JOINT MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

AND

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

ZOOM PLATFORM

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BYRON SHER AUDITORIUM, SECOND FLOOD

1001 I STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2025 1:13 P.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

## APPEARANCES

CARB BOARD MEMBERS: Lauren Sanchez, Chair John Balmes, MD Hector De La Torre John Eisenhut Senator Dean Florez (Remote) Todd Gloria (Remote) Eric Guerra Assemblymember Corey A. Jackson Dawn Ortiz-Legg Cliff Rechtschaffen Susan Shaheen, PhD Senator Henry Stern Diane Takvorian(Remote) CTC COMMISSIONERS: Darnell Grisby, Chair Clarissa Reyes Falcon, Vice Chair Senator Dave Cortese(Remote) Joseph Cruz (Remote) Lee Ann Eager

Jason Elliott(Remote)

Carl Guardino

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED

CTC COMMISSIONERS:

Zahirah Mann

Bob Tiffany(Remote)

### CARB STAFF:

Steven Cliff, PhD, Executive Officer

Courtney Smith, Principal Deputy Executive Officer

Edie Chang, Deputy Executive Officer, Planning, Freight and Toxics

Shannon Dilley Chief Counsel

Chanell Fletcher, Deputy Executive Officer - Equity, Communities, and Environmental Justice

Christopher Grundler, Deputy Executive Officer - Mobile Sources and Incentives

Femi Olaluwoye, Deputy Executive Officer, Southern California Headquarters and Mobile Source Compliance

Edna Murphy, Deputy Executive Officer, Internal Operations

Rajinder Sahota, Deputy Executive Officer, Climate Change and Research

Pippin Brehler, Assistant Chief Counsel, Legal Office

Kristina Boudreaux, Senior Attorney, Legal Office

Joshua Cunningham, Vehicle Program Specialist, Sustainable Transportation and Communities Division(STCD)

Ariel Fideldy, Branch Chief, Air Quality Planning Branch, Air Quality Planning and Science Division (AQPSD)

Nesamani Kalandiyur, Manager, Transportation Analysis Section, STCD

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED

#### CARB STAFF:

David Quiros, Branch Chief, Mobile Source Analysis Branch, AQPSD

Annalisa Schilla, Chief, STCD

### CTC STAFF:

Tanisha Taylor, Executive Director

Paul Golaszewski, Chief Deputy Director

Laura Pennebaker, Deputy Director, Transportation Planning

Doug Remedios, Clerk of the Commission, Administration and Financial Management

#### HCD STAFF:

Gustavo Velasquez, Director

Megan Kirkeby, Deputy Director, Housing Policy Development

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Martha Armas-Kelly, Interagency Transportation Equity Advisory Committee

Mike Bullock

Manuel Cunha, Jr., Nisei Farmers League

Ben De Alba, California Department of Transportation

Sarah Deslauriers, California Association of Sanitation Agencies

Renee DeVere-Oki, Sacramento Area Council of Governments

Gregg Fishman, Sacramento Regional Transit

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Sally Greenspan, Enterprise Community Partners

Stacie Guzman, Merced County Association of Governments

Kenneth Kao, Metropolitan Transportation Commission

Ryan Kenny, Clean Energy

Brian Kolodji

Philip Law, Southern California Association of Governments
Antoinette Meier, San Diego Association of Governments
Sophia Rafikova, Coalition for Clean Air
Janice Luna Reynoso, Mundo Gardens
Nicole Rice, California Renewable Transportation Alliance
Mariela Ruacho, American Lung Association

Maria Ruiz, Central California Asthma Collaborative

Jeanie Ward-Waller, ClimatePlan

|    | INDEX  | PAGE  |
|----|--|---|
| Са | all to Order   | 1   |
| Ro | oll Call   | 1   |
| НС | usekeeping Remarks   | 3   |
|    | cening Remarks CARB Chair Sanchez CTC Chair Grisby HCD Director Velasquez  | 6<br>8<br>11  |
|    | xecutive Officer's Report CARB Executive fficer Cliff 15   |   |
|    | chicle Emission Standards CARB Chair Sanchez CARB STCD Acting Chief Schilla Presentation Caltrans Presentation by Ben De Alba Jeanie Ward-Waller Sofia Rafikova Mariela Ruacho Ryan Kenny Nicole Rice Maria Ruiz Gregg Fishman Mike Bullock Manuel Cunha, Jr. Sally Greenspan Sarah Deslauriers Janice Luna Reynoso Brian Kolodji Discussion and Q&A | 23<br>24<br>32<br>37<br>39<br>40<br>42<br>43<br>45<br>467<br>49<br>51<br>52<br>54<br>57 |
|    | egional Perspective: Air Quality, Housing, and cansportation Project Delivery CARB Chair Sanchez SANDAG Presentation by Antoinette Meier SACOG Presentation by Rene DeVere-Oki MTC Presentation by Kenneth Kao MCAG Presentation by Stacie Guzman SCAG Presentation by Philip Law Jeanie Ward-Waller Sofia Rafikova Martha Armas-Kelly               | 74<br>75<br>82<br>90<br>95<br>104<br>110<br>112   |

# INDEX CONTINUED

|  | PAGE              |
|--|-------------------|
| Regional Perspective: Air Quality, Housing, and Transportation Project Delivery(CONTINUED) Janice Luna Reynoso Mike Bullock Discussion and Q&A | 114<br>116<br>117 |
| Summary of Meeting by Executive Officer Cliff  | 136               |
| Closing Remarks  | 138               |
| Adjournment  | 140               |
| Reporter's Certificate   |                   |

## PROCEEDINGS

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Good afternoon. Welcome everyone to the November of 6th joint meeting of the California Air Resources Board, the California Transportation Commission, and the Department of Housing and Community Development.

First, we will begin with a roll call from CARB Board members and I will turn it over to the CTC Chair Darnell Grisby.

Clerk of the Board, please call the roll for CARB.

CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Dr. Balmes.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Here.

CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Mr. De La Torre.

CARB BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Here.

CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH. Mr. Eisenhut.

CARB BOARD MEMBER EISENHUT: Here.

CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Senator Florez?

Mayor Gloria.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CARB BOARD MEMBER GLORIA: Here.

CARB BOARD CLERK SIMPSON: Councilman Guerra.

CARB BOARD MEMBER GUERRA: Here.

CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Supervisor Hopkins.

Assembly Jackson.

Mayor Lock Dawson.

```
Supervisor Ortiz-Legg.
1
             CARB BOARD MEMBER ORTIZ-LEGG: Here.
2
             CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Dr. Pacheco-Werner.
 3
             Mr. Rechtschaffen.
             CARB BOARD MEMBER RECHTSCHAFFEN:
 5
             CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Dr. Shaheen.
 6
             CARB BOARD MEMBER SHAHEEN: Here.
7
8
             CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Senator Stern.
             SENATOR STERN: Here.
9
             CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Ms. Takvorian.
10
             CARB BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Here.
11
             CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Chair Sanchez.
12
             CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Here.
13
             Chair Grisby, please have the clerk call the roll
14
    for your Commissioners.
15
16
             CTC CHAIR GRISBY: Thank you, Chair. I'd like
   turn to Justin Hall haul or is it Doug Remedios for that?
17
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Thank you, Chair.
18
             Commissioner Bradshaw.
19
20
             Commissioner Cruz.
             CTC COMMISSIONER CRUZ: Here.
21
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Eager.
2.2
23
             CTC COMMISSIONER EAGER: Here.
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Elliott.
24
             Vice Chair Falcon.
25
```

```
CTC VICE CHAIR REYES FALCON: Here.
1
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Guardino.
2
             CTC CHAIR GUARDINO:
                                  Present.
 3
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Lugo.
             Commissioner Mann.
 5
             CTC COMMISSIONER MANN:
                                      Here.
 6
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Tiffany.
7
8
             I thought he was online.
             Commissioner Tiffany?
9
             CTC COMMISSIONER TIFFANY: Here. Can you hear
10
   me?
11
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Yep. Thank you.
12
             Chair Grisby.
1.3
             CTC CHAIR GRISBY: Present.
14
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS:
                                  Senator Cortese.
15
16
             SENATOR CORTESE: Here.
17
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Assemblymember Wilson.
             Chair, we have a quorum.
18
19
             CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: And here today from the
20
    Department of Housing and Community Development, we have
    Director Gustavo Velasquez. Welcome.
                                            Welcome.
21
             HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ:
2.2
                                      Thank you.
23
             CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: I will now go over a few
   housekeeping items. We are conducting today's meeting in
24
    person as well as on Zoom and by phone. Anyone who wishes
25
```

to testify today in person should fill out a request-to-speak card available in the foyer and turn it into a Board assistant as soon as possible.

2.2

If you are participating remotely, you will raise your hand in Zoom or dial star nine if calling by phone. Testimony should be limited to today's topics on the publicly noticed agenda. The clerk will provide further details regarding how public participation will work in a moment. For safety reasons, please note the emergency exit to the rear of the room through the lobby. In the event of a fire alarm, we are required to evacuate this room and immediately exit the building through the front entrance. When the "All Clear" signal is given, we will return to the auditorium and resume the meeting.

A closed caption feature is available for those of you joining us in the Zoom environment. In order to turn on subtitles, please look for a button labeled "CC" at the bottom of the Zoom window, as shown in the example on the screen now. I would like to take this opportunity to remind everyone to speak clearly and from a quiet location, whether you are joining us in Zoom or by phone.

I will now ask the Board clerk to provide more details on today's procedures.

CARB BOARD CLERK FRENCH: Thank you, Chair Sanchez. Good afternoon, everyone. I will provide

additional information on public participation for today's meeting. We will first call in-person commenters who have turned in a request-to-speak card and then call commenters who are joining us remotely. If you are joining us remotely and wish to make a verbal comment, you must be using Zoom webinar or calling in by phone. If you are watching in the webcast, but you wish to comment remotely, please register for the Zoom webinar or call in.

Information for both can be found on the public agenda for today's joint meeting.

2.2

To make a verbal comment, we will be using the raise hand feature in Zoom. If you wish to speak on a Board item, please virtually raise your hand as soon as the item has begun to let us know that you wish to speak. If you are using a computer or tablet, there is a "Raise Hand" button. And if you are calling in on the telephone, please dial star nine to raise your hand.

When the comment period begins, the order of commenters is determined by who raises their hand first. We will call each commenter by name and will activate each commenter's audio when it is their turn to speak. For those calling in, we will identify you by the last three digits of your phone number. We will announce the next few commenters in the queue so you are ready to testify when we come to you. Please note, your testimony will not

appear by video. For all commenters, please state your name for the record before you speak. This is especially important for those calling in by phone.

2.2

Each commenter will have a time limit of two minutes, although this may change at the Chair's discretion. During public testimony, you will see a timer on the screen. For those calling in by phone, we will let you know when you have 30 seconds left and when your time is up. To submit written comments, please visit CARB's "Comment on Board Items" box on the public agenda on our webpage for links to submit your comment.

If you experience any technical difficulties, please call (805)772-2715 so and IT person can assist.

Thank you. I'll turn the microphone back to Chair Sanchez.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you and good afternoon again. CARB is thrilled to be hosting today's joint meeting. My first. Great to see many familiar faces on the Transportation Commission and welcome again director Velasquez.

In today's joint meeting, we'll be discussing the recent illegal congressional resolutions purporting to disapprove three of California's Clean Air Act waivers.

We will discuss the potential effects on California's air quality, public health, climate goals, and transportation

funding and project delivery.

2.2

For decades, CARB's leadership in setting vehicle emission standards and advancing clean transportation has delivered cleaner air, healthier communities, and economic benefits for California and beyond. Air quality progress is not optional. It is required by law, by science, and by our duty to protect public health. The federal attacks on California's vehicle emission standards undermined California's proven ability to innovate and protect public health. California will feel additional impacts as the federal administration rolls back numerous federal air quality and climate regulations.

Other nations are moving ahead with policies and programs to support clean vehicle and clean transportation technology. The attacks on California's standards risk leaving the U.S. behind in the global vehicle market putting U.S. automakers at a competitive disadvantage. And critically, the urgency to continue emissions reductions is greater now than ever. Front-line communities, exposed to harmful emissions, cannot afford more delays. Every year without stronger standards means more asthma attacks, more hospital visits, and more lives lost.

To continue California's legacy of leadership, even in the midst of federal actions that threaten to hold

us back, each of our agencies will face its own challenges in the years ahead. Whether we are advancing California's clean air and climate goals or stewarding transportation, housing, and community funding for vitally needed projects at the State and regional levels, we will all have challenges to tackle. But our work remains ambitious, necessary, and deeply interrelated.

2.2

I hope today's meeting fosters an inclusive an action-oriented discussion to identify concrete next steps we can take together. Those next steps will help shape State and regional priorities and most importantly, whether or how they're implemented to drive progress.

I'd like to now turn it to my friend of a few hours, Chair Grisby, to share his opening remarks from the CTC.

CTC CHAIR GRISBY: Thank you, Chair.

Good afternoon, Commissioners, Board members, and executive leadership, and members of the public. It's great to see so many familiar faces and some new faces at today's meeting. In particular, we'd like to congratulate Chair Sanchez and Board Member Lock Dawson for their recent appointments to the Board.

Today's conversation is very personal for me. I grew up in Riverside in the Inland Empire, a region that experiences some of the worst air quality in the entire

country. I grew up with smog days, when the air quality was so bad you had to stay inside. I grew up with neighbors in our community getting sick and children with asthma who cannot play outside, because of the air quality.

2.2

California's authority to set vehicle emission standards that protects our communities and our neighbors has been a critical tool in our toolbox to achieve the progress we've been moving towards in cleaning our air. But there is still much work to do and we need every tool in the toolbox to maintain that progress.

The federal government's illegal rescission of California's Clean Air Act waivers poses huge risk to all Californians. We cannot afford to go backward and we all need to be diligent in the fight for clean air. In addition to worsening air quality, these federal actions jeopardize billions of dollars in transportation funding for critical highway, transit, and active transportation projects across our great state.

As you'll hear from the presenters today, these projects are essential for promoting transportation affordability and connecting people to employment centers, while also expanding transportation options that reduce emissions. We will hear today from CARB, Caltrans, and our regional partners.

During my time on the Commission, we've had a great commitment to investing in a multimodal transportation system that supports all of our communities and our economy, while reducing pollution and greenhouse gas emissions. And we will not retreat from this progress as a -- as we have a unified state that believes in these principles.

1.3

2.2

Since the last joint meeting, the Commission has programmed nearly \$1.5 billion in multimodal transportation investments through our Senate Bill 1 competitive programs. I would like to extend a sincere thank you to Director Velasquez and his team. HCD provided technical assistance in the review of the efficient land use criterion of our SB 1 competitive programs. This directly links transportation, housing, and land use and corridor improvement projects. This is a key example of how our agency staff coordinates to achieve our collective housing and transportation goals.

And lastly, I'd like to commend the Commission's active transportation program team, Elika, Anja, Jaeden, and Laurie Walter -- Waters, along with our partners at Caltrans and UC Davis, for hosting a successful, and well attended, and inspiring 2025 Active Transportation Program Symposium. The symposium featured topics of interest to our three agencies, such as the intersection of active

transportation with climate change, homelessness, and equitable access to transit, and economic growth and development. I appreciate our team's work to further these interdisciplinary conversations and needs.

2.2

Thank you to the California Air Resources Board for hosting today's meeting. And that concludes my remarks. I'll turn it back to Chair Sanchez.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Chair Grisby.

I'll now turn it over to Director Velasquez for his opening remarks.

HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: Good afternoon,
everyone. Buenas Tardes.

First, I want to start by joining my colleagues in the Air Resources Board and the California

Transportation Commission to once again welcome and congratulate the new Chairwoman of ARB, Ms. Sanchez. This is a superb selection for the Air Resources Board and extremely well deserved one. So, welcome.

And to the Commissioners, Board members, and the public, since we met last April, momentum for the collaborative work between our organizations has only grown. New changes to State law in the budget trail bill signed by Governor Newsom on June 30th - I'm referring to AB 130 and AB 131 - are materializing our shared efforts of advancing affordable housing and sustainable

transportation in tandem.

2.2

Specifically, AB 130 now codifies the complete exemption of infill housing in urban areas from CEQA. This latest set of CEQA exemptions will help accelerate and cement a positive trend we are already seeing. What is that? From entitlement, to permitting, to completion, development timelines, they are the fastest that we've seen since 2018. With average time from application submittal to planning approval being just two months unheard of. This has translated to setting new records for the number of permitted accessory dwelling units, more than 30,000 permits issued last year alone, a seven percent increase from 2023.

Last year, multi-family housing also experienced a jump of 16 percent in the number of units in the pipeline compared to the previous five-year average. AB 130 also created something that I hope we're all excited about, a new statewide VMT mitigation bank that will fund affordable housing in connected areas near transit through the revamping of the widely successful transit-oriented development program administered by HCD. The TOD program has funded close to 6,000 housing units in 55 supporting infrastructure projects over a decade. HCD has gained a new tool to work collaborative with the transportation sector to meet the State's VMT and pollution reduction

goals.

2.2

And this is an opportunity for me to join my colleagues in, yes, denouncing the illegal actions of this federal administration that takes us back and refuses to the conclusive evidence of science as we all know. More broadly, the State Legislature created a new separate Housing and Homelessness Agency dedicated to addressing our State's housing and homelessness challenges and improving our services to communities across the state.

Through this new agency, which we are already in process of becoming operational next summer, HCD will be empowered to more efficiently fund and streamline the development of the right kind of housing in the right types of places. And we know which they are, the ones near transit and near amenities to keep people as close as possible for where they need to go. While this shift is underway, HCD remains steadfast in our mission to promote safe, affordable homes and inclusive sustainable communities for all Californians.

And how do we do that? Well, HCD is strengthening our partnerships with regional and local governments, primarily through the REAP 2 program, REAP 1 and REAP 2. And I'm so pleased to look forward to hear the presentations today of our friends and partners from the MPOs. We are -- we have a number of achievements with

each and every one of the councils of governments and Metropolitan Planning Organizations.

SACOG, for example, implementing the Green Means Go Program. Since the inception of this program, housing permits are up nearly 300 percent in program areas compared to 50 percent regionally. SANDAG, another great example, adding over 1,500 affordable housing units across its REAP 2 projects connecting residents to transit and tailoring surrounding amenities to their needs. MTC providing technical assistance to major transit agencies achieving a triple win, increasing housing options, supporting transit recovery, and reducing vehicles miles traveled. Together, these are helping -- HCD's actions are helping HCD and ARB better align our housing growth projections with MPO's strategies to reduce the regional greenhouse emissions under SB 375.

So the work continues. The collaboration is strong. The support from localities and regional entities is the best that we've seen in this administration, so I'm so pleased to join another meeting, Chair Grisby and Chairwoman Sanchez. And I look forward to the presentations and the continuing dialogue.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Director, for the kind words.

Our first item today will be a brief report by CARB's Executive Officer, Dr. Steve Cliff, who will provide key updates relevant to our three agencies and will share remarks about the topics that today's meeting will cover.

Over to you, Steve.

2.2

(Slide presentation).

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: Thank you, Chair Sanchez.

As you mentioned, this report describes recent work that staff at our three agencies have conducted between joint meetings. Before I present the report, I do want to provide a few comments on the federal actions building upon your remarks, Chair.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: CARB has intentionally developed long-term strategic plans to ensure public health is prioritized. However, due to the recent federal actions, the emission reductions from programs, such as the Advanced Clean Cars II, Advanced Clean Trucks, and Heavy-Duty Omnibus Regulations are at risk. This undermines the integrity of our air quality commitments, jeopardizes compliance with health-based air quality standards for ozone and particulate matter, and exposes California to potential federal sanctions. We

clearly see recent federal actions adopting three Congressional resolutions that purported to disapprove three actions by U.S. EPA granting Clean Air Act waivers for California's vehicle emissions regulations as illegal and have challenged them in court.

1.3

2.2

In addition to the congressional resolution actions, the federal administration is also taking steps to scale back or halt federal greenhouse gas emissions regulations, including those on passenger vehicles and heavy-duty trucks. If finalized, these actions would harm public health when out-of-state vehicles operate in California.

CARB's response to these federal attacks includes litigating to defend our authority while moving forward in developing plans to implement the Governor's Executive Order, N-27-25 issued this summer to further support for deploying zero-emission vehicles. California is involved in multiple active lawsuits against the U.S. EPA and private parties related to the illegal federal actions.

Those cases include: a lawsuit California filed against the U.S. government immediately following the illegal federal actions approved on June 12 to defend CARB's Clean Air Act waivers; we are defending against litigation challenging our emission standards and certification requirements for trucks and the Governor's

Executive Order; we're defending actions by CARB to intervene in two challenges to U.S. EPA's decision under President Biden to grant the waivers of federal preemption for CARB's Advanced Clean Cars II and Omnibus regulations.

2.2

A list of pending litigation involving CARB as of October 23, 2025 is available on our website, as shown on the slide. CARB looks forward to vindicating its authority to protect the public health in court and continues to accept the pro -- and process applications for certification as outlined in its manufacture's advisory communication.

Let me now turn to the report at hand, which concludes interagent -- includes interagency coordination on our various policies and programs, as well as action items that are identified in direct response to previous joint meeting discussions. Notably, I'll be providing an overview of the multi-agency report to the Governor on new electrification recommendations in response to Executive Order N-27-25.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: At our last meeting on April 101 we heard from Caltrans regarding updates to the California Transportation Plan. The update focused on VMT and GHG reduction goals, as well as strategies to raise transportation revenue. Since that

discussion, Caltrans and CARB staff have collaborated on the CTP analysis.

1.3

2.2

We also heard from Caltrans, which presented a briefing on CalSTA's Transit Transformation Task Force. In 2023, SB 125 created that Task Force to grow transit ridership, improve the transit experience, and address long term operational needs.

The opportunity for our agencies to come together twice a year and discuss and align around these important policies is what makes these meetings worthwhile and advance our shared goals.

### [SLIDE CHANGE]

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: In August, the
California State Transportation Agency, or CalSTA,
launched a 30-member cross-section -- sector Sustainable
Communities Task Force to catalyze efforts to achieve
California's climate, housing, transportation, and equity
goals. The Task Force includes leaders across State and
regional agencies, local governments, community-based
organizations, housing advocates, and rural and
underserved communities, who will come together to develop
policy recommendations to support implementation of the
Sustainable Communities Strategies, and meet State goals
to reduce vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas
emissions.

The Task Force will explore sustainable transportation investments and land use planning, transportation system management and pricing strategies, climate resilience and adaptation, funding and authority for regional implementation, and updated success metrics and modeling approaches. The final report is due in November 2026.

1.3

2.2

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: On June 12, 2025, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-27-25, which reaffirms the State's commitment to accelerate the deployment of zero-emission technologies, including passenger, medium- and heavy-duty vehicles. This is essential as the State seeks every tool at its disposal to address our clean air commitments.

In addition to directing CARB to develop the next round of vehicle regulations, now called Drive Forward, the order also directs CARB to maintain a publicly list -- public list of light-duty, medium-duty, and heavy-duty vehicle manufacturers that are continuing to follow the requirements of federally impacted regulations.

The Executive Order directed CARB, in coordination with the California Energy Commission, California's Governor's Office of Business and Economic development, the California State Transportation Agency,

and Department of Consumer Affairs to issue recommendations for additional actions to advance progress on zero-emission vehicle adoption in California to the Governor's office within 60 days of the Executive Order.

1.3

2.2

This final action is now complete as the State agencies issued the ZEV Forward report to the Governor's office in August with a suite of recommendations.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: In implementing the last directive from the Executive Order that culminated in the ZEV Forward report, State Agencies hosted a series of public sessions throughout California to hear from communities, industry experts, and other interested parties about ways to increase ZEV adoption in California. CARB additionally opened a public docket for written comments.

On August 19, the multi-agency report was released to the Governor's office in response to that Executive Order. The ZEV Forward report affirms the State's commitment to improving air quality and reducing vehicle emissions and reinforces the importance of light-, medium-, and heavy-duty ZEV adoption in reaching these goals.

The ZEV Forward report contains 15 recommended actions sorted into six categories. The report recommends

sustaining the LCFS Program to ensure private investment continues to support the ZEV market. Over 200 private companies currently participate in the LCFS program, which generates credits to help subsidize ZEV ownership costs and further ZEV deployment.

1.3

2.2

Several actions to support incentives are recommended. This includes supporting State incentive and rebate programs to increase ZEV deployment. The report additionally recommends working with the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency to develop additional educational pathways, and ensure equitable access to apprenticeships and certifications in the transportation industry.

Lastly, the report recommends CARB and CalSTA explore maintaining the federal ZEV HOV Access Program that expired on September 30th of this year. The availability of reliable ZEV funding and fueling infrastructure remains a barrier to both personal and commercial ZEV adoption. The report recommends prioritizing funding to support and accelerate ZEV infrastructure, particularly collaborative buildout of charging and hydrogen fueling infrastructure along major corridors. Agencies should additionally increase EV charger reliability and access through regulation, enforcement authority, payment flexibility, and at-home

charging installation support.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: Reducing fuel pricing -- reducing fuel pricing decreases the cost of ownership for electric vehicles. Recommended strategies include expanding the California Climate Credit to ZEV charging, expanding regional power markets, leveraging private investments to lower hydrogen costs, and improving beneficial vehicle grid technology to enable vehicles to send power back to the grid.

The report recommends two regulatory actions, the first is to advance consumer protection for ZEV ownership. The second calls for CARB to collaborate with the local air districts to develop and implement a statewide Indirect Source Rule to reduce emissions for warehouses, ports, airports, railyards, and so on.

The last set of recommendations pertain to State and local procurement. The State should update funding guidelines that incorporate ZEV-first purchasing policies in procurement and contracts, and update funding programs in incentivize local government to deploy zero-emission vehicles throughout their fleets.

We look forward to working with agency partners on implementing these recommendations.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: That concludes the Executive Officers report on interagency coordination.

Back to you, Chair Sanchez.

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Dr. Cliff.

The next item on our agenda is item number two,

Potential Impacts of Federal Attacks on California Vehicle

Emission Standards. This item will consist of two

presentations that address potential consequences of

illegal federal actions impacting California's authority

to regulate vehicle emissions.

As I mentioned previously, these federal actions undermined California's air quality action, protect -- particularly compliant with health-based federal air quality standards for ozone and particulate matter, and put California's transportation investments at risk. This is not just about air quality, it is also about building critical transportation infrastructure for all Californians, roads, bridges, train tracks, and sidewalks.

The federal administration's actions jeopardize the planning and funding available for transportation improvements. To further examine these issues, we have invited staff from both CARB and the Department of Transportation to give presentations. I will now turn it over to Annalisa Schilla of CARB.

(Slide presentation).

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: Thank you, Chair Sanchez and good afternoon, Board members, Commissioners, and Directors.

2.2

I'm Annalisa Schilla, the Acting Division Chief of the Sustainable Transportation and Communities
Division, and I'm pleased to be here today. I'll be sharing our perspectives on the impacts of recent federal actions on air quality, climate change, and transportation planning. The goals of my presentation are to raise our common awareness of these impacts and to highlight that the State is taking action.

### [SLIDE CHANGE]

resolutions that purported to disapprove three specific vehicle emission regulation waivers were illegal and could have broad implications for air quality and transportation investments. I'll start by describing the high-level implications of the federal actions. Then, I'll talk about why it's such a big deal, what it means for clean air, for vehicle technology innovation, and for our communities in the long run. And finally, I'll wrap up with what's next and why it's so important that we defend California's ability to keep moving forward on cleaner cars, healthier air, and a climate-safe future.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

what changed. On June 12th of this year, the Trump Administration did something that had never been done before and that CARB contends is illegal, they adopted Congressional resolutions that purported to disapprove three California vehicle emission regulation waivers allowed under the Clean Air Act. For decades, California has used our waiver authority under the Clean Air Act to fight smog, protect the public's ability to breathe clean air, and encourage automakers to build cleaner cars and trucks.

2.2

However, putting approval of the three program waivers in jeopardy wasn't just a policy change, it was a dramatic reversal that undercut California's progress on addressing significant smog, particulate matter, and State climate risks. Both the State of California and its air districts rely on CARB's vehicle emission regulations, for cars, trucks, and buses, to meet federal air quality standards. Without these regulations, we currently lack sufficient enforceable strategies to reduce transportation emissions, which account for the majority of ozone-forming pollutants in many regions of the state.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: To be specific, what happened is that the congressional resolutions were used

as tools to attempt to wipe out California's Clean Cars, Clean Trucks, and Clean Engine programs. These programs were critical components of our roadmap to healthier air, built upon carefully developed statewide air quality plans.

2.2

The Clean Cars Program made sure automakers sold more passenger vehicles with zero emissions, giving families cleaner choices and cutting greenhouse gas emissions. The Clean Trucks Program focused on freight trucks, delivery vans, and buses, moving them toward zero-emission technology, so that the communities living along freeways and freight corridors could finally breathe cleaner air. The Clean Engines Program cracked down on diesel pollution by strengthening tailpipe standards for nitrogen oxides emissions.

But with the congressional resolutions, what we now have is a lot of uncertainty, uncertainty that we can deliver on California's clean air roadmap and public health mandates, market uncertainty which undermines vehicle technology innovation, and uncertainty for consumers about what vehicle choices will be available.

Instead of moving forward, we're being pushed backward into more pollution, fewer protections, and lost innovation. The good news is California and its allies aren't just sitting back, we're fighting this. Legal

challenges have already been filed to push back against the federal government's actions and to pursue cleaner California air for Californians.

2.2

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: In addition, transportation funding is also at risk because of the federal actions. That's because regional transportation plans and projects must demonstrate conformity with air quality plans in order to qualify for federal funding. Transportation conformity is really about protecting both public health and our infrastructure investments.

Conformity makes sure that the transportation plans and projects we fund stay within emission limits set in our air quality State Implementation Plan, or SIP.

Together, air quality plans and conformity analyses ensure California continues to improve air quality, while building a transportation system that works for everyone. Conformity analyses rely on CARB's vehicle emission inventory model, known as EMFAC. The federal attacks on California's clean vehicle and engine emission policies created uncertainty in conformity analysis because the EMFAC model assumes that some of those policies will be implemented.

As a result, due to the congressional resolutions, regions across the state risk having funding

frozen and, projects delayed that are critical for mobility, safety, and equity in our communities. But California isn't waiting around. CARB, CTC, and Caltrans are working closely with regional planning agencies to develop solutions that keep projects moving. These efforts will help protect billions of federal transportation dollars in the near term. Through targeted fixes, California is working hard to minimize the damage from this setback and keep our transportation system moving forward.

2.2

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: Now let's talk about the tangible impacts Californians really can't afford to ignore. These federal attacks -- federal actions attack so many parts of our lives, our air quality, our health, our transportation plans, and of course, our climate future. Over the next few slides, I'll walk through each of these in more detail, so we can see just how far-reaching the consequences are.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: Because of these federal actions, regional emissions are expected to go up, especially in the South Coast and San Joaquin Valley. As you can see -- as you can see in the map, the red areas show places in California with the highest ozone

pollution, while the green areas show places with the lowest levels. The South Coast and San Joaquin Valley are in the darkest red areas, as they already have some of the country's worst air quality, and now may be pushed further away from meeting federal air quality standards. However, CARB and our local air districts are collaborating to find innovative ways to reduce pollution. That includes exploring additional emission reduction measures and expanding incentive programs to get more clean vehicles on the road, with a special focus on communities like the South Coast and San Joaquin Valley that are hit the hardest.

1.3

2.2

### [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: The communities already carrying the heaviest pollution burden are the ones that will be hit the hardest by weaker vehicle emission standards. More emissions mean more asthma, more heart disease, more hospital visits, and that puts our most vulnerable neighbors at the greatest risk. And it's not just the human toll. These health impacts drive up medical costs and strain our public health system, creating both a human and an economic burden that we can't afford to ignore.

Without the ability to enforce the regulations affected by the Federal actions, nitrogen oxide's

emissions from mobile sources will be up about 40 percent in 2037 compared to what they would have been, and direct PM2.5 emissions will also go up by approximately 18 percent in 2037. These increases statewide will lead to more than 14,500 additional cardiopulmonary deaths, 5,000 additional hospitalizations for cardiovascular and respiratory illness, and 6,700 additional emergency room visits over the lifetime of the impacted regulations.

2.2

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: On top of the environmental and health impacts, this potential rollback hits people right in the wallet. Drivers are looking at higher costs, more money spent over the lifetime of every vehicle on operating and maintenance costs. We're also talking about a big jump in gasoline consumption. And on top of that, the auto market itself gets disrupted. A fragmented market means higher costs for both automakers and consumers. So, in the end, families pay more, businesses face more uncertainty, and we all lose.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: Transportation conformity is now at serious risk because of these federal actions. Without conformity, regional transportation plans can't move forward and that means projects across the state come to a standstill. It threatens billions of

dollars in federal highway and transit funding that

California depends on. The result would be fewer

infrastructure projects, fewer mobility options for our

communities, and lost opportunities for jobs, growth, and

cleaner transportation.

2.2

However, CARB and our local air districts are collaborating to find innovative ways to reduce pollution. That includes additional emission reduction measures, with the focus on communities like South Coast and San Joaquin. And CARB will use every tool at its disposal to continue our critical work to clean the air, as the health and well-being of all Californians depends on it.

#### [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: California's path to carbon neutrality is also being hit hard, and the consequences are real. Every year we delay cutting emissions means more extreme heat waves, more destructive wildfires, and more climate instability in California. This isn't just a policy setback, it's a dangerous reversal that threatens the progress we've made and puts our health, safety, and future at risk.

But California isn't standing still. We're looking at new actions to put more zero-emission vehicles on the road, finding ways to use our own incentive programs to speed up adoption, and continuing to expand

renewable power across the grid. So even with these federal actions, California is pushing forward towards our climate goals.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: Let me wrap up with what's next. These federal attacks are a big hit for California, but it also gives us a clear reminder of why California has to keep moving forward. If we work together, we can protect public health, strengthen our planning, and keep driving toward a clean energy, climate-safe future. This is our chance to show that setbacks won't stop us. We will keep driving forward.

# [SLIDE CHANGE]

STCD ACTING CHIEF SCHILLA: Thank you for your attention, and I'm happy to answer any questions.

(Slide presentation).

2.2

BEN DE ALBA: Thank you, Annalisa. Good afternoon, Madam Chair, Chair, Director, Board Members and Commissioners.

My name is Ben De Alba. I am the Division Chief of the Division of Transportation Planning at Caltrans and I'm pleased to be here with you all to give a little bit more detail to Annalisa's presentation. I first want to thank the experts within my division who put together the contents of this presentation. They're the real experts.

I just get to come here and present it all to you. So thank you to the air quality team.

I want to talk to you all about what happens if our regional partners cannot move forward with air quality conformity for transportation projects.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

EEN DE ALBA: So as we've heard, I want to explain a little bit further about why transportation projects are at risk if we cannot move forward with regional air quality conformity. It really boils down to three points. One is there are no new regional transportation plan approvals. The second is approval of our next federal statewide transportation improvement program may be delayed, as it would not be able to get approved. And FHWA, the Federal Highway Administration, and the Federal Transit Administration will not approve federally funded projects, if that FSTIP, the Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program, is not approved.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BEN DE ALBA: So let me try to break this down a little bit further for you all. Here is a slide showing where regional air quality conformity happens in the

transportation planning process. On the left there is the Regional Transportation Plans, or the RTPs. This is a four to five year planning document, in which MPOs and Regional Transportation Planning agencies identify and include transportation projects. And there are several lists within the regional transportation plans, one of which is called the Transportation Improvement Program, the TIP. The TIP requires regional air quality conformity.

2.2

From there, projects move either into a State funded bucket or a federally funded bucket. And the federally funded bucket, it's called the Federal Transportation Improvement Program, or the FTIP. And each MPO and Regional Transportation Planning agency puts together an FTIP. Some projects live in both worlds. They live in the State funded world and the federally funded world. But those who that touch federal funds require air quality conformity. And again, this is to ensure that these projects are not worsening our air quality.

And then from there, the FTIPs from each of the MPOs and Regional Transportation Planning Agencies are rolled up into the Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program, the FSTIP. That also requires regional air quality conformity. So you can see this is

an integral part to the process of transportation planning.

Next slide, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BEN DE ALBA: I want to point out a couple key dates here that are important to note. So as I mentioned earlier, one of the main points -- importance of regional air quality conformity is the approval of the regional transportation plans. And without that, those projects identified in those plans may not move forward for funding.

We have a couple of deadlines that are coming up for our MPO partners that require their plans to get approved. The first of which is the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, which their plan is due for approval in just a matter of a few months -- or a month, december 3rd of 2025. And then we have the San Diego Association of Governments in January of 2026 and the eight San Joaquin Valley MPOs. Their approval dates fall in December 16th of 2026, so right around the corner.

So we have a substantial amount of our MPOs who are coming up on due dates for their Regional Transportation Plans.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

BEN DE ALBA: Okay. So here's the work of -- our team has done over the last several months. We've partnered with the MPOs and the Regional Transportation Planning Agencies to really understand which projects wouldn't -- would not get funding if they cannot move forward.

1.3

2.2

And here's the numbers. So across the state, we have 1,873 projects that if they cannot seek conformity, they will not move forward for federal transportation funding. And that has a value of about \$75 billion worth of transportation projects. And these are projects that ran -- run the spectrum of rail extensions. This is bike and ped infrastructure, this is toll lanes and bus operations. So we're talking multimodal projects all across the transportation spectrum world. And I'll just note that this is 13 of the 14 MPOs that were reporting.

Just thank you very much. Happy to answer any questions.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Annalisa, thank you, Ben, for outlining what's at stake here. I'd now like to turn to public comment for those you have signed up to comment on this item. And then we will turn to discussion amongst the Board members and Commissioners.

Board clerk, may I ask you to call the commenters. Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you, Chair Sanchez. We have six commenters who have signed up to speak and turned in a request-to-speak card. I apologize in advance if I mispronounce your name. I would like to remind all commenters to speak slowly and clearly into the microphone for our interpreters -- or for our court reporter, not our interpreter, and so the Board can hear you.

2.2

Our first commenter is gene Jeanie Ward-Waller.

JEANIE WARD-WALLER: Good afternoon. What do I

need to do. I think it might be on. Can you hear me?

Okay. Hello. Good afternoon, CARB Board

members, CTC Commissioners, and Director Velasquez, and

Congrats to Chair Sanchez on your appointment. Jeanie

Ward-Waller. I'm representing ClimatePlan, which is a

network of organizations working to advance sustainable

and equitable transportation and land use. We re-sent you

all a letter this morning that we originally sent in late

January in anticipation of the federal actions to roll

back California's ZEV authority that have since come to

fruition as your staff have just described.

In that letter, we call on your agencies to redouble your efforts to accelerate VMT reduction as the significant area of emissions reduction that is squarely within State and local government control. It's also the

area where we are not making progress. Driving continues to increase, in large part, because we are continuing to invest in highway expansion that undermines our objectives and perpetuates harm to communities and our environment.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Our letter includes several recommendations and I want to acknowledge and appreciate that CalSTA has taken up our first recommendation and established the Sustainable Communities Task Force. We are hopeful that effort will identify areas where we can make progress. However, we can't wait a year for that task force to produce a report before taking action. Our letter also urges that we take swift action to focus our transportation funds on sustainable transportation and shift away from highway expansion. This includes, of course, increasing transit and active transportation investments. It also includes investments that better utilize our existing highway system to move more people with projects like transit priority lanes, and implementing pricing to better manage existing general purpose lanes.

Those things can be done fairly quickly without having to make major capital expansion and investments. I just want to appreciate all of -- appreciate all of you for continuing to make this a meaningful collaborative space across your agencies.

Appreciate your time. Thanks.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Sofia Rafikova.

1.3

2.2

SOFIA RAFIKOVA: Good afternoon, Commissioners, Board Members. Sofia Rafikova with the Coalition for Clean Air. As we heard from the speakers today, the federal decision to overturn three of California's waivers has put our state in the precarious situation. California now has to figure out how to protect our population from the deadly impacts of air pollution, while both not being able to enforce stronger emission protections, and facing federal sanctions from not being able to comply with the Clean Air Act.

And in this dire situation, we believe the State must explore alternative ways for improving air quality, namely, we recommend that CARB and Caltrans prioritize policy decisions that result in a VMT reduction through increasing access to non-auto modes, such as public transit, walking, biking, and the us of micromobility options.

CARB's own Mobile Source Strategy admits that transitioning to all new zero-emission vehicles will not be enough to meet climate targets, and that those efforts would need to be heavily supplemented by 15 percent reduction in VMT by 2050, if California is to have a shot

at achieving climate neutrality.

2.2

Pursuing VMT reduction strategies not only reduces air pollution and GHG emissions, but can also improve accessibility, affordability, and safety for Californians. Increasing access to cheaper driving alternatives will help lower the transportation cost burden for California community -- households, who already pay more than the national average for their vehicles, and will make it easier for the 35 percent of Californians to have limited or no access vehicles to reach their destination.

Additionally, VMT reductions strategies suggest building more sidewalks, crosswalks, and protected bike lanes will save people's lives as over a thousand pedestrians and over a hundred cyclists are killed each year in vehicle collisions.

Thank you so much for your consideration.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Our next commenter is Mariela Ruacho.

MARIELA RUACHO: Hi, everyone. Mariela Ruacho with the American Lung Association. Thank you for the presentation today explaining the damages from the Fred federal government's action on clean air programs. We thank CARB for starting the rule-making for clean vehicles with the past workshop on light-duty cars and next month

with heavy-duty trucks to ensure public health protections remain in light of the uncertainty created by federal government and industry.

1.3

2.2

Despite progress, over 80 percent of Californians live in a community with unhealthy air and transportation continues to be a major source. We need to continue our State goals to reduce air and climate pollution through VMT reduction, along with clean fuel vehicle standards. While California continues to defend our clean air authority, this is -- this is the time to -- this is the time for the State to move forward on alternative efforts to reduce air pollution, such as meeting VMT targets outlined in our climate plan. California needs more accessible public transportation and other healthier modes of transportation to reduce air and climate pollution while improving community healthy resiliency.

This can be done by better aligning our State transportation and funding with CalSTA's CAPTI plan and other efforts outlined by Jeanie's -- by Jeanie's -- by Jeanie from ClimatePlan on aligning our transportation funding with healthier modes of transportation. Also ensuring that healthy homes are easily accessible to safe public transportation is vital. Again, this is the time to move forward on other tools we can use to protect health and defend California, along with our -- when it

comes to our public health and protecting our air quality authorities.

Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Ryan Kenny.

1.3

2.2

RYAN KENNY: Hi. Good afternoon. I'm Ryan Kenny with Clean Energy. We share the concern about the impending emission impacts to our state. Increases in ozone and PM2.5 will occur if heavy-duty diesel trucks are not displaced in the near and intermediate terms. In fact, new Class 7 and 8 trucks are rather absent in product availability at dealers in California and used diesels are the truck of choice right now. Data shows most of the ZEV truck purchases have been in Classes 2B to 3.

Besides the real threat to California receiving federal highway funds, the larger problem of health impacts to Californians, particularly in the South Coast and San Joaquin Valley, continues to be at stake. We continue -- we are become more concerned that there is not going to be a serious commitment low NOx trucks operated on renewable natural gas to reduce emissions. It is more critical than ever for the State in the clean tech industry to work together right now to find innovative ways to address mobile source emissions impacting our

disadvantaged communities.

2.2

Such a collaborative effort would only acknowledge of loss of ACT and ACF in promoting ZEV adoption, but would also value the Heavy-Duty Truck Omnibus Regulation that would promote the cleanest internal combustion engines technologies. Low-NOx trucks operated on RNG are cleaner than diesel trucks. In fact, we believe the nine liter could be certified at 20 milligrams while the new 15 liter could be certified at 35 milligrams. These certifications will incentivize adoption.

We need the State to send strong policy signals that encourage fleets who do choose to purchase internal combustion engines over a heavy-duty ZEV, that those purchases are clean truck purchases at 50 milligram NOx or less, not 200 milligram NOx trucks. This is the solution. We cannot wait. Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Nicole Rice.

NICOLE RICE: Good afternoon, Madam Chair and Mr. Chairs. Nicole Rice, California Renewable Transportation Alliance. And at last month's meeting an even just now, we learned that recent federal actions have created short falls in the NOx reductions needed to meet our target goals. Staff made it clear that to stay on track and to

meet our federal attainment requirements, we must utilize the full suite of vehicle technologies available.

2.2

However, despite this guidance, we've yet to see meaningful action to include one of the most obvious near-term solutions, low-NOx trucks powered by renewable natural gas that meet the Omnibus 50 milligram NOx standard or better. These vehicles are available now, cost effective, and deliver immediate air quality benefits. A recent Energy Vision study found that RNG trucks can deliver 88 percent of the health benefits of electric trucks, and cut NOx by over 94 percent. And a recent UCR study supports these findings by concluding that replacing older diesel trucks with ultra low natural gas models can account for 95 percent of NOx reductions through 2045.

In comparison, going fully electric, the study found would only add an additional five percent in NOx reductions. While we appreciate the conversations that we have had with staff and Board members at CARB on this issue, we are disappointed that the urgency of the situation has not translated into meaningful action.

Therefore, we are here today to urge CARB and our partner agencies to move with expediency and send a clear policy signal that supports the deployment of low-NOx trucks that are certified to the Omnibus NOx standard.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Maria Ruiz.

MARIA RUIZ: Is this on?

Okay. Hi. Good morning, everybody. My name is Maria Ruiz. I'm Associate Director of Outreach and Policy with the Central California Asthma Collaborative. I was actually here a few weeks ago. So hello again.

First of all, I just want to say that I'm very thankful to hear that CARB and all the agencies and the Governor are looking to backfill federal tax credits, supports State incentives and then the regional incentives, and then ultimately create pathways for jobs. I just wanted to share like a little tiny story.

So I joined CCAC five years ago, and one my first projects was to work on what they called the EV Navigator Program. So this was a very high touch program where we actually helped the residents of the valley one-on-one to submit the applications for the EV incentives, whether that be state or regional. And one of the first families that my Colleague and I helped was this family from Kern County who every week had to go from Kern County to Madera County, because their child had a disability and they had to get care in Valley Children's in Madera.

And so, they reached out to us, because their gas

guzzling car was just wringing them dry. And so thanks to the incentives with CARB and obviously, you know, with our project that was CARB funded, we were able to put this family into a plug-in hybrid.

Months later, you know, we heard back from them and they were so thankful because were no longer being raw dry. Basically because of their gas guzzling car, they now had a plug-in hybrid that worked for them thanks to these incentives. And there are many stories that I can share like that, and especially my other staff that does more of the day-to-day work with the -- with the residents of the valley in Northern California.

So CCAC, we cover almost over 40 counties in the state of California, so we're ready to be at the table when it comes to designing, you know, these programs to help our residents transition to clean vehicles.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you. Gregg Fishman.

GREGG FISHMAN: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

I'm Gregg Fishman. I'm the Senior Community Relations

Officer for Sacramento Regional Transit, our bus and light rail fleets run just blocks from here.

Your Innovative Clean Transit Regulations already require us to begin transitioning our bus fleet to zero

emissions and we are seeing some federal headwinds with 1 that. Grants that may be clawed back or changed away from 2 Hydrogen to some other fuel. So we're concerned about 3 that, but also under the ACF, the regulations there 4 require us to begin transitioning our work vehicles also 5 to zero-emission vehicles. And unfortunately, the 6 vehicles simply do not exist yet. The electric vehicles 7 8 are hydrogen. We just can't buy them yet. And we have some time yet before they're required, but the deadlines 9 are approaching quickly. So some -- FRANKLY some 10 flexibility in the timing and/or in the fuels allowed to 11 fit underneath those standards would be appreciated as we 12 begin to make that transition. 13

We're absolutely in support of those regulations, but the timing is becoming more difficult.

Thank you.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

We currently have four commenters with their hands raised in Zoom. Again, I apologize if I mispronounce your name.

Our first commenters are Mike Bullock, Manuel Cunha, Sally Greenspan, Sarah Deslauriers.

We will now transition to our Zoom commenters.

Mike Bullock, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and you may begin.

MIKE BULLOCK: Yeah. Thank you very much. Good

afternoon. My name is Mike Bullock. I retired from
Lockheed. My title was Senior Staff Systems Engineer. A
lot of this is systems engineering. It was good to hear
people talk about multimodal. I think that's code for
less VMT, and that's very important. And to learn how to
do that, we simply have to look at the CARB scoping plan.

2.2

And it's Appendix D, it says that it is a myth to think that we can adopt EVs fast enough. And the reason for that is that 2030 is so soon. And I'm talking about California's first climate mandate, and that is 2030, and that's SB 32.

And to avoid -- or to forget about this is not acceptable, because it is a law, and it's a very important law, and the reduction is large in 2030. And the CARB Scoping plan tell us what we'll have to do to reduce the vehicle miles traveled. And it says in there, pricing is essential. Essential means you have to do that to have a chance, and that's exactly what we're confronted with. Pricing is essential.

And if you read that, you find out what kind of pricing they're talking about. It is an improvement in the way we pay to use the roads. We need to replace our very regressive California gas tax with a means-based road use charge. And then the other thing is the parking. And in terms of expanding any highway lanes, adding lanes,

that is a rogue action, illegal, because 25 percent reduction, means we don't need all that capacity.

2.2

So I guess my time is up. Thank you very much.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

I just want to remind our Zoom speakers to please speak slowly, closely, and clearly into the microphone.

It's a little bit louder in here, so, it's kind of hard to hear. So please speak as close to microphone as you can.

Manuel Cunha, Jr., I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and you may begin.

MANUEL CUNHA, JR.: Are you able to hear me okay?

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Yes, we can hear you.

MANUEL CUNHA, JR.: Okay. Great. Okay. I hope it's loud enough. Again, Madam Chair, welcome to your new position, and Board members, and Dr. Cliff for the presentation.

I have a few questions that I think both Dr. Cliff, knows what these are. But the infrastructure for our agricultural products across California is not there for our trucks to move food. Food cannot wait 24 hours or two hours to get charged up, or whatever, based upon the refer if it's electric or not. So that has not really been at all evaluated with agriculture and our neighboring countries, Canada and Mexico, because we have -- we do have trade agreements of moving product.

Number two of that is our moving cargo to other states, like New York from here, have to move. If the buyers have to come in with electric and all of that, they won't buy from California. And there you go with a \$60 billion industry. Downstream is huge of anywhere between seven and eight hundred billion is made up from our 60 billion in agriculture.

1.3

2.2

Secondly, I was kind of disappointed that the infrastructure discussion was not heavily more spoken about. The utility side cannot provide the power to even agriculture to build facilities in rural communities, packing house and processing, nor is the infrastructure available outside, so I have some great concerns of that issue. And we have offered in the past to sit down, and Dr. Cliff knows that, as well as Chair, that we're willing to help try to figure out how we get through some of this with the waivers being pulled. Again, agriculture is important. Food cannot wait in a truck for an electric charge, nor can you allow frozen chickens to become thawed.

Again, I look forward from agriculture to work with the staff, and especially you, Dr. Cliff. Thank you very much.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you. Our next commenter is Sally Greenspan. I have activated your

microphone. Please unmute and begin.

2.2

Sally Greenspan, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and you may begin.

SALLY GREENSPAN: Sorry. Hello. My name is Sally Greenspan with Enterprise Community Partners. Enterprise is a national affordable housing nonprofit that works to advance equitable infill housing that jointly addresses our housing and climate crises. Enterprise works closely with housing, transportation, and equity advocates to build a California where people can live affordably in communities where they can get where they need to go without the use of a car.

According to CARB's own reports, Californians are driving more than ever. Significant reductions in VMT are critical to reach our climate goals, especially given federal waiver rollbacks and funding cuts that we've heard about today. We also know that building dense infill housing and walkable and transit rich neighborhoods is a deeply effective tool to reduce VMT. According to research from UC Berkeley, people living in low VMT areas drive one-third as much as those living in high VMT areas.

To reach our emission goals in light of federal threats, we strongly recommend that the State continue to emphasize policies that support housing development in low VMT areas while also reducing funding that supports

driving and sprawl development.

1.3

2.2

Specifically, we urge CTC to avoid funding highway expansion through SB 1, STIF, and other funding programs, and to redirect these funds to public and active transportation projects that support infill housing.

Furthermore, we ask the State to prioritize housing development in low VMT areas by quickly developing strong implementation frameworks for SB 79 and AB 130.

Finally, we encourage the State's recent convened Sustainable Communities Task Force to fast track its work to strengthen SB 375 and include recommendations on how infill housing in low VMT areas can be profoundly accelerated.

Thank you very much.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you. Sarah

Deslauriers, I have activated your microphone. Please

unmute and you may begin.

SARAH DESLAURIERS: Thank you. Can you hear me okay?

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Yes.

SARAH DESLAURIERS: Excellent. Good afternoon,
CARB Chair Sanchez, CTC Chair Grisby, HCD Director
Velasquez, and associated members. My name is Sarah
Deslauriers. I'm the Director Air, Climate, and Energy
Program with the California Association of Sanitation

Agencies, or CASA, representing 90 percent of the sewered population in California.

2.2

Thank you for the presentations today. My comments focus on actions supporting near- and long-term greenhouse gas emission reductions and ozone attainment in air basins, where we see nonattainment, largely a result of delayed heavy-duty vehicle emissions reductions as was mentioned in the latter presentation. Prior to the federal tax on California Clean Vehicle regulations, the South Coast and San Joaquin Valley air basins were heading to failure in meeting the 1997 ozone standard by 2023, upon which Clean Air Act sections 179 and 185 allow withholding federal highway funding and impose an annual penalty on major stationary sources, including at wastewater treatment plants, though heavy-duty vehicles were the major source of ozone producing emissions.

In Southern California, wastewater treatment plants have estimated the penalty to exceed \$800,000 per year and there will be decreased ability for an essential public service like wastewater treatment plants to obtain permits from their local air district for critical treatment and permits and projects that capture sustainable wastewater derived renewable natural gas for use in clean combustion vehicles that meet operational needs GHG reduction goals and emissions limits towards

ozone attainment.

unmute and you may begin.

2.2

So the focus needs to be on using every tool in the toolbox that was mentioned, available low NOx RNG fueled vehicles, which can achieve that 95 percent reduction of the NOx emissions through 2040 for heavy-duty vehicles that we turn those over to ultra low NOx vehicles per that UC Riverside study.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment today.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you. Our next

commenter is Janice Luna Reynoso and then Brian Kolodji,

Janice, I have activated your microphone. Please

JANICE LUNA REYNOSO: Good afternoon, CARB, CTC, and HCD Board members. My name is Janice Luna Reynoso. And I'm the Executive Director of Mundo Gardens based in National City in Southeast San Diego. In the fall of 2023, Mundo Gardens, an Urban Collaborative Project CDC worked with SANDAG, Caltrans District 11, City of San Diego, and the City of National City on a successful "Reconnecting Communities: Highways to Boulevards" application.

In March of 2024, we were honored to receive a commitment to award approximately 25 million non-capital and capital funding to reconnect our communities that were divided by the former 252 Highway in South Crest and the

805 in Southeastern San Diego. We hosted a powerful press conference here underneath the former 252 ramp to announce the statewide awardees. The project was planned to begin this past July 2025. However, due to budget cuts, the funding for the statewide "Reconnecting Communities: Highways to Boulevards" program was removed from the State budget in May of 2025. We'd like to work with the CTC, Caltrans, CARB, and our legislators to restore funding to the program.

1.3

2.2

The 25 million that was planned for the San Diego and National City area which funded community visioning process to build on a 2011 Caltrans EIR and a 2015 City of San Diego Southeastern San Diego Community Plan. Those plans called for the removal of the underutilized 805 43rd street freeway ramps that were part of the former 252 highway. The project would unlock 57 acres of public right of way to redevelop the land for housing, a community land trust, green space, naturalized water flow and construct local streets and roads to provide neighborhood mobility and restore connectivity.

We look forward to working with you to restore the Reconnecting Communities funding, implement the project vision, and heal our community. Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Brian Kolodji. I have activated your microphone.

Please unmute and you may begin.

2.2

BRIAN KOLODJI: My name is Brian Kolodji. I'm with Kolodji Corporation. And I have a very brief short question or comment.

Good afternoon, CARB Chair, CARB, CTC, and HCD.

My concern is we have these vehicles that are run off
batteries. And I'm a chemical engineer by practice. And
we're going to be eliminating gasoline cars. And the
amount of power required to charge the amount of
battery-driven cars that we're going to be needing to
replace gasoline driven cars will potentially triple
our -- the amount of grid that we currently have. And
this already -- this grid in California, according -- you
know CDC well knows is very challenged. We're importing
energy.

And so, I hope CARB and CEC are looking at the power requirements to charge these vehicles and where we're going to get that power from. And it could cause us more problems. We'll end up being -- importing even more power and costing consumers more money.

That's all I have to add. Again, thank you. Brian Kolodji with Kolodji Corps.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

That concludes our in-person and Zoom commenters.

25 | I will turn the microphone back to Chair Sanchez.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you. Thank you. I will now open it up for discussion, comments, reflections from both our Board members and Commissioners. If you want to flag us around. Anyone want to -- yes, Supervisor Ortiz-Legg.

2.2

CARB BOARD MEMBER ORTIZ-LEGG: Well, I don't have my light on yet. I was waiting for other folks. I think I'll wait for others

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: You'll wait. Okay.

CARB BOARD MEMBER ORTIZ-LEGG: Okay. Thank you.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Maybe I have -- I see Board Member De La Torre. Yes, you're up first.

CARB BOARD MEMBER DE LA TORRE: Thank you,

Chairs. I want to talk about the Congressional Review Act

and the -- how we got here. So -- and I think I may have

said at some point at a CARB meeting, but happy to say it

again. It's on.

Okay. Let me get really close. So I'm talking about the Congressional Review Act and how we got here. So, I'm not a lawyer, but I'm also not an idiot. A federal waiver applies to one state, not all 50 states, so it cannot be considered a federal regulation. Also, the same Senate Republican leadership in Washington that is constantly espousing state's rights, broke its own rules to undue a duly approved California environmental policy

that protects our residents from air pollution, period.

It's only state's rights when you're in one of their states apparently.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Finally, California's efforts on air pollution at the California Air Resources Board predate, predate the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the federal Clean Air Act. So we are unique among states in that, we historically have received our federal waivers from both Democratic and Republican administrations. Our two skilled U.S. Senators Alex Padilla and Adam Schiff implemented a smart strategy. This is while it was still going on. They asked the General Accounting Office to determine whether the Congressional Review Act written for federal regulations applies to waivers. The GAO used common sense and ruled that it did not. Then they took that ruling to the Senate Parliamentarian, who also determined that a federal waiver for one state is not a federal regulation.

Despite these two clear rulings, Senate Leader
Thune from South Dakota, less than one-fortieth the
population of California falsely claimed it was a
regulation and led to the vote to rescind three California
environmental waivers, bypassing its own rules. This
hurts the people of California who have to breathe dirtier
air because of 51 non-California senators.

This isn't federalism. This is trying to punish, trying to ram something down a state's throat that is only trying to comply with the federal Clean Air Act. It's their law that we're trying to comply with. And they are crippling us in our efforts to do so.

So, going forward, obviously, we're going to be fighting it for all the reasons. And those who are lawyers are going to do a much better job than I just did explaining it in plain language, but to have the transportation ripple effects that were laid out today is adding -- just adding to the punishment, adding to the attack on California.

And in terms of just the broader issue here, forgetting the legal and the policy, there is never a disincentive for efficiency. And that's all we're trying to do is create more efficient vehicles in the state of California that don't add to the pollution burden that we already have. That's all we're trying to do, which by the way ends up saving people money. So it's about affordability. It's about clean air. And it's about being treated fairly by our own federal government.

Thank you.

2.2

 $\label{eq:carbonic} \mbox{CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Well said, Board Member.}$  Thank you.

Dr. Shaheen.

CARB BOARD MEMBER SHAHEEN: Thanks so much,
Chair. And I wanted to thank Dr. Schilla and Division
Chief Alba for your presentations. It's a very sobering
presentation, but I feel like we're in good hands, that
our agencies are collaborating very closely and working
hard. I know in my staff briefing, I was very confident
that California is working very closely with the federal
government to move forward on this. I did have one small
question regarding the conformity process for you all and
then a comment that I'd like to make about VMT.

2.2

So my question is is how would a conformity lapse affect project level approvals currently under the environmental review or STIP, or in the STIP cycle? So where we are right now with those.

with the California Transportation Commission. For projects that are currently in the environmental review process, it's kind of one of those you can't pass go if you can't demonstrate conformity. And so what you'll hear from one of our presenters today is that there is a project that is sitting and waiting for the federal government to kind of move through these processes from us in Merced County. And so you'll hear about the project and the statewide benefits of how it connects to the inland port in Merced County when we hear from Merced as

well.

2.2

CARB BOARD MEMBER SHAHEEN: All right. Thank you for that, Director Taylor.

So the next thing I wanted to just speak about was a lot of the comments we heard on vehicle miles traveled and on the Sustainable Communities Task Force.

I'm delighted to be a representative of CARB on that task force, and really hopeful about where we're headed. I do think we've got a lot of tools like the REAP Program that we heard about in Director Velasquez's introductory comments. I think we need to get more funds for REAP and those types of programs, which I believe REAP 2.0 is no longer operating.

I also wanted to emphasize something that I've been thinking a lot about in that we have a report coming out in November of 2026, but that we have a lot of urgency around the importance of VMT reduction for air quality and greenhouse gas -- greenhouse gas emission goals. So I'd like to echo something that Jeanie Ward-Waller stated about the importance of maybe some preliminary findings or interim strategies.

I, as a transportation expert, fully agree with that we need to focus on the infill housing projects that really work and lead to true VMT reduction, and there's so much evidence empirically that we see that from good TOD

practices, or Transit-Oriented Development projects. So keeping our eye on the upcoming report from the Transforming Transportation Task Force, I think there's a lot of opportunities to reinforce and work with public transit as well.

2.2

Other areas that I think are very exciting that we should keep our eye on are things like low-emission zones and pricing. I think these are strategies that we're going to get bigger bang for our buck. So I'd like to see those strategies emphasized.

And then another opportunity I really think we should lean into across our agencies is really coming up with creative pilot projects, working with the Metropolitan Planning Organizations on them, and really leaning in significantly into vehicle miles traveled reduction. And in the coming year, we're going to be addressing SB 375 here. So I think the timing for this conversation is excellent. So thank you.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Dr. Shaheen.

Board Member Rechtschaffen is making eye contact.

Nope not to speak.

Yes, Councilmember.

CARB BOARD MEMBER GUERRA: Thank you. Thank you very much, Madam Chair. First of all, let me just thank the -- both of our CTC staff and our CARB staff for very

eloquently describing the problem that we face here of illegal actions by this administration that will have direct negative health effects to our Californians, and also -- also has created such an instability in the marketplace that has affected the cost of living and affordability.

2.2

And so I want to thank our staff for, you know, being proactive on this, on those multiple fronts, and to the point of, you know, the -- as was mentioned at the beginning, we want this discussion to be an action-oriented discussion. And one of those actions I do want to thank both of our legal teams for making sure that we are fighting in the courts and fighting for, you know, the fundamental law that is being broken, that is focused on that public health. Yes, the benefits of maintaining those laws address affordability, but most importantly public health.

The one thing that I want to highlight here is that these -- the effect of us being able to move forward in the loss of those tools, you know, and the point that public health will get worse means that we have to have also an action to address the gaps -- the gaps in the -- in the SIP. And we had this discussion at our last CARB meeting. And for those on the CTC Board that may have not have watched our lively meetings, you know, and we've had

a very robust discussion about the challenges we face in the San Joaquin valley and the South Coast area. I'm very proud to say that in the Sacramento Valley we finally this year met ozone attainment -- ground level ozone attainment. We still have work to do on the PM numbers, but we met that ozone attainment.

2.2

We have a significant gap to meet that NOx reduction based on our current strategy. And without these federal -- the federal funding, the lack of the waivers, we've got a challenge on our hands. And so I do believe that we need to agendize soon and urgently a strategy to achieve that actual gap of the SIP. Because as we saw, the outcomes are not only loss of federal dollars for transportation projects, but most importantly, it is -- it is the public health.

Under our current approach, only with the Advanced Clean Fleets Rule that we're moving forward -- and I'll repeat what I said at the ACF hearing, we -- as a state, we have to meet the SIP for public health. And to do that, the regulation is going to focus and force our local government agencies -- I heard Regional Transit and our SMUD Board member here discuss the challenges that our cities, our counties, our special districts, our municipal utility districts will be forced to do. They will have to comply with our State law and our State regulation to meet

these standards.

2.2

And to do that, because many of our cities and counties are also facing these budgets reductions, and structural deficits, because of the federal actions, we will be forced by the State Constitution under Prop 218 and Prop 26 to increase rates and regressive rates to electrify our fleets. So I think it's important for us to look at a strategy that looks at many options, including, as was mentioned earlier, alternatives, time frames on RNG to making sure that we are making those reductions.

In Sacramento, we made those attainment reductions, because we used multiple strategies. Yes, we proudly electrified our electric school bus fleets, not only the largest electrical school bus fleet in the nation, but the second largest school bus fleet in the nation. But we also did it through multiple strategies, including natural gas. And so for one of the concerns, if the discussion is about action-oriented discussion, we need to be looking at what options we can do to make sure that we meet -- that NOx gap in the SIP, because it is about public health. And, yes, we will lose federal transportation dollars if we don't meet those standards.

So, Madam Chair, those are my comments as, one, we continue to fight in the courts at the federal level, but at the local level here, we have to do that and be

responsive the impacts that a regressive fee will affect those that also have the worst health impacts as well.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Councilmember.

Other -- Commissioner.

No, now Supervisor -- what? Both of you.

6 (Laughter).

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Supervisor Ortiz-Legg please.

I guess I'm ready now. Appreciate. Thank you for letting me go ahead. So, you know, thank you for being here together with us and having this really important conversation, because it does have everything to do with our ability to continue to lead the state of California. And as somebody who worked in the clean energy industry, I know what these challenges are and I know how important it is for the health and well-being of our people and our economy.

But, you know, as a Board member here, I'm representing small air districts. And as a -- and as a supervisor of a rural county, I just really want to ask us to take a good look at these requirements that we have, and that was just mentioned. I think it's important to remember that many of us, just the one-size-does-not-fit-all approach. And when you live in a county that has

small urban -- suburban little areas, but a lot of rural around you, things are just really different for us. And so, that reminder comes with the suggestion by Councilmember Guerra regarding a flexibility strategy for us. And I think that that is really important that we look at cost-effective solutions to look for alternatives. And this is not only imperative in regards to the critical agencies that we just heard.

You know, there's -- there's -- there are discussions regarding what happened in Southern California in regards to fleet access during the fires. These are life and death issues. And when we have those kinds of responsibilities as cities and counties to make sure that our vehicles are running and doing the kinds of things that are necessary for everyday life, whether it is the sanitation districts, or the fire districts, or the water districts, or the -- that we have to have that flexibility.

And so, my request is to also support a strategy conversation for alternative, including whether it's renewable natural gas, but there's many ways. And to get there, maybe not as direct as we had hoped for, but I think that we've got look at how we're going to still attain our goals and keep people safe.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Supervisor.

Commissioner Mann.

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER MANN: Thank you so much. And thank you to all the speakers. It was really helpful to get all of that information and really appreciate all the work that is moving forward. In my comments, I just want to uplift some of the comments that one of the public comment speakers raised, speaker Ruiz, in talking about some of the issues related to the story telling about how you're moving people from being in gas-powered vehicles to moving to electric vehicles.

And the reason why I want to lift that up is because I think that in so many ways like this part of how our population has faith in system-level and infrastructure investments and the stability of them is really important. I worked for years in South Los Angeles, where I was speaking to people about putting charging stations into the community and how critical that is, because if you have people going through your community, you need a charging station, the same way that you need a gas station.

The fact that getting people to actually convert and move into different cars, getting mechanics to not have resistance towards having EV infrastructure, because they also have possibilities there, or community colleges

teaching this, there is a whole other level of infrastructure behind all of this in order to make it function and to make it work. And that piece cannot slow down. We have the momentum. We need to keep up the momentum, because at the end of it, there are lives that are at risk. That's why we're doing this. And there is also this piece in terms of their jobs.

2.2

We're having people move into fields, because they were growing fields. And so to cut them off, so that they're no longer growing fields, where there's solid middle class jobs, where they can raise their families and keep them safe, I really appreciate all the tools that are being used in order to address this, because we do have momentum now. And that momentum cannot slow down. I've been working on environmental justice issues for decades, now. And I will say that the past like five years has been the most promising piece of all of this of how we can actually ensure that we're protecting vulnerable communities, that people are safe, and we're not -- we're not pitting the economy against environmental benefits, and having us continue that is really critical.

So all the pieces that were discussed, be it litigation, gap filling, all of these different elements are just incredibly important, because we need our population to continue to have faith in the fact that when

we say we're making investments, those investments are made, and that they can believe in a stability of a system that looks after and protects their health, and cares about their families and how they're going to prosper too.

So thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Commissioner.

On to the Zoom. Board Member Takvorian, the floor is yours.

CARB BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Thank you, Chair. And I'm excited to be with you, at least virtually, and appreciate all of the presentations and the community and public input that we heard today. Just a few points that I wanted to underscore. Of course, we've heard that while significant progress has been made in California, so much serious air pollution remains in multiple regions. And CARB's plan for reducing this serious and disease-producing pollution is being challenged right now.

I think that vehicle emission reductions, we all know that they're critical to improving air quality and meeting the federal standards, as well as meeting the community-driven goals reflected, for instance, in the AB 617 Community Emission Reductions Plans that are emerging in 19 communities around California. So I just wanted to underscore the -- and appreciate the recommendations from the Sustainable Communities Task Force, as noted in Dr.

Cliff's presentation, focusing on a couple.

1.3

2.2

One is I think the -- that we do need conversations about the incentives and where they're being directed. I appreciated the recommendations related to procurement. So that while I understand municipalities face unique challenges, they are also the models for the private sector, for nonprofit organizations. And they have a responsibility to those communities that are impacted, environmental justice communities, low-income communities of color that are the most impacted.

And I don't think any of us want to go backwards. I'm not -- I don't think that's what we're hearing, but we do need to go forward in, for instance, some of the multi-family housing that Director Velasquez talked about. How can we ensure that the infrastructure is there for those residents, so that they can keep moving forward with electric and zero-emission vehicles that have so far avoided -- evaded them? And so that I think is critically important.

Also, I want to lift up the call for an -- a statewide Indirect Source Rule, which I think will help all of the air districts who are struggling. And some are being successful, others are struggling to enforce that themselves. And as we take -- as we have removed some of the heavy-duty regulations, because of the illegal waiver

refusals that we've seen, I think we need to step up in that space and provide some of that assistance, both to the local air districts, which would provide some assistance to the municipalities, as well as to the ports. And I know they're doing a lot of innovative work as well, and this could really assist them.

2.2

So I'd love to hear more from other Board members and Commission members about how we move those kinds of things forward and use our funding, our incentive funding as smartly as possible to target those areas that need it the most. So thank you, Chair.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Board member. Commissioner Tiffany.

just wanted to follow up on some comments just made, particularly in the one size does not fit all. One of the comments or some of the comments made by people who called in were commenting on VMT and the need to get away from sprawl housing. But just as a reminder, these rural areas, and I live in one -- and some are in very rural, some are in rural areas of larger counties like San Bernardino, for example, the reason why people live far away, and then they have to drive, and of course that increases VMT, is because they -- there's an affordability issue. And so, they simply cannot afford the homes closer

in to where the jobs are.

2.2

I fully am in support of getting more housing closer into the job, so we can reduce VMT, but we also need to address the affordability issue elsewhere as well, because until you have more housing, people are going to have to drive to get to their jobs. And just a reminder, when we look at some of these rural areas, they don't have the options like transit that you see in urban or even suburban areas.

So, we need to get more transit to those areas to get people out of their cars, but it's a very different situation when you look at rural areas and you look at urban areas. And I just -- we have to remember that as we're looking at VMT and concerns about, you know, how many people are in their cars.

Thank you.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Commissioner.

Seeing no additional comments, I just want to thank Board Members and Commissioners for offering their reflections on our path forward.

We will be breaking for a brief 10 minutes. If we can plan to be back at 3:05, we are excited to be joined by our regional partners for our regional government representatives and we'll be hearing from them after the break.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

(Off record: 2:54 p.m.)

(Thereupon a recess was taken.)

(On record: 3:20 p.m.)

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Okay. Here we go. Welcome back, everyone. Thank you for enjoying that short break.

Are we back on Zoom?

We're back on. Great.

The next item on today's agenda is item number three, Regional Perspective: Air Quality, Housing, and Transportation Project Delivery. This item consists of five presentations that together will give us a sense of how the unlawful Congressional resolution will impact regional government's ability to manage air quality, housing, and transportation goals across California, from urban areas to rural communities.

Regional planning and implementation, which integrate transportation and housing actions are vital to support thriving regions where Californians can easily get to work, school, and everywhere they need to go. Our partners at the regional level offer important perspectives on both the near-term and long-term impacts of the illegal congressional resolutions.

Our guest speakers will speak in a series of five back-to-back presentations before we take public comment

on all five together, and then we will have another robust discussion here on the Board. I will now turn it over to Antoinette Meier of San Diego Association of Governments to begin.

(Slide presentation).

1.3

2.2

ANTOINETTE MEIER: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon. My name is Antoinette Meier. I am the Senior Director of Regional Planning at SANDAG, the San Diego Association of Governments. And for those of you who are not familiar with SANDAG, we are the Metropolitan Planning Organization and the Council of Governments for the San Diego region, which is comprised of 18 cities and the County of San Diego in the southwest corner of the state.

We are a little bit unique in that we are single county a Metropolitan Planning Organization. We have a population of about 3.3 million people and we're a very diverse region.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

ANTOINETTE MEIER: All right. We have a lot of tribes in our region. We have significant military installations in our region. We have a U.S.-Mexico border with multiple land ports of entry, one of the busiest ones in the world. We have a major metropolitan area, but a large portion of our region is very rural. So I think it

goes without saying that we have unique and complex transportation needs.

Next slide, please.

2.2

# [SLIDE CHANGE]

ANTOINETTE MEIER: A couple of things I want to say about what make our MPO unique is that we are not just a planning agency. We actually design, we build, and we fund major transportation projects. We're what's called a consolidated agency. We're also a Transportation Commission, so we manage the half cent sales tax that comes into the region, so our budget is about \$1.3 billion because of the delivery role that we play.

So this slide is an overview of the planning process. The planning and programming process describes really how we go from the big picture regional plan down to implementing projects and services. All of the MPOs are responsible for developing the regional plan and we have a lot of State and federal requirements we have to meet in order to get those plans approved. We update those plans every four years. After our Board adopts them, they let us know what their priority projects are from that plan, and we go out and seek funding to implement those projects.

Once we identify funding, the projects go into our annual budgets and we start the planning process. And

as we get through the planning and environmental process, ultimately that project ends up in what we call the RTIP. And the RTIP is that five-year investment plan, and all the projects that we plan to deliver.

Next slide, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

ANTOINETTE MEIER: So we are going to be going to our Board and asking them to adopt our 2025 Regional Plan in a few weeks. We're excited. We've been working on this plan for the last three and a half years. There are \$125 billion in projects and programs in the regional plan. And while we largely think of the regional plan as a transportation plan, there's also significant investments in housing, in smart growth development, and in environmental programs. In order to get our plan approved by the federal government, we have to demonstrate conformity, and you heard a little bit about that in the earlier presentation. So we have to demonstrate that the projects in the regional plan do not worsen air quality or created any new air quality violations.

And there's a specific model that we have to use to do that analysis. And their lies the current challenge, because the State of California doesn't currently have an approved model to be able to do analysis. So it's not clear, if we will be able to get

our 2025 regional plan approved by the federal government. We're hopeful, but there's a little bit of doubt at this point in the current lockdown that we're in. Our current plan, our 2021 plan, expires in January 2026.

So if we don't get our 2025 plan approved by the time that that plan expires, we will go into what's called a lapse grace period, and that will last for about a year. During that year, only plans and projects in our conforming 2021 plan and conforming RTIP are eligible to move forward.

Next slide.

2.2

#### [SLIDE CHANGE]

ANTOINETTE MEIER: So our current RTIP was approved by our Board in December of 2024. It has nearly 600 projects that equate to \$7.7 billion over the life of the RTIP. That's not just SANDAG projects. That's Caltrans projects, State projects, local agency projects. And these projects cross modes. It's rail projects. It's bus projects. It is roadway. It is goods movement. It's active transportation projects.

Of the 600 projects, most of them, 541 of them, are what are considered exempt projects, meaning they're multimodal projects that are air quality neutral.

However, we have about 56 projects that are non-exempt.

But I do want to explain that that does not mean that

they're multimodal projects. These are projects like our managed lanes that give priority to transit and vanpool projects, but those projects have to go through their conformity process.

2.2

It's not clear if we'll be able to do any amendments to the RTIP if we fall into a lapse grace period or the types of amendments we'll be able to do. And we amend the RTIP all the time. Although it only gets updated every two years, we amend it when we get new funding and add funding to projects, when we want to add new projects to the RTIP. So it's not clear if we'll be able to do that.

And speaking of funding, next slide, please.
[SLIDE CHANGE]

ANTOINETTE MEIER: Over the next year, there are a number of grant opportunities and funding opportunities that we rely on and all of our partners rely on. So there's the big federal grants like BUILD and CRISI. There's the big State funding programs, like the SB 1 grants. In March of 2026, the STIP is expected to be approved. We have many millions of dollars of projects in the STIP. That includes the conversion of our 805 HOV and five HOV lanes to HOT lanes. It includes a major grade separation project on LOSSAN rail corridor, the Carlsbad Trench Project. It includes some safety improvements for

one of our rural corridors, State Route 67.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

Then the SHOPP, there are a number of operational and safety projects that are really critical for our region. And then we'll be asking our Board to adopt our FY 27 annual budget in May. If we have any budget changes to projects in the budget, they could be impacted as well.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

ANTOINETTE MEIER: If we were to fall into a complete lapse. So if this conformity lockdown continues for a long time, we would not be able to obligate any federal funding on projects. And that's a problem, because we rely a lot on federal funding. I think most of our projects have federal funding on them. And, in fact, we currently have some pending grant agreements, grants that were awarded but we don't have the agreements in place yet for some really important critical projects that I've highlighted on this slide.

So the first one is our Palomar grade separation project. This is supports our blue line. Our blue line is really the workhorse of our transit system. It gets the highest ridership, not only in the county, but some of the highest transit ridership in the country actually. It connects the border to UC San Diego and major job centers. It carries over two million passengers a month. And this

grade separation project not only helps the trolley, but it's a critical safety project, because it reduces that conflict between rail and people walking, biking, or in cars. So we have an outstanding FRA grant there.

2.2

Our LOSSAN corridor, another critical rail corridor. This is the second busiest passenger rail corridor in the country, one of the busiest Amtrak services in the country as well. It is a freight corridor, and the military relies on it. It's a strategic corridor and we have three outstanding FRA grants that would replace a hundred year old bridge. And that would double track segments, so that we could really increase the capacity in this corridor and the frequency of service in this corridor.

And then last, but not least, we have a Reconnecting Communities federal grant for our Harbor Drive 2.0 and Vesta Street Bridge project. Harbor drive is a critical goods movement corridor that connects the Port of San Diego and Naval Base San Diego. This project not only facilitates the goods movement, but it also mitigates traffic impacts for the surrounding communities, and it replaces a bridge over Harbor Drive Vesta Bridge, that connects the Naval Base San Diego, one of our largest military installations. So really critical and important projects that would be uncertain.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

2 [SLIDE CHANGE]

ANTOINETTE MEIER: That really wraps up my presentation. I want to just say we remain hopeful. We remain optimistic, and we are extremely thankful to our State and federal partners for all the work that they've been doing to find a solution to this problem, to keep the money flowing, and to keep the big infrastructure projects going. So thank you very much. I'm going to pass it to my partner at SACOG.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: All right. Good afternoon.

I'm Rene DeVere-Oki. I'm part of the Government Affairs team over at SACOG. So like my counterparts, I'm going to highlights the impacts that our region is experiencing as part of this conformity lockdown. I'm going to look -- give a little bit of background on the air quality dynamics in our region, as well as the ripple effects that the rescission waiver has on what we're doing.

Go ahead and go to the next slide.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: So who we are. SACOG is the MPO for the six-county region where we're holding this meeting today. I like to say we are rural. We are urban. We are suburban. We are made up of six counties, Yolo,

Yuba, Sutter, Sacramento, El Dorado, and Placer. You mentioned the population of San Diego. That's where we'll be in the next 25 years. So we are a rapidly growing region over time that we need a plan for.

2.2

Sitting here in Sacramento, you might forget that we are actually three-quarters rural by land mass. So what does that mean for air quality and planning? We have forests, we have ag land, we have regional pride and our access to outdoor recreational opportunities, and our identity as the farm-to-fork capital.

When we work with our air districts when they develop their State Implementation Plans, or SIPs, we work with partners and communities in developing how we're going to be balancing environment, economy, as well as looking at an equitable future. In terms of air quality planning, we're actually a region of collaboration. So within our six counties, we have five air districts, four different air quality geographies that -- and three criteria pollutants that we're analyzing. So which really means we have lots of budgets or upper limits that we have to meet, and we do this with lots of coordination and collaboration among sectors, and that's really balancing an economy and environmental health.

So this collaboration, I would say Member Guerra who already stepped away, stole my talking point, which is

basically we did meet the standard. And so that we have some of the cleanest air since they started measuring since the 1970s. And this is really as a result of individuals and organizations that have chosen to support clean transportation all positions and alternative or many elected officials over the years that have championed incentives and supported clean air policies, and our five air districts that have really had the foresight to propose and implement aggressive clean air strategies, and also, our business community who has adopted and prioritized these technologies and strategies.

2.2

All this to say is that we have a lean collaborative ecosystem up here, and that's allowed us to be innovative for air quality solutions. That said, without being able to rely on State strategies that lower vehicle emissions and promote some of these zero emission technologies, it's going to be very challenging to meet our federal targets in the future. And we're going to have to look both internally and at our partners to find these emission reductions.

Go ahead and flip to the next slide.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: Jumping into our federal duties as an MPO, you heard about this a little bit. For SACOG, we have 22 cities and six counties focusing on just

two elements, planning and analyzing. So really at the most basic level, we're charged with producing documentation on how we're going to build and travel in the future, while at the same time not making air quality worse.

2.2

In practice, we're gathering, we're convening, we're outlining a future that we want to see over the next 5, 10, 15, 25 years. And really what we want to be focusing on is implementation of these efforts, moving regionally important project forwards that help with this vision.

Go ahead and jump to the next slide.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: Go ahead and hit one more time.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: There you go.

So Plan at Risk. So really, what's at risk of us -- for us as a result of this waiver rescission is our planning and our timely implementation. I wanted to highlight where we are today. So SACOG is at the end of a plan update. We call it our MTP/SCS or Blueprint. This is in align -- to align us with our partners to the west and south. We've produced a plan that reflects the interests of our region, works to make air quality better,

works for our economy. We've done lots of analysis. We have regional buy-in. Three years of outreach to public business and partners.

2.2

This plan for us outlines how we're going to be investing more than 40 billion in transportation investments through 2050. We can submit this plan as soon as December. In fact, they're taking -- we're trying to take action on it this month. And so this is approved -- a plan that, as she shame mentioned, can't get approved until there's a technical fix, for what this model we cal EMFAC, also, to make sure that we can show the emission reductions that we need.

Go ahead and jump to the next slide.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: So what this means for us is that right now we have continue to rely on the plan that we have in place. But really, this hamstrings us from being adaptive to on-the-ground building realities. Our problem is just slightly different than our counterparts, in that our problem becomes much more complicated the longer this lockdown goes on.

So our current plan and the conformity determination was approved by our federal partners last December. So our plan is actually good until December of 2027. But while we have a plan, this means it's pretty

much frozen on paper and next steps until a technical solution is reached, so meaning that projects that are really in the works, projects that are refining scope, projects that are receiving new funding, we can't make these changes. And changes mean and delays in timing is missing the construction season, delays in opening and increased costs. So I had three projects examples. Go ahead and jump to the next slide.

2.2

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: Those are the three. Go ahead to the next one.

#### [SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: Looking up to our northern county, Yuba County. So here is a federal discretionary grant earlier this year for 35 million within the rural program. It was one of the largest awards out of this nationally competitive round coming into California. And we had support from both sides of our elected delegation. It's a project, which is very much an economic driver for the northern portion of the region connecting a community and economic center. And it's going to increase safety and improve access between Plumas Lakes on Highway 70 and Beale Air Force Base. And it also allows for a future north valley rail stop.

So due to the recent federal grant, this is a

project that could move forward quickly, but we can't accommodate the changes. Right now, the paper says it's open in 2031. What the project would like to do is open in 2029. So that's a three -- potential three-year delay.

Going on to the next project.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: Caltrans Sac I-5 Managed Lane Project. So this is a project that proposes to construct managed lanes in both directions on I-5 from the US-50 interchange to the Sacramento River Bridge with ITS improvements. For those of you that flew in today, this would be a managed lane that goes from the airport and all the way here to downtown and prioritize transit.

It's a regionally significant investment for improving mobility and economic productivity on a goods movement corridor. It's also a project that is included in what we call our Northern California Megaregion Dozen, which is a portfolio of 12 transformative projects supported by us as well as MTC and San Joaquin COG. So this is a project that is looking to wrap up NEPA in 2027 and seek construction funding from some of those pots that she had mentioned. But we can't do this and -- at this moment until something is -- until the fix is reached. And I'll transition to the last example project that I had, which is on the next slide.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

RENEE DEVERE-OKI: So less than a quarter mile away from where we are today is a project that we call It's one of the largest infill opportunity Railyards. these sites west of the Mississippi. One of those fun talking points. It's an infill project that's going to add roughly 244 acres to our downtown core by 2050 and will support brand new housing and brand new jobs. the SACOG region to reach our greenhouse gas targets and improve air quality in tandem with this growth that we anticipate over the next 25 years, we really rely on changes to our land use. A project like this, when it fully comes online provides housing, implements travel changes we want to see for air quality improvements. utilizes transit. It puts people closer to jobs and destination, allows for shorter trips, mode shift, making walking biking, scootering all possible.

So work in this area is underway. It's coming online. And by coming online that means connecting to our existing infrastructure in what we call the grid. And that's connecting with traffic lights and multimodal road improvements. So a lockdown for a project like this means potential delays to the development of immediate housing and the jobs sites, as a result of the delay in the transportation infrastructure.

So to quickly wrap up, for us, the impacts of this lockdown ramp up over time. So we have a plan that we can rely on in the short-term, but this quickly goes from what we're calling a 45 project problem, or 2.2 billion, to a really long range implementation problem.

And so we are very much supportive of any solutions that the State and federal government can reach to work this out in a timely manner. And with that, I'm going to hand it over to Kenneth Kao at MTC.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

KENNETH KAO: All right. Thank you Renee.

Good afternoon, Board members. I'm Kenneth Kao with the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. MTC is the MPO for the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area. I'm here to talk about -- I'm mainly going to focus on some of the project delivery impacts that we're expected to see as a result of this air quality report lapse and lockdown.

Next slide, please.

2.2

#### [SLIDE CHANGE]

KENNETH KAO: So earlier under Caltrans's presentation, Mr. De Alba presented kind of the three MPOs that are first at risk. And unfortunately, in this situation, MTC is number one. We like to be number one, but not in this situation. Our MTC's current long reach plan expires on December 3rd of this year, which is less

than a month away. Without a resolution to this issue, MTC will enter a conformity lapse grace period on December 4th. And I believe my -- the previous two speakers mentioned kind of the impacts of that, which I'll cover on the next slide. We are very hopeful that there'll be some swift action in this arena. But if there is not and we face prolonged lapse/grace period, my next few slides is going to go into a little bit more detail on kind of what that means for the Bay Area.

Next slide, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

KENNETH KAO: So as mentioned earlier, when we enter a conformity lapse grace period, we are unable to amend and revise our transportation improvement program, our TIP to reflect changes in the project cost, scope, and schedule. Those are going to be very restricted. And what that means is it will put a lot of current projects and their delivery at risk. What this means for the Bay Area is up to and estimated 275 projects and over \$10 billion worth of project funds will be at risk of being delayed. And specifically, ongoing and new non-exempt projects are at the most risk. And again, non-exempt projects are those that are expected to require an air quality determination that includes new fixed route transit service or expanded highway capacity, for

instance. So those are, you know, the projects that are most at-risk during the conformity lapse grace period.

Next slide, please.

2.2

# [SLIDE CHANGE]

KENNETH KAO: I will note very clearly that conformity lapse grace period will impact all modes of projects. So that includes transit capital projects, because the large transit capital projects also require an air quality determination. In the near term, we're looking at over \$5 billion worth of funds that are at risk to very important projects to the Bay Area. Those include Bart extension to Silicon Valley, San Jose, which recently got an FTA award of over \$5 billion, the BART Transbay Core Capacity Project, as well as the Caltrain extension to downtown San Francisco, also known as the Portal Project, which eventually will tie into high-speed rail and bring high-speed rail into the heart of San Francisco.

There's also over \$2 billion worth of highway and local road projects, which are going to be at risk of delay. Those includes managed lanes projects in Contra Costa and San Mateo counties, as well as interchange improvements at key bottlenecks around the region. And I will also mention that bike-ped projects are also not, you know, escaping without issue. We have a number of bike-ped projects that are linked with those non-exempt

projects, such as for transit and for highway jobs, and that could impact up to 3 million -- \$300 million worth of bike-ped projects.

And I'll also tie this back in with what

Antoinette had mentioned. We have a number of very
important programs that are coming online over the next
year, which we will not be able to amend into the TIP, if
the grace period extends further. That includes the STIP
and the SHOPP, which are very important jobs -- or
programs that help maintain our system, as well as federal
funding, federal discretionary program funding as well as
Senate Bill 1 programs. So those projects, we would not
be able to amend our TIP to reflect those awards, which
means that those projects, even though we have maybe a
commitment of funding from the State or the Feds, we
actually can't move into delivery of them, because they're
not in our Transportation Improvement Program.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

#### [SLIDE CHANGE]

KENNETH KAO: In the Bay Area, transit is very important. And I did want to highlight that transit again will not come out unscathed under a prolonged lapse grace period. Even transit recovery projects are at risk of being delayed, particularly on the capital side. Again, we may not be able to add a new funding into our TIP,

which means that our transit operators would not be able to access that. And under a prolonged lapse grace -- lapse issue, even kind of vehicle replacements projects, usually projects that are exempt could also be able -- be delayed. So that's something that we're very concerned about, especially given how important transit is to our region.

Next slide, please.

2.2

# [SLIDE CHANGE]

KENNETH KAO: And finally, I did want to acknowledge HCD Director Velasquez's comment about the Bay Area and our work relating to infill development. That is something that we are very focused on, as a part of our Plan Bay Area 2050 adoption, which we're hoping to adopt in the first half of 2026. And infill development is a big part of our strategy to focus growth and continue investing in supportive infrastructure, and, you know, supportive infrastructure here would -- could also be complicated under this air quality conformity issue.

And so, we're definitely looking forward to a resolution, so that we can continue to invest in supportive infrastructure to focus our growth and infill development and reduce our emissions and VMT.

And in closing, I also did want to continue to thank State staff. They've been very, very helpful and,

you know, very willing to share information with us in all of this -- the mess that we're currently in. So I really wanted to thank your staff and bring us along, and for bringing us here to share this information with you and the importance of this issue to our regions.

And next, I'll turn it over to Stacie.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STACIE GUZMAN: Thank you and good afternoon. My name is Stacie Guzman. I'm the Executive Director of the Merced County Association of Governments, the Transit Joint Powers Authority for Merced County, along with the Yosemite Area Regional Transportation System. And I'm also the sitting Chair of the San Joaquin Valley Planning Agency's Director's Committee. So I'm here not only on behalf of MCAG, but on the eight valley counties in the San Joaquin Valley.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

Mentioned, consists of eight counties. You can see them here on the map spanning from San Joaquin to Kern. We are a geography that is in excess of 27,000 square miles and home to four and a half million people. It's about 11 percent of the state and includes some of the most disadvantaged communities in California.

Many of our counties experience poverty rates of 15 to 20 percent, which is considerably higher than the State average of 12 percent. And we struggle with structural unemployment between about 7 and 10 percent, which recognizes our ever-changing and evolving economic situation in the valley. Addressing these disparities has been a challenge in that it's a multi-prong approach in investing in housing near jobs, workforce training, and infrastructure that connects our residents to opportunity in our communities to the broader State economy.

2.2

But despite these challenges, of course, you can't be surprised that I'm going to talk about agriculture, because we do feed the nation. Our region produces more than 250 different ag commodities and supplies over 25 percent of our nation's food. We generate 70 percent of California's ag value on just one-sixth of the State's area. And we -- thereby, contributing significantly to a \$50 billion ag economy.

Our local roads and State highways are essential, as you can imagine, to those farm-to-market routes and vital links to jobs, education, and health care for our residents. As I mentioned, I am the Executive Director as well of -- under MCAG of the two public transit agencies that serve our region. And while transit is growing in our -- what we call our cities, maybe a little smaller

than some of my counterparts on the panel, but providing rural transit continues to be very difficult across such a large low density region, but still you'll see a lot of innovate solutions.

1.3

2.2

I know microtransit has been helping expand mobility where fixed routes haven't been practical. That is true in Merced County as others. But that's also a very expensive solution, but critical, because it's in areas that connectivity to jobs and services is even more essential.

The valley eight -- the eight valley counties also share an air basin -- a single air basin that is bordered on three sides by mountains. And as you can imagine, this is a natural barrier for and limiting of air movement. Seasonal weather often carries air masses from other areas into the valley, where our calm conditions allow pollutants to settle.

Combined, our unique geography and climate makes our region, as we heard earlier, one of the most naturally stagnant air basins in the country. But for more than 20 years, my counterparts, I haven't been around for 20 years. I've only been round for 10 of those, but we've been collaborating together to improve our air quality, support our ag economy, and invest in transportation networks that connect our residents to opportunity.

Our partnership has advanced a number of regional efforts, including the sustainable goods movement strategy that prioritizes freight efficiency and cleaner mobility. And, of course, each of us -- each MPO at the local level are investing in our zero-emission transit fleets, truck charging infrastructure, valley rail, active transportation, including regional bike and pedestrian networks, and our Safe Routes to Schools.

Next slide, please.

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

STACIE GUZMAN: So the removal of California's Clean Air Act waivers this summer placed the San Joaquin Valley in immediate conformity lockdown. As we've heard across the table, this means we can't make significant amendments to our transportation projects and it's impacting numerous projects schedules and even forcing some projects as was alluded to earlier into a standstill.

The San Joaquin Valley MPOs are scheduled to adopt our RTPs next summer. So if this issue is not fully resolved by the end of 2026, many more projects will be impacted or even eliminated due to the loss of funding or the inability to cover the cost increases that were the result of the delays.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

STACIE GUZMAN: So the near-term impacts of the lockdown put over \$2 billion in projects at risk, including those that have already been developed, some that have been approved, some that are already in progress, such as the elimination of some unsafe bottlenecks that remain on unfinished portions of State Route 99, our goods movement corridor and backbone in California, as well as railroad grade separations.

2.2

And if this isn't -- the situation isn't resolved quickly, projects that have the sole purpose of cleaning our air, and improving safety, and encouraging travelers to choose alternative modes of transportation, may be halted as well. This includes a project in Merced, our transit operations and maintenance facility that will allow us to expand our microtransit program and our zero-emission fleet to the west side, which is our most rural communities in our county, and will also impact our ability to deliver our future hydrogen implementation projects that are funded by SB 125 and federal sources.

We also have agencies in our region that are working on implementation of charging and fueling infrastructure projects, and Safe Streets For All projects that includes one in particular in our region that's a bike-ped project that would connect the UC Merced campus to the City of Merced. And if you've been to that region,

you know there's a -- there's some -- there's some distance there and a pretty rural remote region.

We already know that an additional \$5.8 billion in projects and programs will be at risk after 2026. And we anticipate this number is only going to increase as we look at future project funding opportunities that would be missed.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

# [SLIDE CHANGE]

STACIE GUZMAN: So I want to take a moment to highlight a specific project that was alluded to earlier that has been immediately impacted by the lockdown. And that's the Atwater-Merced Expressway in Merced County. It's a two-mile express way that will connect State Route 99 to the Castle Commerce Center, the former Castle Air Force Base, which is home to Merced County's Inland Port Project, and also would be providing a direct connection to the University of California, Merced from the north valley.

Next slide, please.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STACIE GUZMAN: The Inland Port, as I mentioned, it's received a \$49.6 million CalSTA grant to develop a multimodal logistics hub that moves goods more efficiently through the valley and California's seaports helps -- will

help California meet its goals to reduce congestion at our ports. The \$99 million Atwater-Merced Expressway is going to be that critical link between State Route 99 and the Inland Port, where freight will transfer between trucks and trains reducing congestion at coastal ports and on our valley highways.

1.3

2.2

so together, these projects position the valley as a key connection in California's clean modern freight network, reducing truck miles, improving air quality, and supporting jobs. But this project, the Atwater-Merced Expressway is what we've been referring to in many conversations of late, as the tip of the spear, because it's the first in the state to feel that immediate impact of the lockdown.

The Expressway is fully funded through construction with multiple RTIP cycles that have been rolled over cycle after cycle for my entire career at MCAG and was a big driver in our ability to pass our sales tax measure was the promise to be able to deliver this project, and it remains our agency's top priority.

It was on track to complete our NEPA process this summer. But when the federal rescission took effect, it stopped our progress mid-modeling essentially. So each day of the delay that continues, our project costs will, as we can anticipate, increase. It threatens our funding

and postpones those safety benefits, like removing the heavy trucks from our local streets and away from schools. I believe there are two schools directly on the path that trucks are using right now.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

STACIE GUZMAN: Oh, can you hear me? Okay.

Good. The San Joaquin Valley estimates impacts to exceed eight billion if the lockdown extends beyond our RTIP adoption deadline. This means that both air quality exempt and not-exempt projects may be at risk. But as I mentioned earlier, this number could be much higher when we consider about -- consider the future funding opportunities that may be lost.

We have agencies that have federal grant applications currently under review, additional NOFOs are scheduled to be released, our valley MPOs typically program CMAQ every two -- CMAQ projects every two years, and those could be stopped if -- in upcoming cycles, if this continues beyond that point. Our agencies actively apply for other programs, ATP, various SB 1 program funds, as you can -- have heard from our colleagues are also going to be at risk. And as we know, that if this continues beyond that point, we will not be able to add those new projects and programs to our planning and

programming documents.

2.2

The bottom line I think that I want to -- I think I have one more slide, but to say is that this rescission put our projects in our region at risk, including those that our communities need, the projects that our community has supported to pass our sales tax measures, and that, have course, will also help us implement our SCSs.

And then one last slide, please.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

STACIE GUZMAN: At the end of the day, we recognize the complexity of this situation and the sensitivity of solutions that need to be developed. We know that there will be implications, ramifications for whatever solution is able to be developed. We also though recognize that in this situation we're at with our Atwater-Merced Expressway Project that the solution could take time. It's a unique issue and largely unprecedented. But while we all work together to get the solution right, we can't afford to come to a standstill and risk losing funding that we simply cannot afford to lose, and also to delay those safety, economic, and quality of life benefits that the projects are bringing into our communities.

So because of this, several months -- maybe I think it's been a couple months ago, the eight San Joaquin Valley MPOs, through the San Joaquin Valley Regional

Policy Council, introduced and started seeking a parallel relief through a two-year grace period for the implementation of the rescissions. This approach would allow us to continue our project delivery progress in the near-term, and that -- and also as the State and our federal partners are able to take the necessary time to adjust to the new regulatory environment. I appreciate your time this afternoon and I will hand it over to Mr. Philip Law with SCAG.

## [SLIDE CHANGE]

PHILIP LAW: Good afternoon. I'm Philip Law,
Deputy Director of Regional Planing, Policy, and Analysis
at the Southern California Association of Governments, or
SCAG.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

Next slide, please.

#### [SLIDE CHANGE]

PHILIP LAW: So this -- SCAG is the metropolitan planning organization for the six counties in Southern California shown on this slide. We have 191 cities, 16 tribal governments, nearly 19 million residents, what would be the 15th largest economy in the world, and we have nearly 48 percent of the State's population. So our issues are large and complex.

So as with the other MPOs, we're responsible for

developing the Regional Transportation Plan and
Sustainable Communities Strategy, as well as the Federal
Transportation Improvement Program, or FTIP.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

PHILIP LAW: So unlike some of the other MPOs here, we are not in danger -- immediate danger of a conformity lapse. Our next conformity determination will be for our forthcoming 2027 FTIP and the concurrent RTP amendment, which will occur about a year from now.

Now, if the lockdown -- conformity lockdown issue is not resolved in time, our projects in the 2027 FTIP could face delays in receiving federal approval for funding. So while we don't yet have the 2027 FTIP, we can look towards the adopted 2025 FTIP for an illustrative example of the types and breadth of projects that may be impacted by these delays. So we have nearly 400 modeled projects that are worth \$46.5 billion. I want to emphasize a substantial share of that investment, 46 percent, is on our transit system.

For example, we have LA Metro's Purple Line, or D-line subway extension to the west side of Los Angeles.

In the FTIP, we also have over \$1 billion for goods movement related, grade separation, bottleneck, and safety improvements.

Now, I mentioned the 2027 FTIP is forthcoming. We would really need timely resolution to the lockdown by about spring of 2026, March/April time frame, which is when we would need to be doing the modeling and regional emissions analysis for that document.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

[SLIDE CHANGE]

PHILIP LAW: So in terms of project delays, it's important to note that we do have the Olympic and Paralympic games coming to Southern California in 2028. So looking in the current FTIP, we have nearly \$17 billion in critical high-value investments that have been prioritized to support the games, in addition to other near-term transformative investments. And delays to these projects could hinder our region's operational readiness to provide world class transportation for millions of domestic and international visits.

We also have, in addition to the FTIP, two federal programs that we are in the process of allocating funding for, the Surface Transportation Block Grant, or STPG, funds roadway, transit, and bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure. We also have the Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program, or CMAQ. Put together, we have \$1.2 billion available for our region over the next two years.

Actually, this morning, the Transportation

Committee at SCAG approved the recommendations for 130

projects and it will go to our regional council for board adoption on December 4th. So any potential protracted conformity lockdown could also cause delays to those projects.

Next slide, please.

2.2

#### [SLIDE CHANGE]

PHILIP LAW: So when we talk about project delays, we're essentially talking about delaying the implementation of our RTP/SCS, which includes projects and strategies that address a broad set of key objectives -- goals and objectives. So, of course, air quality improvement is one of those, that's what conformity is about. We have significant projects and strategies in our RTP/SCS that seek to reduce emissions by promoting increased transit use, walking and biking, rideshare, and so on.

We also have done extensive regional planning and implementation to support the transition to zero-emission technologies, because we recognize that as critical to achieving our long-term vision as well. So in fact, about a week ago we just opened a \$50 million augmentation of our last mile freight program, which we are pursuing in partnership with the South Coast Air Quality Management

District and funded by a U.S. EPA Climate Pollution
Reduction Grant. So that program funds the purchase or
conversion of Class 4 and 5 heavy-duty trucks -zero-emission or battery electric heavy-duty trucks. So
our plan also calls for enhanced integration of our
regional transportation network with our forecasted
regional development patterns.

2.2

So this is that connection between transportation, land use, and housing. And so any delays in major transit infrastructure projects, for example, could impact our ability to pursue strategies like transit-oriented development.

Our plan also has a number of goals with respect to transportation-related goals that include improving congestion, improving safety, mobility, and accessibility for people and for freight. Economically, we could see job loss from construction delays of large infrastructure projects. And what this all really means is that project delays could reduce our regional economic growth and vitality, and our global competitiveness.

Next slide, please.

[SLIDE CHANGE]

PHILIP LAW: And to build on that point, we can look at the economic impact analysis from Connect SoCal 2024, which is our current RTP/SCS. So looking at the

initial period of the plan, we estimate that the plan investments and the benefits that we expect from them could increase -- could lead to an increase in 309,000 jobs in our region per year and increase our GDP by \$20 billion per year. So that gives you an indication of some of the potential economic repercussions from lockdown.

And that concludes my presentation. Thank you for the opportunity to speak with you today. We look forward to a timely resolution to this conformity issue and continue partnership with the State in advancing the implementation of our RTP/SCS.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Wonderful. Thank you so much to our regional partners joining us today, both for the informative and illustrative presentations, and also for the ongoing collaboration with all three of our agencies. It is deeply appreciated. We are now going to turn to public comment for both commenters in the room and on Zoom. I will turn it over to the Board clerk to please call on the commenters.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you, Chair Sanchez. We have three in-person commenters who have turned in a request-to-speak card and wish to speak at this time.

I apologize in advance if I mispronounce your

name. I would like to remind all commenters to speak, slowly, closely, and clearly into the microphone for our court reporter and so that the Board can hear you.

2.2

Our first commenter is Jeanie Ward-Waller.

Jean Ward-Waller.

JEANIE WARD-WALLER: Again, good afternoon.

Jeanie Ward-Waller representing ClimatePlan. We really appreciate the competing challenges that MPOs are facing and particularly this risk of losing federal funds to important projects statewide. ClimatePlan and our partners are very concerned about that risk and about the grants frankly that the Trump administration has already delayed or canceled to California.

We want to ensure that transportation funding continues to flow to projects that support our climate and equity goals. That said, many projects that have been prioritized for funding, including a few that were mentioned do not advance our goals, and we urge the State and regions to instead prioritize near-term funding for sustainable transportation projects that provide alternatives to driving.

I also just want to mention that we are actively engaged in discussions with MPOs and other stakeholders about reforms to SB 375 to strengthen implementation of the Sustainable Communities Strategies that were -- that

were mentioned by the presenters today.

2.2

We believe the regions have adopted really strong plans that demonstrate that it's possible to reach our ambitious climate goals. However, MPOs are really limited in their authority to effective implement their plans. We really need our State transportation agencies to be stronger partners in supporting projects and policies that enable us to move people more effectively on our roads and highways.

Strategies like road pricing that Board Member
Shaheen mentioned are one of those really good examples of
a strategy that's critical to many of SCS meeting their
targets where the State could do more. And I just
highlight what New York city has done with congestion
pricing, which in very short -- a short period of time
they've seen huge benefits, reducing VMT, providing
critical funding for transit, as well as things like just
reducing traffic and noise in the city that improve the
quality of life for everyone. So California to be looking
at that strategy and really stepping up in implementing
creative solutions that help advance our goals.

Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Our next commenter is Sofia Rafikova.

SOFIA RAFIKOVA: Good afternoon again. Sofia

Rafikova with the Coalition for Clean Air. We share the concerns that were outlined by the MPOs and that their attraction of the waivers may cause regions to enter conformity lockdown, which could lose them access to a significant chunk of funding, if the FHWA fails to approve the Regional Transportation Plans.

However, a lack of a conformity determination doesn't mean that every single project will be halted. Projects have already been authorized or projects that are exempted from the conformity process can continue to be built. We want to use this opportunity to encourage the MPOs in the room to use this time to build projects outside of the conformity process, projects that improve air quality, such as bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure, ride-sharing and vanpooling projects, transit operation investments, and safety improvements are all exempted from having to go through conformity determination, and can still be Federally funded either, even under a conformity lockdown.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Martha Armas-Kelly.

MARTHA ARMAS-KELLY: Good afternoon. My name is Martha Armas-Kelly and I am the Chair of the Equity Advisory Committee. I am honored to speak today following

these important reflections on regional transportation needs across both rural and urban communities. As you have heard, the decisions before you are critical, not only for the completion of key projects, but also to demonstrate California's continued commitment to equity, resiliency, and environmental integrity.

1.3

2.2

We recognize that these recent federal changes in metrics have affected the models. And in moments of uncertainty, your leadership can shape on how we move forward with clarity, courage, and care. The regional reports shared today reflect the depth and the complexity of these challenges, but we must not lose sight of the people behind this data that we've heard today. These decisions will directly impact children who deserve clean air and safe routes to school, seniors who rely on accessible transit and in order to maintain independence, individuals with limited mobility and accessibility, and needs that require thoughtful infrastructure and for those that are marginalized in BIPOC communities who have already withstood much of the brunt of the environmental and transportation inequities.

In my role, and also in my community, I see daily how transportation intersects with housing, environmental health, and access to opportunity. These decisions are not only abstract, they shape our lives. The Equity

Advisory Committee remains committed to supporting this body in advancing solutions that affect California with dignity, diversity, and resiliency, making California communities beautiful and withstanding.

1.3

2.2

We urge you to continue investing in programs that empower grassroots organizations closest to the challenges and that are most affected.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you. That -MARTHA ARMAS-KELLY: We are urgently waiting for
your lead. Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you very much.

That concludes our in-person commenters. I will now turn to Zoom commenters. Again, I apologize if I mispronounce your name. Our first commenter is Janice Luna Reynoso and then Mike Bullock.

Janice, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and you may begin.

JANICE LUNA REYNOSO: Good afternoon, CARB, CTC, and HCD Board members. Congratulations. Chair Sanchez (spoke in Spanish).

I spoke on Item 2 regarding Reconnecting

Communities funding. However, item 3 is a better fit for my comments. I offer a summarized version.

My name is Janice Luna Reynoso. I am the Executive Director of Mundo Gardens based in National City in southeast San Diego. In the fall of 2023 Mundo Gardens and Urban Collaborative Project CDC worked with SANDAG, Caltrans District 11, the City of San Diego, and the City of National City on a successful Reconnecting Communities Highways-to-Boulevards application.

2.2

On March of 2024, we were honored to receive a commitment to award approximately 25 million in non-capital and capital funding to reconnect and heal our communities that were divided by the former 252 Highway in Southcrest and in the 805 in southeastern San Diego. The project was planned to begin this past July of 2025. However, due to the budget cuts in the funding for the statewide Reconnecting Communities Highways to Boulevards Program was removed from the State budget in the -- May of 2025.

The project would unlock 57 acres of public right-of-way to redevelop that land for housing, a community land trust, green space, naturalized water flow, workforce development, and construct pathways, local streets, to provide neighborhood mobility and restore connectivity. We look forward to working with the CTC, Caltrans, CARB and our legislators to restore funding to the Reconnecting Communities Program.

Thank you very much.

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

Mike Bullock, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MIKE BULLOCK: Yes. Thank you very much. Yeah Board, Chairs, and members, Mike Bullock. I'm a retired systems engineer. And, yeah, it sounds like you're at the mercy of litigation or over waivers. And that's causing you to be stuck in a conformity lockdown.

I think you -- in this time, there's still work you can do, of course. And I think that two things you need to do is push up and ask the State for more help. And isn't this meeting just the perfect time for you to do it, but I didn't hear what I wanted to hear in that regard. And then push down, and that is to get the municipal government to do what they can do. And what am I talking about? I'm talking about the CARB Scoping Plan, because it says we have to reduce VMT 25 percent by 2030. That's very soon, and the pricing is essential again. it -- what the State needs to do is, as fast as possible, put together a road use charge, which would replace the very regressive gas tax. The road use charge would certainly be means based. And it's -- it is -- would really be a pricing and payout system. And there's a lot to the pricing and there's a lot to the payout.

Although, the payout is fairly simple. You know, when I drive my Tesla down the road, the money should go

to the government which is maintaining the road I'm on. So if I'm up in Todd Gloria's neck of the woods there in San Diego, I'm driving around, his government needs to get that money. I mean, that's how a road use charge should work.

And then parking is -- goes down to the municipal governments. And that also needs to be -- a lot of work. You probably need parking vendors to figure that out, good technology in both directions. And I haven't heard any of that, and the specifics of how you do the pricing, which is called for, if you're going to achieve that 2030, and that is the law.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK MOORE: Thank you.

That concludes our in-person and Zoom commenters. I will turn the microphone back to Chair Sanchez.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you. The floor is yours. Board members and Commissioners, any comments, reflections?

Yes, Senator Stern.

SENATOR STERN: Thank you, Madam Chair. It's been a sobering last couple of hours here. I watched a -- I watched all our MPOs present just now, and, you know, as a follow-on to our last board meeting, really important I think to have this sort of coordinated approach amongst

HCD and CTC. So really appreciate the Director and, you know, the head of all our key agencies here.

2.2

You know, when I look at projects like the Yuba County Plumas Lake Boulevard Interchange Project on phase two and, you know, all the -- it sort of -- it rides between red and blue. These projects from deep in the heart of San Francisco Bay Area out to rural San Joaquin Valley, I think we've got a sort of common challenge here. I think it's been encouraged at least, especially by Ms. Shaheen's exchange about what we can do in the near term just working through the details of how to, you know, avoid a huge conflict here.

So I'm hoping that we can resolve some of this in less dramatic fashion than potentially is necessary, but I still, from a stakeholder perspective, I'm still eager to get more engagement from those who have the direct jobs and economic development stake. I think we've heard a little bit from some of folks who represent those in Chambers and, you know, manufacturers. And I know we've heard a little bit from industry today, but I will say, as a follow-on to this meeting, I'm going to try to have some conversations in the Legislature too, just to make sure my colleagues sort of understand the stakes here and what's -- and that the stakeholders we work with for -- you know, for example on getting SB 1 passed and the jobs

coalition that was built there. I don't see them here today and it may be that it just sort of hasn't dawned on people how serious this stuff is, but I'm hoping that we can start to at least -- at least internally here within our -- you know, our wonkier world, maybe not so much in dramatic fashion, but just to help our builders and our businesses understand what's at stake.

2.2

So standing ready to help with all that.

Although, it seems like at this point, my conclusion is just that there's some very good work to be done at a staff level at this point, and that it doesn't need a huge amount of outside help, but I do think making sure, especially with our CTC friends who interface and our Caltrans community really trying to get -- and our MPO partners, I appreciate SANDAG, and SACOG, and MTC, and the rest for being here. And I'll be talking to my SCAG folks as well, but just to, you know, get this sort of sobering message out there.

So standing ready to help. And I just -- I don't see how the State can fill these gaps, so we're going to have to try to soldier our way through this. And I do think it's going to require us -- I mean, I appreciate Mr. Guerra and our supervisor, Ms. Ortiz-Legg, sort of saying -- you know, putting it out there a little bit that we may not get to pursue the road that we've been on the

same exact way, and we may need to be flexible about technologies we deploy or pathways we pursue. So I think there's some no-regrets inquiries that we should make and should look to in the future and maybe open our aperture a bit and show some flexibility in these arenas, but -- yeah, I really hope all this is -- becomes a moot point very soon. So thanks.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Senator.

Other comments?

2.2

Yes, Councilmember.

CARB BOARD MEMBER GUERRA: Thank you. I didn't -- I didn't want to cutoff Supervisor Ortiz-Legg over there, but -- who had punched up first.

But all I wanted to say, frankly, I, you know, one, appreciate SACOG's work, appreciate the work that they've been doing, particularly on some of the projects here locally. I always appreciate how they're a urban, suburban, and rural region. And the only message I think that I have here is of gratitude for both our CARB, CTC, and HCD, and SACOG staff. And I think the bigger message now for all of us is that we're stronger together, and we need to figure out better ways, now more than ever, to figure — how to execute the work we're doing.

And I know in the past, there have been challenges, as always is, when we work with different

levels of agencies, but my, I think, concern and strong message is that for us to execute the work we want to do facing the headwinds is that philosophy of stronger together here.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Yes, Director.

HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: Thank you, Madam Chair. Just a couple of comments.

Can you hear me here?

A couple of comments. First, thank you very much for your partnership, the Metropolitan Planning
Organizations and the Councils of Governments represented here.

There are two things that you've been extremely helpful with. And just for the benefit of the Commissioners and the Board members, I want to -- I want to elevate those two important elements of our partnership. First is RHNA, the Regional Housing Needs Allocation. We are going to enter the seventh cycle fairly soon. And I always say on these meetings, and I'll repeat it again, RHNA is demonstrably a climate strategy. It has worked that way. It wasn't that way before. It wasn't that way before the Newsom Administration, but it is now, because RHNA results in housing elements in all of your cities that are tied to developable sites and parcels

that are all about reducing vehicle miles traveled and make sure that people live in a healthier way.

2.2

And I do think that we are seeing that playing out, that -- these housing elements that tied to RHNA are strong, enforceable plans. And you've been extremely helpful in the sixth cycle to move all of that along.

The second part of my gratitude is the implementation of REAP 1 and REAP 2. In fact, I would say this program is really -- exemplifies so well the reason for this committee. This committee -- joint committee is all about keeping our three entities in check with our ability to implement big state transportation policy and transportation investment and big state housing policy and housing investments in a way that makes people live healthier. That's what this joint committee is all about is -- and REAP really connects these three goals that are interchangeably so well.

And, you know, I get all the time asked about continuing REAP, whether there will be a REAP 3 or not. I would say the most important thing right now is to continue to highlight to us, to the Legislature, to everyone you can catalog and explain clear examples within your -- within the construct of your REAP programs, you know, the types of projects that these investments are producing, clean transit, strong housing investments in

the right places, and reduction of pollutants.

2.2

I know you have good examples of that. Keep cataloging them. Keep coming to us, with, you know, highlighting what those are, because I think that keeps fueling the interest, the purpose, and the mission of this joint committee.

So thank you for that.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Director.

Supervisor, did you have comments?

Oh, yes, Dr. Shaheen.

CARB BOARD MEMBER SHAHEEN: All right. Thank you, Chair. And I just want to also express tremendous gratitude. This was a really powerful presentation. And on top of the presentations that came before it, my big takeaways are that project delays are creating tremendous stress and uncertainty, and that has major implications for our people in the state of California, our air quality, our economic health, our workforce. Philip your slides at the end were quite sobering and impactful in that regard.

I'm really concerned about the communications strategy and I don't know what will happen. Antoinette, I feel for you. I've know you for a long time and I feel for you in the position that you're in. And I'm, you know, curious about communication strategies that are in

place, because there's going to be a lot of people impacted once you go into this lapse. And it sounded to me like you don't even quite understand what this lapse means.

1.3

2.2

So I don't know if you could comment on that or anybody could speak to what the communication strategy might be in place, if we actually enter into this, which I'm hopeful we will not.

ANTOINETTE MEIER: I mean, I can speak on behalf of the region and how we're communicating. Right now, we're really just keeping our Board members informed of these potential impacts. Like I said, we're uncertain. We don't know if we will be able to demonstrate conformity. We believe we have a solution in place, but there's just not a lot of clarity out there right now, so we're keeping our communities informed, our city managers, our Board members informed, just having open dialogue and communication with the State. Every time we get new information, we're sharing that out.

CTC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAYLOR: Maybe I'll add. Tanisha Taylor with the California Transportation Commission again.

One of the things that we have done is Steve, myself, and Caltrans staff, Ben De Alba, and Marlon Flournoy, who I believe is still in the audience, we've

kind of become this triangulated process of communication to make sure not only are we talking across our agencies, but we're also talking to our regional partners, and our regional partners are talking to their partners in the cities and counties, because each region will face this issue differently depending on the timing of when they are in their planning process.

2.2

And so, communicating in real-time. And I think you'll her from Director Cliff about how we're going to continue to do that into the future is really important, because the answer today can be very different than the answer tomorrow, depending on whether EPA has approved something, whether there's something from the Federal Highway Administration or the Federal Transit Administration in terms of guidance on how we move forward, because this is — these are unprecedented times, right? We've talked about the illegally of this action. And because this is an illegal action, because this is an action that quite frankly shouldn't have happened, there's not a lot of guidance on how to move forward.

So the more we communicate, the more we work not only at the top, but at our staff levels, the better off we'll be in terms of being able to communicate what's going on in an individual project at any point in time, right? We've seen that today. And the fact that I know a

lot of about Stacie Guzman's project from Merced County, because we've been having these conversations about what does this mean, are there creative solutions to moving not only individual projects, but regional plans, because each of our agencies has a different role, right? CARB has the role of updating EMFAC and the SIPs.

2.2

The Commission has the role of allocating dollars to projects and making sure that those projects are ready to go. Caltrans, in many cases, has the role of approving environmental documents that are on the state highway system. So understanding where each of us are in the process, where each of those projects are in the process allows us to really understand what solution do we need at that moment in time?

CARB BOARD MEMBER SHAHEEN: Yeah. I mean, what you're all doing is amazing, because this is very sophisticated, and very complex. Conformity is not an easy thing to do.

Antoinette, I noticed in your presentation that you talked about -- it looked like maybe 90 percent of your projects were in the exempt status, is that true?

ANTOINETTE MEIER: Yes, that's true.

CARB BOARD MEMBER SHAHEEN: So that's good news. It's very good news. And if we go into a lapse, you won't be able to amend them, but what are you are thoughts on

the status of those projects?

2.2

ANTOINETTE MEIER: Well, we don't know what kind of amendments might be allowed right now during that lapse period, so if we would be able to add funding to those projects or not. But for the projects that are fully funded, don't need any additional money, don't have scope, schedule changes, wouldn't require any kind of amendment, those projects can continue to move forward hopefully.

CARB BOARD MEMBER SHAHEEN: Wonderful. Well, we're sending you best wishes, because this is going to happen potentially soon, so -- but I did find some hope there when you presented on that. And I'm hoping many of you have exempt-level projects, because those are typically more sustainable in nature. So that would be good news.

But those are the rest of my comments, but thank you all. I really appreciate all of tour time and the thoughtful presentations.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Dr. Shaheen. Supervisor Ortiz-Legg.

CARB BOARD MEMBER ORTIZ-LEGG: Thank you, Madam Chair. So first off, I just want to say that this is the unprecedented times that was mentioned, that this is really serious business. Knowing how much quality of life issues that are engaged in every one of these projects has

some benefit that will improve quality of life for individuals, no matter what. I don't -- you know, all of these things, the way that we do things now, the way that these projects are planned out today are always going to be an improvement.

2.2

And I think that we cannot risk -- and I really appreciate the presentations. I appreciate all you being here to really drive home the interconnectivity of our agencies with your life. And I know it's -- small agency. We are not impacted yet, but certainly know the impacts that projects like this that are in jeopardy can mean for a region.

I'm really happy the Senator was here. I think he made some really great statements in regards to the sobering quality of this conversation, and that we are going to have to all work together. You know, we've made really great strides, and I just -- I really -- I think that the one page that I'm really looking at is seeking to relieve impacts that we at CARB can do certain adjustments to the EMFAC model. And that is really what needs to be happening and what we need to be talking about perhaps in conjunction with our partners, ensuring that there's not unintended consequences. But again, we're talking unprecedented times. We have to be practical. We have to be thinking about what can we do right now that's going to

help all of our entire state practically, particularly in these big metropolitan areas.

And so that's my statements in regards to trying to look at other ways of calculation of the EMFAC model. There's -- states do other things and perhaps this is something that we need to be looking at. So I really appreciate you coming forth and bringing us suggestions. And that is our role here is to be able to help our communities improve their quality of life.

So, those are my hopes and dreams. Thank you. CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Supervisor.

Any additional comments?

Yes, our Vice Chair -- your Vice Chair.

Apologies. The CTC Vice Chair.

2.2

CTC VICE CHAIR REYES FALCON: Your colleague.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: My colleague.

the opportunity to speak. And I just really wanted to sit back and listen. The questions that I had they had to actually ask of staff that was brought up by Caltrans Ben De Alba about over 1,800 projects, \$75 billion that are in question right now really speaks to -- and the regions answered this question that I had asked amongst my colleagues here about, well, what does that mean? And your presentations from the regions really put, you know,

color into that question, that there are clean air strategies that are built into your plans and to your projects.

2.2

I think of, you know, our rural regions in particular that, you know, we would like to see more mobility opportunities, different, you know, alternative modes, but it's not easy. And the projects that are impacting you that, you know, may not be funded, that's air quality strategies that your constituents and your citizens will not -- and communities, you know, are in jeopardy of not taking advantage of.

I appreciate, you know, the presentations from -you know, from SANDAG, from SACOG, MTC, SCAG, and others
how that really impacts all the hard work that you all do,
that we all do, listening to our communities, trying to do
the best we can to come up with projects that improve our
safety, improve our reliability, but also address our air
quality impacts that communities have been -- have been
experiencing.

And so I really appreciate the conversations that we've had on the policy issues. How do we get to better clean air through VMT reductions, through GHG reductions, how do we keep our EV and other, you know, fuel alternative strategies moving forward in this very questionable time that we find ourselves. Even prior to

the rescission of the waivers, we, at CTC, have been asking the question of how do we moved forward with clean freight? We've been contemplating how do we deploy clean infrastructure, clean charging infrastructure along our freight corridors?

2.2

Freight needs to continue, because that's part of the lifeblood of our economy. We have to move product.

We have to continue the economy. We're the fourth largest economy in the world and there's a reason for that. And it's our infrastructure is part of that, right? And so, you know, listening to -- I love the comments about collaboration. And I think, now more than ever, that we need to work together. How do we -- how do we keep moving on these clean air strategies. How do we keep the economy moving? How do we help, you know, our California citizens continue to thrive in this very questionable environment where our projects are tied to federal dollars.

And so, you know, I'm big on teamwork. And that's been the good -- the big question in my mind coming into this -- into this meeting is how do we work together? Because you asked the really important questions about how do we keep air quality in the forefront, as these federal dollars that are tied to air quality strategies are in question?

And so I don't have an answer. I just wanted to,

you know, kind of comment. I'm going to uplift the comments that have been made. And I know that my colleagues at the CTC, this is something really important to us. These strategies that you have all brought up are strategies that we have contemplated as well, is how we incorporate that into our transportation investments.

So, really glad to be here and hearing, you know, the collaboration, that spirit of collaboration that I'm hearing.

So thank you.

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you. The eye contact.
Yes. Please, Commissioner Mann.

Thank you so much. This has been such a rich conversation. And hearing all those various examples was incredibly helpful, and, as has already been said, very sobering in terms of the reality of -- these projects take so long to come about. And being at the point where you're able to move forward and all of a sudden, there are these brakes that are put on them, which then does have the reality of sometimes they become unaffordable like after a while because your just increased cost, as we have these further delays.

I really appreciated the question in terms of communication and like the level of communication out.

And thank you, both, for answering that communication question. My communication question is a bit more in terms of more external to the broader population. So we've been having this conversation, and I think someone used the word like "wonky". We all like policy here. That's why we do these sorts of things. And we -- there is so much like nitty-gritty information. Even the concept of the waiver is very policy focused and it's helpful to bring it down to reality of what does it mean practically on the ground?

2.2

So my question is -- because I do like to hope for the best, but plan for the worst. And if we are in a place where these projects are not able to move forward and we are seeing that thematically across the state, are there thoughts in terms of what a joint or shared communication plan looks like to the broader people of California who then have all of these impacts, because we often say that transit touches everything. It does impact education, health care, safety, where you can work. It touches everything.

And so if we do have issues where it's either ATP projects, or its highway infrastructure, whatever the case may be, do we have some initial thoughts of like even what an outward facing communication strategy looks like when we're talking about billions of dollars of infrastructure

going away potentially.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CTC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR TAYLOR: I'll start. But I'll also acknowledge to your point, this is a very challenging issue to communicate. Although, we can simplify it in some regards.

One of the things that has already gone out -and we've talked about CARB is suing the federal government. When this first happened, the Governor did put our a press release to talk about some of the impacts, the human impacts of this issue. And so we're continuing to work across all of these channels, as well as following the Governor's lead on how we're communicating these issues out to our communities. I don't want to speak for the MPO regions, but I'm certain as those projects are coming up, as the project managers at Caltrans are within the cities and counties -- we actually have a city here with - Merced County Association of Governments on that Atwater-Merced Expressway Project -- that we start to talk about what does it mean when you start to really feel those impacts, right?

Because right now, even for the Atwater-Merced Expressway Project, the public doesn't really understand what the environmental process is, right? They know we look at the impacts of it, but it's still pretty abstract of a project even at that point. And so the project can

keep moving forward to a point and then it will stop. And it's at that point where the project stops that it becomes, I want to use the word, "tangible" for the public to understand what that impact is. And that's kind of how we start to communicate in some regards, right? We're at the statewide level and we come down to the regions. The regions are communicating to their boards and their boards are open to the public, just like this meeting is open to the public.

2.2

And then we get down to the local community level, where there is going to be engagement, right, because there have been commitments of projects saying we're going to come out and we're going to talk to you at this point in the project process. When those points don't happen, we have to communicate why they're not happening.

And so it's kind of a mismatch of a lot of things, but it depends on the timing, it depends on the level, and kind of what the outcome of that communication is we're seeking to achieve, so that we're not -- we're not confusing too many people by having 18 messages across the individual MPO regions, one message across the state. And that's why it's kind of -- it's really important for all of our agencies here to be communicating on that communication strategy, because it can go like this very

quickly, and people will glaze over and not understand why they should care. To your point, everyone should care because this has an impact on everyone in the state of California.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you.

Any additional comments from the dais, Board members, Commissioners?

No. No. No. No.

2.2

All right. Well, I will move to finish up this meeting, by first acting Dr. Cliff to provide a summary of key items, key themes, next steps, and then I will turn it to my fellow Chair for the final word.

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: Thank you, Chair Sanchez. A really robust discussion and appreciate the Board, and Commission, and Director Velasquez's comments.

That -- there is clearly a lot of risk associated with this conformity issue and these recent federal actions that we've touched upon, I think, in a lot of detail. And I want to thank our local partners for their presentations today and their continued engagement and work. I've really enjoyed having this opportunity to work closely with our State partners and with local partners on this issue, and to get out the information, so that we can really understand what those impacts are, and then convey exactly what we're doing.

EMFAC 2021 off-model adjustments. We have provided that to U.S. EPA, so that was done last week. We are awaiting U.S. EPA's approval of those and hope that that can be done very soon. Of course, it's complicated. Lots for them to review, and, you know, with, you know, the additional Challenges at the federal level with the shutdown. We expect that that will take a bit of time, but we're hopeful that that will happen quickly.

2.2

We've also shared some of the -- we've shared that information with our local partners, so that they can start to understand what the impacts of the -- of these off-model adjustments will be, though I know that many of them are starting run it through their program to better understand how those adjustments will impact conformity.

So first step, so that we can pass go, is to get the off-model adjustments approved. That then allows us to have the model. And then, secondly will be to use those adjustments in the evaluation. So we'll continue to coordinate with all of our Metropolitan Planning Organizations on all of these issues.

And we're also at the State evaluating whether we can develop a frequently asked questions document that we can keep adding to. As Executive Director Taylor mentioned, this is -- the landscape is continuing to

change and continues to evolve. I want to provide information in such a way that we're answering questions when new questions come up, that we're being very clear about what the response to that is with the latest information that we have as it evolves. So we are developing -- working to develop something like that, that we hope will be useful for the MPOs, as they continue their work.

That concludes my remarks. Thank you very much.

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Thank you, Dr. Cliff.

Chair Grisby, final words.

(Laughter).

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Wisdom, yes, encouragement, hope.

get to know you, and congratulations on your -- on your role. It's been a pleasure to hear from our staff experts and to keep us knowledgeable on this topic, as well as hearing from our regions on the front line about what's going on. It's been so important.

I also want to say I appreciate and respect our colleagues and our conversation that we've had on the dais this morning -- this afternoon. It's been so valuable for me.

One of the things that comes to mind for me,

going back to messaging, is that we know our people care about economics and affordability. If you look at the elections earlier this week, the headline was affordability. Even the election last November was about affordability, and economics, and jobs. So what I heard today is that this is economic warfare on our state. This will increase the out-of-pocket cost for our commuters and our consumers, and affect our businesses and their productivity. That seems to me that we need to have a really organized communication strategy around the economic impacts, the job impacts, the costs out of the pocket of our people.

That's something I strongly believe in. It's something I don't think transportation does well broadly. And I've been doing this for years and pushing economic productivity analysis as a major communication strategy to make clear what is oftentimes abstract with a lot of acronyms. Conformity, I'm not sure most folks know what that is. But if you tell them it's going to cost more for you to use your car, they'll get that.

So I just want to close on that and I really appreciate our conversation today. I learned so much.

Thank you so much.

2.2

CARB CHAIR SANCHEZ: Wonderful. Thank you all again for the great discussion. Thank you to our staff

teams, our regional partners for joining us today, members of the public. As our Governor likes to say, if you want to go fast, go alone. If you want to go far, go together. And there's no greater illustration of that then an entirely full dais here in the Byron Sher Auditorium.

So thank you to the Transportation Commission for joining us, to our HCD Director, and really looking forward to the continued work and collaboration ahead.

And with that, I will close the meeting. Thank you all.

(Thereupon the California Air Resources

Board, California Transportation Commission, and
California Department of Housing and Community

Development meeting adjourned at 4:49 p.m.)

# 

# 

\_ \_

### CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing CARB, CTC, and HCD meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of November, 2025.

James & Titte

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR
Certified Shorthand Reporter
License No. 10063