

Appendix J

Master List of References for the Initial Statement of Reasons Prepared for the Proposed In-Use Locomotive Regulation

84. BNSF, "Orange is the New Green." April, 2021, accessed July 20, 2022. (web link: <https://www.bnsf.com/newsmedia/railtalk/community/orange-new-green.html>)
 - Added access date to July 20, 2022 (no header was printed on the original pdf).
85. California Legislature, Senate Bill No. 350, signed October 7, 2015. (web link: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB350)
86. Comment only.
87. California Energy Commission, Integrated Energy Policy Report 2021, Presentation – Transportation Energy Demand Forecast, TN 240934. (web link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=240934&DocumentContentId=74780>)
 - Changed weblink to the actual document
88. Department of Energy, Hydrogen Program Plan, 2020. (web link: <https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/hydrogen-program-plan-2020.pdf>)
89. Department of Energy. Hydrogen Earthshot. 2021, accessed July 20, 2022. (web link: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-shot>)
 - Added access date July 20, 2022 (no header was printed on the original pdf).
90. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, CalEnviroScreen 4.0, October 20, 2021. (web link: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen40reportf2021.pdf>)
 - Changed weblink to the actual document
91. Comment only.
92. Comment only.
93. California Air Resources Board, MSEI – Documentation – Off-Road – Diesel Equipment, 2021. (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/mobile-source-emissions-inventory/road-documentation/mseidocumentation-road>)
94. Surface Transportation Board, Economic Data – Cost of Capital, accessed July 20, 2022. (web link: <https://www.stb.gov/reportsdata/economic-data/>).
 - Added access date to July 20, 2022 (no header was printed on the original pdf).
95. United States Environmental Protection Agency, Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses, December 2010. (web link: <https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2017-08/documents/ee-0568-50.pdf>)

147. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Detailed Description of the Sales & Use Tax Rate, accessed July 21, 2022. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sut-rates-description.htm>)
 - Changed the access date to July 21, 2022 (no header was printed on the original pdf).
148. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City & County Sales & Use Tax Rates, October, 2020. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/Archive-Rates-10-01-2020-03-31-2021.pdf>)
 - Changed weblink to the actual document.
149. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Detailed Description of the Sales & Use Tax Rate, accessed July 21, 2022. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sut-rates-description.htm>)
 - Added access date of July 21, 2022 (no header was printed on the original pdf).
150. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City & County Sales & Use Tax Rates, October 2020. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/Archive-Rates-10-01-2020-03-31-2021.pdf>)
 - Changed weblink to the actual document.
151. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, 2022 Electrical Energy Surcharge Rate, December 2021, last accessed February 2022. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/formspubs/L793-2022.pdf>)
 - Added publication date.
152. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Regulation 1620, Interstate and Foreign Commerce, accessed July 21, 2022. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/lawguides/vol1/sutr/sales-and-use-tax-regulations-art11-all.html>)
 - Added access date of July 21, 2022 (no header was printed on the original pdf).
153. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Detailed Description of the Sales & Use Tax Rate, accessed July 21, 2022. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sut-rates-description.htm>)
 - Added access date of July 21, 2022 (no header was printed on the original pdf).
154. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City & County Sales & Use Tax Rates, October, 2020. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/Archive-Rates-10-01-2020-03-31-2021.pdf>)

- Changed weblink to the actual document.
155. California Health and Safety Code § 43019.1, Division 26, Senate Bill No. 854, July 27, 2018. (web link: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB854)
156. Transit Administration, National Transit Summaries and Trends 2019. (web link: https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/2022-01/2019-NTST-1-2_0.pdf)
- Changed weblink to the actual document.
157. Comment and link to: <https://www.remi.com/model/pi/>
158. California Legislature, Senate Bill 617. October 2011. (weblink: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201120120SB617)
- Added weblink.
159. California Department of Finance, Chapter 1: Standardized Regulatory Impact Analysis for Major Regulations -Order of Adoption, December 2013.
160. California Department of Finance, Economic Research Unit. National Economic Forecast – Annual & Quarterly. Sacramento: California, November 2021.
161. California Department of Finance, Economic Research Unit, California Economic Forecast – Annual & Quarterly, Sacramento: California, November 2021.
162. California Department of Finance, Economic Research Unit, National Deflators: Calendar Year averages: from 1929, April 2021, Sacramento: California, January 2022.
163. California Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, Report P-3: Population Projections, California, 2010-2060 (Baseline 2019 Population Projections; Vintage 2020 Release). Sacramento: California, July 2021.
164. Comments only.
165. Comments only.
166. CARB staff Outreach Meeting California Trucking Association, June 29, 2021.
167. Transport Topics, Truck Driver Shortage Looms Over 2022, December 2021, accessed July 21, 2022. (web link: <https://www.ttnews.com/articles/truck-driver-shortage-looms-over-2022#:~:text=Trucking%20is%20likely%20to%20continue,industry%20needs%2080%2C000%20more%20drivers.>)
- Added access date of July 21, 2022 (no header was printed on the original pdf).

107. California Air Resources Board, Revised Proposed 2016 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, March 7, 2017. (web link: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/rev2016statesip.pdf>).
108. California Air Resources Board, Draft Measures Document for the Proposed 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/2022-state-strategy-state-implementation-plan-2022-state-sip-strategy>).
109. California Health and Safety Code §§ 40920.6, 42400, 42402, 39607.1, 40920.8, 42411, 42705.5, and 44391.2, Division 26, Assembly Bill No. 617, Nonvehicular Air Pollution: Criteria Air Pollutants and Toxic Air Contaminants, July 26, 2017. (web link: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB617).
110. California Health and Safety Code § 38500 - 38599, Division 25.5, Assembly Bill No. 32, California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, September 27, 2006. (web link: http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/05-06/bill/asm/ab_0001-0050/ab_32_bill_20060927_chaptered.pdf).
111. California Air Resources Board, California's 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan, November 2017. (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017.pdf).
112. California Air Resources Board, California's 2022 Climate Change Scoping Plan Revised Scenario Assumptions (web link: [Revised PATHWAYS Scenario Modeling Assumptions \(ca.gov\)](#)).
113. Cal. Code Regs., tit. 17, § 95480 et seq.; see, e.g., *id.* § 95488.1(b)(2); California Air Resources Board, Unofficial electronic version of the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Regulation, July 2020, (web link: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/2020_lcfs_fro_oal-approved_unofficial_06302020.pdf).
114. Comment only.
115. California Air Resources Board, The Carl Moyer Program Guidelines, 2017 Revisions, Appendix C. (web link: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/msprog/moyer/guidelines/current.htm>).
116. U.S. House of Representatives, House Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure "The Business Case for Climate Solutions. May, 2021. (web link: [Santana Testimony.pdf \(house.gov\)](#)).
117. Canadian Pacific, "CP to employ Ballard fuel cells in Hydrogen Locomotive Project." March, 2021. (web link: [CP to employ Ballard fuel cells in Hydrogen Locomotive Project \(cpr.ca\)](#)).
118. Railway Age, "Zero-Emission Locomotives on U.S. Railways?" February, 2021. (web link: [Zero-Emission Locomotives on U.S. Railways? - Railway Age](#)).

119. Trains: *The Magazine of Railroading*, "Union Pacific Sees Battery-Electric Locomotives as the Future." May, 2021. (web link: <https://www.trains.com/trn/union-pacific-sees-battery-electric-locomotives-as-the-future/>)
120. BNSF, "Orange is the New Green." April, 2021. (web link: <https://www.bnsf.com/news-media/railtalk/community/orange-new-green.html>).
121. Senate Bill No. 350 (De Leon, Stats. of 2015, ch. 547) signed October 7, 2015. (web link: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB350).
122. CEC. Integrated Energy Policy Report 2021, Presentation – Transportation Energy Demand Forecast, TN 240934. (web link: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Lists/DocketLog.aspx?docketnumber=21-IEPR-03>).
123. U.S. DOE. Hydrogen Program Plan, 2020. (web link: <https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/hydrogen-program-plan-2020.pdf>).
124. U.S. DOE. Hydrogen Earthshot. 2021. (web link: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-shot>).
125. California Air Resources Board, MSEI - Documentation - Off-Road - Diesel Equipment, 2021. (web link: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/mobile-source-emissions-inventory/road-documentation/msei-documentation-road>).
126. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City & County Sales & Use Tax Rates, October, 2020. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sales-use-tax-rates.htm>).
127. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Detailed Description of the Sales & Use Tax Rate, last accessed January 29, 2021. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sut-rates-description.htm>).
128. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City & County Sales & Use Tax Rates, October, 2020. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sales-use-tax-rates.htm>).
129. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Regulation 1620, Interstate and Foreign Commerce, last accessed February 2, 2022. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/lawguides/vol1/sutr/sales-and-use-tax-regulations-art11-all.html>).
130. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Detailed Description of the Sales & Use Tax Rate, last accessed January 29, 2021. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sut-rates-description.htm>).

131. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City & County Sales & Use Tax Rates, October, 2020. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sales-use-tax-rates.htm>).
132. California Health and Safety Code § 43019.1 (S.B. 854 (Stats. of 2018ch. 51)) (web link: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB854).
133. California Health and Safety Code § 38597 (web link: <https://codes.findlaw.com/ca/health-and-safety-code/hsc-sect-38597.html>).
134. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Detailed Description of the Sales & Use Tax Rate, last accessed January 29, 2021. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sut-rates-description.htm>).
135. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City & County Sales & Use Tax Rates, October 2020. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sales-use-tax-rates.htm>).
136. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, 2022 Electrical Energy Surcharge Rate, last accessed February 2022. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/formspubs/L793-2022.pdf>).
137. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Regulation 1620, Interstate and Foreign Commerce, last accessed February 2, 2022. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/lawguides/vol1/sutr/sales-and-use-tax-regulations-art11-all.html>).
138. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, Detailed Description of the Sales & Use Tax Rate, last accessed January 29, 2021. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sut-rates-description.htm>).
139. California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, California City & County Sales & Use Tax Rates, October, 2020. (web link: <https://www.cdtfa.ca.gov/taxes-and-fees/sales-use-tax-rates.htm>).
140. Federal Transit Administration, National Transit Summaries and Trends 2019. (web link: <https://www.transit.dot.gov/funding/grants/urbanized-area-formula-grants-5307>).
141. Federal Transit Administration, National Transit Summaries and Trends 2019. (web link: <https://www.transit.dot.gov/funding/grants/urbanized-area-formula-grants-5307>).

V. Appendix C: Summary and Response to Department of Finance Comments on the Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment

No references cited.

VI. Appendix D: Draft Environmental Analysis

1. U.S. EPA, Technical Highlights, Emissions Factors for Locomotives EPA-420-F-09-025. (weblink: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100500B.PDF?Dockey=P100500B.PDF>)
2. CARB; Memorandum of Mutual Understandings and Agreements, South Coast Locomotive Fleet Average Emissions Program, July 2, 1998. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2018-06/loco_ftt.pdf)
3. CARB: 2005 Statewide Railyard Agreement, 2005. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/2005-statewide-railyard-agreement>)
4. U.S. EPA, Locomotives: Exhaust Emission Standards; March 2016. (weblink: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100OA09.PDF?Dockey=P100OA09.PDF>)
5. U.S. EPA, Locomotives: Exhaust Emission Standards, March 2016. (weblink: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100OA09.PDF?Dockey=P100OA09.PDF>).
6. Surface Transportation Board, Economic Data, accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: <https://prod.stb.gov/reports-data/economic-data/>)
7. Appendix G: CARB's 2022 In-Use Locomotive Emission Inventory: Regulation Proposal and Scenarios
8. Surface Transportation Board (stb.gov) R-1 annual reports covering 11 years (UP and BNSF report separately), (weblink: <https://www.stb.gov/reports-data/economic-data/annual-report-financial-data/>)
9. CARB, 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan, 2017. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/cc/scopingplan/scoping_plan_2017.pdf)
10. CARB, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, October 28, 2021. (weblink: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/planning/sip/2016sip/2016mobsrsc.pdf>)
11. Executive Department State of California, Executive Order N-79-20, September 2020. (weblink: <https://www.gov.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/9.23.20-EO-N-79-20-Climate.pdf>)
12. Assembly Bill No. 617, July 26, 2017, accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180AB617)
13. CARB, California Air Districts Webpage, accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/california-air-districts>)
14. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), CalEnviroScreen 4.0, October 20, 2021, accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: <https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-40>)
15. U.S. Department of Transportation and Federal Railroad Administration, Study of Hydrogen Fuel Cell Technology for Rail Propulsion and Review of Relevant Industry

- Standards, June 2021. (weblink: <https://railroads.dot.gov/sites/fra.dot.gov/files/2021-06/Study%20of%20Hydrogen%20Fuel%20Cell%20Tech.pdf>)
16. Trains Magazine, Wabtec's Grove City plant a global source of diesel engines, 2019, accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.trains.com/trn/news-reviews/news-wire/30-wabtecs-grove-city-plant-a-global-source-of-diesel-engines/>)
 17. Progress Rail, United States Locations, 2022, accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Segments/GlobalLocations/NorthAmerica.html>)
 18. New Energy Nexus, Report: Building Lithium Valley, Opportunities and Challenges Ahead for Developing California's Battery Manufacturing Ecosystem, September 2020. (weblink: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=237271&DocumentContentId=70453>)
 19. California Energy Commission, Roadmap for the Deployment and Buildout of Renewable Hydrogen Production Plants in California, June 2020. (weblink: http://www.apec.uci.edu/PDF_White_Papers/Roadmap_Renewable_Hydrogen_Production-UCI_APEP-CEC.pdf)
 20. Ibid., pp.24.
 21. Ibid., pp.24.
 22. Ibid., pp.24.
 23. Ibid., pp.24.
 24. Ibid., pp.24.
 25. Ibid., pp.24.
 26. U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, 2022. (weblink: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2022/mcs2022.pdf>)
 27. Mineral Education Coalition, Periodic Table of Elements, Platinum, n.d., accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: <https://mineralseducationcoalition.org/elements/platinum/>)
 28. Bonnie J. Glaister, Gavin M. Mudd, "The environmental costs of platinum-PGM mining and sustainability: Is the glass half-full or half-empty?" Minerals Engineering 23 (2010) 438-450, December 16, 2009.
 29. Ibid., pp.24.
 30. Ibid., pp.24.
 31. Ibid., pp.24.
 32. Ibid., pp.24.
 33. Ibid., pp.24.
 34. Ibid., pp.24.

35. California Energy Commission, Renewables Portfolio Standard- Verification and Compliance, accessed February 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/renewables-portfolio-standard/renewables-portfolio-standard>)
36. Senate Bill No. 100, California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program: emissions of greenhouse gases, 2018. (weblink: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB100)
37. California Energy Commission, Tracking Progress, February 2020, accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/renewable_ada.pdf)
38. U.S. Department of Energy, Hydrogen Program Plan, 2020. (weblink: <https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/hydrogen-program-plan-2020.pdf>)
39. U.S. Department of Energy, Hydrogen Program Plan, 2020. (weblink: <https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/hydrogen-program-plan-2020.pdf>)
40. Department of Energy, Hydrogen Shot, accessed August 8, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-shot>)
41. Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Hydrogen Production Processes, accessed March 4, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-production-processes>)
42. California Air Resources Board, Advanced Clean Cars II SRIA, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2022/acii/appc1.pdf>)
43. CARB, Draft 2022 State Strategy for the State Implementation Plan, January 31, 2022. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/Draft_2022_State_SIP_Strategy.pdf)
44. Ibid., pp.24.
45. Ibid., pp.24.
46. Ibid., pp.24.
47. Ibid., pp.24.
48. Fox, Kayla, Environmental Impacts of Lithium Extraction, November 6, 2020, accessed August 8, 2022. (weblink: <https://storymaps.arcgis.com/stories/0898df4b1f7e475ab49a4ae23aaed426/print>)
49. Ibid., pp.24.
50. Ibid., pp.24.
51. Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, Measures for Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Paleontological Resources, 2010. (weblink: https://vertpaleo.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/SVP_Impact_Mitigation_Guidelines.pdf)

52. Ibid., pp.24.
53. Ibid., pp.24.
54. Ibid., pp.24.
55. Ibid., pp.24.
56. California Energy Commission, Renewables Portfolio Standard- Verification and Compliance, accessed February 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/renewables-portfolio-standard/renewables-portfolio-standard>)
57. Senate Bill No. 100, California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program: emissions of greenhouse gases, 2018. (weblink: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB100)
58. California Energy Commission, Tracking Progress, February 2020, accessed August 7, 2022. (weblink: https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-12/renewable_ada.pdf)
59. California Public Utilities Commission, 2022. Electric Procurement and Generation, accessed August 8, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/electric-power-procurement#:~:text=The%20CPUC%20is%20also%20responsible,the%20long%20and%20short%20term>)
60. California Energy Commission, Electric Generation Capacity and Energy, accessed August 8, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/electric-generation-capacity-and-energy>)
61. Ibid., pp.24.
62. Ibid., pp.24.
63. Kinhal, Vijayalaxmi, How Does Mining Affect the Environment, accessed November 11, 2020. (weblink: https://greenliving.lovetoknow.com/How_Does_Mining_Affect_the_Environment)
64. Ibid., pp.24.
65. Ibid., pp.24.
66. Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, CEQA Guide, February 2021. (weblink: <http://www.airquality.org/LandUseTransportation/Documents/Ch6GHG2-26-2021.pdf>)
67. Bay Area Air Quality Management District, CEQA Air Quality Guidelines, 2017. (weblink: [https://www.baaqmd.gov/~media/files/planning-and-research/ceqa/ceqa_guidelines_may2017-pdf.pdf?la=en](https://www.baaqmd.gov/~/media/files/planning-and-research/ceqa/ceqa_guidelines_may2017-pdf.pdf?la=en))
68. South Coast Air Quality Management District. Draft Guidance Document – Interim CEQA Greenhouse Gas Significance Threshold, 2008. (weblink:

[http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-\(ghg\)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/ghgattachmente.pdf](http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/ghgattachmente.pdf))

69. California Air Resources Board, 2020 Mobile Source Strategy, Appendix A – Upstream Energy Emission Factors for Scenario Modeling, October 28, 2021. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-09/Proposed_2020_Mobile_Source_Strategy.pdf)
70. Data includes crude supply, carbon intensity, and in-state production from LCFS data dashboard and LCFS compliance scenario. (weblinks: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/dashboard/dashboard.htm> and https://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/2018-0815_illustrative_compliance_scenario_calc.xlsx?_ga=2.155021808.917945968.1597354480-1389483658.1577128071)
71. California Air Resources Board, 2018. Criteria Pollutant Emission Inventory Data. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/criteria-pollutant-emission-inventory-data>)
72. California Air Resources Board, 2019. CA-GREET3.0 Model. (weblink: https://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/ca-greet/ca-greet30-corrected.xlsm?_ga=2.247817287.1944131420.1600710547-1389483658.1577128071)
73. Senate Bill (SB) 1505. (weblink: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=200520060SB1505)
74. Senate Bill (SB) 100 (weblink: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB100)
75. Ibid., pp.24.
76. Ibid., pp.24.
77. Ibid., pp.24.
78. Ibid., pp.24.
79. Jacoby, Mitch, It's Time to Get Serious About Recycling Lithium-Ion Batteries, July 14, 2019, accessed August 8, 2022. (weblink: <https://cen.acs.org/materials/energy-storage/time-serious-recycling-lithium/97/i28>)
80. Sepadi et al., Platinum Mine Workers' Exposure to Dust Particles Emitted at Mine Waste Rock Crusher Plants in Limpopo, South Africa, 2020. (weblink: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7014327/>)
81. Zeng et al., Solving Spent Lithium-Ion Battery Problems in China: Opportunities and Challenges, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 52, pp.1759-1767, 2015.
82. Battery University, BU-304a: Safety Concerns with Li-Ion, updated February 22, 2022, accessed August 8, 2022. (weblink: <https://batteryuniversity.com/article/bu-304a-safety-concerns-with-li-ion>)

83. Health and Safety Executive, Fuel Cells: Understand the Hazards, Control the Risks, 2004
84. Ibid., pp.24.
85. Ibid., pp.24.
86. Ibid., pp.24.
87. Ibid., pp.24.
88. European Parliament, Impact of Shale Gas and Shale Oil Extraction on the Environment and on Human Health, 2012. (weblink: <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/document/activities/cont/201312/20131205ATT75545/20131205ATT75545EN.pdf>)
89. Environmental Health Perspectives, Salting the Earth: The Environmental Impact of Oil and Gas Wastewater Spills, December 2016. (weblink: <https://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/doi/epdf/10.1289/ehp.124-A230>)
90. Friends of the Earth, Lithium, 2013. (weblink: https://www.foeeurope.org/sites/default/files/publications/13_factsheet-lithium-gb.pdf)
91. Ibid., pp.24
92. Ibid., pp.24
93. Ibid., pp.24
94. Ibid., pp.24
95. U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, 2022. (weblink: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2022/mcs2022.pdf>)
96. 86 FR 11849, EO 14017, America's Supply Chains, February 24, 2021, last accessed August 15, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2021-03-01/pdf/2021-04280.pdf>)
97. U.S. Geological Survey. Platinum-Group Metals, accessed August 8, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/national-minerals-information-center/platinum-group-metals-statistics-and-information>)
98. Ibid., pp.24
99. Ibid., pp.24
100. Ibid., pp.24
101. Ibid., pp.24
102. California Department of Transportation, Technical Noise Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol, September 2013, (weblink: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tens-sep2013-a11y.pdf>)

103. Ibid., pp.24
104. Ibid., pp.24
105. Ibid., pp.24
106. Ibid., pp.24
107. Ibid., pp.24
108. Ibid., pp.24
109. Ibid., pp.24
110. Ibid., pp.24
111. Ibid., pp.24
112. Ibid., pp.24
113. Ibid., pp.24
114. Ibid., pp.24
115. Ibid., pp.24
116. Ibid., pp.24
117. Ibid., pp.24
118. Ibid., pp.24
119. Ibid., pp.24
120. Ibid., pp.24
121. U.S. Geological Survey, Mineral Commodity Summaries, 2022. (weblink: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2022/mcs2022.pdf>)
122. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Application of Life-Cycle Assessment to Nanoscale Technology: Lithium-Ion Batteries for Electric Vehicles, April 24, 2013. (weblink: https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-01/documents/lithium_batteries_lca.pdf)
123. Ibid., pp.24
124. Ibid., pp.24
125. CARB, Community Air Protection, Blueprint, October 2018 (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/final-community-air-protection-blueprint>)
126. CARB, Final Environmental Analysis, Final Draft Community Air Protection Blueprint. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-03/final_community_air_protection_blueprint_october_2018_appendix_g_acc.pdf)

A. Appendix D. Attachment A: Environmental and Regulatory Setting

1. U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Forest Resource Facts and Historical Trends, August 2014, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.srs.fs.usda.gov/products/marketing/cards/fs-1035.pdf>)
2. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Ag and Food Statistics: Charting the Essentials, March 13, 2018, accessed April 17, 2018. (weblink: <https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/ag-and-food-statistics-charting-the-essentials/>).
3. California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, California Farmland Conversion Report 2015, September 2015, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: http://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/fmmp/Documents/fmmp/pubs/2010-2012/FCR/FCR%202015_complete.pdf).
4. California Department of Food and Agriculture, California Agricultural Statistics Review, 2019-2020 accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.cdffa.ca.gov/Statistics/PDFs/2020_Ag_Stats_Review.pdf).
5. California Department of Conservation, The Williamson Act Status Report, 2016–17, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/wa/Documents/stats_reports/2018%20WA%20Status%20Report.pdf).
6. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Timberland Conservation Program, accessed June 9, 2021. (weblink: <https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Timber>).
7. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Summary of Natural Community Conservation Plans, October 2017.
8. A summary of the current NAAQS is available at: <https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table>.
9. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Basic Information about Carbon Monoxide (CO) Outdoor Air Pollution, September 8, 2016, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/co-pollution/basic-information-about-carbon-monoxide-co-outdoor-air-pollution>).
10. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Basic Information about NO₂, September 8, 2016, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/no2-pollution/basic-information-about-no2>).
11. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Sulfur Dioxide Basics, April 2, 2019, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/so2-pollution/sulfur-dioxide-basics>).
12. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Health Effects of Ozone Pollution, May 5, 2021, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/health-effects-ozone-pollution>).

13. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ground-Level Ozone Basics, May 5, 2021, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/ground-level-ozone-basics>).
14. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Particulate Matter (PM) Basics, May 6, 2021, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/particulate-matter-pm-basics>).
15. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Health and Environmental Effects of Particulate Matter (PM), May 26, 2021, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/health-and-environmental-effects-particulate-matter-pm>).
16. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Basic Information about Lead Air Pollution, January 14, 2021, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/lead-air-pollution/basic-information-about-lead-air-pollution>).
17. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ground-Level Ozone Basics, May 5, 2021, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/ground-level-ozone-pollution/ground-level-ozone-basics>).
18. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Basic Information about NO₂, September 8, 2016, accessed June 1, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/no2-pollution/basic-information-about-no2>).
19. CARB, 2016 SIP Emission Projection Data, 2012 Estimated Annual Average Emissions Statewide, accessed April 17, 2018.
20. California Air Resources Board and California Air Pollution Control Officers Association, Risk Management Guidance for Stationary Sources of Air Toxics, July 23, 2015, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/toxics/rma/rmgssat.pdf>).
21. The Nature Conservancy, States of the Union: Ranking America's Biodiversity, April 2002, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269111849/download>).
22. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, California State Wildlife Action Plan, September 2015, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=110399&inline>).
23. Ibid.
24. Ibid.
25. Ibid.
26. Ibid.
27. Ibid.

28. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Habitat Conservation Plans: Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act, December 2005, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.fws.gov/endangered/esa-library/pdf/HCP_Incidental_Take.pdf).
29. California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Summary of Natural Community Conservation Plans, October 2017.
30. Jones, Klar, California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity, 2007.
31. Ibid.
32. Ibid.
33. Ibid.
34. Ibid.
35. Moratto, Michael J, California Archaeology, 1984, Print Edition.
36. Chartkoff, Joseph L., Kerry K. Chartkoff, The Archaeology of California, 1984, Print Edition.
37. Jones, Klar, California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity, 2007.
38. Ibid.
39. Moratto, Michael J, California Archaeology, 1984, Print Edition.
40. Jones, Klar, California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity, 2007.
41. Moratto, Michael J, California Archaeology, 1984, Print Edition.
42. Chartkoff, Joseph L., Kerry K. Chartkoff, The Archaeology of California, 1984, Print Edition.
43. Jones, Klar, California Prehistory: Colonization, Culture, and Complexity, 2007.
44. Ibid.
45. Ibid.
46. Ibid.
47. Rolle, W.F., California: A History, 1969, Print Edition.
48. Sturtevant, William C, Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 8, California, Heizer, Robert F, 1978, Print Edition.
49. Ibid.
50. Beck, Warren A., Haase. Ynez D., Historical Atlas of California, 1974, Print Edition.
51. Staniford, Edward F, The Pattern of California History, 1975, Print Edition.
52. Hoover, et al., Historic Spots in California, 2002, Print Edition.
53. Sturtevant, William C, Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 8, California, Heizer, Robert F, 1978, Print Edition.

54. Hoover, et al., *Historic Spots in California*, 2002, Print Edition.
55. California Department of Transportation, *A Historical Context and Archaeological Research Design for Mining Properties in California*, 2008, last accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/ser/mining-study-a11y.pdf>).
56. California State Parks. 2013. *Sustainable Preservation California's Statewide Historic Preservation Plan 2013-2017*. (weblink: http://ohp.parks.ca.gov/pages/1069/files/SustainablePreservation_CaliforniaStatePlan_2013to2017.pdf).
57. Ibid.
58. Ibid.
59. Ibid.
60. Ibid.
61. U.S. Energy Information Administration, *U.S. Energy Facts Explained*, May 14, 2021, accessed May 24, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/us-energy-facts/>).
62. Ibid.
63. Ibid.
64. Ibid.
65. U.S. Energy Information Association. 2021a (May 14). *U.S. Energy Facts Explained*, accessed October 15, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/us-energy-facts/>).
66. U.S. Energy Information Association. 2021b (February 18). *California. State Profile and Energy Estimates*, accessed October 15, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA>).
67. Ibid.
68. U.S. Energy Information Administration, *California: State Profile and Energy Estimates*, February 18, 2021, accessed May 14, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=CA>).
69. California Energy Commission, *2019 Total System Electric Generation*, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.energy.ca.gov/data-reports/energy-almanac/california-electricity-data/2019-total-system-electric-generation>).
70. Ibid.
71. U.S. Geological Survey, *Ground Water Atlas of the United States: California, Nevada*, 1995, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: http://pubs.usgs.gov/ha/ha730/ch_b/index.html).

72. California Department of Water Resources, California Precipitation, 2014, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://cw3e.ucsd.edu/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/CA_Precip_final.pdf).
73. Harden, Deborah R, California Geology, 1997, Print Edition.
74. Ibid.
75. Ritchie, David, Alexander E. Gates, Encyclopedia of Earthquakes and Volcanoes, 2001, Print Edition.
76. California Geological Survey, Earthquake Fault Zones: A Guide for Government Agencies, Property Owners/Developers, and Geoscience Practitioners for Assessing Fault Rupture Hazards in California, 2018, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Publications/Special-Publications/SP_042.pdf).
77. Jefferson, George T, Paleontologic Resources and Collections Management Policy, 2004.
78. USGS, Divisions of Geologic Time- Major Chronostratigraphic and Geochronologic Units, July 2010, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2010/3059/pdf/FS10-3059.pdf>).
79. Paleontology Portal, 2003, California, accessed November 12, 2011. (weblink: http://paleoportal.org/index.php?globalnav=time_space§ionnav=state&name=California).
80. San Diego Natural History Museum, Ankylosaur, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <http://www.sdnhm.org/exhibitions/fossil-mysteries/fossil-field-guide-a-z/ankylosaur>).
81. Ahrens, C. Donald, Meteorology Today: An Introduction to Weather, Climate and the Environment, 2003.
82. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, 2007, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/05/ar4_wg1_full_report-1.pdf).
83. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, 2013, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5_all_final.pdf).
84. California Climate Action Registry, California Climate Action Registry General Reporting Protocol, April 2008, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.climateactionreserve.org/wp-content/uploads/videos/GRP_V3_April%202008_FINAL.pdf).
85. California Air Resources Board, California Greenhouse Gas Emissions for 2000 to 2018: Trends of Emissions and Other Indicators, 2020, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/pubs/reports/2000_2018/ghg_inventory_trends_00-18.pdf).
86. Ibid.

87. California Air Resources Board, Short-Lived Climate Pollutant Reduction Strategy, March 2017, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/shortlived/meetings/03142017/final_slcp_report.pdf).
88. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, 2013, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5_all_final.pdf).
89. California Energy Commission, ICF International, Combined Heat and Power: Policy Analysis and 2011-2030 Market Assessment, June 2012, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=65855>).
90. Ibid.
91. Ibid.
92. California Natural Resources Agency, 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy, 2009, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: http://resources.ca.gov/docs/climate/Statewide_Adaptation_Strategy.pdf).
93. Ibid.
94. California Department of Water Resources, California's Groundwater (Bulletin 118), 2003, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://water.ca.gov/Programs/Groundwater-Management/Bulletin-118>).
95. Ibid.
96. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Guidance Specifying Management Measures for Sources of Nonpoint Pollution in Coastal Waters, January 1993, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/nps/guidance-specifying-management-measures-sources-nonpoint-pollution-coastal-waters>).
97. USGS, Lithium Data Sheet, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2020/mcs2020-lithium.pdf>).
98. USGS, Cobalt Data Sheet, 2020, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2020/mcs2020-cobalt.pdf>).
99. Clinkenbeard, John, Smith, Joshua, California Non-Fuel Minerals 2011, 2011, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.conservation.ca.gov/cgs/Documents/Minerals/california-non-fuel-mineral-production-2011.pdf>).
100. Caltrans, Technical Noise Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol, September 2013, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tens-sep2013-a11y.pdf>).
101. Caltrans, California Airport Land Use Planning Handbook, October 2011, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/aeronautics/documents/californiaairportlanduseplanninghandbook-a11y.pdf>).

102. Caltrans, Technical Noise Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol, September 2013, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tens-sep2013-a11y.pdf>).
103. Egan, M. David, Architectural Acoustics, 2007.
104. Caltrans, Technical Noise Supplement to the Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol, September 2013, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tens-sep2013-a11y.pdf>).
105. Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual, September 2018, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123_0.pdf).
106. Caltrans, Transportation and Construction Vibration Guidance Manual, April 2020, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/environmental-analysis/documents/env/tcvgm-apr2020-a11y.pdf>).
107. Federal Transit Administration, Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Manual, September 2018, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: https://www.transit.dot.gov/sites/fta.dot.gov/files/docs/research-innovation/118131/transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123_0.pdf).
108. Ibid.
109. Ibid.
110. Ibid.
111. U.S. Census Bureau, Quickfacts: California; United States, 2019. (weblink: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/CA,US/RHI825216>).
112. Ibid.
113. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Economy at a Glance: California, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.bls.gov/eag/eag.ca.htm>).
114. U.S. Census Bureau, Quickfacts: California; United States, 2019. (weblink: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/CA,US/RHI825216>).
115. U.S. Census Bureau, Census: Population and Foreign-Born. February 2001, Volume 8, Number 2, accessed April 17, 2018. (weblink: <https://migration.ucdavis.edu/mn/more.php?id=2302>).
116. University of Southern California, New California Population Projection Shows Massive Slowdown, April 24, 2012, last accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2012/04/120424142117.htm>).

117. U.S. Census Bureau, Quickfacts: California; United States, 2018, accessed April 17, 2018. (weblink: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/CA,US/RHI825216>).
118. Ibid.
119. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Databases, Tables & Calculations by Subject, accessed June 9, 2021. (weblink: <https://data.bls.gov/timeseries/LASST0600000000000003>).
120. California State Parks, Meeting the Park Needs of All Californians, 2015 Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.parksforcalifornia.org/scorp/2015>).
121. Kroeber, Alfred L, Handbook of the Indians of California, 1925, Print Edition.
122. Sturtevant, William C, Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 8, California, Heizer, Robert F, 1978, Print Edition.
123. Ibid.
124. Sturtevant, William C, Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 10, Southwest, Ortiz, Alfonso, 1983, Print Edition.
125. Sturtevant, William C, Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 11, Great Basin, D'Azevedo, Warren L, 1986, Print Edition.
126. Sturtevant, William C, Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 8, California, Heizer, Robert F, 1978, Print Edition.
127. Sturtevant, William C, Handbook of North American Indians, Volume 8, California, Heizer, Robert F, 1978, Print Edition.
128. Ibid.
129. U.S. Census Bureau, Quickfacts: California; United States, 2020, accessed January 25, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/CA/RHI325219#RHI325219>).
130. Native American Heritage Commission, California Native American Heritage Commission Five-Year Strategic Plan 2018-2022, January 19, 2018, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <http://nahc.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/2018-NAHC-Strategic-Plan.pdf>).
131. Native American Heritage Center. 2018. Short Overview of California Indian History, accessed December 21, 2018. (weblink: <http://nahc.ca.gov/resources/california-indian-history/>.)
132. Native American Heritage Center. 2018. Short Overview of California Indian History, accessed December 21, 2018. (weblink: <http://nahc.ca.gov/resources/california-indian-history/>).
133. Ibid.
134. Ibid.

135. Ibid.
136. Ibid.
137. Ibid.
138. Ibid.
139. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, About the Central Valley Project, April 18, 2017, accessed April 17, 2018. (weblink: <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/cvp/about-cvp.html>).
140. Ibid.
141. California Department of Water Resources, State Water Project, accessed August 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://water.ca.gov/Programs/State-Water-Project>).
142. Abatzoglou, J.T. and A.P. Williams. 2016 (October 16). Impact of anthropogenic climate change on wildfire across western U.S. forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(42):11770-11775.
143. Balch, J. K., B. A. Bradley, J. T. Abatzoglou, R. C. Nagy, E. J. Fusco, and A. L. Mahood. 2017 (March 14). Human-started wildfires expand the fire niche across the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(11):2946-2951.
144. Westerling, A. L. 2016. Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: sensitivity to changes in the timing of spring. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 371(1696), 20150178.
145. Schoennagel, T., J.K. Balch, H. Brenkert-Smith, P. E. Dennison, B.J. Harvey, M.A. Krawchuck, N. Mietkiewicz, P. Morgan, M. A. Moritz, R. Rasker, M.G. Turner, and C. Whitlock. 2017 (May 2). Adapt to more wildfire in western North American forests as climate changes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114(18):4582-4590.

VII. Appendix E: Development Process for the Spending Account Equation and Inputs

1. CARB, Methodology for Estimating Ambient Concentrations of Particulate Matter from Diesel-Fueled Engine Emissions, 2010. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2010/truckbus10/correctedappj.pdf>)
2. CARB, Estimating the Health Benefits of Reductions in Emissions of PM2.5 or its Precursors: Short Description, accessed June 15, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/estimating-health-benefits-reductions-emissions-pm25-or-its-precursors-short>)
3. U.S. EPA, Quantitative Health Risk Assessment for Particulate Matter, June 2010. (weblink: https://www3.epa.gov/ttn/naaqs/standards/pm/data/PM_RA_FINAL_June_2010.pdf)

4. CARB, Methodology for Estimating Ambient Concentrations of Particulate Matter from Diesel-Fueled Engine Emissions, 2010. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/barcu/regact/2010/truckbus10/correctedappj.pdf>)
5. CARB, Estimating the Health Benefits of Reductions in Emissions of PM2.5 or its Precursors: Short Description, accessed June 15, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/estimating-health-benefits-reductions-emissions-pm25-or-its-precursors-short>)
6. CARB, Estimating Health Benefits Associated with Reductions in PM and NOx Emissions: Detailed Description, 2019. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2019-08/Estimating%20the%20Health%20Benefits%20Associated%20with%20Reductions%20in%20PM%20and%20NOX%20Emissions%20-%20Detailed%20Description.pdf>)
7. Comment only.
8. U.S. EPA, Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses: Mortality Risk Valuation Estimates (Appendix B), December 2010. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2017-09/documents/ee-0568-22.pdf>)
9. State of California, Department of Industrial Relations, California Consumer Price Index (1955-2022). (weblink: <https://www.dir.ca.gov/oprl/CPI/EntireCCPI.PDF>)

VIII. Appendix F: Locomotive Technology Feasibility Assessment

1. CARB, Technology Assessment: Freight Locomotives, November 2016. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic//msprog/tech/techreport/final_rail_tech_assessment_11282016.pdf)
2. Comment only.
3. Comment only.
4. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool: CalEnviroScreen 4.0., accessed June 17, 2022. (weblink: <https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-40>)
5. Comment only.
6. Comment only.
7. Surface Transportation Board, Economic Data, 2022, accessed March 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.stb.gov/reports-data/economic-data/>)
8. U.S. EPA; 40 CFR Part 1033 – Exhaust emission standards, Subpart B; pp16-17. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2020-title40-vol36/pdf/CFR-2020-title40-vol36-part1033.pdf>)

9. U.S. EPA; 40 CFR Parts 85, 89 and 92 - Emission Standards for Locomotives and Locomotive Engines; April 16, 1998. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-1998-04-16/pdf/98-7769.pdf>)
10. U.S. EPA, 40 CFR Part 1033 – Exhaust emission standards, Subpart B, pp16-17, n.d. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2020-title40-vol36/pdf/CFR-2020-title40-vol36-part1033.pdf>).
11. U.S. EPA; 40 CFR Parts 85, 89 and 92 - Emission Standards for Locomotives and Locomotive Engines, April 16, 1999. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-1998-04-16/pdf/98-7769.pdf>).
12. Comment only.
13. Comment only.
14. U.S. EPA, 40 CFR Part 1033 – Exhaust emission standards, Subpart A, p14, n.d. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2020-title40-vol36/pdf/CFR-2020-title40-vol36-part1033.pdf>).
15. U.S. EPA; 40 CFR Part 1033 – Exhaust emission standards, Subpart B, pp16-17, n.d. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CFR-2020-title40-vol36/pdf/CFR-2020-title40-vol36-part1033.pdf>).
16. U.S. EPA, 40 CFR Parts 85, 89 and 92 - Emission Standards for Locomotives and Locomotive Engines, April 16, 1998. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-1998-04-16/pdf/98-7769.pdf>).
17. CARB, Rail Emission Reduction Agreements, accessed June 17, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/rail-emission-reduction-agreements>).
18. Jo Strang, Shortline Railroad's Perspective - FRA Workshop, September 15, 2021. (weblink: https://www.fra.dot.gov/conference/2021/cleanenergy/pdf/presentations/Jo%20Strang_Shortline%20Railroad's%20Perspective_09.10.2021.pptx).
19. CARB, Technology Assessment: Freight Locomotives, 2016, p. IV-4. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/msprog/tech/techreport/final_rail_tech_assessment_11282016.pdf)
20. CARB, Locomotive Emission Verifications and Technology Demonstrations, accessed June 17, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/reducing-rail-emissions-california/locomotive-emission-verifications-technology>)
21. Progress Rail, EMD® SD70ACe-T4, 2021. (weblink: <https://s7d2.scene7.com/is/content/Caterpillar/CM20170915-63120-29009>)
22. Wabtec, Tier 4 Evolution Series Locomotive, May 2021. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/media/3641/download?inline>)
23. Knoxville Locomotive Works, Quantitative Analysis Unregulated Tier 4 Near Zero Emission Locomotives, December 8, 2021. (weblink: [https://www.knoxloco.com/quantitative-analysis-unregulated-tier-4-near-zero-emission-locomotives](#))

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/Proposed-Rules/pr-2306/klw_pres_wgm3.pdf)

24. Progress Rail, Tier 4 EMD24B [RE]powered Locomotive, 2021. (weblink: <https://s7d2.scene7.com/is/content/Caterpillar/CM20210512-4b749-edfe7>)
25. CARB, Wabtec ET23 verification letter, December 23, 2020. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-12/CC.BCC - GE-Wabtec ET23 Switcher Approval Letter Dec 12.23.20.pdf>)
26. Progress Rail, EMD F125 Passenger Locomotive, 2021. (weblink: <https://s7d2.scene7.com/is/content/Caterpillar/CM20170915-64297-21822>)
27. Siemens, Charger, Diesel-Electric Passenger Locomotive, America's passenger rail experience, 2021. (weblink: <https://assets.new.siemens.com/siemens/assets/api/uuid:1ddf8215-f5a2-4bf4-abd7-6d6118db7718/charger-brochure.pdf>)
28. US EPA, Emission Factors for Locomotives, April 2009, EPA-420-F-09-025. (weblink: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100500B.PDF?Dockey=P100500B.PDF>)
29. U.S. EPA, Locomotive CI Engine Certification Data (Model Years: 2007-Present), Engine Family NKLWK35.7XN4, accessed May 31, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-02/locomotive-2007-present.xlsx>)
30. Wabtec, FLXdrive battery electric locomotive at a glance, accessed November 8, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/media/466/download?inline>)
31. Wabtec, CN Advances Sustainability Efforts with Wabtec's Battery-Electric Locomotive, November 4, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/media/11296/download?inline>)
32. Toshiba Hybrid Locomotives and Propulsion Systems, Solutions for Modern Freight Transportation, n.d. (weblink: <https://www.toshiba.co.jp/infrastructure/en/railway/assets/pdf/Hybrid%20locomotives%20and%20propulsion%20systems.pdf>)
33. International Rail Journal, Toshiba unveils hybrid locomotive in Munich, June 19, 2021, accessed February 1, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.railjournal.com/fleet/toshiba-unveils-hybrid-locomotive-in-munich/>)
34. Toshiba, Battery improves climate footprint: DB Cargo buys 50 Toshiba hybrid locomotives, January 23, 2020, accessed February 1, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.toshiba.co.jp/infrastructure/en/news/20200123.htm>)
35. Railtech, DB Cargo orders 200 new dual locomotives, January 18, 2022, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.railtech.com/infrastructure/2022/01/18/db-cargo-orders-200-new-dual-locomotives/>)

36. Toshiba, New Release - Toshiba to showcase Hybrid-Diesel-Battery Locomotive Demonstrator at Transport Logistic 2019, May 2019, accessed August 2, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.toshiba.co.jp/infrastructure/en/news/20190528.htm>)
37. AMPS Traction LLC, AMPS Genset Hybrid Locomotive, 2013, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <http://ampsonboard.com/amps-genset-hybrid-locomotive>)
38. Reuters, Siemens lands \$3.4 billion hybrid train order from U.S. Amtrak, July 7, 2021, accessed February 1, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.reuters.com/business/autos-transportation/siemens-lands-34-billion-hybrid-train-order-us-amtrak-2021-07-07/>)
39. Siemens, Siemens Mobility awarded historic \$3.4 billion in contracts from Amtrak, July 7, 2021, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.mobility.siemens.com/us/en/company/newsroom/press-releases/siemens-mobility-awarded-historic-contracts-from-amtrak.html>)
40. International Railway Journal, Siemens to build 73 trains for Amtrak including first battery-hybrid, July 8, 2021, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.railjournal.com/fleet/siemens-to-build-73-trains-for-amtrak-including-first-battery-hybrid%E2%80%AF%E2%80%AF/>)
41. Stadler, FLIRT, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.stadlerrail.com/en/products/detail-all/flirt160/29/>)
42. Stadler, WINK, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.stadlerrail.com/en/products/detail-all/wink/198/>)
43. Stadler, GTW, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.stadlerrail.com/en/products/detail-all/gtw/27/>)
44. Stadler, Salt Lake City, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: https://www.stadlerrail.com/en/about-us/locations/stadler_us_inc/26/)
45. Stadler, Stadler sets world record for Guinness Book of Records with FLIRT Akku, December 2021. (weblink: https://www.stadlerrail.com/media/pdf/2021_12_22_media%20relase_stadler%20flirt%20akku%20sets%20world%20record%20auf_en.pdf)
46. BNSF Railway, BNSF and Wabtec commence battery-electric locomotive pilot test in California, January 4, 2021, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.bnsf.com/news-media/news-releases/newsrelease.page?reId=bnsf-and-wabtec-commence-battery-electric-locomotive-pilot-test-in-california>)
47. Luczak, Marybeth, Railway Age, BNSF/Wabtec BEL Pilot- The Results Are In, May 17, 2021, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.railwayage.com/news/bnsf-wabtec-bel-pilot-the-results-are-in/>)
48. Wabtec Corporation, Wabtec's All-Battery Locomotive, FLXdrive, Lowers Freight Train's Fuel Consumption by More Than 11 Percent in California Pilot, May 17, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/FLXdrive%20results.pdf>)

49. RPS, Battery Locomotives and Energy Storage Systems, 2021, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://railpropulsion.com/products/hybrid-energy-storage-systems/>)
50. Wang, Luocheng & Zhao, Tiefu & Chen, Shen-en & Cook, Dave. An Inductive Power Transfer System Design for Rail Applications. 84-89. 10.1109/ITEC.2018.8450168, 2018. (weblink: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Hybrid-Zero-Emissions-Boost-Locomotive-ZEBL-1_fig1_327519900)
51. Union Pacific, Union Pacific Railroad to Assemble World's Largest Carrier-Owned Battery-Electric Locomotive Fleet, Jan 28, 2022, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.up.com/media/releases/battery-electric-locomotive-nr-220128.htm>)
52. Union Pacific, 2021 Climate Action Plan, 2022. (weblink: https://www.up.com/cs/groups/public/@uprr/@corprel/documents/up_pdf_nativedocs/pdf_up_2021_climate_action_pln.pdf)
53. Metrolink, Climate Action Plan – The Link to a Zero Emissions Future, March 26, 2021. (weblink: <https://metrolinktrains.com/globalassets/about/agency/sustainability/climate-action-plan.pdf>)
54. Caltrans, Zero Emission Vehicle Action Plan 2.0, March 1, 2021. (weblink: https://static.business.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Caltrans_ZEV-Action-Plan_Web_v2.pdf)
55. Caltrain Electrification Update, June 3, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.caltrain.com/files/caltrain/Assets/Caltrain+Electrification+Update+Power+Point.pdf>)
56. Progress Rail, Progress Rail Develops Battery Switcher in Conjunction with Vale, July 28, 2020, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Company/News/PressReleases/ProgressRailDevelopsBatterySwitcherInConjunctionWithVale.html>)
57. Progress Rail, Progress Rail and Pacific Harbor Line Sign Agreement, November 13, 2020, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Company/News/PressReleases/ProgressRailAndPacificHarborLineSignAgreementForBatteryLocomotive.html>)
58. General Motors, Wabtec and GM to Develop Advanced Ultium Battery and HYDROTEC Hydrogen Fuel Cell Solutions for Rail Industry, June 15, 2021, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://news.gm.com/newsroom.detail.html/Pages/news/us/en/2021/jun/0615-wabtec.html>)
59. Wabtec, Wabtec Signs MOUs with Carnegie Mellon University and Genesee & Wyoming to Decarbonize Freight Rail and Improve Safety, September 10, 2021, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/newsroom/press-releases/wabtec-signs-memoranda-of-understanding-with-carnegie-mellon-university-and-genesee-wyoming-to>)

60. Wabtec, 2021 Sustainability Report, Moving and improving the world. (weblink: https://www.wabteccorp.com/2021_Sustainability_Report)
61. Progress Rail, Caterpillar, BNSF and Chevron Agree to Pursue Hydrogen Locomotive Demonstration, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Company/News/PressReleases/CaterpillarBNSFandChevronAgreetoPursueHydrogenLocomotiveDemonstration.html>)
62. CARB, Transitioning to a Zero or Near-Zero Emission Line-Haul Freight Rail System in California: Operational and Economic Considerations, Spring 2016. (weblink: https://www.arb.ca.gov/railyard/docs/uoi_rpt_06222016.pdf)
63. Popovich, N.D., Rajagopal, D., Tasar, E. et al. Economic, environmental and grid-resilience benefits of converting diesel trains to battery-electric. *Nat Energy* 6, 1017–1025 (2021). (weblink: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00915-5>)
64. CARB, Technology Assessment: Freight Locomotives, November 2016. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/technology-assessment-freight-locomotives>)
65. SB-100 California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program: emissions of greenhouse gases, accessed July 27, 2022. (2017-2018). (weblink: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB100)
66. Progress Rail, EMD® Joule BE2.4C Battery Powered Switcher, 2021. (weblink: <https://s7d2.scene7.com/is/content/Caterpillar/CM20210414-8d055-15cf8>)
67. *Luczak*, Marybeth, Railway Age, PHL to Test Progress Rail EMD® Joule, November 13, 2020, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.railwayage.com/mechanical/locomotives/phl-to-test-progress-rail-emd-joule/>)
68. Progress Rail, BHP Orders Four Battery-Electric Locomotives for WAIO Rail Network, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Company/News/PressReleases/BHPOrdersFourBatteryElectricLocomotivesForWAIORailNetwork.html>)
69. Progress Rail, Caterpillar to Supply Locomotives to Union Pacific Railroad, Supporting Investment in World’s Largest Battery-Electric Locomotive Fleet, January 28, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Company/News/PressReleases/CaterpillartoSupplyLocomotivestoUnionPacificRailroadSupportingInvestmentinWorldsLargestBattery-ElectricLocomotiveFleet.html>)
70. Wabtec Corporation, Roy Hill sets new course with purchase of FLXdrive Battery Locomotive, September 13, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/media/10646/download?inline>)
71. Wabtec Corporation, BHP Group Orders Wabtec’s FLXdrive Battery Locomotives, January 17, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/BHP-FLXdrive-Order-press-release?inline>)

72. Railway Age, Marybeth Luczak, CN Orders Wabtec FLXdrive (Updated), November 4, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.railwayage.com/news/cn-orders-wabtec-flxdrive/>)
73. Wabtec Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Makes Largest Investment in Wabtec's FLXdrive Battery-Electric Locomotive, January 28, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/newsroom/press-releases/union-pacific-railroad-makes-largest-investment-in-wabtec-s-flxdrive-battery-electric-locomotive>)
74. AMPS, IS2-70 Zero Emissions Switcher, 2021, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <http://ampsonboard.com/projects/amps-electric-rail-switcher>)
75. Trains, OmniTRAX railroad purchases battery locomotive, March 3, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.trains.com/trn/news-reviews/news-wire/omnitrax-railroad-purchases-battery-locomotive/>)
76. Wired, Battery-Powered Trains Are Picking Up Speed, February 4, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.wired.com/story/battery-powered-trains-gather-speed/>)
77. White, R. K., Yabroff, I. W., Dickson, E. M., Zink, R. A., Gray, M. E., and Moon, A. E., Railroad electrification in America's future: an assessment of prospects and impacts. Final report, 1980. (weblink: <https://www.osti.gov/servlets/purl/5442946>)
78. Stadler, KISS Double-Decker Multiple Unit EMU, 2020. (weblink: https://www.stadlerail.com/media/pdf/kcal0220e_us.pdf)
79. CARB, Appendix B, Proposed In-Use Locomotive Regulation Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA).
80. Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board/Caltrain, Project Monitoring Report (PMR) September 2020, November 3, 2020. (weblink: <https://www.caltrain.com/media/679/download?inline>)
81. CARB, 2016, Technology Assessment: Freight Locomotives, November 2016. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/classic/msprog/tech/techreport/final_rail_tech_assessment_11282016.pdf)
82. United States Department of Transportation – Railroad Administration, Study of Hydrogen Fuel Cell Technology for Rail Propulsion and Review of Relevant Industry Standards, June 2021. (weblink: <https://railroads.dot.gov/sites/fra.dot.gov/files/2021-06/Study%20of%20Hydrogen%20Fuel%20Cell%20Tech.pdf>)
83. United States Department of Transportation – Railroad Administration, Study of Hydrogen Fuel Cell Technology for Rail Propulsion and Review of Relevant Industry Standards, June 2021. (weblink: <https://railroads.dot.gov/sites/fra.dot.gov/files/2021-06/Study%20of%20Hydrogen%20Fuel%20Cell%20Tech.pdf>)
84. United States Department of Transportation – Railroad Administration, Study of Hydrogen Fuel Cell Technology for Rail Propulsion and Review of Relevant Industry Standards, June 2021. (weblink: <https://railroads.dot.gov/sites/fra.dot.gov/files/2021-06/Study%20of%20Hydrogen%20Fuel%20Cell%20Tech.pdf>)

85. Chart Industries, LNG on the Rails- Precursor to LH2 on the Rails? 2018. (weblink: <https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2019/04/f62/fcto-h2-at-rail-workshop-2019-nason-larson.pdf>)
86. R. K. Ahluwalia, D. Papadias, and X. Wang, Rail and Maritime Metrics, U.S. DOE Hydrogen and Fuel Cells Program 2020 Annual Merit Review and Peer Evaluation Meeting, Washington, D.C., May 19 - 21, 2020. (weblink: https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/review20/ta034_ahluwalia_2020_o.pdf)
87. Sandia Nation Laboratory, H2@RailSM Workshop, August 2019. (weblink: https://energy.sandia.gov/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/2019/09/H2@RailWorkshop_Report_SAND2019-10191R.pdf)
88. Stadler Rail, Green-Tech For the US: Stadler Signs First Ever Contract for Hydrogen-Powered Train, November 14, 2019, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.stadlerrail.com/en/media/article/green-tech-for-the-us-stadler-signs-first-ever-contract-for-hydrogen-powered-train/649/>)
89. Cummins, Trains on the right track, n.d., accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.cummins.com/new-power/applications/trains>)
90. Alstom, Alstom's Coradia iLint hydrogen powered train wins German Sustainability Award, December 3, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.alstom.com/press-releases-news/2021/12/alstoms-coradia-ilint-hydrogen-powered-train-wins-german-sustainability>)
91. Alstom, Alstom to supply Italy's first hydrogen trains, November 26, 2020, accessed February 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.alstom.com/press-releases-news/2020/11/alstom-supply-italys-first-hydrogen-trains>)
92. Siemens, Mireo Plus H – the next generation of hydrogen trains, accessed August 3, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.mobility.siemens.com/global/en/portfolio/rail/rolling-stock/commuter-and-regional-trains/hybrid-drive-systems/mireo-plus-h.html>)
93. Ballard, Ballard Receives Order for Fuel Cell Modules to Power Trial Operation of Siemens Mireo Plus H Train, July 15, 2021, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.ballard.com/about-ballard/newsroom/news-releases/2021/07/15/ballard-receives-order-for-fuel-cell-modules-to-power-trial-operation-of-siemens-mireo-plus-h-train>)
94. International Railway Journal, DB and Siemens to begin hydrogen train trials in 2024, November 23, 2020, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.railjournal.com/technology/db-to-begin-hydrogen-train-trials-in-2024/>)
95. Sierra Northern, California Energy Commission awards Sierra Northern Railway Team nearly \$4,000,000 to build and test Hydrogen Switcher Locomotive, March 20, 2021, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <http://sierranorthern.com/news/articles/california-energy-commission-awards-sierra-northern-railway-team-nearly-4-000-000-to-build-and-test-hydrogen-switcher-locomotive/>)

96. Ballard Power Systems, Ballard to Power Sierra Northern Railway Switching Locomotive in California, April 19, 2021, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.ballard.com/about-ballard/newsroom/news-releases/2021/04/19/ballard-to-power-sierra-northern-railway-switching-locomotive-in-california>)
97. CP, CP to employ Ballard fuel cells in Hydrogen Locomotive Project, March 9, 2021, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.cpr.ca/en/media/cp-to-employ-ballard-fuel-cells-in-hydrogen-locomotive-project>)
98. Ballard, Ballard Fuel Cells to Power Expansion of Canadian Pacific Hydrogen Locomotive Program, January 19, 2022, accessed February 1, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.ballard.com/about-ballard/newsroom/news-releases/2022/01/19/ballard-fuel-cells-to-power-expansion-of-canadian-pacific-hydrogen-locomotive-program>)
99. Progress Rail, Caterpillar, BNSF and Chevron Agree to Pursue Hydrogen Locomotive Demonstration, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Company/News/PressReleases/CaterpillarBNSFandChevronAgreetoPursueHydrogenLocomotiveDemonstration.html>)
100. U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, Prototype Design and Evaluation of Hybrid Solid Oxide Fuel Cell Gas Turbine Systems for Use in Locomotives, October 2019. (weblink: https://railroads.dot.gov/sites/fra.dot.gov/files/fra_net/19086/Prototype%20Design%20and%20Evaluation.pdf)
101. ARPA-e, Adaptive SOFC for Ultra High Efficiency Power Systems, July 26, 2017, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://arpa-e.energy.gov/technologies/projects/adaptive-sofc-ultra-high-efficiency-power-systems>)
102. CARB, Appendix B, Proposed In-Use Locomotive Regulation Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA).
103. Sandia National Laboratories, H2@Rail Workshop, August 2019. (weblink: https://energy.sandia.gov/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/2019/09/H2@RailWorkshop_Report_SAND2019-10191R.pdf)
104. California Clean Off-Road Equipment Voucher Incentive Project (CORE), 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://californiacore.org/equipment-category/railcar-movers-switchers/>)
105. SB-100 California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program: emissions of greenhouse gases (2017-2018), accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201720180SB100)
106. Parallel Systems, Product, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://moveparallel.com/product/>)
107. Trains, Union Pacific CEO signals interest in autonomous intermodal cars, January 20, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.trains.com/trn/news-reviews/news-wire/union-pacific-ceo-signals-interest-in-autonomous-intermodal-cars/>)

108. Fast Company, Former SpaceX engineers raised \$50 million to build a Tesla for freight trains, January 19, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.fastcompany.com/90713785/former-spacex-engineers-raised-50-million-to-build-a-tesla-for-freight-trains>)
109. Grace Donnelly, Emerging Tech, A new startup thinks the future of trains is battery-powered, autonomous, and small, January 19, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.morningbrew.com/emerging-tech/stories/2022/01/19/a-new-startup-thinks-the-future-of-trains-is-battery-powered-autonomous-and-small>)
110. Nordco, Navigator, Electric, NVX- Electric, 2021, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.nordco.com/Products/mobile-material-handling/Navigator-Series.htm>)
111. Zephir, The Electric Range, 2021, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://trackmobile.com/electric-railcar-movers/>)
112. Colmar, SL160E – Electric Railcar Mover, accessed March 28, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.colmarequipment.com/en/equipment/railway/railcar-movers-electric/sl160e>)
113. Colmar, Railcar Movers in Operation – North America, December 18, 2020, accessed March 28, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.colmarequipment.com/en/news/2020/12/18/railcar-movers-in-operation-north-america>)
114. Nordco, Navigator, Electric, NVX- Electric, 2021, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <https://www.nordco.com/products-catalog/mobile-rail-car-movers/navigator-series/NVX5025e.htm>)
115. Gordon Russell, LOK 5.5 E – MPV, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.gordonrussell.com/product-259-lok-55-e---mpv>)
116. Wabtec Corporation, Zero Emission Electric Railcar Movers, December 8, 2021. (weblink: <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/Proposed-Rules/pr-2306/shuttlewagon-presentation.pdf?sfvrsn=6>)
117. California Air Resources Board, Preliminary Cost Document for the In-Use Locomotive Regulation, 2021. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/3.16.21%20Locomotive%20Reg%20-%20Preliminary%20Cost%20Document_Final.pdf)
118. Wabtec Corporation, Zero Emission Electric Railcar Movers, December 8, 2021. (weblink: <http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/rule-book/Proposed-Rules/pr-2306/shuttlewagon-presentation.pdf?sfvrsn=6>)
119. California Air Resources Board, Preliminary Cost Document for the In-Use Locomotive Regulation, 2021. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/3.16.21%20Locomotive%20Reg%20-%20Preliminary%20Cost%20Document_Final.pdf)

120. CARB, Transitioning to a Zero or Near-Zero Emission Line-Haul Freight Rail System in California: Operational and Economic Considerations, Spring 2016. (weblink: https://www.arb.ca.gov/railyard/docs/uo_i_rpt_06222016.pdf)
121. Caltrans, Caltrans Intercity Passenger Rail, October 28, 2020. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-10/Day%201%20Ext%205%20Caltrans%2020201026.pdf>)
122. Metrolink, Climate Action Plan, March 26, 2021. (weblink: <https://metrolinktrains.com/globalassets/about/agency/sustainability/climate-action-plan.pdf>)
123. Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority, Performance Report FY19. (weblink: https://images.capitolcorridor.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/CCJPA_Report2019_Pages.pdf)
124. Caltrain, Sustainability in Action, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.caltrain.com/about/Sustainability/SustainabilityInAction.html>)
125. Altamont Corridor Express, ACE and the Environment, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://acerail.com/ace-and-the-environment/>)
126. CARB, Renewable Diesel Joint Statement, July 31, 2013. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2018-08/Renewable_Diesel_Joint_Statement_7-31-13.pdf).
127. University of California Riverside, For the CE-CERT Engine Testing Portion for the CARB Assessment of the Emissions from the Use of Biodiesel as a Motor Vehicle Fuel in California "Biodiesel Characterization and NOx Mitigation Study", August 2009. (weblink: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/diesel/altdiesel/090923engine-test2006cummins-ism.pdf>)
128. Comment only.
129. Union Pacific, 2021, Climate Action Plan. (weblink: <https://www.up.com/aboutup/esg/climate-action-plan/index.htm>)
130. Progress Rail, Progress Rail Approves B20 Biodiesel Fuel for Use in EMD® Engines, August 26, 2021, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Company/News/PressReleases/ProgressRailApprovesB20BiodieselFuelforUseinEMDEngines.html>)
131. Caltrans, Zero Emission Vehicle Action Plan 2.0, March 1, 2021. (weblink: https://static.business.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Caltrans_ZEV-Action-Plan_Web_v2.pdf)
132. U.S. Department of Energy, Clean Cities Coalition Network, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: https://cleancities.energy.gov/partnerships/search?project_search=Field%20Demonstration%20of%20a%20Near-Zero,%20Tier%205%20Compliant,%20Natural%20Gas%20Hybrid%20Line-Haul%20Locomotive#natural-gas-locomotive)

133. Tractive Power Corporation, Other Products, 2021, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: www.tractivepowercorp.com/our_technology/other-products/).
134. CARB, Technology Assessment: Freight Locomotive, November 2016. (weblink: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-06/final_rail_tech_assessment_11282016%20-%20ADA%2020200117.pdf).
135. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA), California Communities Environmental Health Screening Tool: CalEnviroScreen 4.0. (weblink: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen40reportf2021.pdf>)
136. Federal Rail Safety Improvement Act, 2008. (weblink: <https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R42637#:~:text=The%20Rail%20Safety%20Improvement%20Act%20of%202008%20%28RSIA08%29, freight%20traffic%20with%20toxic-%20or%20poisonous-by-inhalation%20hazardous%20materials>)
137. Positive Train Control Implementation by Railroad, 2018, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://railroads.dot.gov/train-control/ptc/positive-train-control-app>)
138. Cristian Ulianov, Paul Hyde and Ramy Shaltout, Railway Applications for Monitoring and Tracking Systems, 2018. (weblink: <https://www.springerprofessional.de/en/railway-applications-for-monitoring-and-tracking-systems/13299160>)
139. Progress Rail, Caterpillar to Supply Locomotives to Union Pacific Railroad, Supporting Investment in World's Largest Battery-Electric Locomotive Fleet, January 28, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.progressrail.com/en/Company/News/PressReleases/CaterpillartoSupplyLocomotivestoUnionPacificRailroadSupportingInvestmentinWorldsLargestBattery-ElectricLocomotiveFleet.html>).
140. Wabtec Corporation, Union Pacific Railroad Makes Largest Investment in Wabtec's FLXdrive Battery-Electric Locomotive, January 28, 2022, accessed February 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/newsroom/press-releases/union-pacific-railroad-makes-largest-investment-in-wabtec-s-flxdrive-battery-electric-locomotive>).
141. AMPS, IS2-70 Zero Emissions Switcher, 2021, accessed August 16, 2021. (weblink: <http://ampsonboard.com/projects/amps-electric-rail-switcher/>).
142. Trains, OmniTRAX railroad purchases battery locomotive, March 3, 2022, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.trains.com/trn/news-reviews/news-wire/omnitrax-railroad-purchases-battery-locomotive/>)
143. Sierra Northern, California Energy Commission awards Sierra Northern Railway Team nearly \$4,000,000 to build and test Hydrogen Switcher Locomotive, March 20, 2021, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <http://sierranorthern.com/news/articles/california-energy-commission-awards-sierra-northern-railway-team-nearly-4-000-000-to-build-and-test-hydrogen-switcher-locomotive/>)

144. Stadler, Products, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.stadlerail.com/en/products/>)
145. Alstom, Regional and intercity: Rapid connections to suit all operators, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.alstom.com/solutions/rolling-stock/regional-and-intercity-rapid-connections-suit-all-operators>)
146. Siemens, Mireo, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.mobility.siemens.com/global/en/portfolio/rail/rolling-stock/commuter-and-regional-trains/mireo.html>)
147. Caltrans, Caltrans Intercity Passenger Rail, Our strategy towards zero-emission (Draft), October 28, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-10/Day%201%20Ext%205%20Caltrans%2020201026.pdf>)
148. Caltrans, Zero Emission Vehicle Action Plan 2.0, March 1, 2021. (weblink: https://static.business.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Caltrans_ZEV-Action-Plan_Web_v2.pdf)
149. Wabtec, Wabtec and GM to Develop Advanced Ultium Battery and HYDROTEC Hydrogen Fuel Cell Solutions for Rail Industry, June 15, 2021, accessed July 27, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.wabteccorp.com/newsroom/press-releases/wabtec-and-gm-to-develop-advanced-ultium-battery-and-hydrotec-hydrogen-fuel-cell-solutions-for-rail>).
150. Popovich, N.D., Rajagopal, D., Tasar, E. et al. Economic, environmental and grid-resilience benefits of converting diesel trains to battery-electric. *Nat Energy* 6, 1017–1025 (2021). (weblink: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-021-00915-5>)

IX. Appendix G: CARB's 2022 In-Use Locomotive Emission Inventory: Regulation Proposal and Scenarios

1. CARB, Mobile Source Emissions Inventory. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/mobile-source-emissions-inventory/road-documentation/msei-documentation-road>)
2. CARB, EMFAC (weblink: <https://arb.ca.gov/emfac/>)
3. Surface Transportation Board (stb.gov) R-1 annual reports covering 11 years (UP and BNSF report separately), (weblink: <https://www.stb.gov/reports-data/economic-data/annual-report-financial-data/>)
4. CARB, Mobile Source Emissions Inventory. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/mobile-source-emissions-inventory/road-documentation/msei-documentation-road>)
5. U.S. EPA, Emission Factors for Locomotives (EPA-420-F-09-025), Office of Transportation and Air Quality, April 2009. (weblink: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100500B.PDF?Dockkey=P100500B.PDF>)
6. Comment only.

7. Comment only.
8. CARB, 1998 MOU Summary Data Archive. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/1998-mou-summary-data-archive>)
9. CARB, Mobile Source Emissions Inventory. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/mobile-source-emissions-inventory/road-documentation/msei-documentation-road>)
10. Comment only.
11. Comment only.
12. Comment only.
13. CARB, 2021 Class I Line Haul Locomotive Emission Inventory, February, 2021 (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-07/2021%20Line-Haul%20Locomotive%20Emission%20Inventory%20%28Final%29%202022%20July%20Update.pdf>)
14. CARB's 2022 Switcher Rail Yard Emission Inventory, July 2022. (weblink: [https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-07/2022 Class I Switcher Emission Inventory technical document 07112022.pdf](https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-07/2022%20Class%20I%20Switcher%20Emission%20Inventory%20technical%20document%2007112022.pdf))
15. US EPA, Procedures for Emission Inventory Preparation - Vol IV: Mobile Sources; Publication EPA420-R-92-009, December 1992, p. 207 (weblink: <https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P1009ZEK.PDF?Dockey=P1009ZEK.PDF>)
16. CARB, 2017 Short line Rail Emissions Model, June 2017. (weblink: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/msei/ordiesel/locoshortline2017ei.docx>)
17. U.S. Surface Transportation Board, Revisions to Railroad Annual Report Form R-1 and Quarterly Operating Reports, April 24, 2017. (weblink: <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2017-04-24/pdf/2017-08236.pdf>)
18. CARB, 2017 Passenger Rail Emissions Model, June 2017. (weblink: <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/msei/ordiesel/locopassenger2017ei.docx>)
19. Comment only.
20. CARB, 2022 Military and Industrial Locomotive Emission Inventory, July 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2022-07/2022%20MI%20Locomotive%20Emission%20Inventory%20Document%2007112022%20ADA%20Checked.pdf>)

X. Appendix H: Health Analyses

1. CARB, Railyard Health Risk Assessments, files accessed July 12, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/railyard-health-risk-assessments-and-mitigation-measures>)

2. CARB, Locomotive Inventory Values for the In-Use Locomotive Regulation Health Risk Characterization, 2020 MSEI - Documentation - Off-Road - Diesel Equipment _ California Air Resources Board, accessed on July 11, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/our-work/programs/mobile-source-emissions-inventory/road-documentation/msei-documentation-road>)
3. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment: Air Toxics Hot Spots Program, Risk Assessment Guidelines, February 15, 2015. (weblink: <https://oehha.ca.gov/media/downloads/crnrr/2015guidancemanual.pdf>)
4. U.S. EPA, Integrated Science Assessment for Oxides of Nitrogen – Health Criteria, EPA/600/R-15/068, January 2016. (weblink: http://ofmpub.epa.gov/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_id=526855)
5. CARB, Methodology for Estimating the Health Effects of Air Pollution, accessed July 12, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/carbs-methodology-estimating-health-effects-air-pollution>)
6. Fann N, Fulcher CM, Hubbell BJ., The influence of location, source, and emission type in estimates of the human health benefits of reducing a ton of air pollution, *Air Quality Atmosphere & Health*, 2:169-176, 2009. (weblink: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2770129/>)
7. Fann N, Baker KR, Fulcher CM., Characterizing the PM2.5-related health benefits of emission reductions for 17 industrial, area and mobile emission sectors across the U.S. *Environmental International*, 49:141-51, November 15, 2012. (weblink: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412012001985>)
8. Fann N, Baker K, Chan E, Eyth A, Macpherson A, Miller E, Snyder J., Assessing Human Health PM2.5 and Ozone Impacts from U.S. Oil and Natural Gas Sector Emissions in 2025, *Environmental Science Technology*, 52, pp 8095–8103, 2018. (weblink: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.est.8b02050>)
9. Comment only.

10. National Center for Environmental Economics et al., Appendix B: Mortality Risk Valuation Estimates, Guidelines for Preparing Economic Analyses (EPA 240-R-10-001, Dec. 2010). (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2017-09/documents/ee-0568-22.pdf>)
11. U.S. EPA, Science Advisory Board (U.S. EPA-SAB), An SAB Report on EPA's White Paper Valuing the Benefits of Fatal Cancer Risk Reduction, EPA-SAB-EEAC-00-013, July 27, 2000. (weblink: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2017-12/documents/ee-0483_all.pdf)
12. U.S. EPA, Mortality Risk Valuation – What does it mean the place a value on a life? Accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/environmental-economics/mortality-risk-valuation#means>)
13. U.S. EPA. Technical Support Document (TSD) for the Final Revised Cross-State Air Pollution Rule Update for the 2008 Ozone Season NAAQS: Estimating PM_{2.5}- and Ozone-Attributable Health Benefits. (EPA Docket EPA-HQ-OAR-2020-0272); March 2021. (weblink: https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2021-03/documents/air_quality_modeling_tsd_final_revised_csapr_update.pdf)
14. CARB, Overview: Diesel Exhaust & Health, accessed July 22, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/overview-diesel-exhaust-and-health>)
15. IARC, Press Release N° 213, IARC: Diesel Engine Exhaust Carcinogenic, June 12, 2012. (weblink: https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr213_E.pdf)
16. Propper, R., P. Wong, S. Bui, J. Austin, W. Vance, Á. Alvarado, B. Croes and D. Luo. Ambient and Emission Trends of Toxic Air Contaminants in California, Environmental Science & Technology 49, 2015, pp.1329-11339.
17. CARB, Inhalable Particulate Matter and Health (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), accessed August 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/inhalable-particulate-matter-and-health>)
18. U.S. EPA. Particulate Matter (PM) Basics | Particulate Matter (PM) Pollution, accessed August 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/particulate-matter-pm-basics>)
19. U.S. EPA, Health and Environmental Effects of Particulate Matter (PM), accessed August 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution/health-and-environmental-effects-particulate-matter-pm>)
20. WHO, Review of Evidence on Health Aspects of Air Pollution-REVIHAAP Project Technical Report, 2013.
21. U.S. EPA, Integrated Science Assessment for Particulate Matter, Issue EPA/600/R-19/188, December 2019. (weblink: <https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/isa/recordisplay.cfm?deid=347534>)

22. U.S. EPA, Integrated Science Assessment for Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants, Issue EPA/600/R-20/012, April 2020. (weblink: https://ordspub.epa.gov/ords/eims/eimscomm.getfile?p_download_id=540022)
23. Gharibi H, Entwistle MR, Ha S, Gonzalez M, Brown P, Schweizer D, Cisneros R. Ozone pollution and asthma emergency department visits in the Central Valley, California, USA, during June to September of 2015: a time-stratified case-crossover analysis. *Journal of Asthma*, VOL. 56, NO. 10, 1037–1048, 2019.
24. Soret, S., & Montgomery, S., Project ENRRICH: A Public Health Assessment of Residential Proximity to a Goods Movement Railyard Project, Final Report, n.d.
25. Spencer-Hwang R, Soret S, Knutsen S, et al. Respiratory Health Risks for Children Living Near a Major Railyard. *J Community Health*, 40(5), pp.1015-1023, October 2015.
26. Spencer-Hwang, R., et. al. Gender Differences in Respiratory Health of School Children Exposed to Rail Yard-Generated Air Pollution: The ENRRICH Study, *Journal of Environmental Health*, January 2016.
27. Spencer-Hwang R, Pasco-Rubio M, Soret S, et al. Association of major California freight railyards with asthma-related pediatric emergency department hospital visits, *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 13, pp.73-79, May 2018.
28. Hart JE, Laden F, Schenker MB, Garshick E., Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Mortality in Diesel-Exposed Railroad Workers, *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 114(7), pp. 1013-1017, July 2006.
29. Lee MT, Whitmore GA, Laden F, Hart JE., Assessing lung cancer risk in railroad workers using a first hitting time regression model, *Environmetrics*, 15(5), pp.501-512, August 2004.
30. Garshick, E., Schenker, M. B., Musnoz, A., Segal, M., Smith, T. J., Woskie, S. R., Hammond, S. K., & Speizer, F. E., A Retrospective Cohort Study of Lung Cancer and Diesel Exhaust Exposure in Railroad Workers, *The American review of respiratory disease*, pp. 820-825, April 1988.
31. Garshick, E, Laden, F., Hart, J. E., Rosner, B., Smith, T. J., Dockery, D. W., & Speizer, F. E. (2004). Lung Cancer in Railroad Workers Exposed to Diesel Exhaust, *Environmental Health Perspective*, 112(15), pp.1539–1543, November 1, 2004. (weblink: <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7195>)
32. Pope CA., Burnett RT, Thurston GD, et al. Cardiovascular Mortality and Long-Term Exposure to Particulate Air Pollution, *Epidemiological Evidence of General Pathophysiological Pathways of Disease*, 109(1), pp. 71-77, January 2004, accessed June 22, 2022.
33. Finkelstein MM, Verma DK, Sahai D, Stefov E., Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality Among Heavy Equipment Operators. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*, 46(1) pp.16-22, 2004.

34. Hannerz H, Tüchsen F. Hospital admissions among male drivers in Denmark, *Occup Environ Med*, 58(4), pp.253-260, 2001.
35. Tuchsien F, Endahl LA., Increasing inequality in ischaemic heart disease morbidity among employed men in Denmark 1981-1993: the need for a new preventive policy, *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 28(4), pp.640-644, 1999.
36. Ham W, Vijayan A, Schulte N, Herner JD., Commuter exposure to PM2.5, BC, and UFP in six common transport microenvironments in Sacramento, California, *Atmospheric Environment* 167, pp.335-345, 2017.
37. Apte JS, Chambliss SE, Tessum CW, Marshall JD., A Method to Prioritize Sources for Reducing High PM2.5 Exposures in Environmental Justice Communities in California, California Air Resources Board, November 21, 2019.
38. Hricko A, Rowland G, Eckel S, Logan A, Taher M, Wilson J., Global Trade, Local Impacts: Lessons from California on Health Impacts and Environmental Justice Concerns for Residents Living near Freight Rail Yards. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 11(2), pp.1914-1941, February 10, 2014.
39. OEHHA, SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities, accessed August 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/sb535>)
40. Arthur KN, Knutsen SF, Spencer-Hwang R, Shavlik D, Montgomery S., Health-Predictive Social-Environmental Stressors and Social Buffers Are Place Based: A Multilevel Example From San Bernardino Communities, *Journal of Primary Care & Community Health*, 2019.
41. U.S. Census Bureau., American Community Survey, 2012. (weblink: <https://data.census.gov>)
42. CARB, Community Air Protection Program, accessed August 2, 2022. (weblink: <https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/capp/about>)

XI. Appendix I: List of Public Workshops, Meetings, Conference Calls, Video Conferences, and Site Visits Supporting the Public Process for Development of the Proposed Regulation

No references cited.