020 (web link: <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/9.23.20-EO-N-79-20-Climate.pdf>).
33. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Powered Industrial Trucks (Forklift) eTool (web link: <https://www.osha.gov/etools/powered-industrial-trucks/types-fundamentals/types/classes>, last accessed August 2023).
34. N/A
35. N/A
36. N/A

37. CARB, 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, September 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf)
38. CARB, Potential Amendments to the Diesel Engine Off-Road Emission Standards: Tier 5 Criteria Pollutants and CO2 Standards (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/tier5/about>, last accessed October 2023).
39. CARB, Presentation for Public Workshop to Discuss Potential Changes to the Low Carbon Fuel Standard, February 22, 2023. (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/lcfs_meetings/LCFSpresentation_02222023.pdf).
40. Summit ToyotaLift, Forklift Battery Types, May 2021 (web link: <https://www.summithandling.com/forklift-battery-types/>).
41. Ibid.
42. Ibid.
43. Zhukov A., Review of the North American Lithium Forklift Battery Market: The 7 Most Popular Brands in the USA and Canada, OneCharge, October 11, 2021 (web link: https://www.onecharge.biz/blog/review-of-the-north-american-lithium-forklift-battery-market-the-7-most-popular-brands-in-the-usa-and-canada/?utm_source=PR&utm_medium=Industry+Media&utm_campaign=Battery+review).
44. Summit ToyotaLift, Forklift Battery Types, May 2021 (web link: <https://www.summithandling.com/forklift-battery-types/>).
45. Battle Born Batteries, The Truth About Lead-Acid vs. Lithium-Ion Batteries in RVs, March 2021 (web link: <https://battlebornbatteries.com/lead-acid-vs-lithium-ion-batteries/>).
46. Ziegler, M. and Trancik, J., Re-Examining Rates of Lithium-Ion Battery Technology Improvement and Cost Decline, Energy & Environmental Science, 2021 (<https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlepdf/2021/ee/d0ee02681f>).
47. Metzger, N. and Li, X., Technical and Economic Analysis of Fuel Cells for Forklift Applications, ACS Omega, 2022 (web link: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/epdf/10.1021/acsomega.1c07344>).

48. CARB, Available Zero-Emission Forklift Models, Version 1.1, September 2023 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-09/Available%20ZEF%20Models%20.xlsx>).
49. N/A
50. N/A
51. N/A
52. Gill, L. et al., 2021 SB 100 Joint Agency Report, Achieving 100 Percent Clean Electricity in California: An Initial Assessment, California Energy Commission, March 2021 (web link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/EFiling/GetFile.aspx?tn=237167&DocumentContentId=70349>)
53. CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).
54. California Public Utilities Commission, Fact Sheet: Electric PSPS Event Guidelines Update Decision, Approved May 28, 2020 (R.18-12-005) (Web link: https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/files/uploadedfiles/cpucwebsite/content/news_room/newsupdates/2020/psps-phase-ii-decision-fact-sheet-20201023.pdf).
55. California Public Utilities Commission, Protective Equipment and Device Settings, web link: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/wildfires/protective-equipment-device-settings>, last accessed September 2023).
56. California Public Utilities Commission, Resource Adequacy Homepage (web link: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/electric-power-procurement/resource-adequacy-homepage>, last accessed August 2023).
57. California Public Utilities Commission, Summer Reliability (web link: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/news-and-updates/newsroom/summer-2021-reliability>, last accessed September 2023).
58. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Microgrids (web link: <https://www.nrel.gov/grid/microgrids.html>, last accessed August 2023).
59. California Public Utilities Commission, Resiliency and Microgrids (web link: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/resiliencyandmicrogrids>, last accessed August 2023).

60. Beagle, E. et al., Fueling the Transition: Accelerating Cost-Competitive Green Hydrogen, Rocky Mountain Institute, 2021 (web link: <https://rmi.org/insight/fueling-the-transition-accelerating-cost-competitive-green-hydrogen>).
61. Plug Power Inc., Plug Power to Build Largest Green Hydrogen Production Facility on the West Coast, September 2021 (web link: <https://www.ir.plugpower.com/press-releases/news-details/2021/Plug-Power-to-Build-Largest-Green-Hydrogen-Production-Facility-on-the-West-Coast-2021-9-20/default.aspx>).
62. California Public Utilities Commission, Electricity Vehicles Rates and Cost of Fueling (web link: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/infrastructure/transportation-electrification/electricity-rates-and-cost-of-fueling>, last accessed August 2023).
63. California Public Utilities Commission, Advanced Strategies for Demand Flexibility Management and Customer DER Compensation, June 2022 (web link: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/-/media/cpuc-website/divisions/energy-division/documents/demand-response/demand-response-workshops/advanced-der---demand-flexibility-management/ed-white-paper---advanced-strategies-for-demand-flexibility-management.pdf>).
64. California Public Utilities Commission, Assigned Commissioner’s Scoping and Ruling for Rulemaking 21-06-017, November 2021 (web link: <https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Efile/G000/M422/K949/422949772.PDF>).
65. California Public Utilities Commission, CPUC Takes Action to Modernize Electric Grid for High Distributed Energy Resources Future, June 2021 (web link: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/news-and-updates/all-news/cpuc-takes-action-to-modernize-electric-grid-for-high-distributed-energy-resources-future>).
66. California Independent System Operator, Fast Facts: Impacts of Renewable Energy on Grid Operations, 2017 (web link: <https://www.caiso.com/documents/curtailmentfastfacts.pdf>).
67. Kintner-Meyer, M. et al., Electric Vehicles at Scale - Phase I Analysis: High EV Adoption Impacts on the Western U.S. Power Grid, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, July 2020 (web link: https://www.pnnl.gov/sites/default/files/media/file/EV-AT-SCALE_1_IMPACTS_final.pdf).
68. Ibid.

69. International Renewable Energy Agency, Innovation Outlook: Smart Charging for Electric Vehicles, 2019 (web link: https://www.irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2019/May/IRENA_Innovation_Outlook_EV_smart_charging_2019.pdf).
70. Zhang, J. et al., Value to the Grid from Managed Charging Based on California's High Renewables Study, 2018 (web link: <https://www.osti.gov/pages/servlets/purl/1494793>).
71. Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act, H.R 3684, 117th Congress (2021) (web link: <https://www.congress.gov/117/plaws/publ58/PLAW-117publ58.pdf>).
72. California Department of Transportation and California Energy Commission, National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure Program (web link: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/national-electric-vehicle-infrastructure-program-nevi>, last accessed August 2023).
73. California Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development, Electric Charging Station Permitting Guidebook, Second Edition, January 2023 (web link: <https://business.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/GoBIZ-EVCharging-Guidebook.pdf>).
74. California Energy Commission, Clean Transportation Program (web link: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/clean-transportation-program>, last access August 2023).
75. California Energy Commission, EnergiIZE Commercial Vehicles (web link: <https://www.energiize.org/>, last accessed July 2023).
76. N/A
77. N/A
78. Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, H.R. 5376, 117th Congress (2022) (web link: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5376>).
79. N/A
80. CARB, 2022 State Strategy for the State implementation Plan, pages 89 and 87, September 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf).
81. Toyota Material Handling, Forklift Fuel Options and Buying Considerations, March 28, 2023 (web link: <https://www.toyotaforklift.com/resource-library/blog/purchasing-decisions/forklift-fuel-options-and-buying-considerations>).

82. Atlantic Forklift Services, Pros & Cons: Electric, Propane, and Diesel Forklifts (web link: <https://www.atlanticforkliftservices.com/pros-cons-electric-propane-diesel-forklifts/>, last accessed July 2023).
83. N/A
84. CARB, CA-Greet Model: Version 3.0 Effective Jan 4, 2019 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/lcfs-life-cycle-analysis-models-and-documentation>, last accessed October 2023).
85. N/A
86. California Energy Commission, 2021 Total System Electric Generation (web link: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2021-total-system-electric-generation>, last accessed September 2023).
87. California Emission Project Analysis Model (CEPAM) Database, CEPAM2019v1.03 Standard Emission Tool, NOx Emission Data (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/applications/cepam2019v103-standard-emission-tool>, last accessed October 2023).
88. California Emission Project Analysis Model (CEPAM) Database, CEPAM2019v1.03 Standard Emission Tool, PM Emission Data (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/applications/cepam2019v103-standard-emission-tool>, last accessed October 2023).
89. California Air Resources Board, Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) Guidance 20-04: Requesting EER-Adjusted Carbon Intensity Using a Tier 2 Pathway Application, April 2020 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/guidance/lcfsguidance_20-04.pdf).
90. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Emerging Technologies Fact Sheet: Efficient Forklift Battery Charger, November 2009 (web link: https://www.pge.com/includes/docs/pdfs/mybusiness/energysavingsrebates/moneybacksolutions/grocery/fb_ib/forklift_battery_charger_fs.pdf).
91. Ibid.
92. CARB's LCFS Fuel Pathway Table (Last Updated September 14, 2023) (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/fuelpathways/current-pathways_all.xlsx).

93. Average CI based on renewable propane pathways in CARB's LCFS Fuel Pathway Table (Last Updated September 14, 2023) (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/fuelpathways/current-pathways_all.xlsx).
94. CARB, Low Carbon Fuel Standard Annual Updates to Lookup Table Pathways, 2023 Carbon Intensity Values for California Average Grid Electricity Used as a Transportation Fuel in California and Electricity Supplied Under the Smart Charging or Smart Electrolysis Provision, November 2, 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/fuelpathways/comments/tier2/2023_elec_update.pdf?_ga=2.151734078.620778680.1684166454-1990257940.1569343285).
95. N/A
96. United States Department of Energy, Alternative Fuels Data Center, Emissions from Electric Vehicles (web link: https://afdc.energy.gov/vehicles/electric_emissions.html, last accessed October 2023).
97. California Public Utilities Commission, Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) Program (web link: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/rps/>, last accessed July 2023).
98. N/A
99. Whaley, Steve, The Future is Now: Renewable Propane, Advanced Clean Tech News, January 13, 2023 (web link: <https://www.act-news.com/news/the-future-is-now-renewable-propane/>).
100. U.S. Energy Information Administration, Petroleum and Other Liquids, Release Date August 31, 2023 (web link: https://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet_cons_psup_dc_nus_mbb1_a.htm).
101. Baldwin, R. M. et al., Techno-Economic, Feasibility, and Life Cycle Analysis of Renewable Propane - Final Report, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, October 2022 (web link: <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/83755.pdf>).
102. For more information, visit <https://californiacore.org/>, last accessed October 2023.
103. CARB, Proposed Fiscal Year 2021-22 Funding Plan for Clean Transportation Incentives, October 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-10/fy21-22_fundingplan.pdf).

104. CARB, Proposed Fiscal Year 2022-23 Funding Plan for Clean Transportation Incentives, October 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-10/proposed_fy2022_23_funding_plan_final.pdf).
105. For more information, please visit <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/carl-moyer-memorial-air-quality-standards-attainment-program/about>, last accessed October 2023.
106. CARB, Carl Moyer Program Statistics: 2021 Reporting Cycle, February 24, 2023 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-02/2021%20Carl%20Moyer%20Program%20Statistics%2002-24-2023.pdf>). The document metadata date is February 24, 2023.
107. For more information, visit <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/community-air-protection-incentives/about>, last accessed October 2023.
108. For more information, visit <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/volkswagen-environmental-mitigation-trust-california/about>, last accessed October 2023.
109. CARB, Beneficiary Mitigation Plan For the Volkswagen Environmental Mitigation Trust, June 2018 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2018-07/bmp_june2018.pdf).
110. N/A
111. California Energy Commission, Energy Commission Announces Nation's First Incentive Project for Zero-Emission Truck and Bus Infrastructure, April 2021 (web link: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/news/2021-04/energy-commission-announces-nations-first-incentive-project-zero-emission-truck>).
112. California Energy Commission, CEC Approves \$384 Million Plan to Accelerate Zero-Emission Transportation, October 2020 (web link: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/news/2020-10/cec-approves-384-million-plan-accelerate-zero-emission-transportation>).
113. N/A
114. CARB, 2022 State Strategy for the State implementation Plan, page 2, September 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf).

115. CARB, Nitrogen Dioxide and Health (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/nitrogen-dioxide-and-health#:~:text=In%20addition%2C%20a%20number%20of,asthma%2C%20and%20intensified%20allergic%20responses>, last accessed September 2023).
116. CARB, Inhalable Particulate Matter and Health (PM2.5 and PM10) (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/inhalable-particulate-matter-and-health>, last accessed September 2023).
117. Reidmiller, D.R., et al., Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II, Chapter 14, Human Health, U.S. Global Change Research Program, 2018 (web link: <https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/14/>).
118. World Health Organization, Climate change and human health: risks and responses, p.12, 2003 (web link: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42742/924156248X_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y).
119. NRDC, Climate Change and Health in California, p.13, 2019 (web link: <https://www.nrdc.org/sites/default/files/climate-change-health-impacts-california-ib.pdf>).
120. Singleton et al., Increasing Trends in High-Severity Fire in the Southwestern USA from 1984 to 2015, Forest Ecology and Management, Volume 433, 2019 (web link: https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_journals/2019/rmrs_2019_singleton_m001.pdf).
121. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Indicators of Climate Change in California, 2013 (web link: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/climate-change/document/climatechangeindicatorsreport2013.pdf>).
122. CARB, Health & Air Pollution (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/health-air-pollution>, last accessed July 2023).
123. Mann, M. E. and Gleick, P. H., Climate Change and California Drought in the 21st Century, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, March 2015 (web link: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/epdf/10.1073/pnas.1503667112>).
124. Swain et al., Increasing Precipitation Volatility in Twenty-First-Century California, Nature, 2018 (web link: [https://www.nature.com/articles/nature18272](#)).

[https://www.sierraforestlegacy.org/Resources/Conservation/FireForestEcology/ThreatsForestHealth/Climate/CI Swain etal 2018 Increasing Precip Volatilit y.pdf](https://www.sierraforestlegacy.org/Resources/Conservation/FireForestEcology/ThreatsForestHealth/Climate/CI_Swain_etal_2018_Increasing_Precip_Volatilit y.pdf)).

125. Dettinger, M., Climate Change, Atmospheric Rivers, and Floods in California—a Multimodel Analysis of Storm Frequency and Magnitude Changes, Journal of the American Water Resources Association, June 2011 (web link: <https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2011/climate-change-atmospheric-rivers-floods-california-dettinger.pdf>).
126. Lindgren et al., Monitoring EU Emerging Infectious Disease Risk Due to Climate Change, Science, April 2012, web link: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224856024_Monitoring_EU_Emerging_Infectious_Disease_Risk_Due_to_Climate_Change).
127. Solomon et al, Airborne Mold and Endotoxin Concentrations in New Orleans, Louisiana, After Flooding, October through November 2005, Environmental Health Perspectives, September 2006 (web link: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1570051/>).
128. CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).
129. CARB, Mobile Source Strategy, May 2016 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrsrc.pdf>).
130. CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).
131. CARB, Staff Report for the Proposed Amendments to the In-Use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets Regulation, p.35, September 20, 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2022/off-roaddiesel/isor.pdf>).
132. CARB, 2022 Area Designations for State Ambient Air Quality Standards: Ozone, last updated November 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-02/State_2022_O3.pdf).
133. CARB, 2022 Area Designations for State Ambient Air Quality Standards: PM2.5, last updated November 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-02/State_2022_PM25.pdf).
134. CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).

135. CARB, Sustainable Freight Pathways to Zero and Near-Zero Emissions Discussion Document, 2015 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/Sustainable%20Freight%20Pathways%20to%20Zero%20and%20Near-Zero%20Emissions%20Discussion%20Document.pdf>).
136. CARB, Board Resolution 14-2, Sustainable Freight Strategy Update, 2014 (web link: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/board/res/2014/res14-2.pdf>).
137. Office of Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr., Executive Order B-32-15, 2015 (web link: <https://www.ca.gov/archive/gov39/2015/07/17/news19046/index.html>).
138. Governor of the State of California, California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, July 2016, (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-10/CSFAP_FINAL_07272016.pdf).
139. State of California Executive Department, Executive Order B-55-18, 2018 (web link: <https://www.library.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/GovernmentPublications/executive-order-proclamation/39-B-55-18.pdf>).
140. CARB, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, October 28, 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-12/2020_Mobile_Source_Strategy.pdf).
141. CARB, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, March 7, 2017 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).
142. CARB, California Air Resources Board Updated Health Endpoints Bulletin, October 20, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-11/California%20Air%20Resources%20Board%20Updated%20Health%20Endpoints%20Bulletin%20-%20Edited%20Nov%202022_0.pdf). The metadata date for the document is October 20, 2023.
143. U.S. EPA, Integrated Science Assessment for Particulate Matter (Issue EPA/600/R-19/188), December 2019 (web link: https://ordspub.epa.gov/ords/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_id=539935).
144. U.S. EPA, Technical Support Document (TSD) for the Final Revised Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update for the 2008 Ozone Season NAAQS, March 2021 (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021->

[03/documents/estimating_pm2.5- and ozone-attributable health benefits tsd march 2021.pdf](#)).

145. U.S. EPA, Integrated Science Assessment for Oxides of Nitrogen - Health Criteria (Issue EPA/600/R-15/068), January 2016 (web link: http://ofmpub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_id=526855).
146. CARB, CARB's Methodology for Estimating the Health Effects of Air Pollution (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/carbs-methodology-estimating-health-effects-air-pollution>, last accessed July 2023).
147. Fann, N., et al., The influence of location, source and emission type in estimates of the human health benefits of reducing a ton of air pollution, Air Quality, Atmosphere, & Health, 2009 (web link: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2770129/>).
148. Fann, N., et al., Characterizing the PM2.5-related health benefits of emission reductions for 17 industrial, area and mobile emission sectors across the U.S., Environment International; 49:141-151, November 2012 (web link: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412012001985>).
149. Fann, N., et al., Assessing Human Health PM2.5 and Ozone Impacts from U.S. Oil and Natural Gas Sector Emissions in 2025, Environmental Science & Technology, 52, pages 8095-8103, July 2018 (web link: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acs.est.8b02050>).
150. CARB, California Air Resources Board Updated Health Endpoints Bulletin, October 20, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-11/California%20Air%20Resources%20Board%20Updated%20Health%20Endpoints%20Bulletin%20-%20Edited%20Nov%202022_0.pdf). The metadata date for the document is October 20, 2023.
151. U.S. EPA, Technical Support Document (TSD) for the Final Revised Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update for the 2008 Ozone Season NAAQS, March 2021 (web link: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/documents/estimating_pm2.5- and ozone-attributable health benefits tsd march 2021.pdf).
152. U.S. EPA, Environmental Benefits Mapping and Analysis Program - Community Edition: User's Manual, 2023 (web link: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-04/documents/benmap-ce_user_manual_march_2015.pdf).

153. U.S. EPA, Technical Support Document (TSD) for the Final Revised Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update for the 2008 Ozone Season NAAQS, March 2021 (web link: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/documents/estimating_pm2.5- and ozone-attributable_health_benefits_tsd_march_2021.pdf).
154. N/A
155. Sears, M.R., et al., A longitudinal, population-based, cohort study of childhood asthma followed to adulthood, *New England Journal of Medicine*; 349:1414-1422, October 2003 (web link: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14534334/>).
156. McGeachie, M.J., et al., Patterns of Growth and Decline in Lung Function in Persistent Childhood Asthma, *New England Journal of Medicine*; 374:1842-1852, May 2016 (web link: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1513737>).
157. Fang, S.C., et al., A Systematic Review of Occupational Exposure to Particulate Matter and Cardiovascular Disease, *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*; 7: 1773-1806, April 2010 (web link: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph7041773>).
158. CARB, CARB's Methodology for Estimating the Health Effects of Air Pollution (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/carbs-methodology-estimating-health-effects-air-pollution>, last accessed July 2023).
159. U.S. EPA, Environmental Benefit Mapping and Analysis Program - Community Edition (BenMAP-CE) (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/benmap>, last accessed October 2023).
160. US. EPA, BenMAP Environmental Benefits Mapping and Analysis Program-Community Edition: User's Manual, March 2023 (web link: : https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2015-04/documents/benmap-ce_user_manual_march_2015.pdf).
161. California Air Resources Board, Valuation Estimates Spreadsheet. March 2023.
162. U.S. EPA, Technical Support Document (TSD) for the Final Revised Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update for the 2008 Ozone Season NAAQS, March 2021 (web link: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/documents/estimating_pm2.5- and ozone-attributable_health_benefits_tsd_march_2021.pdf).
163. N/A

164. U.S. EPA, An SAB Report on EPA's White Paper Valuing the Benefits of Fatal Cancer Risk Reduction (EPA-SAB-EEAC-00-013), 2000 (web link: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100JOK2.PDF?Dockey=P100JOK2.PDF>).
165. Ibid.
166. U.S. EPA, Mortality Risk Valuation: What value of statistical life does EPA use?, last updated March 14, 2023 (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/environmental-economics/mortality-risk-valuation>).
167. N/A
168. CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).
169. Executive Office of the President, Executive Order 13990: Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis, January 20, 2021(web link: <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/01/25/2021-01765/protecting-public-health-and-the-environment-and-restoring-science-to-tackle-the-climate-crisis>).
170. Office of Management and Budget, Circular A-4, September 2003 (web link: <https://www.transportation.gov/sites/dot.gov/files/docs/OMB%20Circular%20No.%20A-4.pdf>).
171. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, Medicine, Valuing Climate Damages: Updating Estimation of the Social Cost of Carbon Dioxide , 2017 (web link: <http://www.nap.edu/24651>).
172. Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases, Technical Support Document: Social Cost of Carbon, Methane, and Nitrous Oxide - Interim Estimates under Executive Order 13990, February 2021 (web link: https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/TechnicalSupportDocument_SocialCostofCarbonMethaneNitrousOxide.pdf).
173. Deshmukh, Aditya Anil, Assessment of Whole Body Vibration Among Forklift Drivers Using ISO 2631-1 and ISO 2631-5, July 2009 (web link: <https://soar.wichita.edu/bitstream/handle/10057/2530/t09038.pdf?sequence=1>).

174. Rion Equipment, The Advantages of an Electric Forklift (web link: <https://rionequipment.com/handling/blog/the-advantages-of-an-electric-forklift/>, last accessed July 2023).
175. Conger Industries Inc., 6 Health Risks for Forklift Operators and How to Prevent Them, updated June 19, 2023 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/health-risks-forklift-operators/>).
176. Conger Industries Inc., Top 10 Most Common Forklift Accidents (And How to Prevent Them), updated June 23, 2023 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/forklift-accidents/>).
177. Rion Equipment, The Advantages of an Electric Forklift (web link: <https://rionequipment.com/handling/blog/the-advantages-of-an-electric-forklift/>, last accessed July 2023).
178. Caro, F., et. al, Carbon-Optimal and Carbon-Neutral Supply Chains, University of California at Los Angeles, 2011 (web link: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3s01b6pg>).
179. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, EV Fleet Program (web link: https://www.pge.com/en_US/large-business/solar-and-vehicles/clean-vehicles/ev-fleet-program/ev-fleet-program.page, last accessed July 2023).
180. Southern California Edison, Charge Ready Transport Program (web link: <https://crt.sce.com/program-details>, last accessed July 2023).
181. San Diego Gas and Electric Company, Power Your Drive for Fleets (web link: <https://www.sdge.com/business/electric-vehicles/power-your-drive-for-fleets>, last accessed July 2023).
182. N/A
183. Lutsey, Nic, Transition to a Global Zero-Emission Vehicle Fleet: A Collaborative Agenda for Governments, International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT), September 2015 (web link: https://theicct.org/sites/default/files/publications/ICCT_GlobalZEVAlliance_201509.pdf).
184. California Energy Commission, 2021 Total System Electric Generation (weblink: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2021-total-system-electric-generation>, last accessed September 2023).
185. N/A

186. N/A
187. N/A
188. CARB, 2021 Annual Evaluation of Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle Deployment and Hydrogen Fuel Station Network Development, September 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-09/2021_AB-8_FINAL.pdf).
189. Ibid.
190. U.S. Department of Energy, All-Electric Vehicles (web link: <https://www.fueleconomy.gov/feg/evtech.shtml>), last accessed September 2023).
191. U.S. Department of Energy Hydrogen Program, Hydrogen Fuel Cells, October 2006 (web link: https://www.californiahydrogen.org/wp-content/uploads/files/doe_fuelcell_factsheet.pdf?msclkid=3dc431a0b5fb11ecbaf6a8ab4b1ad0b4).
192. Lutsey, Nic, Transition to a Global Zero-Emission Vehicle Fleet: A Collaborative Agenda for Governments, International Council on Clean Transportation (ICCT), September 2015 (web link: https://theicct.org/sites/default/files/publications/ICCT_GlobalZEVAlliance_201509.pdf).
193. N/A
194. N/A
195. California Air Resources Board, Off-Road Emissions Inventory (web link: <https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/offroad/>), last accessed October 2023).
196. U.S. EPA, MOVES and Mobile Source Emissions Research - MOtor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES) (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/moves>), last accessed August 2023).
197. California Air Resources Board, Off-Road Emissions Inventory (web link: <https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/offroad/>), last accessed October 2023).
198. U.S. EPA, MOVES and Mobile Source Emissions Research - MOtor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES) (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/moves>), last accessed August 2023).
199. Kintner-Meyer, M., et al., Electric Vehicles at Scale - Phase I Analysis: High EV Adoption Impacts on the Western U.S. Power Grid, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, July 2020 (web link:

https://www.pnnl.gov/sites/default/files/media/file/EV-AT-SCALE_1_IMPACTS_final.pdf).

200. N/A

201. N/A

202. CARB, Appendix H of the Staff Report for the Proposed Amendments to the Airborne Toxic Control Measure for In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets, and Facilities Where TRUs Operate (Approved for Adoption on February 24, 2022): 2021 Update to Emissions Inventory for Transport Refrigeration Units, July 2021 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/board/rulemaking/tru2021/apph.pdf>).

203. N/A

204. Search on October 29, 2022, of liquefied petroleum gas (i.e., propane) forklifts for sale on Machinery Trader website (web link: <https://www.machinerytrader.com/listings/search?Category=1036&PowerType=LPG>).

205. U.S. Office of Management and Budget, North American Industry Classification System, 2017 (web link: https://www.census.gov/naics/reference_files_tools/2017_NAICS_Manual.pdf).

206. CARB, Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA): Macroeconomic Appendix, Proposed Zero-Emission Forklift Regulation, released April 2023 (web link: <https://dof.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/352/2023/04/ZE-Forklift-SRIA-to-DOF.pdf>).

207. The DOORS database is known to underrepresent agricultural fleets; to account for this, staff used information from a 2017 agricultural-fleet survey to scale up the estimated proportion of affected agricultural forklifts relative to the total population of affected forklifts within the State (Citation: CARB, 2017 Agricultural Equipment Emission Inventory, August 2021 [web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-08/AG2021_Technical_Documentation_0.pdf]); all other industry shares are scaled down proportionally.

208. U.S. Census Bureau, North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), 2017 (web link: https://www.census.gov/naics/reference_files_tools/2017_NAICS_Manual.pdf)

209. Plug Power, Press Release: President Bush Views Fuel Cell Powered Lift Truck at Graftech Facility near Cleveland, July 10, 2007 (web link: <https://www.ir.plugpower.com/press-releases/news-details/2007/PRESIDENT-BUSH-VIEWS-FUEL-CELL-POWERED-LIFT-TRUCK-AT-GRAFTECH-FACILITY-NEAR-CLEVELAND-2007-7-10/>).
210. Zhukov A., Review of the North American Lithium Forklift Battery Market: The 7 Most Popular Brands in the USA and Canada, OneCharge, October 11, 2021 (web link: https://www.onecharge.biz/blog/review-of-the-north-american-lithium-forklift-battery-market-the-7-most-popular-brands-in-the-usa-and-canada/?utm_source=PR&utm_medium=Industry+Media&utm_campaign=Battery+review).
211. Ibid
212. Social Science Research Center at California State University, Fullerton, Survey of Large Spark-Ignited (LSI) Engines Operating within California, January 31, 2017 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-08/ssrc_2017.pdf).
213. American Electric Power, AEP Lift truck Cost Savings Calculator, Energy Conversion Hub (web link: <https://energyconversionhub.com/content/forklift-calculator>, last accessed September 23, 2022).
214. Conger Industries Inc., New & Used Forklift Prices: What You Can Expect to Pay in 2021, May 18, 2022 (web link: https://www.conger.com/new-used-forklift-prices/#_How_Forklift_Pricing_Is_Determined).
215. Ramsden, Todd, An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/articles/evaluation-total-cost-ownership-fuel-cell-powered-material-handling>).
216. Thomas, Pete, Is a Lithium Ion Forklift Battery Worth the Extra Expense?, Toyota Material Handling Northern California, October 4, 2018 (web Link: <https://www.tmhnc.com/blog/lithium-ion-forklift-battery-cost-and-runtime>).
217. McGuire, S., Lithium Ion vs Lead Acid Forklift Batteries: Which is Better for You?, Hy-Tek Intralogistics, January 13, 2023 (web link: <https://hy-tek.com/resources/lithium-ion-vs-lead-acid-forklift-batteries-which-is-best-for-you/>).

218. Social Science Research Center at California State University, Fullerton, Survey of Large Spark-Ignited (LSI) Engines Operating within California, January 31, 2017 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-08/ssrc_2017.pdf).
219. Ramsden, Todd, An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/articles/evaluation-total-cost-ownership-fuel-cell-powered-material-handling>).
220. Electric Power Research Institute, Inc., Forklift: Cost Comparison Calculator (web link: <https://forklift.epri.com/>, last accessed September 5, 2023).
221. American Electric Power, AEP Lift truck Cost Savings Calculator, Energy Conversion Hub (web link: <https://energyconversionhub.com/content/forklift-calculator>, last accessed September 23, 2022).
222. Hyundai Material Handling, True Cost Calculator: Is Electric Right For You? (web link: <https://www.hyundaiforkliftamericas.com/true-cost-calculator/>, last accessed September 5, 2023).
223. N/A
224. Nicholas, Michael, Estimating Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Costs Across Major U.S. Metropolitan Areas, The International Council On Clean Transportation, August 2019 (web link: https://theicct.org/sites/default/files/publications/ICCT_EV_Charging_Cost_20190813.pdf).
225. EvoCharge, The Difference Between Level 1 & 2 EV Chargers (web link: <https://evocharge.com/resources/the-difference-between-level-1-2-ev-chargers/>, last accessed August 2023).
226. High lift-capacity example: BYD Model ECB50 forklift with a lithium-ion battery pack and lift capacity of 10,700 pounds (upper end of regulatory applicability); standard charger requires a power input of 16 kW (80 volts x 200 amps); forklift specifications document: BYD, Forklifts Simplified (ECB 40,45, 50), September 22, 2023 (web link: <https://tri-lift.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/BYD-ECB-40-45-55-Spec-Sheet.pdf>). The document metadata date is September 22, 2023.

227. Conger Industries Inc., Lithium Forklift Batteries - The Complete Guide [Pros, Cons, Costs], June 19, 2023 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/lithium-forklift-battery/#lithium-ion-forklift-battery-cons>).
228. Summit ToyotaLift, Forklift Battery Types, May 2021 (web link: <https://www.summithandling.com/forklift-battery-types/>).
229. Zhukov A., Review of the North American Lithium Forklift Battery Market: The 7 Most Popular Brands in the USA and Canada, OneCharge, October 11, 2021 (web link: https://www.onecharge.biz/blog/review-of-the-north-american-lithium-forklift-battery-market-the-7-most-popular-brands-in-the-usa-and-canada/?utm_source=PR&utm_medium=Industry+Media&utm_campaign=Battery+review).
230. Ramsden, Todd, An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/articles/evaluation-total-cost-ownership-fuel-cell-powered-material-handling>).
231. N/A
232. N/A
233. California Energy Commission, Presentation - Transportation Energy Demand Forecast: 2021 IEPR Workshop on Electricity & Natural Gas Demand Forecast, December 2021 (web link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=240934>).
234. CARB, Battery-Electric Truck and Bus Charging Calculator, Version 4.0, last updated February 1, 2019.(web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-02/chargecalc_2.xls).
235. California Energy Commission, Presentation - Transportation Energy Demand Forecast: 2021 IEPR Workshop on Electricity & Natural Gas Demand Forecast, December 2021 (web link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=240934>).
236. California Energy Commission, Electricity Consumption by Entity (web link: <http://www.ecdms.energy.ca.gov/elecbyutil.aspx>, last accessed September 26, 2022).
237. Gaines, L.L. et al., Full Fuel-Cycle Comparison of Forklift Propulsion System, Argonne National Laboratory, October 2008 (web link:

- https://www1.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/pdfs/forklift_anl_esd.pdf).
238. Ramsden, Todd, An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/articles/evaluation-total-cost-ownership-fuel-cell-powered-material-handling>).
 239. Lean Inc., Forklift Maintenance Costs, April 11, 2021 (web link: <https://leanmh.com/forklift-maintenance-costs/>).
 240. Hyundai Material Handling, True Cost Calculator: Is Electric Right For You? (web link: <https://www.hyundaiforkliftamericas.com/true-cost-calculator/>, last accessed September 28, 2023).
 241. Conger Industries Inc., Forklift Maintenance: The Complete Guide for Maintenance Managers, March 10, 2023 (web link: https://www.conger.com/forklift-maintenance-guide/#_How_Much_Does_it_Cost_to_Maintain_a_Forklift).
 242. Raymond Intralogistics Solutions, Switching from Propane to Electric Forklifts (web link: <https://www.raymondwest.com/ic-vs-electric-forklifts>, last accessed April 2023).
 243. American Electric Power, AEP Lift truck Cost Savings Calculator, Energy Conversion Hub (web link: <https://energyconversionhub.com/content/forklift-calculator>, last accessed September 23, 2022).
 244. Electric Power Research Institute, Inc., Forklift: Cost Comparison Calculator, (web link: <https://forklift.epri.com/>, last accessed September 5, 2023).
 245. Ramsden, Todd, An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/articles/evaluation-total-cost-ownership-fuel-cell-powered-material-handling>).
 246. Conger, Electric Forklift vs. Propane: Which Is Better? January 5, 2023 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/electric-forklifts-vs-propane/>).
 247. CARB, Draft Regulatory Guidance: Low Carbon Fuel Standard Regulatory Guidance 17-02 - Methodology for Determining Electric Consumption of Electric Forklifts, 2017 (web link:

- https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/guidance/regguidance_17-02.pdf).
248. California Air Resources Board, Credit Price Series - January 2023, last updated February 14, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-02/Credit%20Price%20Series_Jan%202023.xlsx).
249. CARB, Monthly LCFS Credit Transfer Activity Report for September 2022, posted on October 11, 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-10/September%202022%20-%20Monthly%20Credit%20Transfer%20Activity.pdf>).
250. CARB, The LCFS Credit Price Calculator, version 1.3, last modified March 2019 (web link: <https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https%3A%2F%2Fww2.arb.ca.gov%2Fsites%2Fdefault%2Ffiles%2Fclassic%2Ffuels%2Flcfs%2Fdashboard%2Fcreditvaluecalculator.xlsx&wdOrigin=BROWSELINK>).
251. N/A.
252. N/A.
253. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Occupational Employment and Wage Statistics: May 2021 State Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates - California, last modified March 2022 (web link: https://www.bls.gov/oes/2021/may/oes_ca.htm#17-0000).
254. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, News Release: Employer Costs for Employee Compensation - September 2022 (USDLE-22-2307), for release on December 15, 2022 (web link: https://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/ecec_12152022.pdf).
255. CARB, Label Vendors for Off-Road Diesel Vehicles and Large Spark-Ignited (LSI) Equipment (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/label-vendors-road-diesel-vehicles-and-large-spark-ignited-lsi-equipment>, last accessed September 2023).
256. CARB, Public Hearing to Consider the Proposed Advanced Clean Fleets Regulation - Staff Report: Initial Statement of Reasons, August 30, 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2022/acf22/isor2.pdf>)
257. Nissan Motor Corporation, Nissan LEAF batteries to light up Japanese town, March 2018 (web link: <https://global.nissannews.com/en/releases/180322-01-e#:~:text=NAMIE%2C%20Japan%20%28March%202022%2C%202018%29%20>

[%E2%80%93%20Nissan%20and,used%20batteries%20from%20the%20Nissan%20LEAF%20electric%20car\).](#)

258. BMW Group, BMW Group, Northvolt and Umicore join forces to develop sustainable life cycle loop for batteries, October 15, 2018 (web link: <https://www.press.bmwgroup.com/global/article/detail/T0285924EN/bmwgroupnorthvoltandumicore-join-forces-to-develop-sustainable-life-cycle-loop-for-batteries>).
259. CARB, 2021 Agricultural Equipment Emission Inventory, August 2021(web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-08/AG2021_Technical_Documentation_0.pdf).
260. CARB, 2022 CARB Construction, Industrial, Mining and Oil Drilling Emissions Inventory, August 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-10/2022InUseDieselInventory.pdf>).
261. CARB, DOORS Online Reporting Tool (web link: https://ssl.arb.ca.gov/ssldoors/doors_reporting/doors_login.html, last accessed September 2023).
262. Social Research Center at CSU, Fullerton, Survey of Large Spark-Ignited (LSI) Engines Operating within California, January 31, 2017 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-08/ssrc_2017.pdf)
263. Wiggins Lift Co. Inc., Agricultural Equipment (web link: <https://wigginslift.com/>, last accessed September 2023).
264. U.S. Census Bureau, Census Business Builder - Business Profile: Other Commercial and Industrial Machinery and Equipment Rental and Leasing - California (web link: <https://cbb.census.gov/cbb/#industries=532490&view=report&clusterName=Other+Commercial+and+Industrial+Machinery+and+Equipment+Rental+and+Leasing&reportType=detailed&dynHeader=undefined&geold=06&geoType=state>, last accessed October 2023).
265. U. S. Census Bureau, Census Business Builder - Business Profile: Industrial Machinery and Equipment Merchant Wholesalers - California (web link: <https://cbb.census.gov/cbb/#view=report&industries=423830&clusterName=Industrial+Machinery+and+Equipment+Merchant+Wholesalers&geoType=state&dataVariable=179&dashboardVars=15-17-33-64¢erX=-13012639¢erY=4409690&level=5&theme=default&geold=06&dynHeader=Custom+Region>, last accessed June 2023).

266. California Department of Finance, United States Economic Forecast - May Revision Forecast (Annual & Quarterly), April 2023 (web link: <https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https://dof.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/352/Forecasting/Economics/Documents/United-States-Economic-Forecast-MR-2023-24-Flat-with-cpi.xlsx>).
267. California Department of Finance, California Economic Forecast - May Revision Forecast (Annual & Quarterly), April 2023 (web link: <https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https://dof.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/352/Forecasting/Economics/Documents/California-Economic-Forecast-MR-2023-24.xlsx&wdOrigin=BROWSELINK>).
268. California Department of Finance, United States-California Inflation Forecast: May Revision Forecast (by calendar year), April 2023 (web link: <https://view.officeapps.live.com/op/view.aspx?src=https://dof.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/352/Forecasting/Economics/Documents/US-CA-Inflation-Forecast-MR-2023-24.xlsx&wdOrigin=BROWSELINK>).
269. Based on REMI Policy Insight Plus (v3.0.0), Local governments' share of State and Local government employment is 77 percent.
270. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City and County Sales and Use Tax Rates, Rates Effective July 1, 2019 through December 31, 2019, September 22, 2023 (web link: <https://cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/Archive-Rates-07-1-2019-12-31-2019.pdf>). The document metadata date is September 22, 2023.
271. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Laws, Regulations and Annotations: Business Taxes Law Guide - Revision 2023, Sales and Use Tax Annotations, Annotation 275.0175.500 (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/lawguides/vol2/suta/275-0000-all.html#275-0175-500>, last access August 2023).
272. California Legislative Analyst's Office, Transportation, Frequently Asked Questions, last updated November 2022 (web page: <https://lao.ca.gov/Transportation/FAQs>).
273. N/A
274. California State Controller's Office, California Cities - Utility Users Tax Revenue and Rate, Fiscal Year 2016-2017, December 2018 (weblink: <https://sco.ca.gov/Files-ARD-Local/LocRep/2016-17%20Cities%20UUT.pdf>).
275. N/A

276. Based on REMI Policy Insight Plus (v 3.0.0), State government’s share of State and Local government employment is 23 percent.
277. The statewide average income tax rate varies over time. It averaged about four percent over the period of 2015-2022 based on historical personal income data. Specifically, statewide average income tax rate was calculated by dividing annual personal income tax revenue projections obtained from the May Revision of the California Governor’s Proposed Budget for fiscal years 2017-2018 through 2023-2024, which are available through <https://ebudget.ca.gov/>, last accessed October 2023, and dividing by total personal income provided in the California Economic Forecast spreadsheet prepared by the California Department of Finance (web link: <https://dof.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/352/Forecasting/Economics/Documents/California-Economic-Forecast-MR-2023-24.xlsx>); the California Economic Forecast spreadsheet is also available through the Department of Finance’s Economic Forecasts webpage at <https://dof.ca.gov/forecasting/Economics/economic-forecasts-u-s-and-california/>, last accessed October 2023.

278. N/A

279. N/A

280. California Air Resources Board, Low Carbon Fuel Standard 2023 Amendments, Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment, September 8, 2023 (web link: <https://dof.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/352/2023/09/LCFS-SRIA-to-DOF-ADA-Compliant.pdf>).

281. N/A

282. N/A

283. N/A

284. N/A

III. Appendix B-1: Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment

1. California Air Resources Board, Mobile Source Strategy, page 5, May 2016 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrsrc.pdf>).
2. N/A.
3. The Proposed Regulation is also described in the 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan (2022 State SIP Strategy) which builds on the measures and commitments already made in the 2016 State SIP Strategy.

California Air Resources Board, Proposed 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, August 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-11/Proposed_2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf).

California Air Resources Board, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, March 2017 (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).

Each reference was corrected to properly identify the author, title, and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

4. Executive Department, State of California, Executive Order N-79-20, 2020 (web link: <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/9.23.20-EO-N-79-20-Climate.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to add the author. The information relied upon has not changed.

5. U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Powered Industrial Trucks (Forklift) eTool (web link: <https://www.osha.gov/etools/power-industrial-trucks/types-fundamentals/types/classes>, last accessed August, 2023).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

6. N/A.
7. N/A.
8. N/A.
9. California Air Resources Board, Potential Amendments to the Diesel Engine Off-Road Engine Emission Standards: Tier 5 Criteria Pollutants and CO2 Standards (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/tier5>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected to add the author, title, and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been added.

10. N/A.

11. California Air Resources Board, In-Use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets Regulation (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/use-road-diesel-fueled-fleets-regulation/about>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected to add the author and title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been added.

12. California Air Resources Board, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to add the author, title, and date, and to correct the weblink. The information relied upon has not changed.

13. N/A.

14. California Air Resources Board, Low Carbon Fuel Standard - Public Workshop: Potential Regulation Amendment Concepts, CARB Presentation, February 22, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/lcfs_meetings/LCFS_presentation_02222023.pdf).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and to add the weblink.

15. N/A.

16. N/A.

17. N/A.

18. N/A.

19. N/A.

20. Governor of the State of California, California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, July 2016 (web link: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot->

[media/programs/transportation-planning/documents/main-document-final-07272016v2.pdf](#))

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author, the title, and the weblink. The information relied upon has not changed.

21. California Air Resources Board, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, released on March 7, 2017 (web link: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

22. California Air Resources Board, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, released on October 28, 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-12/2020_Mobile_Source_Strategy.pdf).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the date and remove the date last accessed. The date last accessed is not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

23. N/A.

24. N/A.

25. 42 United States Code (U.S.C.) 7543(e)(1)(A), effective November 15, 1990, and as defined by regulation (Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations [CFR], Part 1074, Section 10[a], effective December 8, 2008) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA).

This reference was corrected to identify the cited CFR section and to include the effective dates of both the U.S.C. and CFR sections cited.

26. N/A.

27. California Air Resources Board, DOORS (web link: https://ssl.arb.ca.gov/ssldoors/doors_reporting/doors_login.html, last accessed September 2023). DOORS is an on-line tool designed to help fleet owners report vehicle inventories.

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

28. California Air Resources Board, Draft 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, January 31, 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/Draft_2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf).

This reference was corrected to accurately identify the title and date, and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

29. California Air Resources Board, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, October 28, 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-12/2020_Mobile_Source_Strategy.pdf).

30. California Air Resources Board, Draft 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, January 31, 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/Draft_2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf).

31. Reidmiller, D.R., et al., Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II, Chapter 14, Human Health, U.S. Global Change Research Program, 2018 (web link: <https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/14/>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the authors, title, and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

32. McMichael, A.J., et al., Climate change and human health: risks and responses, World Health Organization, page 12, 2003 (web link: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42742/924156248X_eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the authors. The information relied upon has not changed.

33. NRDC, Climate Change And Health In California, page 3, February 2019 (web link: <https://www.nrdc.org/sites/default/files/climate-change-health-impacts-california-ib.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to provide a more detailed date. The information relied upon has not changed.

34. Singleton, M.P., et al., Increasing trends in high-severity fire in the southwestern USA from 1984 to 2015, Forest Ecology and Management,

Volume 433, Pages 709 – 719, February 2019 (weblink: https://www.fs.usda.gov/rm/pubs_journals/2019/rmrs_2019_singleton_m001.pdf).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author, title, date, and web link. The information relied upon has not changed.

35. California Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, Indicators of Climate Change in California, August 2013 (web link: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/climate-change/document/climatechangeindicatorsreport2013.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to more accurately identify the author. The information relied upon has not changed.

36. California Air Resources Board, Health & Air Pollution (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/health-air-pollution>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected to remove the date because the reference is not dated, and to add the date last accessed. The original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed.

37. Mann, M. E., & Gleick, P. H., Climate change and California drought in the 21st century, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, Volume 112, no. 13, March 2015 (web link: <https://www.pnas.org/doi/epdf/10.1073/pnas.1503667112>).

This reference was corrected to provide a more detailed title and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

38. Swain, D.L., et al., Increasing precipitation volatility in twenty-first-century California, Nature Climate Change, 2018 (web link: https://www.sierraforestlegacy.org/Resources/Conservation/FireForestEcology/ThreatsForestHealth/Climate/CI_Swain_et al 2018 Increasing Precip Volatility.pdf).

39. Dettinger, M., Climate Change, Atmospheric Rivers, and Floods in California – A Multimodel Analysis of Storm Frequency and Magnitude Changes, Journal of the American Water Resources Association, Volume 47, No. 3, June 2011

(web link: <https://ca.water.usgs.gov/pubs/2011/climate-change-atmospheric-rivers-floods-california-dettinger.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to provide a more detailed title and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

40. Lindgren, E., et al., Monitoring EU Emerging Infectious Disease Risk Due To Climate Change, *Science*, Volume 336, April 2012 (web link: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224856024_Monitoring_EU_Emerging_Infectious_Disease_Risk_Due_to_Climate_Change).

This reference was corrected to provide a more detailed title and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

41. Solomon G.M., et al., Airborne Mold and Endotoxin Concentrations in New Orleans, Louisiana, after Flooding, October through November 2005, *Environmental Health Perspectives*, Volume 114, No. 9, 2006 (web link: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1570051/#:~:text=Results,in%20nonflooded%20areas%20\(66%2C167%20vs\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1570051/#:~:text=Results,in%20nonflooded%20areas%20(66%2C167%20vs))).

This reference was corrected to provide a more detailed author and title. The information relied upon has not changed.

42. California Air Resources Board, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, page 184, November 2022 (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to remove the date last accessed, because the date last accessed is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

43. California Air Resources Board, Mobile Source Strategy, page 5, May 2016 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrc.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

44. California Air Resources Board, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality CARB, page 56, Figure 1-8: 2019 State GHG emission contributions by Scoping Plan sector, November 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

45. California Air Resources Board, Public Hearing to Consider Proposed Amendments to the In-Use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets Regulation, Staff Report: Initial Statement of Reasons, page 35, September 20, 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2022/off-road-diesel/isor.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to more accurately identify the title and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

46. N/A.
47. California Air Resources Board, Public Workshop to Discuss Development of a Regulation to Accelerate the Deployment of Zero-Emission Forklifts, September 2020 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/Notice%20for%20Zero-Emission%20Forklifts%20Workshop%20on%2010-07-20.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to add the date and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

48. N/A.
49. N/A.
50. California Air Resources Board, Zero-Emission Forklifts (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/zero-emission-forklifts>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

51. Contractors State License Board, Industry Bulletin - Attention Contractors Who Use Forklifts: Attend California Air Resources Board Meeting on Possible Regulations to Transition Forklift Fleets to Zero Emissions, February 18, 2022 (web link: https://www.cslb.ca.gov/Resources/IndustryBulletins/2022/22-02_CARB_Forklifts.pdf.)

This reference was corrected to add the title and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

52. California Air Resources Board, Public Workshop to Discuss Development of a Regulation to Accelerate the Deployment of Zero-Emission Forklifts, September 2020 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/Notice%20for%20Zero-Emission%20Forklifts%20Workshop%20on%2010-07-20.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to add the date and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

53. California Air Resources Board, March 10, 2022: Virtual Medium and Heavy-Duty Infrastructure Workgroup Meetings - Electricity and the Grid (Part 2) (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/advanced-clean-fleets/advanced-clean-fleets-meetings-events>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

54. California Air Resources Board, MS555 - New Programs and Regulations at the California Air Resources Board: Mobile Source Control, November 10, 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/events/ms555-new-programs-and-regulations-california-air-resources-board-mobile-source-control>, last accessed September 2023)

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated

55. Gooley, T., California's Zero-Emissions Mission, DC Velocity, September 2021 (weblink: <https://www.dcvelocity.com/articles/52494-californias-zero-emissions-mission>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author, title, date, and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

56. California Air Resources Board, Proposed Zero-Emission Forklift Regulation -, Summary of Recent Changes Made to the Draft Regulatory Language, July 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-07/Summary%20of%20Changes%20Made%20to%20Draft%20Regulatory%20Language%20ZE%20Forklift_ADA_07072022_0.pdf).

This reference was corrected to add the date. The information relied upon has not changed.

57. California Air Resources Board, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, released on March 7, 2017 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and date, and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

58. California Air Resources Board, California Ambient Air Quality Standards (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/california-ambient-air-quality-standards>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

59. California Air Resources Board, Nitrogen Dioxide and Health (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/nitrogen-dioxide-and-health>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

60. California Air Resources Board, Inhalable Particulate Matter and Health (PM 2.5 and PM 10), (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/inhalable-particulate-matter-and-health>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

61. California Air Resources Board, Mobile Source Strategy, May 2016 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrsrc.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title. The information relied upon has not changed.

62. California Air Resources Board, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, October 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-12/2020_Mobile_Source_Strategy.pdf).

63. California Air Resources Board, Off-Road Emissions Inventory (web link: <https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/offroad/>, last accessed October 2023).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and weblink and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

Note that while the OFFROAD2017 model is still the most current model for LSI forklifts, the new OFFROAD2021 web platform is currently used for all emissions inventories of off-road mobile sources in California.

64. United States Environmental Protection Agency, MOVES and Mobile Source Emissions Research (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/moves>, last accessed August 2023).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

65. California Air Resources Board, Off-Road Emissions Inventory (web link: <https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/offroad/>, last accessed October 2023).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and weblink and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

Note that while the OFFROAD2017 model is still the most current model for LSI forklifts, the new OFFROAD2021 web platform is currently used for all emissions inventories of off-road mobile sources in California.

66. United States Environmental Protection Agency, MOVES and Mobile Source Emissions Research (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/moves>, last accessed August 2023).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

67. Deshmukh, A.A, Assessment of Whole Body Vibration Among Forklift Drivers Using ISO 2631-1 AND ISO 2631-5, Thesis - Wichita State University, July 2009 (web link: <https://soar.wichita.edu/bitstream/handle/10057/2530/t09038.pdf?sequence=1>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

68. RAKA, The Advantages of an Electric Forklift, Rion Equipment Blog (web link: <https://rakagr.com/handling/blog/the-advantages-of-an-electric-forklift/>, last accessed July 2023).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

69. Conger Industries, Inc., 6 Health Risks for Forklift Operators and How to Prevent Them, updated June 19, 2023 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/health-risks-forklift-operators/>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and date and to remove the date last accessed because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

70. Conger Industries, Inc., Top 10 Most Common Forklift Accidents (And How to Prevent Them), updated June 23, 2023 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/forklift-accidents/>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author, title, and date and to remove the date last accessed because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

71. RAKA, The Advantages of an Electric Forklift, Rion Equipment Blog (web link: <https://rakagr.com/handling/blog/the-advantages-of-an-electric-forklift/>, last accessed July 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

72. Caro F. et al., Carbon-Optimal and Carbon-Neutral Supply Chains, UCLA Recent Work, October 2011 (web link: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/3s01b6pg>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author. The information relied upon has not changed.

73. N/A.

74. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, EV Fleet Program (web link: https://www.pge.com/en_US/large-business/solar-and-vehicles/clean-vehicles/ev-fleet-program/ev-fleet-program.page, last accessed August 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

75. Southern California Edison, Charge Ready Transport Program (web link: <https://crt.sce.com/program-details>, last accessed August 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

76. San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Power Your Drive for Fleets (web link: <https://www.sdge.com/business/electric-vehicles/power-your-drive-for-fleets>, last accessed July 2023)

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the

rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

77. California Air Resources Board, Carbon Monoxide and Health (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/carbon-monoxide-and-health#:~:text=Carbon%20monoxide%20is%20harmful%20because,oxygen%20delivery%20to%20the%20brain>, last accessed on August, 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

78. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Particulate Matter (Final Report, Dec 2019), EPA/600/R-19/188, 2019 (web link: <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/isa/recordisplay.cfm?deid=347534>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

79. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) for Particulate Matter (Final Report, Dec 2019) EPA/600/R-19/188, 2019 (web link: <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/isa/recordisplay.cfm?deid=347534>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

80. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Integrated Science Assessment for Oxides of Nitrogen - Health Criteria, EPA/600/R-15/068, January 2016 (web link: http://ofmpub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_id=526855).

81. CARB, CARB's Methodology for Estimating the Health Effects of Air Pollution (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/carbs-methodology-estimating-health-effects-air-pollution>, Last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected to add the author and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

82. Fann N., Fulcher C.M., Hubbell B.J., The influence of location, source, and emission type in estimates of the human health benefits of reducing a ton of air pollution, *Air Quality, Atmosphere, & Health*, 2:169-176, 2009 (web link: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2770129/>).
83. Fann N., Baker K.R., Fulcher C.M., Characterizing the PM2.5-related health benefits of emission reductions for 17 industrial, area and mobile emission sectors across the U.S., *Environment International*.; 49:141-151, 2012 (web link: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412012001985>).

This reference was corrected to properly reflect the date and page numbers. The information relied upon has not changed.

84. Fann N., et al., Assessing Human Health PM2.5 and Ozone Impacts from U.S. Oil and Natural Gas Sector Emissions in 2025, *Environmental Science & Technology*,. 52, pp 8095-8103, 2018 (web link: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.est.8b02050>).
85. Although emissions from forklifts can occur indoors, due to the lack of methodology for indoor exposure health quantification, we assumed that all forklift emissions occur or travel outdoors. A similar assumption for health impact quantification of indoor sources was made in a previous report by researchers at the University of California, Los Angeles:

Dr. Zhu, Y., et al., Effects of Residential Gas Appliances on Indoor and Outdoor Air Quality and Public Health in California, University of California, Los Angeles, Fielding School of Public Health, Department of Environmental Health Sciences, April 2020 (web link: <https://ucla.box.com/s/xyzt8jc1ixnetiv0269qe704wu0ihif7>).

This reference was corrected to add the author, title, and report date, and to remove the access date because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

86. U.S. EPA, [Appendix B: Mortality Risk Valuation Estimates, Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses](#), 2010 (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2017-09/documents/ee-0568-22.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and to remove the access date because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

87. U.S. EPA, Science Advisory Board, Environmental Economics Advisory Committee Panel for Review of the [White Paper: Valuing the Benefits of Fatal Cancer Risk Reduction \(EPA-SAB-EEAC-00-013\)](#), 2000 (web link: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100JOK2.PDF?Dockey=P100JOK2.PDF> ,).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and title, and to remove the access date because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

88. Chestnut, L. G., Thayer, M. A., Lazo, J. K., and Van Den Eeden, S. K., [The Economic Value Of Preventing Respiratory And Cardiovascular Hospitalizations](#), Contemporary Economic Policy, Volume 24: 127- 143, 2006 (web link: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1093/cep/byj007>).

This reference was corrected to remove the access date because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

89. California Air Resources Board, Appendix C, AB 197 Measure Analysis, Table C-16, 2022 Scoping Plan, November 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-11/2022-sp-appendix-c-ab-197-measure-analysis.pdf>.)

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and date and to remove the access date because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

90. Office of Management and Budget, [Circular A-4](#), September 2003 (web link: <https://www.transportation.gov/sites/dot.gov/files/docs/OMB%20Circular%20No.%20A-4.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the date and to remove the date last accessed because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

91. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, Medicine, Valuing Climate Damages: Updating Estimation of the Social Cost of Carbon Dioxide, The National Academies Press, 2017 (web link: <http://www.nap.edu/24651>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

92. U.S. Government, Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Greenhouse Gases, Technical Support Document: Social Cost of Carbon, Methane, and Nitrous Oxide Interim Estimates under Executive Order 13990, 2021 (web link: https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/TechnicalSupportDocument_SocialCostofCarbonMethaneNitrousOxide.pdf).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and title and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

93. N/A.

94. Search of liquefied petroleum gas (i.e., propane) forklifts for sale on:

Machinery Trader, Forklifts for Sale, (web link: <https://www.machinerytrader.com/listings/search?Category=1036&PowerType=LPG>, last accessed October 2022).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the title. The information relied upon has not changed.

95. N/A.

96. Executive Office of the President of the United States, Office of Management and Budget, North American Industry Classification System, 2017 (web link: https://www.census.gov/naics/reference_files_tools/2017_NAICS_Manual.pdf).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and the weblink. The information relied upon has not changed.

97. N/A.

98. The DOORS database is known to underrepresent agricultural fleets; to account for this, staff used information from a 2017 agricultural-fleet survey: to scale up the estimated proportion of affected agricultural forklifts relative to the total population of affected forklifts within the State; all other industry shares are scaled down proportionally.

California Air Resources Board, 2021 Agricultural Equipment Emission Inventory, Chapter 3.1, Statewide Survey, Outreach and Participation, 2021 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021->

08/AG2021_Technical_Documentation_0.pdf).

This reference was added to identify the author, title, date, and web link. The information relied upon has not changed.

99. [Reference Deleted]

This reference was corrected to reflect deletion, as this reference was not used.

100. Plug Power Inc., President Bush Views Fuel Cell Powered Lift Truck At Graftech Facility Near Cleveland, News Details, July 10, 2007 (web link: <https://www.ir.plugpower.com/press-releases/news-details/2007/PRESIDENT-BUSH-VIEWS-FUEL-CELL-POWERED-LIFT-TRUCK-AT-GRAFTECH-FACILITY-NEAR-CLEVELAND-2007-7-10/default.aspx>).

This reference was corrected to add the title and date, and to remove the date last accessed, because it's not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

101. Zhukov, A., Review of the North American Lithium Forklift Battery Market: The Seven Most Popular Brands in the USA and Canada, Material Handling Wholesaler (web link: <https://www.mhwmag.com/features/review-of-the-north-american-lithium-forklift-battery-market-the-seven-most-popular-brands-in-the-usa-and-canada/>, last accessed October 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

102. Zhukov, A., Review of the North American Lithium Forklift Battery Market: The Seven Most Popular Brands in the USA and Canada, Material Handling Wholesaler (web link: <https://www.mhwmag.com/features/review-of-the-north-american-lithium-forklift-battery-market-the-seven-most-popular-brands-in-the-usa-and-canada/>, last accessed October 2023).'

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

103. California State University, Fullerton, Social Science Research Center, Survey of Large Spark-Ignited (LSI) Engines Operating within California, January 31,

2017 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-08/ssrc_2017.pdf).

This reference was corrected to add the web link. The information relied upon has not changed.

104. American Electric Power, AEP Lift Truck Cost Savings Calculator (web link: <https://energyconversionhub.com/content/forklift-calculator>, last accessed September 2022).

This reference was corrected to add the author and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

105. Conger Industries Inc., New & Used Forklift Prices: What You Can Expect to Pay in 2021, updated May 2022 (web link: https://www.conger.com/new-used-forklift-prices/#_How_Forklift_Pricing_Is_Determined).

This reference was corrected to add the author and date, and to remove the date last accessed, because the access date is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

106. Ramsden, T., An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/56408.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to add the author and web link. The information relied upon has not changed.

107. Thomas, P., Toyota Material Handling Northern California, Is a Lithium Ion Forklift Battery Worth the Extra Expense?, October 2018 (web link: <https://www.tmhnc.com/blog/lithium-ion-forklift-battery-cost-and-runtime>).

This reference was corrected to add the author and date and to remove the date last accessed, because the last access date is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

108. Hy-Tek Intralogistics, Fight Night Heavyweight Bout: Lead-Acid vs Lithium-Ion: Which One is Better For Your Warehouse?, January 2023 (web link: <https://hy-tek.com/resources/lithium-ion-vs-lead-acid-forklift-batteries-which-is-best-for->

[you/](#)).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author, title, and date and to remove the date last accessed, because the last access date is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

109. California State University, Fullerton – Social Science Research Center, Survey of Large Spark-Ignited (LSI) Engines Operating within California, January 2017 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-08/ssrc_2017.pdf).

This reference was corrected to add the web link. The information relied upon has not changed.

110. Ramsden, T., [An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment](#), National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/56408.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to add the web link. The information relied upon has not changed.

111. California Energy Commission, Presentation - Transportation Energy Demand Forecast, Docket Number 21-IEPR-03, December 2021 (web link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=240934>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and title, and to remove the date last accessed, because the last access date is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

112. California Air Resources Board, Battery Electric Truck and Bus Charging Cost Calculator, version 4.0, February 1, 2019 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/battery-electric-truck-and-bus-charging-cost-calculator>).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the title and to remove the last-access date, because the date last accessed is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

113. California Energy Commission, Presentation - Transportation Energy Demand Forecast, Docket Number 21-IEPR-03, December 2021 (web link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=240934>).

This reference was corrected to properly identify the author and title, and to remove the date last accessed, because the date last accessed is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

114. California Energy Commission, Electricity Consumption by Entity (web link: <http://www.ecdms.energy.ca.gov/elecbyutil.aspx>, last accessed September 2022).

This reference was corrected to add the title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

115. Gaines, L.L., et al., Full Fuel-Cycle Comparison of Forklift Propulsion Systems, Argonne National Laboratory, Energy Systems Division, October 2008 (web link: https://www1.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/pdfs/forklift_anl_esd.pdf).

This reference was corrected to add the author and to remove the date last accessed, because the last-access date is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

116. Ramsden, T., An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/56408.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to add the web link. The information relied upon has not changed.

117. Walker, S., Forklift Maintenance Cost Calculator, Lean, Inc., April 2021 (web link: <https://leanmh.com/forklift-maintenance-costs/>).

This reference was corrected to add the properly identify the author, title, and date, and to remove the date last accessed, because the last-access date is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

118. Hyundai Material Handling, True Cost Calculator (web link: <https://www.hyundaiforkliftamericas.com/true-cost-calculator/>, last accessed August 2023).

This reference was corrected to add the author and title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

119. Ramsden, T., An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/56408.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the author. The information relied upon has not changed.

120. Adjusted for inflation of 16 percent between 2013 and 2021 to convert to 2021 dollars:

Webster, I., \$1 in 2013 is worth \$1.16 in 2021, Inflation Calculator (web link: <https://www.in2013dollars.com/us/inflation/2013?amount=1>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected to reflect an inflation rate of 16 percent between 2013 and 2021. This reference was also corrected to add the author and title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

121. Conger Industries Inc., Electric Forklifts vs Propane Forklifts: Which is Better?, January 2023 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/electric-forklifts-vs-propane/>).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the date has been updated.

122. Nicholas, M., Estimating electric vehicle charging infrastructure costs across major U.S. metropolitan areas, The International Council on Clean Transportation, August 2019 (web link: https://theicct.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/ICCT_EV_Charging_Cost_20190813.pdf).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the author. The information relied upon has not changed.

123. EvoCharge, The Difference Between Level 1 & 2 EV Chargers (web link: <https://evocharge.com/resources/the-difference-between-level-1-2-ev-chargers/>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

124. High lift-capacity example: BYD ECB50 forklift with a lithium-ion battery pack and lift capacity of 10,700 pounds (upper end of regulatory applicability); standard charger requires a power input of 16 kW (80 volts x 200 amps); forklift specifications available through:

BYD, Forklifts Simplified (ECB 40,45, 50), September 22, 2023 (web link: <https://tri-lift.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/BYD-ECB-40-45-55-Spec-Sheet.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the author, title, and date and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated. The document metadata date is September 22, 2023.

125. Ramsden, T., An Evaluation of the Total Cost of Ownership of Fuel Cell-Powered Material Handling Equipment, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, April 2013 (web link: <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/56408.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the author. The information relied upon has not changed.

126. N/A.

127. N/A.

128. California Air Resources Board, Draft Regulatory Guidance; Low Carbon Fuel Standard Regulatory Guidance 17-02; Methodology for Determining Electricity Consumption of Electric Forklifts, April 2017 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/guidance/regguidance_17-02.pdf).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the title and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

129. California Air Resources Board, Credit Price Series - January 2023, last updated February 14, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-02/Credit%20Price%20Series_Jan%202023.xlsx).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the title and date and to remove the previously identified access date because the date last accessed is not relevant for this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

130. Based on the average credit from the September 2022 Monthly LCFS Credit Transfer Activity Report from the following reference:

California Air Resources Board, Monthly LCFS Credit Transfer Activity Report for September 2022, posted on October 11, 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-10/September%202022%20-%20Monthly%20Credit%20Transfer%20Activity.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the author, title, and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

131. California Environmental Protection Agency, Air Resources Board, The LCFS Credit Price Calculator, version 1.3, last modified March 2019 (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/dashboard/creditvaluecalculator.xlsx>).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the author, title, and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

132. N/A.

133. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, May 2021 State Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates California, May 2021 (web link: https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_ca.htm).

This reference was corrected to more accurately reflect the title. The information relied upon has not changed.

134. Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S. Department of Labor, Employer Costs for Employee Compensation – September 2022, released December 2022 (web link: <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/ecec.toc.htm>).

This reference was corrected by adding details for the author, title, and date. The information relied upon has not changed.

135. California Air Resource Board, Label Vendors for Off-Road Diesel Vehicles and Large Spark-Ignited (LSI) Equipment (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/label-vendors-road-diesel-vehicles-and-large-spark-ignited-lsi-equipment>, last accessed August 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however the access date has been updated.

136. Conger Industries Inc., 28 Forklift Manufacturers: The Complete List, June 2021 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/forklift-manufacturers/>).

This reference was corrected to add the date of the webpage update. The information relied upon has not changed.

137. Nissan Motor Corporation, Nissan LEAF batteries to light up Japanese town, 2018 (web link: <https://newsroom.nissan-global.com/releases/180322-01-e?lang=enUS&la=1&downloadUrl=%2Freleases%2F180322-01-e%2Fdownload>).

This reference was corrected to remove the previously identified access date because the date last accessed is not relevant to this reference.

138. BMW Group, "BMW Group, Northvolt and Umicore join forces to develop sustainable life cycle loop for batteries.", October 2018 (web link: <https://www.press.bmwgroup.com/global/article/detail/T0285924EN/bmw-group-northvoltand-umicore-join-forces-to-develop-sustainable-life-cycle-loop-for-batteries>).

This reference was corrected to provide a date for the article and to remove the previously identified access date because the date last accessed is not significant for this reference.

139. Costs of used forklifts were estimated from www.conger.com and from used forklifts available for sale at www.equipmenttrader.com and www.unitedliftequipment.com, last accessed on March 3, 2023.

Conger Industries Inc., New & Used Forklift Prices: What You Can Expect to Pay in 2021, May 2022 (web link: <https://www.conger.com/new-used-forklift->

[prices/#new-prices](#)).

This reference was corrected to add the author, title, and date information for the article used from www.conger.com. The information relied upon has not changed.

140. California Air Resources Board, 2021 Agricultural Equipment Emission Inventory, August 2021 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-08/AG2021_Technical_Documentation_0.pdf)

This reference was corrected to remove the access date because the date last accessed is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

141. California Air Resources Board, 2022 CARB Construction, Industrial, Mining and Oil Drilling Emissions Inventory, August 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-10/2022InUseDieselInventory.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to remove the access date because the date last accessed is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

142. Based on REMI Policy Insight Plus (v3.0.0), Local governments' share of State and Local government employment is 77 percent.

A typographical error was corrected to reflect that the local government's share of State and Local government employment is 77 instead of 0.77 percent.

143. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City and County Sales and Use Tax Rates, 2019 (web link: <https://cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/Archive-Rates-07-1-2019-12-31-2019.pdf>).

This reference was corrected to accurately identify the author, title, and weblink. The information relied upon has not changed.

144. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Sales and Use Tax Annotations - 275.0000, Laws, Regulations and Annotations (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/lawguides/vol2/suta/275-0000-all.html#275-0175->

[500](#), Last accessed August 2023).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been added.

145. California Legislative Analyst's Office, Transportation, Frequently Asked Questions (web link: <https://lao.ca.gov/Transportation/FAQs>, last accessed September 2023).

This reference was corrected because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

146. N/A.

147. California State Controller's Office, California Cities Utility [Users Tax Revenue and Rate](#) Fiscal Year 2016-2017, December 2018 (web link: [https://sco.ca.gov/Files-ARD-Local/LocRep/2016-17 Cities UUT.pdf](https://sco.ca.gov/Files-ARD-Local/LocRep/2016-17%20Cities%20UUT.pdf)).

This reference was corrected to properly reflect the title and date and to remove the access date, because the date last accessed is not relevant to this reference. The information relied upon has not changed.

148. N/A.

149. Based on REMI Policy Insight Plus (v 3.0.0), State government's share of State and Local government employment is 23 percent.

150. N/A.

151. N/A.

152. For further information and REMI model documentation see:

Regional Economic Models, Inc., Models PI+ (web link: <https://www.remi.com/model/pi/>, last accessed August 2023).

This reference was corrected to add the author and title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete or was inaccessible. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

153. N/A.

154. California Department of Finance, Order of Adoption - Title 1, Division 3, Chapter 1: Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment for Major Regulations of the California Code of Regulations, December 2013.

This reference was corrected to identify the title and division of the specific California Code of Regulation chapter being cited.

155. California Department of Finance, Economic Research Unit, National Economic Forecast - Annual & Quarterly, November 2022.

This reference was corrected to remove irrelevant location details.

156. California Department of Finance, Economic Research Unit, California Economic Forecast - Annual & Quarterly, November 2022.

This reference was corrected to remove irrelevant location details.

157. California Department of Finance, Economic Research Unit, National Deflators: Calendar Year averages: from 1929 to April 2021, November 2022.

This reference was corrected to remove irrelevant location details.

158. California Department of Finance. Demographic Research Unit. Report P-3: Population Projections, California, 2010-2060 (Baseline 2019 Population Projections; Vintage 2020 Release), July 2021.

This reference was corrected to remove irrelevant location details.

159. N/A.

160. A gross margin of 10.0 percent is used, based on the average gross margin of propane merchants and wholesalers (NAICS 424720) from

[Bizminer](https://bizminer.com/), Industry Financial Profile, July 2022 (web link: <https://bizminer.com/>, last accessed September 2022).

This reference was corrected to accurately reflect the title and because the original file that was saved for the rulemaking record was not complete. The information relied upon has not changed, however, the access date has been updated.

161. N/A.

IV. Appendix C: Draft Environmental Impact Assessment

1. N/A.
2. N/A.
3. CARB, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, March 2017 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).
4. CARB, Mobile Source Strategy, May 2016 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrc.pdf>).
5. CARB, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, October 2021. (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-12/2020_Mobile_Source_Strategy.pdf).
6. California Department of Transportation et al., California Sustainable Freight Action Plan, July 2016 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-10/CSFAP_FINAL_07272016.pdf).
7. Governor's Interagency Working Group on Zero-Emission Vehicles, 2018 ZEV Action Plan: Priorities Update, September 2018 (web link: <https://static.business.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/2018-ZEV-Action-Plan-Priorities-Update.pdf>).
8. See CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, pages 187-189, December 2022 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).
9. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Powered Industrial Trucks (Forklifts) eTool (weblink: <https://www.osha.gov/etools/powered-industrial-trucks/types-fundamentals/types/classes>, last accessed October 2023).
10. Ibid.
11. N/A.
12. N/A.
13. 86 Federal Register 11849 (March 1, 2021), Executive Order 14017 of February 24, 2021, America's Supply Chains (web link: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2021-03-01/pdf/2021-04280.pdf>).

14. Industrial Truck Association, United States Factory Shipments, 1997 through 2022, October 17, 2023 (web link: <https://www.indtrk.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Factory-Shipments-Table-2023-Directory.pdf>). The document metadata date is October 17, 2023.
15. Toyota Material Handling, Forklift Fuel Options and Buying Considerations, March 28, 2023 (web link: <https://www.toyotaforklift.com/resource-library/blog/purchasing-decisions/forklift-fuel-options-and-buying-considerations>).
16. Atlantic Forklift Services, Pros & Cons: Electric, Propane, and Diesel Forklifts (web link: <https://www.atlanticforkliftservices.com/pros-cons-electric-propane-diesel-forklifts/>, last accessed October 2023).
17. CARB, 2017 Off-Road Diesel Emission Factor Update for NOx and PM, October 17, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/msei/ordiesel/ordas_ef_fcf_2017.pdf). The document metadata date is October 17, 2023.
18. CARB, Overview: Diesel Exhaust & Health (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/overview-diesel-exhaust-and-health>, last accessed October 2023).
19. CARB, Appendix H of the Staff Report for the Proposed Amendments to the Airborne Toxic Control Measure for In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets, and Facilities Where TRUs Operate (Approved for Adoption on February 24, 2022): 2021 Update to Emissions Inventory for Transport Refrigeration Units, July 2021 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/board/rulemaking/tru2021/aph.pdf>).
20. N/A.
21. See Fowle, M., California's Duck-Belly Blues. Energy Institute at Haas, March 2023. (web link: <https://energyathaas.wordpress.com/2023/03/13/californias-duck-belly-blues/>).
22. Alexander M. et al., Assembly Bill 2127 Electric Vehicle Charging Infrastructure Assessment: Analyzing Charging Needs to Support Zero-Emission Vehicles in 2030 (Commission Report), California Energy Commission, July 2021, California Energy Commission Publication Number: CEC-600-2021-001-CMR. (web link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/getdocument.aspx?tn=238853>).

23. Picon, A., Renewable Energy: Why Electric Vehicles Won't Break the Grid, E&E News, Scientific American, September 2022 (web link <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/why-electric-vehicles-wont-break-the-grid/>).
24. Kintner-Meyer, M. et al., Electric Vehicles at Scale - Phase I Analysis: High EV Adoption Impacts on the Western U.S. Power Grid, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, July 2020 (web link: https://www.pnnl.gov/sites/default/files/media/file/EV-AT-SCALE_1_IMPACTS_final.pdf).
25. Ibid.
26. California Energy Commission, Renewables Portfolio Standard- Verification and Compliance (web link: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/renewables-portfolio-standard/renewables-portfolio-standard>, last accessed October 2023).
27. N/A.
28. California Energy Commission, Tracking Progress (Renewable Energy), February 2020. (weblink: https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/renewable_ada.pdf).
29. N/A.
30. N/A.
31. N/A.
32. N/A.
33. CARB, CA-Greet 3.0 Model, Effective January 4, 2019 (web link: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/ca-greet/ca-greet30-corrected.xlsm>) available from CARB's LCFS Life Cycle Analysis Models and Documentation website (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/lcfs-life-cycle-analysis-models-and-documentation>, last accessed October 2023).
34. N/A.
35. California Energy Commission, 2021 Total System Electric Generation (web link: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2021-total-system-electric-generation>, last accessed October 2023).

36. CARB, CEPAM Database, CEPAM2019v1.03 - Standard Emission Tool (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/applications/cepam2019v103-standard-emission-tool>, last accessed October 2023).
37. N/A.
38. CARB, Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) Guidance 20-04: Requesting EER-Adjusted Carbon Intensity Using a Tier 2 Pathway Application, April 2020 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/guidance/lcfsguidance_20-04.pdf).
39. Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Emerging Technologies Fact Sheet: Efficient Forklift Battery Charger, November 2009 (web link: https://www.pge.com/includes/docs/pdfs/mybusiness/energysavingsrebates/moneybacksolutions/grocery/fb_ib/forklift_battery_charger_fs.pdf).
40. Kelly, J.C. et al., "Energy, Greenhouse Gas, and Water Life Cycle Analysis of Lithium Carbonate and Lithium Hydroxide Monohydrate from Brine and Ore Resources and Their Use in Lithium Ion Battery Cathodes and Lithium Ion Batteries," Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 174 (2021): 105762. (web link: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105762>).
41. Ambrose, H. and Kendall, A., Life Cycle Modeling of Technologies and Strategies for a f System in California, National Center for Sustainable Transportation, November 2019 (web link: https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/53769/dot_53769_DS1.pdf).
42. Ricardo Inc., Life Cycle Analysis Comparison: Electric and Internal Combustion Engine Vehicles, Fuels Institute, January 2022. (weblink: https://transportationenergy.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/FI_Report_Lifecycle_FINAL.pdf).
43. Kelly, J.C. et al., Globally Regional Life Cycle Analysis of Automotive Lithium-Ion Nickel Manganese Cobalt Batteries, Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, corrected publication May 2020. (web link: <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s11027-019-09869-2.pdf>).
44. Earl, T. et al., Analysis of Long Haul Battery Electric Trucks in EU: Marketplace and Technology, Economic, Environmental, and Policy Perspectives, amended paper August 2018 (web link: https://www.transportenvironment.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/20180725_T&E_Battery_Electric_Trucks_EU_FINAL.pdf).

45. N/A.
46. Fox, Kayla, Environmental Impacts of Lithium Extraction, November 6, 2020. (weblink: <https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/0898df4b1f7e475ab49a4ae23aaed426/p rint>, accessed May 2023).
47. Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, Standard Procedures for the Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources, 2010. (web link: https://vertpaleo.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/SVP_Impact_Mitigation_Guidelines.pdf, last accessed March 17, 2022).
48. N/A.
49. California Energy Commission, Renewables Portfolio Standard - Verification and Compliance (weblink: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/renewables-portfolio-standard/renewables-portfolio-standard>, last accessed October 2023).
50. N/A.
51. California Energy Commission, Tracking Progress - Renewable Energy, February 2020. (weblink: https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/renewable_ada.pdf).
52. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Influx of Electric Vehicles Accelerates Need for Grid Planning (News Release), July 2020 (weblink: <https://www.pnnl.gov/news-media/influx-electric-vehicles-accelerates-need-grid-planning>).
53. California Public Utilities Commission, Electric Procurement and Generation (weblink: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/electric-power-procurement>, last accessed October 2023).
54. California Energy Commission, Electric Generation Capacity and Energy (weblink: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/electric-generation-capacity-and-energy>, accessed October 2023).
55. Kinhal, Vijayalaxmi, How Does Mining Affect the Environment. (weblink: https://greenliving.lovetoknow.com/How_Does_Mining_Affect_the_Environment, last accessed May 2023).

56. Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, CEQA Guide, February 2021. (weblink: <http://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/Ch6GHG2-26-2021.pdf>).
57. Bay Area Air Quality Management District, CEQA Air Quality Guidelines, 2017. (weblink: https://www.baaqmd.gov/~media/files/planning-and-research/ceqa/ceqa_guidelines_may2017-pdf.pdf?la=en).
58. South Coast Air Quality Management District. Draft Guidance Document - Interim CEQA Greenhouse Gas Significance Threshold, 2008. (weblink: [http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-\(ghg\)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/ghgattachmente.pdf](http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/ghgattachmente.pdf)).
59. Placer County Air Pollution Control District, CalEEMod Model, <https://www.placerair.org/1808/Recommended-CEQA-Modeling-Analysis-Tools>
60. Elgowainy, A. et al., Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions for Small Sport Utility Vehicles, United States Department of Energy, approved September 2021 (web link: <https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/docs/hydrogenprogramlibraries/pdfs/21003-life-cycle-ghg-emissions-small-suvs.pdf>).
61. Kelly, J. C. et al., Energy, Greenhouse Gas, and Water Life Cycle Analysis of Lithium Carbonate and Lithium Hydroxide Monohydrate from Brine and Ore Resources and Their Use in Lithium Ion Battery Cathodes and Lithium Ion Batteries, Resources, Conservation and Recycling, November 2021 (web link: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.105762>).
62. International Energy Agency, Comparative Life-Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of a Mid-Size BEV and ICE Vehicle, last updated May 2021 (web link: <https://www.iea.org/data-and-statistics/charts/comparative-life-cycle-greenhouse-gas-emissions-of-a-mid-size-bev-and-ice-vehicle>).
63. O'Connell, A. et al., White Paper: A Comparison of the Life-Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions of European Heavy-Duty Vehicles and Fuels, The International Council on Clean Transportation, February 2023 (web link: <https://theicct.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/lca-ghg-emissions-hdv-fuels-europe-feb23.pdf>).
64. N/A.

65. CARB, Low Carbon Fuel Standard Current Fuel Pathways spreadsheet, last updated September 14, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/fuelpathways/current-pathways_all.xlsx).
66. Average CI based on renewable propane pathways in the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Current Fuel Pathways spreadsheet, last updated September 14, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/fuelpathways/current-pathways_all.xlsx).
67. CARB, Low Carbon Fuel Standard Annual Updates to Lookup Table Pathways: 2023 Carbon Intensity Values for California Average Grid Electricity Used as a Transportation Fuel in California and Electricity Supplied Under the Smart Charging or Smart Electrolysis Provision, November 2022 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/fuelpathways/comments/tier2/2023_elec_update.pdf).
68. California Energy Commission, Tracking Progress - Renewable Energy, February 2020 (web link: https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/renewable_ada.pdf).
69. N/A.
70. Jacoby, Mitch, It's Time to Get Serious About Recycling Lithium-Ion Batteries, July 14, 2019. (weblink: <https://cen.acs.org/materials/energy-storage/time-serious-recycling-lithium/97/i28>, last accessed August 8, 2022).
71. Sepadi et al., Platinum Mine Workers' Exposure to Dust Particles Emitted at Mine Waste Rock Crusher Plants in Limpopo, South Africa, 2020. (weblink: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7014327/>).
72. Zeng et al., Solving Spent Lithium-Ion Battery Problems in China: Opportunities and Challenges, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 52, pp. 1759-1767, 2015.
73. Battery University, BU-304a: Safety Concerns with Li-Ion, updated February 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://batteryuniversity.com/article/bu-304a-safety-concerns-with-li-ion>, accessed August 8, 2022).
74. Health and Safety Executive, Fuel Cells: Understand the Hazards, Control the Risks, 2004.
75. European Parliament, Impact of Shale Gas and Shale Oil Extraction on the Environment and on Human Health, 2012. (web link:

<https://www.europarl.europa.eu/document/activities/cont/201312/20131205A TT75545/20131205ATT75545EN.pdf>).

76. Environmental Health Perspectives, Salting the Earth: The Environmental Impact of Oil and Gas Wastewater Spills, December 2016. (web link: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311243994_Salting_the_Earth_The_Environmental_Impact_of_Oil_and_Gas_Wastewater_Spills, last accessed August 11, 2021).
77. Friends of the Earth, Lithium, 2013. (web link: https://www.foeeurope.org/sites/default/files/publications/13_factsheet-lithium-gb.pdf, last accessed August 11, 2021).
78. U.S. Geological Survey, Lithium Mineral Commodity Summaries. January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-lithium.pdf>, last accessed April 10, 2023).
79. Ibid.
80. Ibid.
81. 86 Federal Register 11849 (March 1, 2021), Executive Order 14017 of February 24, 2021, America's Supply Chains (web link: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2021-03-01/pdf/2021-04280.pdf>).
82. U.S. Geological Survey, Graphite Mineral Commodity Summaries. January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-graphite.pdf>, last accessed April 10, 2023).
83. U.S. Geological Survey, Cobalt Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-cobalt.pdf>, last accessed April 10, 2023).
84. Ibid.
85. Ibid.
86. U.S. Geological Survey, Nickel Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-nickel.pdf>, last accessed April 10, 2023).
87. Ibid.
88. Ibid.

89. U.S. Geological Survey, Copper Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-copper.pdf>, last accessed April 11, 2023).
90. Ibid.
91. U.S. Geological Survey, Manganese Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-manganese.pdf>, last accessed April 11, 2023).
92. U.S. Geological Survey, Chromium Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-chromium.pdf>, last accessed April 11, 2023).
93. U.S. Geological Survey, Zinc Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-zinc.pdf>, last accessed April 11, 2023).
94. U.S. Geological Survey, Platinum-Group Metals Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-platinum-group.pdf>, last accessed April 12, 2023).
95. Ibid.
96. Ibid.
97. Ibid.
98. U.S. Geological Survey, Iron Ore-Group Metals Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-iron-ore.pdf>, last accessed June 5, 2023).
99. Ibid.
100. Ibid.
101. U.S. Geological Survey, Lead-Group Metals Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-lead.pdf>, last accessed June 5, 2023).
102. Ibid.
103. U.S. Geological Survey, Aluminum-Group Metals Mineral Commodity Survey, January 2023. (web link:

<https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2023/mcs2023-aluminum.pdf>, last accessed June 5, 2023).

104. Ibid.

105. Ibid.

106. Ibid.

107. Ibid.

108. Ibid.

109. California Department of Transportation, Technical Noise Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol, September 2013. (web link: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tens-sep2013-a11y.pdf>, last accessed August 11, 2021).

110. Ibid.

111. Ibid.

112. CARB, 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, December 2022 (Web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-04/2022-sp.pdf>).

113. CARB, 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, September 2022. (Web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-08/2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf).

114. CARB, Final Environmental Analysis for the 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality, 2022. (Web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp-appendix-b-final-environmental-analysis.pdf>, Last accessed May 4, 2023).

115. CARB, Final Environmental Analysis for Proposed 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, 2022. (Web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-09/Final%20EA%202022%20SIP.pdf>, last accessed May 8, 2023).

116. CARB, Final Environmental Analysis for the 2022 Scoping Plan for Achieving Carbon Neutrality. 2022. (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-12/2022-sp-appendix-b-final-environmental-analysis.pdf>, last accessed May 6, 2023).

117. CARB, Final Environmental Analysis for the Proposed 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, 2022. (web link:

<https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-09/Final%20EA%202022%20SIP.pdf>, last accessed May 6 2023).

118. N/A.

119. N/A.

120. N/A.

121. N/A.

V. Appendix D: 2023 LSI Forklift Inventory Document

1. California Air Resources Board, OFFROAD2007 Model Overview, September 13, 2023 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2023-06/offroad_overview.pdf). The document metadata date is September 13, 2023.
2. N/A.
3. California Air Resources Board, Diesel Off-Road Online Reporting System (DOORS) (web link: https://ssl.arb.ca.gov/ssldoors/doors_reporting/doors_login.html, last accessed August 2023)
4. N/A.
5. N/A.
6. California State University, Fullerton, Survey of Large Spark-Ignited (LSI) Engines Operating within California, 2017 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-08/ssrc_2017.pdf).
7. Industrial Truck Association, United States Factory Shipments, 1997-2022, September 29, 2023 (web link: <https://www.indtrk.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Factory-Shipments-Table-2023-Directory.pdf>). The document metadata date is September 29, 2023.
8. California Air Resources Board, Off-Road Emissions Inventory (web link: <https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/offroad/emissions-inventory>, last accessed October 2023).
9. California Air Resources Board, 2020 Emissions Model for Small Off-Road Engines - SORE2020, September 2020 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/SORE2020_Technical_Documentation_2020_09_09_Final_Cleaned_ADA.pdf).

10. United States Census Bureau, Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD) Data (web link: <https://lehd.ces.census.gov/data/>, last accessed August 2023).
11. United States Census Bureau, Economic Census: NAICS Codes & Understanding Industry Classification Systems, last revised September 28, 2023 (web link: <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/economic-census/guidance/understanding-naics.html>).
12. California Air Resources Board, Appendix H of the Staff Report for the Proposed Amendments to the Airborne Toxic Control Measure for In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets, and Facilities Where TRUs Operate (Approved for Adoption on February 24, 2022): 2021 Update to Emissions Inventory for Transport Refrigeration Units, July 2021 (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/board/rulemaking/tru2021/ap ph.pdf>).
13. California Air Resources Board, Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) Guidance 20-04: Requesting EER-Adjusted Carbon Intensity Using a Tier 2 Pathway Application, April 2020 (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/fuels/lcfs/guidance/lcfsguidance_20-04.pdf).
14. U.S. Department of Energy, Fuel Conversion Factors to Gasoline Gallon Equivalent (web link: <https://epact.energy.gov/fuel-conversion-factors>, last accessed August 2023).
15. California Energy Commission, 2021 Total System Electric Generation (web link: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2021-total-system-electric-generation#:~:text=Total%20system%20electric%20generation%20is,or%205%2C188%20GWh%2C%20from%202020>, last accessed October 2023).

VI. Appendix E: Purpose and Rationale

1. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Powered Industrial Trucks (Forklift) eTool (web link: <https://www.osha.gov/etools/powered-industrial-trucks/types-fundamentals/types/classes#accordion-73224-collapse5>, last accessed August 2023).
2. Toyota Material Handling, Counter-Balanced Forklifts and The Forklift Load Center: A Consideration of Gravity, March 28, 2023 (web link: [https://www.toyota-material-handling.com/counter-balanced-forklifts-and-the-forklift-load-center-a-consideration-of-gravity](#)).

<https://www.toyotaforklift.com/resource-library/blog/toyota-products/the-forklift-load-center-a-consideration-of-gravity>).

3. Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1910.147, last amended on July 25, 2011 (web link: <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-29/subtitle-B/chapter-XVII/part-1910/subpart-J/section-1910.147>).