APPENDIX D-3: ATTACHMENT B, SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES

Summ	ary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Aesthetics		

Impact 1-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 1-1	Potentially
Construction-Related Impacts on Aesthetics Potentially significant	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes applicable laws and regulations that relate to aesthetics. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with local or State land use approval and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California would qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary approval authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project-specific impacts and mitigation would be identified during the environmental review by agencies with project-approval authority. Recognized practices routinely required to avoid and/or minimize impacts to aesthetic resources include:	significant and unavoidable
	 Proponents of new or modified facilities or infrastructure constructed as a result of reasonably foreseeable compliance responses would coordinate with State or local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local or State land use agency or governing body must follow all applicable environmental regulations as part of approval of a project for development. 	
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all feasible mitigation to reduce or substantially lessen the potentially significant scenic or aesthetic impacts of the project. 	
	 The project proponent would color and finish the surfaces of all project structures and buildings visible to the public to: (1) minimize visual intrusion and contrast by blending with the landscape; (2) minimize glare; and (3) comply with local design 	

Summ	Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	
	policies and ordinances. The project proponent would submit a surface treatment plan to the lead agency for review and approval.		
	• To the extent feasible, the sites selected for use as construction staging and laydown areas would be areas that are already disturbed and/or are in locations of low visual sensitivity. Where feasible, construction staging and laydown areas for equipment, personal vehicles, and material storage would be sited to take advantage of natural screening opportunities provided by existing structures, topography, and/or vegetation. Temporary visual screens would be used where needed if existing landscape features did not screen views of the areas.		
	 All construction, operation, and maintenance areas would be kept clean and tidy, including the re-vegetation of disturbed soil and storage of construction materials and equipment would be screened from view and/or are generally not visible to the public, where feasible. 		
	 Siting projects and their associated elements next to important scenic landscape features or in a setting for observation from State scenic highways, national historic sites, national trails, and cultural resources would be avoided to the greatest extent feasible. 		
	 The project proponent would contact the lead agency to discuss the documentation required in a lighting mitigation plan, submit to the lead agency a plan describing the measures that demonstrate compliance with lighting requirements, and 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation				
	notify the lead agency that the lighting has been completed and is ready for inspection.			

Impact 1-2: Long-Term Operational Impacts on	<i>Mitigation Measure 1-2</i> The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes applicable laws and	Potentially significant and
Aesthetics	regulations that relate to aesthetics. CARB does not have the	
Potentially significant	authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with local or State land use approval and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California would qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary approval authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project specific impacts and mitigation would be identified during the environmental review by agencies with project-approval authority. Recognized practices routinely required to avoid and/or minimize impacts to aesthetic resources include:	
	 Proponents of new or modified facilities or infrastructure constructed as a result of reasonably foreseeable compliance responses would coordinate with State or local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local or State land use agency or governing body must follow all applicable environmental regulations as part of approval of a project for development. 	
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all feasible mitigation to reduce or substantially lessen the potentially significant scenic or aesthetic impacts of the project. 	
	 The project proponent would color and finish the surfaces of all project structures and buildings visible to the public to: (1) minimize visual intrusion and contrast by blending with the 	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	landscape; (2) minimize glare; and (3) comply with local design policies and ordinances. The project proponent would submit a surface treatment plan to the lead agency for review and approval.	
	• To the extent feasible, the sites selected for use as construction staging and laydown areas would be areas that are already disturbed and/or are in locations of low visual sensitivity. Where feasible, construction staging and laydown areas for equipment, personal vehicles, and material storage would be sited to take advantage of natural screening opportunities provided by existing structures, topography, and/or vegetation. Temporary visual screens would be used where needed if existing landscape features did not screen views of the areas.	
	• All construction, operation, and maintenance areas would be kept clean and tidy, including the re-vegetation of disturbed soil and storage of construction materials and equipment would be screened from view and/or are generally not visible to the public, where feasible.	
	• Siting projects and their associated elements next to important scenic landscape features or in a setting for observation from State scenic highways, national historic sites, national trails, and cultural resources would be avoided to the greatest extent feasible.	
	 The project proponent would contact the lead agency to discuss the documentation required in a lighting mitigation plan, submit to the lead agency a plan describing the 	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures			
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation		
	measures that demonstrate compliance with lighting requirements, and notify the lead agency that the lighting has been completed and is ready for inspection.		
Agriculture and Forestry Resources			

Impact 2-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 2-1	Potentially	
Construction-Related and Long-Term Operation-Related Effects to Agriculture and Forestry Resources	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes applicable laws and regulations that relate to agriculture and forestry resources. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local	significant unavoidable	and
Potentially significant	jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with local or State land use approval and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California would qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary approval authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project specific impacts and mitigation would be identified during the environmental review by agencies with project-approval authority. Recognized practices routinely required to avoid and/or minimize impacts to agriculture and forestry resources include:		
	 Proponents of new or modified facilities constructed because of reasonably foreseeable compliance responses would coordinate with local or State land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local or State land use agency or governing body would certify that the environmental document was prepared in compliance with applicable regulations and would approve the project for development. 		
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all mitigation identified in the environmental document to reduce or substantially lessen the environmental impacts of the project because CARB has no land use authority, mitigation is not within its purview to reduce potentially significant impacts to less-than-significant levels. Any mitigation specifically required for a new or modified facility 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures			
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	
	would be determined by the local lead agency and future environmental documents by local and State lead agencies should include analysis of the following:		
	 Avoid lands designated as Important Farmland (State defined Prime Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, and Unique Farmland) as defined by the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. Before converting Important Farmland to non-agricultural use, analyze the feasibility of using farmland that is not designated as Important Farmland (e.g., through clustering or design change to avoid Farmland) prior to deciding on the conversion of Important Farmland. 		
	 Avoid lands designated as forest land or timberland before converting forestland or timberland to non-forest use, analyze the feasibility of using other lands prior to deciding on the conversion of forest land or timberland. 		
	 Any mitigation for permanent conversion of Important Farmland caused by facility construction or modification shall be completed prior to the issuance of a grading or building permit by providing the permitting agency with written evidence of completion of the mitigation. Mitigation may include but is not limited to: 		
	 Restore agricultural land to productive use through removal of equipment or other means, such that the land can be designated as Farmland. 		

Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	 If restoration is not feasible, permanently preserve off-site Important Farmland of equal or better agricultural quality, at a ratio of at least 1:1. Preservation may include the purchase of agricultural conservation easement(s); purchase of credits from an established agricultural farmland mitigation bank; contribution of agricultural land or equivalent funding to an organization that provides for the preservation of Important Farmland. 	
	 Participate in any agricultural land mitigation program, including local government maintained or administered, that provides equal or more effective mitigation than the measures listed. 	
	• Any mitigation for permanent conversion of forest land or timberland caused by facility construction or modification shall be completed prior to the issuance of a grading or building permit by providing the permitting agency with written evidence of completion of the mitigation. Mitigation may include but is not limited to permanent preservation of forest land or timberland of equal or better quality at a ratio of 1:1 or 1.5:1 because some lost ecological value may not be replaceable. Preservation may include purchase of easements or contribution of funds to a land trust or other agency.	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures			
Resource Area Im Significance before Mi	-	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
		Mitigation Measure 3-1	
Construction-Related on Air Quality Potentially significant	Impacts	•	Potentially significant and unavoidable

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	 lessen the potentially significant air quality impacts of the project. Project proponents will apply for, secure, and comply with all appropriate air quality permits for project construction from the local agencies with air quality jurisdiction and form other applicable agencies, if appropriate, prior to construction mobilization. Project proponents will comply with the federal Clean Air Act (CAA) and the California Clean Air Act (CCAA) (e.g., New Source Review and Best Available Control Technology criteria), if applicable. Project proponents will comply with local plans, policies, ordinances, rules and regulations regarding air quality-related emissions and associated exposure (e.g., construction-related fugitive PM dust regulations, indirect source review, and payment into offsite mitigation funds). For projects located in PM10 nonattainment areas, prepare, and comply with a dust abatement plan that addresses emission of fugitive dust during construction and operation of the project. Ensure the cleanest possible construction practices and equipment are used. This includes eliminating idling of diesel-powered equipment and providing the necessary infrastructure (e.g., electric plugs) to support zero and near-zero equipment and tools. Implement, and plan accordingly for the necessary infrastructure to support the zero and near-zero emission technology vehicles and equipment that will be operating onsite. Necessary infrastructure may include the physical (e.g., electric plugs) 	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures				
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation				
	 needed footprint), energy, and fueling infrastructure for construction equipment, on-site vehicles and equipment, and medium-heavy and heavy-heavy duty trucks. In construction contracts, include language that requires all off-road diesel-powered equipment used during construction to be zero-emission if commercially available. If not commercially available, include language that requires such equipment to be equipped with Tier 4 Final or cleaner engines, except for specialized construction equipment in which Tier 4 Final engines are not available. In place of Tier 4 Final engines, off-road equipment can incorporate retrofits such that emissions reductions achieved equal or exceed that of a Tier 4 Final engine. In construction contracts, include language that requires all off-road equipment with a power rating below 19 kilowatts (e.g., pressure washers, plate compactors) used during project construction be battery-powered. In construction contracts, include language that requires all heavy-duty trucks entering the construction site, during the grading and building construction phases be zero-emission if commercially available. If not commercially available, include language that requires such equipment to be model year 2014 or later. All heavy-duty haul trucks should also meet CARB's lowest optional low-NOx standard starting in the year 2022. In construction contracts, include language that requires all construction equipment and fleets to be in compliance with all 			

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation		
	current air quality regulations. CARB staff is available to assist in implementing this recommendation.	
Impact 3-2: Long-Term Operational-Related Impacts on Air Quality	NA	NA
Less than significant		
Biological Resources	·	•
Impact 4-1: Short-Term Construction-Related Impacts on Biological Resources Potentially significant	 Mitigation Measure 4-1 The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes applicable laws and regulations that relate to biological resources. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with local or State land use approval and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California would qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary approval authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project specific impacts and mitigation would be identified during the environmental review by agencies with project-approval authority. Recognized practices routinely required to avoid and/or minimize impacts to biological resources include: Proponents of construction activities implemented as a result 	unavoidabie

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation Signific after Mit	
	or local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local or State land use agency or governing body must follow all applicable environmental regulations as part of approval of a project for development.	
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all feasible mitigation to reduce or substantially lessen the potentially significant impacts on biological resources associated with the project. 	
	 Actions required to mitigate potentially significant biological impacts may include the following; however, any mitigation specifically required for a new or modified port/terminal facility or other lands would be determined by the local lead agency: 	
	 Retain a qualified biologist to prepare a biological inventory of site resources prior to ground disturbance or construction. If protected species or their habitats are present, comply with applicable federal and State endangered species acts and regulations. Construction and operational planning would require that important fish or wildlife movement corridors or nursery sites are not impaired by project activities. 	
	 Retain a qualified biologist to prepare a wetland survey of onsite resources. This survey shall be used to establish setbacks and prohibit disturbance of riparian habitats, streams, intermittent and ephemeral drainages, and other wetlands. Wetland delineation is required by Section 404 of the Clean 	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation Signific after Miti	
	Water Act and is administered by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.	
	 Prohibit construction activities during the rainy season with requirements for seasonal weatherization and implementation of erosion prevention practices. 	
	 Require acoustic mitigation, such as a bubble curtain, for noise impacts. 	
	 Prohibit construction activities in the vicinity of raptor nests during nesting season or establish protective buffers and provide monitoring, as needed, to address project activities that could cause an active nest to fail. 	
	 Prepare site design and development plans that avoid or minimize disturbance of habitat and wildlife resources and prevent storm water discharge that could contribute to sedimentation and degradation of local waterways. Depending on disturbance size and location, a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) construction permit may be required from the California State Water Resources Control Board. 	
	 Prepare spill prevention and emergency response plans, and hazardous waste disposal plans as appropriate to protect against the inadvertent release of potentially toxic materials. 	
	 Plant replacement trees and establish permanent protection suitable habitat at ratios considered acceptable to comply with "no net loss" requirements. 	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation Signification after Mitig	
	 Contractor will keep the site and materials organized and store them in a way to discourage wildlife through reducing potential places for wildlife to hide or nest (e.g., capping pipes, covering trashcans, and emptying trash receptacles consistently and promptly when full). 	
Impact 4-2: Long-Term	Mitigation Measure 4-2	Potentially
Operational Impacts on Biological Resources	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes applicable laws and regulations that relate to biological resources. CARB does not have	
Potentially significant	the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with local or State land use approval and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California would qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary approval authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project specific impacts and mitigation would be identified during the environmental review by agencies with project-approval authority. Recognized practices routinely required to avoid and/or minimize impacts to biological resources include:	
	 Proponents of construction activities implemented as a result of reasonably foreseeable compliance responses associated with the proposed Amendments would coordinate with State or local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local or State land use agency 	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures								
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation Significan after Mitiga							
	or governing body must follow all applicable environmental regulations as part of approval of a project for development.							
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all feasible mitigation to reduce or substantially lessen the potentially significant impacts on biological resources associated with the project. The definition of actions required to mitigate potentially significant biological impacts may include the following; however, any mitigation specifically required for a new or modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency. 							
	 Prohibit vegetation management activities in the vicinity of raptor nests during nesting season or establish protective buffers and provide monitoring as needed to ensure that project activity does not cause an active nest to fail. 							
	 Maintain site design and development plan features that avoid or minimize disturbance of habitat and wildlife resources and prevent stormwater discharge that could contribute to sedimentation and degradation of local waterways during project operation. 							
	 Maintain and replace, as needed, trees and permanently protected suitable habitat identified during the construction phase of the project. 							
	 The impacts to biological resources could be reduced to a less- than-significant level by mitigation that can and should be implemented by federal, state, and local lead agencies, but is beyond the authority of CARB. The authority to determine project-level impacts and require project-level mitigation lies 							

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	with land use and/or permitting agencies for individual projects, and the programmatic level of analysis associated with this Draft EA does not attempt to address project-specific details of mitigation. Thus, there is inherent uncertainty in the degree of mitigation that may ultimately be implemented to reduce potentially significant impacts.	
Cultural Resources		

Impact 5-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 5-1	Potentially	
Construction-Related and Long-Term Operational Impacts on Cultural Resources Potentially significant	struction-Related and g-Term Operational acts on Cultural Resources The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes applicable laws and authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or		and
	 Proponents of construction activities implemented as a result of reasonably foreseeable compliance responses associated with the proposed Amendments would coordinate with State or local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local or State land use agency or governing body must follow all applicable environmental regulations as part of approval of a project for development. 		
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all feasible mitigation to reduce or substantially lessen the potentially significant impacts on cultural resources associated with the project. 		
	 Actions required to mitigate potentially significant cultural resources impacts may include the following; however, any 		

Summ	Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	
	mitigation specifically required for a modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency.		
	 Retain the services of cultural resources specialists with training and background that conforms to the U.S. Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards, as published in Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, part 61. 		
	 Seek guidance from the State and federal lead agencies, as appropriate, for coordination of Nation-to-Nation consultations with the Native American Tribes. 		
	 Regulated entities shall consult with lead agencies early in the planning process to identify the potential presence of cultural properties. The agencies shall provide the project developers with specific instruction on policies for compliance with the various laws and regulations governing cultural resources management, including coordination with regulatory agencies and Native American Tribes. 		
	 If a resource determined to be significant by the qualified archaeologist (i.e., because the find is determined to constitute either an historical resource or a unique archaeological resource), the archaeologist shall work with the project applicant to avoid disturbance to the resource, and if complete avoidance is not possible, follow accepted professional standards in recording any find Preservation in place is the preferred manner of mitigating impacts to archaeological sites. 		
	 Regulated entities shall define the area of potential effect (APE) for each project, which is the area where project construction and operation may directly or indirectly cause 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation Significanc after Mitigati	
	alterations in the character or use of historic properties. The APE shall include a reasonable construction buffer zone and laydown areas, access roads, and borrow areas, as well as a reasonable assessment of areas subject to effects from visual, auditory, or atmospheric impacts, or impacts from increased access.	
	 Regulated entities shall retain the services of a paleontological resources specialist with training and background that conforms with the minimum qualifications for a vertebrate paleontologist as described in Measures for Assessment and Mitigation of Adverse Impacts to Non-Renewable Paleontological Resources: Standard Procedures, Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (Society of Vertebrate Paleontology 2010). 	
	 Regulated entities shall conduct initial scoping assessments to determine whether proposed construction activities, if any, could disturb formations that may contain important paleontological resources. Whenever possible, potential impacts to paleontological resources should be avoided by moving the site of construction or removing or reducing the need for surface disturbance. The scoping assessment shall be conducted by the qualified paleontological resources specialist in accordance with applicable agency requirements. 	
	 The regulated entity's qualified paleontological resources specialist shall determine whether paleontological resources would likely be disturbed in a project area on the basis of the sedimentary context of the area and a records search for past paleontological finds in the area. The assessment may 	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures			
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation		Significance after Mitigation	
	suggest areas of high known potential for containing resources. If the assessment is inconclusive a surface survey is recommended to determine the fossiliferous potential and extent of the pertinent sedimentary units within the project site. If the site contains areas of high potential for significant paleontological resources and avoidance is not possible, prepare a paleontological resources management and mitigation plan that addresses the following steps:		
	 A preliminary survey (if not conducted earlier) and surface salvage prior to construction. 		
	 Physical and administrative protective measures and protocols such as halting work, to be implemented in the event of fossil discoveries. 		
	 Monitoring and salvage during excavation. 		
	 Specimen preparation. 		
	 Identification, cataloging, curation, and storage. 		
	\circ A final report of the findings and their significance.		
	\circ $$ Choose sites that avoid areas of special scientific value.		
Energy Demand			
Impact 6-1: Short-Term Construction-Related Effects on Energy Demand	N/A	N/A	
Less than significant			

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures			
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	
Impact 6-2: Long-Term Operation-Related Effects on Energy Demand	N/A	N/A	
Less than significant			
Geology and Soils			

Impact 7-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 7-1	Potentially	
Construction-Related and Long-term Operation-Related Impacts on Geology and Soils Potentially significant		unavoidable	and
	 Proponents of new or modified facilities constructed as a compliance response to the Proposed Amendments would coordinate with local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local land use agency or governing body would certify that the environmental document was prepared in compliance with applicable regulations and would approve the project for development. 		
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all mitigation identified in the environmental document to reduce or substantially lessen the environmental impacts of the project. The definition of actions required to mitigate potentially significant geology and soil impacts may include the following; however, any mitigation specifically 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	required for a new or modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency.	
	 Prior to the issuance of any development permits, proponents of new manufacturing plants and hydrogen fueling stations would prepare a geotechnical investigation/study, which would include an evaluation of the depth to the water table, liquefaction potential, physical properties of subsurface soils including shrink-swell potential (expansion), soil resistivity, slope stability, minerals resources and the presence of hazardous materials. 	
	 Proponents of new manufacturing plants and hydrogen fueling stations would provide a complete site grading plan, and drainage, erosion, and sediment control plan with applications to applicable lead agencies. Proponents would avoid locating facilities on steep slopes, on alluvial fans and other areas prone to landslides or flash floods, or within gullies or washes, as much as possible. 	
Greenhouse Gas Emissions and	I Climate Change	
Impact 8-1: Short-Term Construction-Related Impacts on Greenhouse Gases	NA	NA
Less than significant		
<i>Impact 8-2: Operational Impacts on Greenhouse Gases</i>	NA	NA
Less than significant		

Summ	nary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
		Significance after Mitigation
Hazards and Hazardous Materia	ls	

Impact 9-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 9-1	Potentially	- 14 d
Construction-Related Impacts to Hazards and Hazardous Materials	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes, but is not limited to, applicable laws, regulations, and policies related to hazards and hazardous materials. CARB does not have the authority to require	significant unavoidable	and
Potentially significant	implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with discretionary local land use and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California could qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary permitting authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project-specific impacts and mitigation may be identified during the environmental review by agencies with discretionary project approval authority. Recognized practices that are routinely required to avoid upset and accident- related impacts include:		
	 Proponents of new or modified facilities constructed as a compliance response to the Proposed Amendments would coordinate with local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local land use agency or governing body would certify that the environmental document was prepared in compliance with applicable regulations and would approve the project for development. 		
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all mitigation identified in the environmental document to reduce or substantially lessen the environmental impacts of the project. The definition of actions required to mitigate potentially significant upset and accident-related hazard impacts may include the following; however, any 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	mitigation specifically required for a new or modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency.	
	 Handling of potentially hazardous materials/wastes shall be performed by or under the direction of a licensed professional with the necessary experience and knowledge to oversee the proper identification, characterization, handling and disposal or recycling of the materials generated as a result of the project. As wastes are generated, they shall be placed, at the direction of the licensed professional, in designated areas that offer secure, secondary containment and/or protection from storm water runoff. Other forms of containment may include placing waste on plastic sheeting (and/or covering with same) or in steel bins or other suitable containers pending profiling and disposal or recycling. 	
	 The temporary storage and handling of potentially hazardous materials/wastes shall be in areas away from sensitive receptors such as schools or residential areas. These areas shall be secured with chain-link fencing or similar barrier with controlled access to restrict casual contact from non-Project personnel. All project personnel that may encounter potentially hazardous materials/wastes shall have the appropriate health and safety training commensurate with the anticipated level of exposure. 	
Impact 9-2: Long-Term Operational Impacts to Hazards and Hazardous Materials	<i>Mitigation Measure 9-2: Implement Mitigation Measure 9-1</i> Mitigation Measure 9-1 is provided above.	Potentially significant and unavoidable

Proposed Amendments to the Commercial Harbor Craft Regulation Draft Environmental Analysis

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Potentially significant		
lydrology and Water Quality		

Impact 10-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 10-1	Potentially	
Construction-Related Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality Potentially significant	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes, but is not limited to, applicable laws, regulations, and policies in regard to hydrology and water quality. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified infrastructure that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with local discretionary land use and/or permitting authority. New or modified infrastructure in California could qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary permitting authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project-specific impacts and mitigation may be identified during the environmental review by agencies with discretionary project approval authority. Recognized practices that are routinely required to avoid and/or mitigate hydrology and water quality-related impacts include:	significant unavoidable	and
	 Proponents of new or modified infrastructure constructed as a compliance response to the Proposed Amendments would coordinate with local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local land use agency or governing body would certify that the environmental document was prepared in compliance with applicable regulations and would approve the project for development. Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all mitigation identified in the environmental document to reduce or substantially lessen the environmental impacts of the project. The definition of actions required to mitigate potentially significant hydrology and water quality impacts may include the following; however, any mitigation 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures			
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significan after Mitigat	
	specifically required for a new or modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency.		
	 Implement Best Management Practices to reduce sedimentation and pollution of surface waters, such as installation of silt fencing around the perimeter of active construction areas. 		
	 Train construction workers for proper response to hazardous materials spills as well as responsibilities for maintaining BMPs on site. 		
	 Drainage plans for runoff shall be designed to contain adequate capacity for projected flows on site. 		
	 Avoid filling of waters of the United States and waters of the State to the extent feasible. If activities require a waste discharge requirement or Section 401 Water Quality Certification, comply with all avoidance, reduction, and compensatory measures. 		
Impact 10-2: Long-Term	Mitigation Measure 10-2: Implement Mitigation Measure 10-1	Potentially	
Operational Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality	Mitigation Measure 10-1 is provided above.	significant unavoidable	and
Potentially significant			
Land Use Planning			

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 11-1: Short-Term Construction-Related and Long-Term Operation-Related Impacts on Land Use and Planning Less than significant	N/A	N/A
Mineral Resources		
Impact 12-1: Short-Term Construction-Related and Long-Term Operation-Related Impacts on Mineral Resources Less than significant	N/A	N/A
loise		

Impact 13-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 13-1	Potentially	
Construction Related Impacts to Noise	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes, but is not limited to, applicable laws, regulations, and policies related to noise and	significant unavoidable	and
Potentially significant	vibration. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with discretionary local land use and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California could qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary permitting authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project-specific impacts and mitigation may be identified during the environmental review by agencies with discretionary project approval authority. Recognized practices that are routinely required to avoid upset and accident- related impacts include:		
	 Proponents of new or modified infrastructure constructed as a compliance response to the Proposed Amendments would coordinate with local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local land use agency or governing body would certify that the environmental document was prepared in compliance with applicable regulations and would approve the project for development. 		
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all mitigation identified in the environmental document to reduce or substantially lessen the environmental impacts of the project. The definition of actions required to mitigate potentially significant noise impacts may include the following; however, any mitigation specifically required for a 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	new or modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency.	
	 Equip all emergency pressure relief valves and steam blow- down lines with silencers to limit noise levels. 	
	 Contain facilities within buildings or other types of effective noise enclosures. 	
	 Employ engineering controls, including sound-insulated equipment and control rooms, to reduce the average noise level in normal work areas. 	
	 Ensure noise-generating construction activities (including truck deliveries, and blasting) are limited to the least noise- sensitive times of day (e.g., weekdays during the daytime hours) for projects near sensitive receptors. 	
	 Consider use of noise barriers, such as berms, to limit ambient noise at property lines, especially where sensitive receptors may be present. 	
	 Ensure all project equipment has sound-control devices no less effective than those provided on the original equipment. 	
	 All construction equipment used would be adequately muffled and maintained. 	
	 Ensure all stationary construction equipment (i.e., compressors and generators) is located as far as practicable from nearby sensitive receptors or shielded. 	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures			
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation	
	 Properly maintain mufflers, brakes and all loose items on construction and operational-related vehicles to minimize noise and ensure safe operations. 		
	• Keep truck operations to the quietest operating speeds. Advise about downshifting and vehicle operations in sensitive communities to keep truck noise to a minimum.		
	Use noise controls on standard construction equipment; shield impact tools.		
	 Consider use of flashing lights instead of audible back-up alarms on mobile equipment. 		
	 Install mufflers on air coolers and exhaust stacks of all diesel and gas-driven engines. 		
Impact 13-2: Long-Term Operational Impacts on Noise	<i>Mitigation Measure 13-2: Implement Mitigation Measure 13-1</i> Mitigation Measure 13-1 is provided above.	Potentially significant and	
Potentially significant		unavoidable	
Population and Housing			
Impact 14-1: Short-Term Construction-Related and Long-Term Operation-Related Effects to Population and Housing	N/A	N/A	
Less than significant			
Public Services	1		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 15-1: Short-Term Construction-Related and Long-Term Operation-Related Effects to Public Services Less than significant	N/A	N/A
Recreation		
Impact 16-1: Short-Term Construction-Related and Long-Term Operation-Related Effects to Recreation Less than significant	N/A	N/A
Transportation		

Impact 17-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 17-1	Potentially	
Construction-Related Effects to Transportation and Traffic Potentially significant	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes, but is not limited to, applicable laws, regulations, and policies related to transportation. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of	significant unavoidable	and
	mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with discretionary land use and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California could qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary permitting authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project-specific impacts and mitigation may be identified during the environmental review by agencies with discretionary project-approval authority. Recognized practices that are routinely required to avoid and/or minimize construction traffic impacts include:		
	 Proponents of new or modified facilities constructed as a compliance response to the Proposed Amendments would coordinate with local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local land use agency or governing body would certify that the environmental document was prepared in compliance with applicable regulations and would approve the project for development. 		
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all mitigation identified in the environmental document to reduce or substantially lessen the environmental impacts of the project. The definition of actions required to mitigate potentially significant traffic impacts may include the following; however, any mitigation specifically required for a 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	new or modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency.	
	 Minimize the number and length of access, internal, service and maintenance roads and use existing roads when feasible. 	
	 Provide for safe ingress and egress to/from a proposed project site. Utilize flaggers where necessary to control traffic at site entrances during construction. 	
	 Prepare a Construction Traffic Control Plan and a Traffic Management Plan. 	
	Encourage carpooling to the site.	
	Avoid materials deliveries during peak traffic periods.	

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Impact 17-2: Long-Term Operation-Related Effects to Transportation Potentially significant	 Mitigation Measure 17-2 The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes, but is not limited to, applicable laws, regulations, and policies related to transportation. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with discretionary land use and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California could qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary permitting authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project-specific impacts and mitigation may be identified during the environmental review by agencies with discretionary project-approval authority. Recognized practices that are routinely required to avoid and/or minimize construction traffic impacts include: Identify and implement road and intersection design requirements or improvements for any proposed or significantly impact roads and intersections. 	Potentially significant and unavoidable
	 Consult with and implement recommendations from local fire protection services regarding emergency access requirements. Encourage alternative transportation and carpooling to the project site. 	

Impact 18-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 18-1	Potentially	
Construction-Related and Long-Term Operational Impacts on Tribal Cultural Resources	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes applicable laws and regulations that relate to cultural resources. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that would be approved by local jurisdictions. The		and
Potentially significant	ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with local or State land use approval and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California would qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary approval authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project specific impacts and mitigation would be identified during the environmental review by agencies with project-approval authority. Recognized practices routinely required to avoid and/or minimize impacts to cultural resources include:		
	• Proponents of construction activities implemented as a result of reasonably foreseeable compliance responses associated with the proposed Amendments would coordinate with State or local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local or State land use agency or governing body must follow all applicable environmental regulations as part of approval of a project for development.		
	• Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all feasible mitigation to reduce or substantially lessen the potentially significant impacts on tribal cultural resources associated with the project.		
	Actions required to mitigate potentially significant tribal cultural resources impacts may include the following; however, any		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	mitigation specifically required for a modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency.	
	 Retain the services of cultural resources specialists with training and background that conforms to the U.S. Secretary of Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards, as published in Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, part 61. 	
	 Seek guidance from the State and federal lead agencies, as appropriate, for coordination of Nation-to-Nation consultations with the Native American Tribes. 	
	 Conduct consultation as required with California Native American Tribes under AB 52. Provide notice to Native American Tribes of project details to identify potential tribal cultural resources (TCRs). In the case that a TCR is identified, prepare mitigation measures that: 	
	 Avoid and preserve the resource in place. 	
	 Treat the resource with culturally appropriate dignity. 	
	 Employ permanent conservation easements. 	
	 Protect the resource. 	
	 Regulated entities shall consult with lead agencies early in the planning process to identify the potential presence of cultural properties. The agencies shall provide the project developers with specific instruction on policies for compliance with the various laws and regulations governing cultural resources management, including coordination with regulatory agencies and Native American Tribes. 	

Proposed Amendments to the Commercial Harbor Craft Regulation Draft Environmental Analysis

Summ	ary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures	
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
Utilities and Service Systems		

Impact 19-1: Short-Term	Mitigation Measure 19-1	Potentially	
Construction-Related and Long-Term Operational Impacts on Utilities and Service Systems	The Regulatory Setting in Attachment A includes, but is not limited to, applicable laws, regulations, and policies related to utilities and service systems. CARB does not have the authority to require implementation of mitigation related to new or modified facilities that	significant unavoidable	and
Potentially significant	would be subject to approval by local jurisdictions. The ability to require such measures is under the purview of jurisdictions with discretionary land use and/or permitting authority. New or modified facilities in California could qualify as a "project" under CEQA. The jurisdiction with primary permitting authority over a proposed action is the Lead Agency, which is required to review the proposed action for compliance with CEQA statutes. Project-specific impacts and mitigation may be identified during the environmental review by agencies with discretionary project approval authority. Recognized practices that are routinely required to avoid and/or minimize utility and service-related impacts include:		
	 Proponents of new or modified facilities constructed as a compliance response to the Proposed Amendments would coordinate with local land use agencies to seek entitlements for development including the completion of all necessary environmental review requirements (e.g., CEQA). The local land use agency or governing body would certify that the environmental document was prepared in compliance with applicable regulations and would approve the project for development. 		
	 Based on the results of the environmental review, proponents would implement all mitigation identified in the environmental document to reduce or substantially lessen the environmental impacts of the project. The definition of actions required to mitigate potentially significant utility or service-related impacts may include the following; however, any mitigation specifically 		

Summary of Environmental Impacts and Mitigation Measures		
Resource Area Impact Significance before Mitigation	Potential Mitigation	Significance after Mitigation
	required for a new or modified facility would be determined by the local lead agency.	
	 Comply with local plans and policies regarding the provision of water supply, wastewater treatment, and storm water drainage utilities, and solid waste services. 	
	• Where an on-site wastewater system is proposed, submit a permit application to the appropriate local jurisdiction and include the application with applications to appropriate lead agencies.	
	 Where appropriate, prepare a Water Supply Assessment (WSA) consistent with the requirements of Section 21151.9 of the Public Resources Code/ Section 10910 et seq. of the Water Code. The WSA would be approved by the local water agency/purveyor prior construction of the project. 	
	 Comply with local plans and policies regarding the provision of wastewater treatment services. 	
Wildfire		
Impact 20-1: Short-Term Construction-Related and Long-Term Operation-Related Effects on Wildfire	N/A	N/A
Less than significant		