JOINT MEETING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

AND

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

ZOOM PLATFORM

CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD

MARY D. NICHOLS CAMPUS

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA HEADQUARTERS

HAAGEN-SMIT AUDITORIUM

4001 IOWA AVENUE

RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 3, 2022 9:06 A.M.

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER LICENSE NUMBER 10063

# APPEARANCES

CARB BOARD MEMBERS:

Liane Randolph, Chair

John Balmes, MD

John Eisenhut

Senator Dean Florez

Davina Hurt

Gideon Kracov

Tania Pacheco-Werner, PhD

Barbara Riordan

Professor Daniel Sperling, PhD

Diane Takvorian

Supervisor Nora Vargas

## CTC COMMISSIONERS:

Carl Guardino, Vice Chair

Jon Rocco Davis

Clarisa Reyes Falcon

Adonia Lugo, PhD

Joseph K. Lyou, PhD

Michelle Martinez

Hilary Norton

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED

CALIFORNIA STATE TRANSPORTATION AGENCY:

Darwin Moosavi, Deputy Secretary

#### CARB STAFF:

Steven S. Cliff, PhD, Executive Officer

Edie Chang, Deputy Executive Officer, Planning, Freight and Toxics

Chanell Fletcher, Deputy Executive Officer, Environmental Justice

Annette Hebert, Deputy Executive Officer, Southern California Headquarters & Mobile Source Compliance

Edna Murphy, Deputy Executive Officer, Internal Operations

Rajinder Sahota, Deputy Executive Officer, Climate Change and Research

Craig Segall, Mobile Sources and Incentives

Ellen Peter, Chief Counsel

Analisa Bevan, Assistant Division Chief, Mobile Source Control Division

Mario Cruz, Branch Chief, Climate Investments Branch, Sustainable Transportation and Communities Division

Katie Estabrook, Board Clerk

Lindsay Garcia, Board Clerk

Katherine Garrison, Air Resources Engineer

Jennifer Gress, PhD, Division Chief, Sustainable Transportation and Communities Division

Kristine Harrington, Board Clerk

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED

#### CARB STAFF:

Josh Rosa, Staff Air Pollution Specialist, Climate Investments Benefits Section, Sustainable Transportation and Communities Division

### CTC STAFF:

Mitch Weiss, Executive Director

Tanisha Taylor, Chief Deputy Director

Brigitte Driller, Assistant Deputy Director

Laura Pennebaker, Deputy Director of Transportation Planning

Brandy Flemming, Analyst

Doug Remedios, Clerk of the Commission

Hannah Walter, Associate Deputy Director

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT STAFF:

Gustavo Velasquez, Director

Megan Kirkeby, Deputy Director

Michael Coulom, Senior Innovation Manager

Karen Huynh, Specialist

Claudia Mildner, Manager

Tim Parham, Manager

Natalie Parra-Henry, Specialist

Sarah Poss, Chief, Policy and Program Support Unit

Wreston Starbird

## APPEARANCES CONTINUED

#### ALSO PRESENT:

Zak Accuardi, Natural Resources Defense Council

Jacki Bacharach, South Bay Cities Council of Governments

Will Barrett, American Lung Association

Bill Boyce, Bill Boyce Consulting, LLC

Ari Eisenstadt, California Environmental Justice Alliance

Marcus Fuller, City of Rialto

Ian Griffiths, Seamless Bay Area

Joanna Gubman, Urban Environmentalists

Manny Leon, California Alliance for Jobs

Amparo Miramontes, Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice

Sandy Naranjo, ClimatePlan

Marvin Norman, Center for Community Action and Environmental Justice, Inland Empire Biking Alliance

Nailah Pope-Harden, ClimatePlan

Sofia Rafikova, Coalition for Clean Air

Amy Thomson, TransForm

Mary Valdemar, Steering Committee Education Collaborative, Native Council, Labor Council, San Bernardino College

Brian Yanity, Flexiwaggon America, City of Fullerton Transportation Circulation Commission

Beverly Yu, California State Building and Construction Trades Council

### INDEX PAGE Call to Order 1 Roll Call 1 5 Opening Remarks and Housekeeping 7 Opening Remarks by CARB Chair Randolph Opening Remarks by CTC Vice Chair Guardino 11 Opening Remarks by HCD Director Velasquez 16 Agenda Item 1: Progress Report on Interagency Coordination CARB Executive Officer Cliff 22 Agenda Item 2: Draft Annual Progress Report on Implementation of the Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure (CAPTI) 27 CARB Chair Randolph 28 CalSTA Deputy Secretary Moosavi Marcus Fuller 47 50 Manny Leon 52 Sandy Naranjo 54 Marvin Norman Amparo Miramontes 57 Jacki Bacharach 60 Ian Griffiths 67 Will Barrett 69 72 Sofia Rafikova 74 Beverly Yu 75 Amy Thomson Zak Accuardi 77 Mary Valdemar 79 81 Joanna Gubman 83 Nailah Pope-Harden 85 Ari Eisenstadt 86 Discussion and O&A Afternoon Session 131

### INDEX CONTINUED PAGE Agenda Item 3: Excess State Land for Affordable Housing Program CARB Chair Randolph 131 HCD Director Velasquez 131 HCD Senior Innovation Manager Coulom 132 Beverly Yu Discussion and Q&A 147 148 Agenda Item 4: Sustainable Freight CARB Chair Randolph 167 CARB Air Resources Engineer Garrison 169 CTC Deputy Director Walter 174 179 Amparo Miramontes Brian Yanity 182 Bill Boyce 184 Discussion and Q&A 185 202 Wrap-up and Concluding Remarks 209 Adjournment Reporter's Certificate 210

PROCEEDINGS

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Good morning. Welcome to the November 3rd joint meeting of the California Air Resources Board, the California Transportation Commission, and the Department of Housing and Community Development. Before getting started, I wanted to take a moment and congratulate CTC's newest Commissioner, Dr. Adonio Lugo. Welcome, Commissioner Lugo. I look forward to working with you.

And I would also like to take a moment to recognize CARB's recently appointed Executive Officer, Dr. Steven Cliff, and welcome him to his first joint meeting as our new Executive Officer. Many of you may recall, Dr. Cliff as CARB's Deputy Executive Officer over -- over Mobile Sources and Incentives before heading to D.C. to lead the National Highway Transportation Safety Administration under President Biden, and we're glad to have him back.

We will begin our housekeeping with roll call for CARB Board members, then I will turn it over to CTC Vice Chair Carl Guardino, followed by Director Gustavo Velasquez of HCD.

So Clerk, let's start with the roll call for CARB.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you. Dr. Balmes?

2

```
CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Here.
1
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Mr. De La Torre
2
             Mr. Eisenhut?
 3
             CARB BOARD MEMBER EISENHUT: Eisenhut, here.
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Senator Florez?
 5
             CARB BOARD MEMBER FLOREZ: Florez, here.
 6
7
             AGP VIDEO: Katie, can we take up a quick
8
    technical pause. I apologize.
             All right ahead.
9
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Okay. We're going to
10
    take a quick technical pause. Just one moment, please.
11
             AGP VIDEO: You're good now.
12
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Assembly Member Garcia?
1.3
             Ms. Hurt?
14
             CARB BOARD MEMBER HURT: Hurt, present.
15
16
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Mr. Kracov?
             CARB BOARD MEMBER KRACOV: Here.
17
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Senator Leyva?
18
             Dr. Pacheco-Werner?
19
             CARB BOARD MEMBER PACHECO-WERNER:
20
                                                 Here.
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Mrs. Riordan?
21
             CARB BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: Here.
22
23
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Supervisor Serna?
             Professor Sperling?
24
25
             CARB BOARD MEMBER SPERLING: Here.
```

3

```
CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Ms. Takvorian?
1
             CARB BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: I'm here.
2
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Supervisor Vargas?
 3
             Vice Chair Berg?
 4
             Chair Randolph?
5
             CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Here.
 6
7
             CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Ma'am Chair, we have a
8
    quorum.
9
             CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: And I'll turn it over to
   Vice Chair Guardino.
10
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Vice Chair, I can take the
11
   role.
12
             CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Would you like to start
13
   with that, Douglas.
14
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: I certainly can.
15
                                                     Thank you.
16
             CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO:
                                        Thank you, sir.
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Bradshaw?
17
             Commissioner Davis?
18
             CTC COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Here.
19
20
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Falcon?
             CTC COMMISSIONER REYES FALCON: Present.
21
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Grisby?
2.2
             Vice Chair Guardino?
23
             CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Present.
24
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Lugo?
25
```

4

```
CTC COMMISSIONER LUGO: Present.
1
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Lyou?
2
             CTC COMMISSIONER LYOU:
                                      Here.
 3
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Martinez?
             CTC COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Present.
 5
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Norton?
 6
             CTC COMMISSIONER NORTON:
7
                                        Present.
8
             CTC CLERK REMEDIOS: Commissioner Tavaglione?
             Chair Eager?
9
             Senator Newman.
10
             Assemblymember Friedman?
11
             Vice Chair, we have a quorum.
12
             CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Thank you, Douglas.
1.3
             CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: And Director Velasquez.
14
             HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: Good morning, everyone.
15
16
    I'll wait until opening remarks are made, Chair Randolph,
    and then I'll do my own, but I'll turn it back to you.
17
             CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Great.
                                            Thank you so much.
18
             Okay. So we have done the roll call.
19
20
             And our first item is the Progress Report on
    Interagency Coordination.
21
             CARB BOARD CLERK ESTABROOK: Chair?
2.2
23
             CARB BOARD CHAIR RANDOLPH: Yes.
             CARB BOARD CLERK ESTABROOK: Can we go to the --
24
25
    like the logistics/housekeeping first.
```

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Oh.

(Laughter).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: That's important. Let me find it. Hold on one second.

Okay. Here we go. I'm going to turn it over to our Board Clerk, Katie, to describe the process for conducting the meeting as well as how public participation is going to work today.

CARB BOARD CLERK ESTABROOK: Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Good morning, everyone. My name is Lindsay Garcia. I'm one of the Board clerks here at CARB. I will be providing additional information on how public participation will be organized for today's meeting. We will first be calling on any in-person commenters who have turned in a request to speak card and then I will be calling on commenters who are joining us If you are joining us remotely and wish remotely in Zoom. to make a verbal comment on any of today's agenda items, you will need to be using Zoom webinar or calling in by telephone. If you are current watching the webcast on CAL-SPAN, but you wish to comment remotely, please register for the Zoom webinar or call in. Information for both can be found on the public agenda for today's meeting.

To make a verbal comment, we will be using the

raise hand feature in Zoom. If you wish to speak on an item, please virtually raise your hand as soon as the item has begun to let us know you wish to speak. To do this, if you are using a computer or tablet, there is a raise hand button. And if you are calling in on the telephone, dial star nine to raise your hand. Even if you previously indicated which item you wish to speak on when you registered, you must still raise your hand at the beginning of the item so that you can be added to the queue. When the comment period starts, the order of commenters will be determined by who raises their hand first. We will call each commenter by name and activate each commenter's audio, when it is their turn to speak. For those calling in by phone, we will identify you by the last three digits of your phone number.

2.2

We will not show a list of remote comments, however we will be announcing the next three or so commenters in the queue so you are ready to testify and know who is coming up next. Please note, you will not appear by video during your testimony. And I would like to also remind everyone to please state your name for the record before you speak. This is especially important for those calling in by phone. We will have a time limit for each commenter and we'll begin the comment period with a three minute time limit. During public testimony, you

will see a timer on the screen. And for those calling in by phone, we will run the timer and let you know when you have 30 seconds left and when your time is up.

1.3

2.2

If you wish to submit written comments today, please visit CARB's send-us-your-comments page or look at the public agenda on our webpage for links to send these documents electronically. Written comments will be accepted until the conclusion of the meeting.

And if you experience any technical difficulties, please call (805)772-2715 so that an IT person can assist. This number is also noted on the public agenda.

Thank you. I will turn the microphone back to Chair Randolph now.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Okay. Thank you.

Now we're ready to go. All right. Before we begin the agenda items, I think we wanted to take a moment to thank and commend Governor Newsom for signing into law our country's most aggressive climate measures in history two months ago. As part of the Governor's California climate commitment, which invests a record \$54 billion in climate action, the Governor and legislative leaders enacted legislation to protect communities from oil drilling, establish pathways to 100 percent clean electric grid, capture and remove carbon pollution, and advance nature based solutions.

These new investments and policies reflect the need for increasingly comprehensive approaches to climate change to achieve our State's goal for reducing greenhouse gas emissions while centering equity and the needs of frontline communities.

2.2

As State agencies, we must work together to engage all sectors, including transportation, land use, and housing. In May, our Board released the draft 2022 Scoping Plan. And after collecting public feedback these last six months, we are now preparing to finalize and approve the 2022 Scoping Plan by the end of the year.

That plan is distinctive in its breadth and intersectionality across transportation, housing, land use, and other sectors. This all-of-government approach is necessary because the 2022 Scoping Plan is anchored around our State's crucial goal of reaching carbon neutrality by 2045.

Of note for this meeting, the 2022 Scoping Plan recognizes that technology alone is insufficient to meet our targets for reducing transportation emissions. We must also reduce vehicle miles traveled. Even under full implementation of CARB's zero-emission vehicle regulations, a significant portion of vehicles on our streets and highways will still rely on fossil fuels.

Additionally, sprawling land use patterns still

threaten to increase energy consumption and spoil natural and working lands that help us sequester carbon. So reducing vehicle miles traveled is crucial to California's goal of achieving carbon neutrality and critical to meet federal and State air quality standards, and addressing health impacts especially in California's environmental justice communities.

1.3

2.2

Reducing vehicle miles traveled will require us to make different types of investment in our transportation infrastructure. Changing the way we invest will be challenging, requiring partnerships among local, regional, and State agencies, and daring to reimagine projects in the pipeline that may not align with today's goals.

We must also work with local and regional partners to enable different types of land use, accelerating infill housing production so that more Californians can find affordable living options closer to their daily destinations.

These challenges make CAPTI one of the most valuable tools in our toolbox. California's Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure, adopted by the California State Transportation Agency last year, and known as CAPTI, sets forth a bold vision with specific strategies and metrics for aligning transportation

spending with California's climate goals. I'm excited to center today's joint meeting on CAPTI and what we can do to support its implementation.

1.3

2.2

So, first, we'll hear from the California State
Transportation Agency on a draft annual progress report on
CAPTI implementation. That discussion will be followed by
two deep dives into selected CAPTI issues. These include
HCD's innovative program to convert excess State land to
infill housing opportunities. We will examine how HCD's
program can support CAPTI strategies for infill housing,
the second item will be focused on efforts to transition
California's freight system to zero-emission, including a
joint presentation by CARB and CTC on the SB 671 clean
corridor efficiency assessment, and an update from
Caltrans on the 2023 California Freight Mobility Plan.

CAPTI states Caltrans will prioritize inclusion of zero-emission infrastructure in the 2023 plan. And so today will be an opportunity to explore how the plan can leverage the SB 671 assessment to do so.

All three of our agencies have a role to play in CAPTI's success and in the success of our State's broader climate goals, and I'm excited to dive into today's topics.

Okay. So now, it's time for opening remarks.

And first, I'll turn it over to Vice Guardino and then

we'll hear from HCD Director Velasquez.

Chair Guardino.

1.3

2.2

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Chair Randolph, thank you. And I want to commend you, your colleagues, and your professional staff on the visionary and values-based work on the Scoping Plan. We're all excited to see that passage before the end of the year. And thank you for inviting us into this amazing facility. When we walked up, it was just breath taking. I had the pleasure of texting Mary Nichols to let her know I was walking in the building named after her. And she was delighted that we were all coming together once again breaking down the silos to best serve our state.

I want to thank our fellow commissioners on the California Transportation Commission for being her, our CARB Board member colleagues, and, of course, the Housing and Community Develop -- Development Department leadership. I also want to thank the -- again CARB for hosting us today and to take a moment to welcome our newest commissioner who Chair Randolph of thanked when she had to step out for a moment. But Dr. Adonio Lugo, we are thrilled to have you at our -- at your first joint meeting. And Commissioner Lugo began her tenure in May of this year and is already adding incredible value for our state.

I'd also like to congratulate Dr. Steven Cliff on his new role as CARB's Executive Officer. We were so glad that you were willing to leave your -- your national service in the Biden administration to come back to the Golden State.

1.3

2.2

We're looking forward to today's presentations. And I want to highlight some connections between the agenda and the exciting work we're doing at the Commission and in partnership with CARB and HCD. First, I want to recognize again Darwin for the incredible work that you're doing at the California State Transportation Agency and the update that you're going to provide on the implementation of CAPTI.

The Commission is proud to report that all of our short-term actions we committed to in CAPTI have been completed and we have also made progress towards several of our longer term action items as well. So Darwin, thank you again for keeping us all on track on such visionary and important work.

Next, I want to recognize the significant work the Transportation Commission and our partner agencies have made on Senate Bill 671, the Clean Freight Corridor Efficiency Assessment Effort in just one year, including identifying draft priority clean freight corridors. I look forward to hearing more this afternoon from Hannah

Walter on our Commission staff, and Katherine Garrison on the CARB staff.

I'd also like to mention several efforts underway that won't be featured on the agenda, but are of such importance to all three of our agencies. First, earlier this month, the Commission released its staff recommendations for the sixth cycle. And I like that we call it a cycle when we're talking about Active Transportation Program. The Active Transportation Program greatly benefited from a one-time \$1 billion augmentation from the State general fund. Thank you, Governor Newsom. However, this program continues to experience tremendous demand with requests for funding increasing to 3.1 billion this program cycle. That's 450 applications. It exceeded the available funding by almost one and a half billion, despite the augmentation.

(Sneeze).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Bless you.

The Commission would like to work with the

Legislature this coming year to achieve an ongoing funding solution for the Active Transportation Program which is critical to meeting our State climate and equity goals.

The statewide and small urban and rural components of the Active Transportation Program will be adopted by the Commission at our December meeting right back here in

Riverside.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The Commission continues its commitment to advancing equity. Just two short weeks ago, the Commission, in partnership with Caltrans and CalSTA, approved membership recommendations for the newly created Transportation Equity Advisory Committee. The Committee, which was identified in the Commission's Racial Equity Statement, will advise the Commission, Caltrans, and the California State Transportation Agency on how to achieve meaningful outcomes in transportation equity, environmental justice, and equitable economic opportunities, especially related to transportation planning and programming. The Transportation Equity Advisory Committee membership was announced at our October Commission meeting earlier this month in Santa Barbara, and we look forward to the first meeting of the Committee in early 2023.

Next, the Commission is committed to promoting adaptive and resilient transportation infrastructure and communities through the newly created Local Transportation Infrastructure Climate Adaptation Project Program enacted by the Legislature via Senate Bill 198. This bill requires the Commission to develop guidelines for the program and empowers the Commission for the programming and allocation of 148 million in State funding, in

addition to the quarter of a billion in federal PROTECT funding. In total, the Local Transportation

Infrastructure Climate Adaptation Project Program - just rolls right off the tongue - will provide almost 400 million over five years in competitive funds to local agencies for the development and implementation of projects that are intended to adapt to the -- to our changing climate.

2.2

The Commission held its first workshops for the program development last month and will release the draft program guidelines during the third workshop, which is scheduled for next week November 9th. Lastly, I'll mention that the Commission, in partnership with Caltrans, has kicked off an update to the Regional Transportation Plan guidelines and the California Transportation Plan guidelines. I want to thank HCD and CARB staff for their participation at last week's virtual kick-off meeting and for their continued partnership moving forward. The RTP and CTP guidelines were last updated in 2017 and are expected to be adopted in 2023 after ample stakeholder engagement and feedback.

As I wrap up, I want to thank those of you who have joined us today, both in person and online. I also want to thank the staff from our three agencies who coordinated this meeting and who worked so effectively

together.

1.3

2.2

Thank you very much.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you. Next, we'll hear from HCD Director Gustavo Velasquez.

HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: Thank you, Chair Randolph and Vice Chair Guardino. I am very sorry that I don't have -- can't have benefit of being with you in person. There is a -- a rural housing summit taking place today and tomorrow in Monterey, and that's preventing me from being with you all, but -- but we have many of my HCD colleagues in attendance, including the presenters later on on this very important program to utilize State land for affordable housing.

And, again, this is great. I echo what Vice
Chair Guardino said about thanking the staff for putting
together this great meeting. Both CTC and ARB continue to
be very valued partners, collaborative partners to our
Department. Thank you for the leadership of both
entities. I know we share the understanding that our
partnership becomes more important by the day as we
continue our efforts to address the intertwined housing,
climate, and transportation issues in our state.

That is why I value these joint meetings. This forum services areas of alignment across our efforts, allow us to synchronize them, and ultimately work smarter

Randolph that we make this meeting more actionable. We'll continue to try to do that. And you know, there's a lot more that we can by doing, right. Vice Chair Guardino mentioned, we're breaking the silos. Yes, we are, but we have to recognize silos are still there and it is contingent upon all of us.

2.2

And I'm very enthusiastic of the leadership of CTC and CARB that is really working hard to break -- continue to break those silos. And more importantly, we have Governor Newsom who is demanding that those silos continue to be broken as these agendas together are extremely important.

Recently, I had the opportunity to be on a climate convening. We -- we sometimes are not as including in those on convenings, but it gave me a great opportunity to talk about how climate and housing are sometimes viewed as competing interests despite their mirror context, right? They're both defined by place. They're -- they both depend on intergovernmental collaboration across policy domains, and as stakeholders, they're both exacerbated by historical inequities, disparities by race and by income. And the bottom line is they both can affect negatively or positively depending on what the State does to help human and economic

opportunity, housing and climate.

2.2

And we're extremely pleased to see the Governor lead the nation in this effort to address climate change. The historic package that we -- we've seen on our end, policymaking that promotes infill housing, reduces the dependence on vehicles, promote the use of public transportation, uses climate-friendly materials, better safety standards, all of that is being embedded into our climate strategy and vice versa. You know, a lot of what the climate agenda is doing is supporting the tremendous shortage in housing that we have in our state.

Let me be clear about how big that shortage is.

2.5 million new homes are needed in the state of

California between now and year 2030. 2.5 million to

stabilize the market. The exorbitant cost of housing that
is crushing low and moderate income people and it's really

affecting households across the income spectrum. And if

we don't meet this goal of, you know, we are -- if we

don't close this shortage, it's truly, truly California's

economic prosperity is at risk.

So we -- we work very closely. We know that in addition to producing more housing, we need to develop communities centered around public transit, building codes, residential electrification, vehicle charging, across all multi-family and single family homes are very

important. Those are all critical levers in our effort to reduce the harmful greenhouse emission.

1.3

2.2

And so I'm just very -- very pleased to see this ambitious agenda by the Governor across domains, clean climate-friendly transportation, climate in general. And on our end, we have historic investments. Our HCD infill infrastructure grant program that prioritizes infill parcels in amenity rich and transit-oriented communities. This is not just for dense areas in central city. This is also for small towns and medium-sized cities to prevent further sprawl that is again affecting so much of our climate.

Over \$400 million in our adaptive reuse projects for conversion to affordable housing - we'll talk more about that in the HCD presentation later - reduces the cost barriers to repurpose commercial and other unused and underused buildings as housing. These adaptive reuse strategies can help mitigate the effect of climate change by reducing the environmental impact of demolition, potentially reducing costs for development, reducing parking, and selecting areas that are most climate friendly in some of these commercial corridors that need Desperately more housing.

And our planning grants, you know, I've mentioned that before, millions of dollars into regional planning

grants with the focus of creating the -- the demand for housing that we need, but do so in places that make sense from a transportation -- clean transportation and climate perspective.

2.2

So investments are historical, unprecedented in very important programs. The policy that the Legislature also has advanced is very important. Fifty-three housing-related bills this past legislative cycle, bills like 2011, 2097, 2334, you know, very consequential pieces of legislation to advance our goals that are all common here in this joint meeting.

And so it was Chairwoman Randolph, you mentioned CAPTI. We're very involved in that process. Our development has been closely involved in implementation of CAPTI strategy. We want to thank, you know, CalSTA on this important, important plan.

And I would just close by saying, you know, whether better connecting transportation options to housing and jobs or building housing that is climate smart, we're dedicated to housing that provides the long-term benefits to the climate and to Californians, especially those -- those Californians that are suffering the most given the exorbitant, as I said, cost of housing.

So very pleased again to be at this meeting, looking forward to the presentations. And if  ${\tt I}$  -- with

the spirit of more actionable steps coming out of this meeting, I would say all of this funding that right now we have from HCD, these guidelines the way that we are normalizing this funding, all of that is on our website and we encourage stakeholders across the transportation and climate domains to provide -- continue to provide input, feedback so that the guidelines of how we implement these investments makes sense from our common shared -- our shared interest from this joint committee.

2.2

So thank you for the opportunity to provide these remarks and look forward -- look forward to the rest of the meeting.

Back to you Chair -- Chair -- Chairman Randolph.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you so much.

Okay. Before diving into the Climate Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure, we want to take a quick look back at our previous joint meeting to revisit the issues we raised there and then how staff has been addressing those issues. This is going to be a new recurring item on our joint meeting agendas, where staff will revisit those key takeaways from previous joint meetings and provide a status update on how staff has been following through with the priorities, requests, or questions that were raised at previous meetings.

So our goal for this new item is to demonstrate continuity between the joint meetings and highlight progress on priorities set by the joint body. And it also provides an opportunity to staff to receive -- to receive feedback from all of us as they continue working on their collaborative efforts between meetings.

So I invite CARB's Executive Officer Dr. Steven Cliff to give the update.

(Thereupon a slide presentation).

2.2

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: Thank you, Chair Randolph. I also want to thank Vice Chair Guardino and Director Velasquez for those opening remarks and for welcoming me back here to CARB. I can't think of a -- a better place to be for my first joint meeting than this beautiful location. And I really am thrilled to be back in California.

As the Chair mentioned, this is a new agenda item, where we will be giving updates on the work that staff at our -- at our three agencies have been doing in direct response to previous joint meeting discussions. In previous joint meetings, we have typically give an update called joint agency accomplishments and updates, which reported on our work that the three agencies have collaboratively done together. With this item, we will be focusing more specifically on agency actions that were

taken in direct response to previous joint meeting discussions. Our goal with this new item is to show the connection between joint meeting discussions and the actions taken by staff in response to those discussions. This greater transparency is intended to help increase visibility of actions that occur through these meetings and guide the coordination of our three agencies' policies going forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

At the previous joint meeting on April 7, 2022, we heard presentations on the AB 285 report and the draft SB 150 report's initial findings. Both reports find California is not on track to meet its GHG and VMT reduction goals due to a transportation system and land use patterns that make us dependent on driving and usually These findings are especially important driving alone. given the role of VMT reduction in California's climate and air quality goals as Chair Randolph mentioned in her opening remarks. We also heard HCD's initial plans for administering the Regional Early Action Planning Grant Program, or REAP 2.0, which allocates \$600 million to MPOs, rural counties, and tribal governments to support transformative, local, and regional planning and implementation.

Keeping this focus on local and regional implementation, the joint body also heard from a panel of

local and regional partners that additional funding tools are needed for full implementation of Sustainable Communities Strategies

1.3

2.2

--000--

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: In response to the 2 -- AB 285 report and the draft SB 150 report's initial fundings, Board members and commissioners asked for staff to collaborate to establish potential actions that agencies could take to address these findings. Board members and commissioners also asked staff to work together to identify opportunities and challenges associated with each of those potential actions.

Looking at the draft SB 150 report's initial findings, commissioners and Board members also identified a need for additional tools to implement regional land use and transportation solutions and a need for programs to focus on more specific measures of people's well-being and equity.

--000--

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: In response to that direction, staff of CARB, CTC, and HCD have worked through the interagency housing and transportation coordination work group to review the AB 285 report's findings and provide feedback to staff at the Strategic Growth Council. This feedback contributed to the

Councill's staff developing 72 potential actions that could be considered in response to the AB 285 report's findings. Council staff developed a report, in collaboration with our three agencies, grouping those potential actions into eight topic areas and identifying opportunities and challenges associated with each one. Council's staff released this follow-up report in August and it now -- it can now be found on the Council's website.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

--000--

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: Our three agencies also worked together to develop program guidelines for the SB 1 competitive transportation funding programs, addressing State policy goals that were highlighted in the AB 285 report and the draft SB 150 report's initial findings. CARB staff also considered commissioner and Board member perspectives and perspectives of local and regional partners in the development of CARB's SB 150 These perspectives address the need for greater report. focus on implementation and authorizing the tools to implement SCS strategies, expanding reporting on accessibility, and mobility metrics to understand equity and outcomes, and more specific discussion of the challenges and potential actions California can take to implement SCS strategies.

--000--

2.2

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: CARB staff considered these perspectives alongside additional input that we collected in meetings with State agency staff, MPOs, and advocacy groups prior to the draft SB 150 report release on June 7. Since that time, we concluded a public comment period on the draft report through July 15. Staff will publish the final report later this year.

HCD and CARB also considered that we've heard from local and regional partners in collab -- in collaboratively developing program guidelines for REAP 2.0, along with our other State partners they and research -- sorry -- the Strategic Growth Council and the Governor's Office of Planning and Research. HCD released NOFAs in August for MPOs, rural counties and tribes, and REAP 2.0 applications are currently being accepted through December 2022.

As next steps, staff at our three agencies will continue approaches to implementing the AB 285 report's potential actions through collaborations on CAPTI implementation, updating the Regional Transportation Plan guidelines, updating the California Transportation Plan guidelines, and CARB's ongoing technical consultation with CTC to review SB 1 program applications. Staff will also be considering the AB 285 report's potential actions and

the feedback we receive from regional and local partners, as CARB and HCD collaboratively administer the REAP 2.0 program.

2.2

And with that, I'll conclude the Progress Report on Interagency Coordination and I'll hand it back to Chair Randolph.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you so much. Then we are going to go on to our next agenda item, which is a progress report CAPTI implementation. We will have an opportunity for public comment and have an opportunity for discussion amongst the commissioners, Board members, and Director Velasquez.

Okay. So for agenda item 2, we will hear a presentation from California State Transportation Agency's Deputy Secretary Darwin Moosavi on the draft annual progress report on CAPTI implementation. CAPTI is a landmark policy for aligning transportation funding programs with State goals for climate, health, and equity.

CARB is proud to have partnered with CalSTA last year to help develop the action plan, along with our partners at CTC, HCD, and other agencies. Since CAPTI was adopted in July of 2021, CARB has worked in close coordination with CalSTA, Caltrans, CTC, and HCD to help implement key strategies within CAPTI and to track results.

I want to thank CalSTA for its leadership in this effort. Comprised of eight strategies, CAPTI is comprehensive, affecting land use, housing, and transportation planning and systems management. It's no easy task managing partnerships and forging a coherent collective vision across these many sectors, and CalSTA has accomplished that.

2.2

CAPTI is a first-of-its-kind achievement tying seven transportation funding programs to several dozen distinct measurable actions to be managed and stewarded by an interagency working group. Today's discussion is an opportunity for us to hear the progress of CAPTI so far. Now, that the action plan has been in effect for a little over a year, we can begin to evaluate the early results of each of CAPTI's strategies, explore areas for improvement, and discuss next steps.

CAPTI provides a framework for partnerships and collaboration across agencies leveraging our respective areas of expertise. So I thank awe CalSTI for taking the time -- CalSTA for taking the time to have this discussion and invite Deputy Secretary Moosavi to give the presentation.

(Thereupon a slide presentation).

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Great. Well, thank you so much Chair Randolph for that introduction.

Thank you Vice Chair Guardino, Executive Director Weiss, Executive Officer Cliff, and the rest of the Board members and council members for -- for having me here today. mention, I'm Darwin Moosavi, Deputy of Environmental Policy and Housing at the State Transportation Agency. 5 I'm excited to be able to give you all an update on the 6 work that we've been doing along with the staff from all of your -- your agencies on CAPTI implementation. So I'll start with a bit of a very quick overview of CAPTI itself and then dive into some of the implementation progress we've made thus far and look forward to the questions and discussions afterward. 12 Next slide, please. --000--CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: I think I got 16 ahead of myself covering the agenda. 17 Next slide, please. --000--CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: And one more. 19

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

1.3

14

15

18

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Thank you.

So just as a -- as a reminder for -- for folks and for folks who may be newer to this conversation, the California Transportation Infrastructure -- Action Plan for Transportation Infrastructure was borne out of

Executive Order N-19-19, which directed CalSTA to leverage State transportation spending to help meet our climate change goals. That was an Executive Order signed back in September of 2019. And that kind of started a two-year process that we undertook to put this plan together working across agencies and stakeholders.

California State transportation agencies play a role in scoping, recommending, or selecting projects in over \$5 billion of transportation investments annually. And so this plan and that Executive Order really targeted, you know, how do we leverage those investments to help meet our climate change goals.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So that \$5 billion I -- I referenced refers to this set of -- of programs. And as mentioned, I think the uniqueness about this set is there is some sort of leverage point whether it's directly with the CTC, through Caltrans project nomination process, through a CalSTA project selection process, or a combination of -- of those levers where the State plays a role in project selection in these -- in these programs. So it provides us with key opportunity to make sure that within those statutory goals of those programs that we're prioritizing projects that helps

reduce greenhouse gases and meet our climate change goals. Obviously, as you can see, there's a wide range of investment types amongst these -- these programs, some are more naturally aligned to reduce greenhouse gases and some have required, you know, more work amongst our agencies to think about opportunities to do so in this program.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So CAPTI itself provides a holistic framework for aligning state transportation investments with climate, health, and equity goals, but it does include a specific set of kind of 10 guiding Principles that make up that framework and then eight individual strategies that include 34 total actions that create a vision and a plan for prioritizing State transportation investments.

So it's both a -- a framework we're using in -- in frankly all of our work to think about what types of guiding principles should guide the work that we do and what we should be thinking about in transportation and then comes with very specific actions that we've been working to -- that all of our agencies have been working to implement over the last year, since its adoption.

Next slide.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: And I wanted to also highlight that complementary to this effort, you know, CAPTI has a bit of a -- a -- as mentioned, a bit of narrow scope, but there's a lot of work happening on the climate change infrastructure front that's really important. So complementary to this effort, obviously is high-speed rail and our efforts on high-speed rail, which play a critical role in bringing CAPTI's vision to fruition. One of CAPTI's guiding principles is about building out a statewide transit and rail network. Well, the backbone of that network is obviously the high-speed rail efforts going on, with the goal of an operating segment between Merced and Bakersfield in 2030.

2.2

Also, kind of outside of CAPTI's scope, but very much helpful to and aligned with CAPTI goals are all of the new funding opportunities that -- that we are lucky to have at our -- at our disposal to help meet these goals in front of us. \$5.5 billion in federal formula funding for transportation infrastructure projects and then last year's State budget that includes \$13.4 billion in State budget -- in the State budget for transportation improvements, which is obviously historic to have that kind of funding, in addition to our existing ongoing funding available for these uses.

And I'll highlight some specifics there that

actually do directly tie to CAPTI and things that we asked for later in the presentation.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So I'll shift gears to talk about CAPTI implementation now. Thank you for bearing with me through that overview.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So timeline for -- for CAPTI. As mentioned, we adopted the plan last July. The CTC passed a resolution of support in August. And since then, we've all been working on implementation. We put out, as promised in our -- in CAPTI itself, an annual report detailing the progress we've made on implementation. The first one came out October 3rd of this year. We're actually currently in a public comment period on that -- on that progress report that ends tomorrow. It's been in public comment for about a month here. And we will there -- thereafter take those comments and make any necessary adjustments or up dates to the plan and -- and post a final progress report on our website before the end of the year.

Next slide.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So in terms of

our implementation approach that -- that we've been taking. As mentioned earlier, there are multiple kind of aspects to -- to CAPTI itself. We're using the framework to drive decision-making at CalSTA kind of at -- at large, particularly on these programs, but looking for opportunities beyond where feasible.

2.2

And then through kind of interagency efforts, folks are working to implement the specific identified actions. And we've been doing a lot of tracking on that and that's a lot of what I'll be talking about here today and highlighting kind of key successes here.

And then, you know, I'll also touch on -importantly in the annual report, we outline a new metric
study that we're undertaking, because as mentioned
earlier, I think a key aspect of this will be to measure
the outcomes that come out of our implementation to -- to
really be able to reflect on and -- and see if -- if the
plan is working, are we actually moving towards our goals,
what do our investments look like, are they shifting, and
in what direction on some of those key metrics that I'll
touch on later.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So in terms of what is and isn't included in the annual report, I just wanted to really quickly touch on that. So the report

does include a status item for each action and talks about where we are in every single action included in the original plan. It highlights kind of key successes that we've had in implementation so far. And then it does include that preliminary discussion of data and metrics that -- that I mentioned.

What the plan does not -- or what the -- sorry, the progress report does not do is provide any updates to the CAPTI framework itself or at or remove any of the actions. And part of the reason for that is, as you'll see as I go through this, we're very much, I think, midstream in a lot of work. Although, we've done a really great job across agencies implementing a lot of the actions, a lot of those investments tied to those actions have not yet been made. So we haven't even seen a full cycle of -- of program investments to really be able reflect on is this working, what else do we need to be doing, how do we shift? So I think that will be kind of a key reflection point once we do have those investments to, at that point, think about how do we update the plan.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: And just real quick before we dive into the details, I wanted highlight some of the -- the areas of early successes, as well as touch on here in a minute program guideline alignment.

There's been a lot of work, a lot of it at the CTC. So thank you so much to commissioners and staff for all the work to update program guidelines, as well as, there's been some work at CalSTA and Caltrans as well.

Project programming alignment, there's been a lot of work done to really think about our -- our planning and programming kind of processes and how to bring that into further alignment. A lot of effort on stakeholder engagement and kind of upping our game, if you will, on -- on how we engage our stakeholders. It was embedded into the actions of the plan. And then allocation of State and federal funding, some -- some key areas there that we'll touch on.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So I will, if you can bear with me here, walk through some -- some of our -- our, I think, important progress we've made. And I'll highlight some of the -- the actions and the work we've done on them. Some of these are kind of grouped together, not specifically action by action. And there will be a bunch of actions I -- I don't talk about, since there are 34, but happy to discuss any one of those in -- in the discussion afterwards.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: And just wanted to start off by building on what I just mentioned about the CTC's work on SB 1 guidelines. I wanted to recognize the great work that's been done on the Solutions for Congested Corridors Program as where -- well as the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program to implement the actions as -- as written in -- in the plan. Those have now been incorporated by the CTC into their adopted guidelines for both programs and went through a public process to -- to get there.

2.2

The Solutions for Congested Corridors Program now includes updated criteria that will hopefully help prioritize some transit, bicycle, and pedestrian type infrastructure investments really through better accounting for vehicle miles traveled impacts, when we think about congestion. So -- and then in the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program guidelines, the Commission has worked to -- amongst other things, I think one of the key highlights is to clarify zero-emission infrastructure eligibility and help create a clearer path for how -- how folks can use those existing eligibilities in that program to really move zero-emission vehicle projects forward through the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program.

So I think those are some really important updates we've done -- that the CTC has done over the last

year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Next slide, please.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: All right. So the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program quidelines were also updated. This is a program that we have now also done one round of funding since that -- that update. And we're -- we're happy to report that, you know, the updates that were around really focusing on -- on clean fleets, and -- and equipment, and helping meet those zero-emission fleet goals for transit agencies. We leaned into that in the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program guidelines and as a result were able to fund 393 zero-emission buses, 51 zero-emission shuttles and microtransit vehicles, eight new light rail vehicles, and two zero-emission ferries, which are, for -- for context, much larger numbers for the dollar available than previous rounds.

So working through the guidelines to -- to kind of clarify that eligibility and create a clearer pathway for those types of projects to apply by showing how they can do other integrated travel type improvements that do increase ridership with the pathway we used to -- to help increase those numbers.

Next slide.

--000--

2.2

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Prohousing
Program guidelines. So in those three -- or I should say
in the Transit and Intercity Rail Capital Program,
Solutions for Congested Corridors, and the Local
Partnerships program, as well as some additions to the
Active Transportation Program, thanks to the work of HCD
working in partnership with CTC and with CalSTA, we were
able to bring the Prohousing Designation Program that HCD
has into those programs and create policies that help
incentivize the applicants to really work with their
jurisdiction to make sure that they are incentivizing
housing in the right places and creating policies that
will lead us to our housing goals.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Darwin -CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Yes.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: -- if it's okay - I don't want to throw you off - but thank you for using a photo of a bike lane that actually provides some measure of safety, rather than just those wonderful painted lanes that are good, but are more a perception of safety than a reality. So pictures matter and this is really helpful.

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Appreciate that Vice Chair. And I agree, yes, it's -- it's -- and I know the Active Transportation Program has -- has done a lot to

help fund buffered and protected bike lanes, which I think are going to be critical to building the safe and connected bicycle and pedestrian network that we need. So thank you for pointing that out.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Thank you. When I ride my bike, I now use bubble wrap around my whole body. (Laughter).

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Well, our goal will be one day for you to not have to do that, Vice Chair, so we'll continue working towards that.

Next slide, please.

Can I get the next slide?

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: See, I've thrown off the whole thing now.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Now that picture doesn't want to go away since you like it so much. (Laughter).

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: All right.

There we go. So the next item I wanted to highlight is the Interregional Transportation Improvement Program, also known as the ITIP. This is -- this was the first kind of set of funding that went out that was under kind of the implementation era of CAPTI. And we're, you know, happy

to report that I think Caltrans staff did a tremendous job working with stakeholders to try to identify and come up with ways to move multimodal projects forward while also funding some legacy projects that were also in the pipeline. There is till some of this funding in a -- in a rail reserve where Caltrans is working with the Commission to identify projects that -- that help meet ITIP goals and also align with those multimodal objectives, but -- but overall there is a kind of significant shift in the types of projects towards multimodal projects in the -- in the ITIP this year.

Next slide, please.

--000--

2.2

mentioned earlier, the Equity Advisory Committee that is being launched in partnership between CalSTA, Caltrans, and the CTC, I think this is going to serve a huge need and a huge role and is a -- a great opportunity to further engage our community members in our work. I think this will be kind of critical to bolstering our equity work that I think is ultimately really important to making sure we meet our climate goals in an equitable way.

Next slide.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: CalSTA did

earlier this year hold a Rural Transportation Solutions

Summit with the Rural Counties Task Force -- in

partnership with the Rural Counties Task Force. The

purpose of this summit was -- was both to create space to

think about how rural communities can find opportunities

to better align projects with CAPTI goals or move projects

forward that fit a rural setting that also align with

CAPTI, but also for the State and for us to learn about

the Challenges that rural agencies face in moving their

projects forward to see what we can do. And a lot of this

I'm hoping can be, you know, iterative into future

considerations in CAPTI and the future of what we can do

to support rural agencies in their work.

So I think this was a really good start to our conversation. The action itself in the plan was just to hold the summit, about I think it's -- it's just the beginning of a lot of work we hope to do with -- with the Rural Counties Task Force moving forward.

Next slide.

2.2

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: All right.

Thanks for bearing with me here. I think this is my -- my last kind of implementation highlight, if I'm not mistaken. But I also want to highlight some funding increases that were directly tied to calls that came out

of CAPTI and actions that came out of CAPTI. So the Active Transportation Program received an increase of \$100 million per year in federal funding as well as a -- an over \$1 billion one-time increase, which is both reflective of -- of a call that came out of the CTC and was also included in -- in CAPTI as an action item to increase that funding.

1.3

2.2

We did -- much like was mentioned earlier by Vice Chair Guardino, we're also interested in working on long-term -- additional long-term active transportation funding solutions, but are very grateful for this one-time funding in the budget that I think will help with the tremendous backlog of projects, and excited to see those cycle 6 awards kind of help with that.

The Highways to Boulevards Pilot Program, which was something called for in CAPTI, which is our State component to -- to leverage the federal Reconnecting Communities Program that was created in the IIJA received \$150 million in the budget, and Caltrans is currently working on implementation of that -- of that program to help reconnect communities and repair past harms by -- by taking down barriers between -- between communities that were -- were created due to transportation choices of the past.

So we're really -- I think this is a tremendous

area of work that the Department is diving into and I'm personally very excited to see where that goes. And I think it's a very promising part of the work that the Department is doing.

Next slide, please.

--000--

2.2

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: All right. So just to wrap up, I wanted to talk about kind of evaluation of CAPTI impacts and kind of where we go from here and what all of this means to -- to the work that we're all doing together.

--000--

calSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: This is a summary -- so a lot of those that I went through, I marked -- or many of those are completed actions. We have completed 35 percent of all the actions listed in CAPTI, or 12 actions in total, and have another 18 that we have identified as -- as underway. And these are -- these are actions that are well underway. So, you know, collectively, that means 88 percent of the plan is, I think, very much on track to completion.

We identified short-term and medium-term actions. In the short-term range, we gave ourselves three years to do those short-term actions. And I think we're well ahead of -- ahead of the curve to meeting that mark on most of

these and even the medium-term ones that were over three years. A lot of those -- as you can see, one is already completed and five are well underway. So we're really excited to report the progress we've made.

And one -- one caveat I do like to give here is that, you know, we definitely do deserve a big kind of a round of applause for all of our agencies for -- for the work that we've been able to do. But it's also important to note that this is about actions as written in the plan. And a lot of those are about guidelines, updates, process changes, you know, changing how we do our work, not necessarily about, you know, the outcomes and what we actually ended up -- end up funding.

So what's important to do now as we make these updates and make these changes is track and make sure that the results of our investments reflect the values that -- that -- of the framework and what we think these actions will get us towards.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So on that -on that note, we are -- CalSTA is -- is working with the
Mineta Transportation Institute and we've brought in CTC
and Caltrans staff into this process as well to -- to work
on a metric study to evaluate kind of the project

outcomes, to think about how those projects and future cycles, what are those outcomes and those projects and how do they differ or not from previous cycles kind of pre-CAPTI adoption. And so we'll be measuring emissions, economic prosperity, and social equity benefits to try to see how are our project portfolios shifting as a result of these actions that we have implemented.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So this is a little bit of a deeper dive of the specific metrics that we hope to measure under each of these buckets. And this -- as I mention, this is part of a study that's just kicking off now and we're hoping could be something that we have some preliminary results for in -- in future iterations, hopefully next year of the annual report.

Next slide.

--000--

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: So just to wrap up, as mentioned, we are accepting written comments on this draft and, of course, comments today from stakeholders who -- who wish to engage until tomorrow. We've been receiving comments from folks over the last month. So thanks to folks who have already submitted their -- their responses to us. And then we're hoping to

release a final report that is reflective of everything we hear by the end of this year.

So thank you for bearing with me through that presentation and I'm open to a discussion and any questions you may have.

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you.

That was very comprehensive and impressive. We're going to take some time and here from the public, and then we'll have shall discussion, and conversation with Board members and commissioners. So Board Clerk, would please call commenters.

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Thank you. We currently have six commenters. The first person is Marcus Fuller.

MARCUS FULLER: Good morning, everyone. Esteemed Chairs and commissioners, this is a -- a very unique opportunity to address you on the significance of the State's CAPTI movement, which, you know, as -- as we can all appreciate is a -- a major paradigm shift on -- on how we invest in our transportation infrastructure to better meet all of the various important environmental and social justice issues affecting local communities.

I had the opportunity to meet with Commissioner Martinez yesterday. And I -- I think her for pointing me to this meeting, which is being held in my own backyard in

Riverside within the greater Inland Empire. You know, my name is Marcus Fuller and I have the honor as serving as City Manager for the City of Rialto right here in the Inland Empire.

1.3

2.2

And I also have a unique perspective, because as I grew up in my professional career as a licensed civil --civil engineer in the public works field, I had the benefit of, you know, working on and delivering important capital improvement projects at the local level. And it's -- it was always an honor to do those projects to improve the quality of life for our communities. In my later career now serving at the highest executive levels of local government, I now need to do as CAPTI does and see how we can better leverage our limited local funds for all of the different investments throughout the City to address all the different needs of the City's residents and business owners.

Commissioner Martinez shared her very relevant observation with me yesterday, which aligns with CAPTI, which was we see all the investments that all of us have made here in -- throughout the state and Southern California with widening of freeways, but it hasn't obviously had the effect of what we hoped for with -- which is reduced traffic congestion. And, of course, there's many reasons for that.

However, as we all coordinate on this paradigm shift of working to move the traveling public to other modes of travel and fewer vehicles on our roadways, at a more local and granula level -- granular level, I'd like to share that there still remains some improvements to be made at the local level that support regional traffic needs, especially in communities like Rialto that have made land use decisions over the years to support the important logistic centers that have had their benefits by providing important jobs and improvements to the local economy, but as you can imagine, impacts of increasing traffic and truck traffic importantly, and the environmental impacts that that causes.

2.2

Bear with me for a second. In Rialto, we see that impact daily. And it's my hope that as we move with the paradigm shift represented by CAPTI, we do not overlook some remaining investments in the transportation infrastructure at a local level that will address the many important principles of CAPTI by eliminating traffic congestion, reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and improving air quality and environmental effects.

For the benefit of the CTC Commissioners here today, and I'll wrap up -- I believe that was my time -- I'll wrap up right now. We will be submitting an important project through the Trade Corridor Enhancement

Program for a project at the juncture of Interstate 10 and Riverside Avenue where over 10,000 trucks per day --

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Thank you. That concludes your time.

MARCUS FULLER: -- access Interstate 10. So I would hope you would appreciate some of the thoughts I had shared with you today. And I really appreciate your time.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Thank you.

Next up we have Manny Leon.

MANNY LEON: Thank you, members of the Board.
Manny Leon, California Alliance for Jobs.

And before I start my formal comments, may I just say that I'm a little unsettled and don't know if -- that I'm seeing Starbucks holiday cups already. I'm just saying that --

(Laughter).

MANNY LEON: -- I'm still drinking pumpkin spice, so I'm not ready to transition to peppermint yet. I'm just saying, so --

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Hey. Hey. This was the size of my ice coffee this morning. They're going to start putting diving boards on the side of these things.

MANNY LEON: I'm just saying, right,

25 | Commissioner, it's a little too --

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: By the way, that doesn't come out of your time.

1.3

2.2

MANNY LEON: Thank you. And so the Alliance has submitted a formal comment letter with respect to the CAPTI progress report. We submitted that earlier year this week. I have three points to make.

First and foremost, the construction industry acknowledges and understands the need for the green transition. With that in mind, we strongly urge the ARB and CTC to actively engage and work with stakeholders, including the construction industry, to develop policies and program guidelines that are both practical and will allow the State to realistically reach its climate objectives.

Second, we ask CalSTA and Caltrans for an increased engagement and greater participation with stakeholders in the development of Caltrans's -- Caltrans System Investment Strategy, otherwise known as CSIS. While we have -- while we all have the opportunity to submit formal comments regarding the next round of CSIS development by the middle of this month, it's unclear if CalSTA and Caltrans are meeting with stakeholders and actively engaging throughout this development process.

And third and most importantly, as policies and programs -- policy and program guidelines continue to be

developed and carried out under the CAPTI footprint, we strongly urge the intent and integrity of existing transportation funding programs is preserved. For example, with respect to the Local Partnership Program, regional transportation agencies work diligently to prepare local sales tax measures with expenditure plans --with expenditure plans that are designed to meet their local transportation needs. These expenditure plans are approved by the voters with a super majority no less.

2.2

It's imperative that the promises made in those plans are promises kept by public entities. Again, we ask that the intent -- integrity of the existing transportation funding programs remains preserved and thank you for your time.

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Thank you.

Next up, we have Sandy Naranjo.

SANDY NARANJO: Good morning, esteemed leaders of CARB, CTC, and HCD. My name is Sandy Naranjo. I'm the Policy Advocate for ClimatePlan, which is a network of organizations dedicated to creating a healthier sustainable California where people of all backgrounds and incomes have the opportunity to thrive.

I am joined by members of the ClimatePlan network here in person and here virtually to provide our testimony

on our position on the draft 2022 CAPTI Annual Progress
Report. We find CAPTI to be critical in aligning our
annual five plus billion transportation budget with the
State's climate health and equity goals. While we
appreciate the enthusiasm of our State agencies to
complete the actions and strategies outlined in CAPTI, we
want to point out that the report of over 88 percent of
the strategies that is completed or underway does not
accurately reflect the complexity of aligning
transportation spending to address climate change, equity,
and public health.

2.2

The success of CAPTI should be measured by the proportion of transportation investments that are reducing actions, promoting public health, and equitable investment in our communities. While we do know that completing strategies and actions are important, our network urges that these actions must lead to implementation, such as the following, reevaluating progress. Indicating a strategy is completed does not accurately reflect the status of implementation. In many cases, items being added to guidelines or discuss a need are counted as complete and where in reality, it is only the first step in a multi-phase process. Transportation around investments, CAPTI should focus State's investments and provide an impetus to divert money from projects that

adversely impact communities of concern to projects that benefit environment, public health, and economy in future progress reports. We would actually like to see a breakdown of investments.

And updating CAPTI. As we know, it's a living document and there must be plans to update strategies' actions. The process to update CAPTI should be outlined and transparent.

We thank you again for your time and you'll be hearing from fellow members of the ClimatePlan network on the different aspects of the draft annual report.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

work.

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Maureen[SIC]Norman.

MARVIN NORMAN: Good morning. My name is Marvin

Norman. That's all right. I -- my handwriting always

gets that -- does that to me. But on behalf of CCAEJ and

myself and Inland Empire Biking Alliance and -- I was glad

to see this update of CAPTI. It's good to see that, you

know, first off, that it's being implemented and that it's

being -- you know showing truths. And we preferred con -
point that -- the legislation was passed earlier was

vetoed, but we're glad that there's a commitment to

continue updating it. And hope to see that continue to

Of course, we need the additional investments

especially in the alternative transportation options. I know sometimes there is a -- an idea that some things won't work some places. But, you know, right outside of this building, we have, you know, the protected bike lane here in the Inland Empire. And it's one of the better ones I've seen in the State actually in terms of its construction.

2.2

And so -- so if we could get more of those, it would be great. And I've -- in terms of Active

Transportation Plan, we definitely would agree that we need more funding there. Our Active Transportation

Program, the recent round was especially brutal -- despite the additional funding was especially brutal for the Inland Empire.

Out of the entire region, there were four projects funded at the State level, one in Coachella Valley and three in the Jurupa Valley, and zero projects funded in San Bernardino County, where -- at the State level. So part of that is, you know, I think as a bike advocate, I know -- I know I've not been completely pleased with some of the projects that some agencies put forth and think they could do better. But there also is -- just is a lack of resources and shows the real need that we have, because a lot of projects -- big projects got funded, which, you know, kind of took up the funding

that usually -- that would usually get caught for, that would -- that the other projects we're getting in the past.

We also would like to see -- make sure that CAPTI continues to prioritize the clean investments and making sure that it, as much as possible, we keep the -- the polluting -- the most polluting users and uses, you know, complying to their -- their set routes. We continue to have a big problem in this region with truck routes or -- or the lack thereof, leading to trucks everywhere.

And so part of CAPTI's work to provide more clean options would help in that regard and to fund the projects that keep the trucks on their designated routes instead of wandering through our neighborhoods, and by our schools, and by our parks, and elsewhere where we have our most vulnerable users.

So thank you again for your time and for the work on this project.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER LYOU: Madam Chair, can I ask a question?

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Yes.

CTC COMMISSIONER LYOU: Marvin, I think if I -if I remember correctly, you served as one of the
reviewers on our Active Transportation Program funding

proposals. Okay. I just want to thank you for that, because without the help of people like you going through and helping our staff assess those -- those proposals, we would never be able to even get that money out the door. So thank you for -- for providing your assistance in that process.

1.3

2.2

MARVIN NORMAN: Yeah, thanks for -- yeah, three 3 years now. I'm glad to do it.

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Next is Amparo Miramontes.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: As Amparo makes her way down, Marvin, I'd also like to thank you. We -- we depend on about a hundred volunteers to help our amazing professional staff with those evaluations every cycle. And the fact that you continue to do that is greatly appreciated. And thanks for bringing your daughter today as well.

AMPARO MIRAMONTES: Hi. Good morning. My name is Amparo Miramontes. I am here on behalf of my family, but I'm also working with CCAEJ as their Policy Director.

I'd like to thank the opportune -- thank everyone for the opportunity to comment on the CAPTI Draft Plan.

And I'd specifically like to start off with just talking about what it feels like to be a parent and wanting to have your kids ride their bikes and they can't.

So I think that -- pointing out that image where there was the buffer is really important to me, because I live in Fontana, on the boarder of Rialto. And our kids have the Pacific Electric Trail, where we could ride bikes. But to get there, you literally have to have a car, because the prioritization has been, you know, the logistics sector or these huge big rigs that are on every road, even on the roads they're not supposed to be on.

2.2

And so that makes it very difficult as a mother to want to encourage my children to ride their bikes or even ride bikes down to the trail that is beautiful and awesome, but I think there's some fundamental issues that we need to resolve.

In regards to the draft plan, on page 26, I noticed that there's social equity tools that list CalEnviroScreen 4.0 and there's some tools listed for emissions. I would encourage that we reach out to the Robert Redford Conservancy at Pitzer College and Radical Research to use their warehouse city tool. If one of the things that we identify is the multimodal access to public transportation to the roads themselves, then we probably need to start overlaying what those warehouses look like.

And we were overlaying that, there's an amazing tool that they have built that shows the warehouses where they are today, where they will be, and they've built a

knew act -- new part of this that shows where schools are.

2.2

So when we talk about having accessibility, we really need to remember there's children walking home and/or they could ride their bikes, but it's not safe, and a lot of times there's no sidewalks. And so in the report, I also saw complete streets only mentioned three times, which I don't think is enough, because really we're looking in the future state, where we're talking about urban sink -- heat sinks. And Rialto did an amazing climate impact report where they expect to see more than 20 high heat events. And if we're taking public transportation, there's nowhere to run.

And so you're going to be waiting for the bus in extreme heat. And we haven't seen -- I didn't see enough mention of landscaping in there to help combat that urban heat sink effect. And I didn't see enough play on permeable materials or cool effects. So I would encourage that we take a deep look at the fact that, you know, there's going to be kids walking home from school and there's not enough access to safety, safe routes. And I think that should be incorporated as well.

And if we are going to be looking at complete streets, we really, really need to remember that there needs to be cool places to rest and there needs to be places to get water. And if we could prioritize, you

know, children and families in this plan as well, I would really appreciate. Thank you so much for your time.

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Thank you.

Next is Jacki Bacharach.

2.2

JACKI BACHARACH: Thank you so much. I share with Marvin bad handwriting. I'm from the South Bay Cities Council of Governments. And we are the -- what we call the real south bay. We're in the area of Los Angeles County between the Port of Los Angeles and LAX. We're 16 cities, part of the City of Los Angeles and county unincorporated area. And we're ethnicity, geography, language, a real microcosm of California.

And I'm here because I wanted to talk to you about the 20 years of data that we have been doing, research and pilot projects in the South Bay Cities Council of Governments. We have come up with four relevant facts that I want to start with. And those relevant facts are that 70 percent of our trips are three miles or less. Ninety percent of our trips are 10 miles or less. We're traveling locally. Eighty percent of our traffic is on 20 percent of our streets.

And the final statistic is we have about 286,000 second, third, and fourth vehicles in households. And if we can make a dent in that, then we think we're doing something that will make a major difference to support

State goals.

1.3

2.2

So what are our re -- what's our response?

Our response is that we're working to implement a zero-emission micro-mobility network that will provide more travel options for all ages and abilities. And what I mean by that is if you look at the -- you have streets today. You see Neighborhood Electric Vehicles. You see scooters. You see segues sometimes. And there's no safe places for those to go.

So we're looking at not only bikes, but all of those others for our micro-mobility network. We call it the South Bay Local Travel Network and we're implementing it with our cities. It provides sustainable, healthy, equitable travel and is applicable to communities we feel throughout the state of California.

It reflects travel patterns in our communities and it's low cost to implement and primarily uses existing infra -- infrastructure. We strongly believe that our local travel network promises to address travel habits and modes of the future, while focusing on neighborhoods and local trips. With a strong local travel network, there are -- those zero-emission trips will be diverted to local streets off of arterioles, reducing congestion on the arterioles, and will reduce GHG emissions with, as you know, level 1 charging, low electricity requirements.

I'm here today to speak to you three agencies, because we are excite about this project, and the fact that it pretty much meets all of the State goals for 2050 in the California Transportation Plan, but it does not fit into any of your funding categories. It's not active transportation, because it's motorized and the vehicles would be motorized. It's not highways and it's not corridors.

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Thank you. That concludes your time.

CTC COMMISSIONER LYOU: Madam Chair, Can I ask a question of Jacki.

Jacki came here because I asked her to today. She briefed me -- her and her team briefed me on this micro-mobility network on Tuesday. And I thought it was opportune for her to come and talk to us about a strategy that would really help address our CAPTI goals. However, the frustration of looking at the rather narrow funding pots that we have at CTC to throw money at and none of those projects fitting neatly -- or her projects fitting neatly into any -- any of those funding buckets.

And quite honestly, she is -- she's trying to solve the problem in a mobile -- with a mobility solution based off data she's been collecting for 20 years. And when I used to sit on the South coast AQMD governing board

and the seat that's occupied by -- by this many right here, we funded those pilot projects. And they were incredibly successful.

1.3

2.2

Anyway, Jacki, if you could just sum up for us what you think needs to happen in order to address this round peg, square hole program that we're having.

JACKI BACHARACH: I think we need to -- what we're -- I think what we're looking for is a way to broaden the categories. It's more than active transportation to address the latest modes that are available at Costco, at Best Buy that people are using all throughout our communities, but to address them safely. We need them to be part of complete streets. We need them to be part of something more broad than active transportation.

CTC COMMISSIONER LYOU: Thank you.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: It -- it seems to me like that's an opportunity as the conversations around updating guidelines happens, sort of are there opportunities to update guidelines to try to address?

CTC COMMISSIONER LYOU: Some things are set in stone and you -- and the State constitution, but if I could, Vice Chair Guardino, we're coming back to Riverside in a little over a month.

I was hoping that we could invite Jackie and her

team to do the full presentation for CTC at least, so we can start addressing this mobility issue a little bit.

2.2

thank you. And Jackie, thanks for -- for coming out today. I think you're really wise to look for how we can either greatly grow the pie or create a new pie rather than fight over the crumbs. That never works well as we try to look at new opportunities to reduce greenhouse gases, get people out of their cars, lower air pollution, et cetera.

And I like that idea. Mitch, could our professional team look at finding room on the agenda in December, if that's possible, for Jackie to come back to Riverside?

CTC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WEISS: (Nods head).

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Great. Thank you.

CARB BOARD MEMBER SPERLING: And could I add, so I've worked with Jackie for at least 10 or 15 years. And I just want to endorse exactly what, you know,

Commissioner Lyou was saying and what Jacki was saying.

We -- it's -- she's exactly right and so I support, and I think CARB ought to be thinking about -- I know she's had various frustrations with CARB as well, because we -- it doesn't fit into our categories either, so...

CTC COMMISSIONER NORTON: Vice Chair Guardino.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Yes, immediate past Chair Norton.

(Laughter).

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER NORTON: I just wanted to add my participation and support of having Jackie present on -- in our next meeting in December, but I also did want there to be a little more research about this zone in which there are electric bikes, there are these small electric golf cart, other vehicles, where we really do need funding opportunities, because now that we can have electric bikes take us from 10 to 15 miles, we need to have pathways that are aware of these motorers, but also the fact that they are traveling zero emission.

So how can we have some new categories of this between active transportation and a sort of lower emission, lower speed vehicles, so that we can actually have our infrastructure respond appropriately? Because there are more and more opportunities for us to have, and we've been asking for this. This is something that CTC asked for when asking for additional ATP money, was \$500 million for bike corridors, and especially bike highways, to have this funding for electric bikes, so that we could look at these larger commutes, larger travel, because now you can do that all on one charge, all zero-emission, and we'd like to see more of that happen.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Excellent. Thank you, Commissioner Norton. And Jackie, again, I think it's December 7th and 8th here in Riverside. Staff will correct me if I am incorrect on the dates, but I think it's December 7th and 8th. And if our professional staff believes that with all their work on the rest of the agenda, that we can place you on that agenda. We will do our best to do so. And thank you for coming with data, rather than dogma, as we look at potentially a 2023 rougher economy than what we were blessed with in California relative to excess funds, as we were in 2022. That's -- I believe that helps as we look at trying to fund additional efforts.

1.3

2.2

JACKI BACHARACH: Thank you very much and I look forward to seeing you in December.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Great. And with that, we are going to go back to others in the public who would like to speak before we start a conversation here on dais.

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: That concludes our in-person commenters.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Is there anyone online virtually who would like to speak?

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Yes. It looks like we have nine commenters with their hands raised. So we will start with Ian Griffiths, Will Barrett, Sofia Rafikova,

and Beverly Yu.

2.2

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: As we do that if -- is there a way to let them know how we can all be good stewards with our time. Do they get hand motions online or -- oh, there is a timing clock. It's behind me. Oh, I see it's in front of me too.

Okay. Great. Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Sure.

So Ian, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and you can begin.

IAN GRIFFITHS: Good morning. Good morning.

This is Ian Griffiths and I'm Policy Director for Seamless

Bay Area, a non-profit advocacy group that promotes the

world class high ridership transit network in the San

Francisco Bay Area and across California.

My comments will echo points made in a joint letter that we submitted to this committee along with the Bar Area Council, SPUR, and TransForm. We applaud CalSTA and the partner agencies here today on the ambitious CAPTI vision. And while CAPTI is a helpful long-term framework, it doesn't directly address one of the most immediate threats to our ability to reduce VMT and meet our ambitious climate goals, which is the impending fiscal cliff facing many transit agencies across the state, where many agency are -- agencies are projected to run out of

federal relief funding within the next two years. And without additional operating funding support, maybe it will be forced to dramatically reduce or eliminate service altogether, undermining many of the strategies within CAPTI.

1.3

2.2

So as such, we really urge this issue -- this committee and members of each of the three participating agencies to make transit operations funding a priority and to advocate to the Legislature to support State funding for transit operations over the next several years to avert deep transit service cuts and possibly making it a topic at one of -- at your upcoming meetings including the December CTC meeting. For example, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission projects that the seven largest Bay Area transit agencies have a shortfall of operating revenue of approximately two billion over the next five years.

BART alone the backbone of the Bay Area's transit network, which carried more than 25 percent of statewide transit passenger miles in 2019, they found that it would have to cut service hours by 65 to 85 percent to balance its budget and achieve savings of just 21 to 42 percent. That means basically BART would go from 15 minute frequencies to service every 30 to 60 minutes, really devastating service cuts that could lead to a downward

spiral and reduce -- of reduced ridership. So service cuts in the midst of a steadily increasing ridership will devastate the long-term recovery of transit and seriously undermine all of the strategies within CAPTI.

Ensuring adequate transit service is also critical to our housing goals. You know, our forward -- forward progress accelerating new housing production incorporates incentives for developers to build near high quality transit with adequate service levels in order to reduce VMT. So cuts in transit service coming on the heels of legislation prohibiting minimum parking requirements could leave new residents with no transit options and undermine the critical role for infill housing to -- production to reduce our carbon emissions.

So in summary, just really applaud CAPTI, but urge you to ensure the ongoing relevance of CAPTI by addressing the shortfall in transit operations funding in your upcoming meetings and to advocate to the Legislature to make it a priority.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

Next we'll hear from Will Barrett. Will, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

WILL BARRETT: Thank you very much. My name is Will Barrett. I'm the National Senior Director for Clean

Air Advocacy with the American Lung Association.

2.2

And I want to start by saying thank you for the hard work and ongoing engagement with stakeholders on the development and implementation of CAPTI. Many of us in the health and medical community have championed Executive Order N-19-19, the CAPTI development process and now the implementation as critical tools to build healthier communities for all. We were, of course, disappointed in the veto of the CAPTI codification legislation this year and look to the administration to really live up to the promise of the Executive Order and the CAPTI in terms of health transportation investments.

And we want to very much emphasize we'll continue to support you all in this critical effort. CAPTI represents a major opportunity for health, equity, and the reduction in harmful pollutants that threaten communities and our climate. We recognize that most of the projects and guidelines have not yet been updated to reflect the adoption of CAPTI and believe that these shifts in investment must be the marker of progress and success.

And we'll be submitting a letter with health partners tomorrow and we very much appreciate the focus on metrics to track progress and want to offer a few comments on those. And I'll also touch on comments we made previously to CARB in the SB 150 report on SB 375

implementation.

2.2

For the CAPTI metrics, we feel that the main outcomes of the plan must be to show improvements in community health, mode shift, and shifts in investment. We believe that the inclusion of metrics for VMT is appropriate for inclusion as a marker for many of the goals and strategies in the CAPTI framework. The inclusion of the greenhouse gas metric it's appropriate, but it's not sufficient to track all pollution reductions. We recommend tracking diesel particulate matter and other harmful pollutants posed by the transportation projects that CAPTI seeks to address. These projects and these pollutants cause the disparities that CAPTI specifically calls to address and we think that's critical. And you'll actually hear quite a bit more about diesel health impacts in the freight item later today.

Finally, we'd suggest a metric for shifts in investments specifically. How many pre-CAPTI projects actually align with CAPTI or actually undermine CAPTI?

How many misaligned projects can be revised to support the goals and the promise of CAPTI? Ultimately, wanting to be clear on what's in the pipeline now, the legacy projects, that might sink our hopes for success.

On the CARB report on SB 375 implementation, we suggest that a clear tracking of policies recommended by

CARB to advance healthy communities and a CAPTI life report or dashboard on SB 150 recommended policy changes, or lack of policy changes would be similarly useful to the overall State efforts to build healthier communities. So again, this is critical work. We look forward to working with you. We'll be, you know, in touch and continue to engage and really look at this and appreciate the robust engagement of all the agencies and staff here today.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

Next, we'll hear from Sofia. So I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and you can begin.

SOFIA RAFIKOVA: Hello. I'm Sofia Rafikova, policy advocate with the Coalition for Clean Air. Thank you for this opportunity to comment. We're grateful to see all of CalSTA's work in developing the CAPTI draft progress report. However, we are concerned that some of the actions in the report were marked as complete prematurely or do not go far enough in accomplishing the goals they had set out to do.

For implementing the California Integrated Travel Project, Cal-ITP, action, we believe it is too early to mark this action as complete. The draft progress report states that only six out of 23 projects funded in the last year TIRCP cycle included Cal-ITP within the project

scope. Until all of the TIRCP projects include Cal-ITP implementation and the outcomes of those projects show a successful mode shift, we ask that CalSTA mark this action as underway.

2.2

With regards to the transportation equity index, we ask Caltrans to revert the equity index to its original goal of being a tool to assist in the evaluation and prioritization of the Department's projects, as stated in the original CAPTI document. We are concerned that the current equity index is very similar to existing tools such as CalEnviroScreen and the Climate and Economic Justice Screening tool, which also identify communities facing adverse transportation impacts.

Having the equity index focus on examining projects instead of populations would have not -- would have offered a novel way to determine whether California it equitably distributing its transportation funding. For these reasons, we ask Caltrans to revert the equity index to its original goal.

Finally, we ask that the Highways to Boulevards
Pilot Program action be marked as underway to acknowledge
the additional work that needs to be done to implement the
program. CalSTA should plan for how this program will
expand outside of the pilot, which would include the need
for securing additional long-term funding. The program

should also develop and finalize guidelines and identify and quantify metrics in order to ensure that the program has achieved the intended outcome before being marked as complete.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

After Beverly, we will hear from Amy Thomson, Zak Accuardi, Mary Valdemar, Joanna Gubman, and Nailah Pope-Harden.

So, Beverly I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

BEVERLY YU: Thank you. Good morning. My Madam Chair and members. Beverly Yu on behalf of the State Building and Construction Trades Council California representing nearly 500,000 members. Thank you for the hearing and the opportunity to comment.

On item 2, we appreciate the work on the draft report on implementation of CAPTI. It is critical that CalSTA, CARB, CDC, and HCD ensure the report provide parity for new fuels and hybrid technologies, including for hydrogen and biofuels to meet air quality standards and meet emission reduction goals.

We are opposed to restricting California's response to the climate crisis to a single technology. As you know, increases to California's electric consumption

without a corresponding commitment to new power generation will only further strain California's electric grid, putting Californians at risk of more rolling blackouts and grid failures.

1.3

2.2

To ensure the State can produce enough energy in a climate responsible way to power our existing increased future needs, we will also need corresponding sustainable and integrated systems of carbon capture, utilization and storage before electrification is embraced to the level the plan contemplated. We also request the reports support accelerated deployment of fueling and charging infrastructure. Building improving the public fueling and charging infrastructure is critical to sustaining and growing strong middle Class jobs.

We need to deploy the infrastructure without over burdening the electric grid, which means a corresponding increase in new power generation as to not cause a grid collapse that will cause a public health and safety crisis.

Thank you very much for your work and we appreciate your time and consideration.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

Next, we will hear from Amy. I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

AMY THOMSON: Hi, everyone. This is Amy Thomson

Transportation Policy Analyst with TransForm. TransForm promotes walkable communities with excellent transportation choices to connect people of all incomes to opportunity to make California affordable and help solve our climate crisis.

1.3

2.2

Thank you for your analysis and update on CAPTI's first year of implementation. We appreciate the work you're doing to support our mutual goals to expand clean mobility, combat climate change, and address inequity across California. We have a few comments on the future of CAPTI and how we can work towards our mutual goals. I echo the comments that my ClimatePlan members made so far and would like to add that will vehicle mile -- vehicle miles traveled reduction, VMT reduction, is frequently stated as a goal within CAPTI, it's not codified within the policy or project review. The movement towards multi-modal corridor planning is very exciting. And for this to be truly realized in line with CAPTI goals, VMT reduction should be codified metric.

In order to reach our climate goals, additionally operations funding will be necessary to support existing and new transportation infrastructure. This includes dollars to support programming like road price, shared bikes and scooters, and most urgently transit operations to truly realize frequent, and reliable, and accessible

alternatives to driving. Transit agencies across the State are facing fiscal cliffs when federal one-time funding will run out, forcing severe service cuts that will make our transit systems unusable. This is outlined by may colleague Ian at Seamless Bay Area.

1.3

2.2

If transit is running every 60 minutes, we can't expect people to rely on it. In the Bay Area, we face a \$2 million financial shortfall in the next five years. These transit hubs also overlap directly with where we are prioritizing development to address the housing and affordability crisis across the state. I am calling on CTC to address this issue with the Legislature to identify opportunities for State level support while transit agencies figure out a long-term plan to fund frequent, reliable, and affordable transit.

Thank you very much for your dedication to CAPTI and work on these important issues.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

Zak, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

ZAK ACCUARDI: Good morning and I'm grateful for the opportunity to be here with you all today. I'm Zak Accuardi Transportation Advocate at NRDC and member of the ClimatePlan coalition.

CAPTI has guided important work towards aligning

California's transportation spending with its ambitious climate goals. And I heard Darwin note in his presentation that CAPTI mostly requires process and guideline updates and that we'll only be able to judge its ultimately success once we start to see funding outcomes that truly help eliminate climate pollution. We agree.

2.2

Realizing the full transformative potential of California's transportation investments will also require community voices to be at the forefront of project planning and implementation, especially voices from the communities who are most impacted by those investments.

Partnership with community-based organizations is critical to supporting their participation and also critical to bringing their distinctive expertise to bring and ensuring that State transportation investments not only eliminate pollution, but address priority community.

Placing community voices at the center of the transportation planning process will, among other things, require the State to prioritize strengthening and expanding coordinated targeted technical assistance to community based organizations, especially in communities who have been most harmed by the legacy of the State's transportation investment decisions to date.

CAPTI does -- does have an action item in this vein and we urge Caltrans in particular to prioritize the

implementation and advancement of its technical assistance and partnership strategies to eliminate each of the various barriers to participation that community-based organizations face in most impacted communities.

Doing so successfully will ensure that

California's Transportation investments will not only

reduce pollution throughout the state, but begin to

address the legacy of harm and environmental injustice

that too many of the State's transportation investments

have caused to date.

Thank you very much.

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

Mary, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and you begin.

MARY VALDEMAR: Hi. My name si Mary Valdemar.

I'm with one of the AB 617 steering committees for San

Bernardino, the Environmental Education Collaborative, the

Native Council, the Labor Council, and San Bernardino

Valley College.

And I just wanted to offer some gratitude for the work of really working on breaking silos and centering equity in the -- in the CAPTI plan, and just for having meetings like this where multiple agencies are brought together to deal with, you know, climate crises, you know, together, and, you know, work on how can be bring the

community voice forward more. We've seen some, you know, small improvements in the -- in those areas, especially in some of the recent work, but we still have work to do as some of the other folks have said who made public comment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I am on the AB 617 steering committee for San Bernardino. And I really want to lift the comments that highlight the disparity that San Bernardino has been experiencing as a community and a region, and say that we need help in bringing along some of the other surrounding cities to this conversation. One of the most frustrating parts about participating in these public comments is that often folks in the room are, you know, saying the same things and feeling the same things. But it's the people not in the room that we need to get in the room and figure out how we can, you know, do a better job of making sure that all the communities in our region are participating in this conversation and understanding the overarching goals and not doing the opposite and kind of working against us as we try to make progress with some of these projects.

I'd like to see this conversation also brought more to the grass roots, small local, regional planning, you know, youth and student organizations. We need more of that voice, as those folks are going to inherit the impacts, right, after -- after we're done and long gone

from here. And then don't forget, please, higher education and our education systems, and labor, as we move past the notion that we have to choose between environmental justice and good jobs, good living wage jobs, we can't have both. We want to see planning happening at all levels to, you know, encourage this and help build the infrastructure that we're going to need for the future.

2.2

I know there's a lot of projects not directly related to this that are impacted by this work. For example, there's a green foresting project to put, you know, more green schools in our communities. There's some -- several projects for outdoor access equity that we're working on. And although they may not directly impact this plan, they are indirectly related as we need transportation to implement those projects.

So please -- please continue to reach out with all the grassroots and small organizations that are doing this work. Thank you.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

Joanna, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

JOANNA GUBMAN: Good morning. My name is Joanna Gubman. I am the Executive Director of Urban Environmentalists. We are a grass roots advocacy group of

6,500 activists that works to transform cities and towns into more sustainable, human-centered, and just communities through land use policy reform. Thanks for the opportunity to comment.

1.3

2.2

As State agencies own studies and reports acknowledge, we cannot meet our greenhouse gas and vehicle miles traveled reduction goals, not to mention address our housing affordability crisis without building more infill housing. Infill housing is an essential issue that requires policies and programs with teeth across multiple agencies and not just aspirational goals or process requirements.

The CAPTI report suggests that work on infill housing at 7.1 is in -- is complete. However, as with many other elements of your report, the implementation to date is insufficient and would be better described as in progress. To more effectively incentivize jurisdictions to be Prohousing, additional implementation could include:

One, programs pointing to the Prohousing designation and similar process indicators could also considered whether a jurisdiction currently has a compliant housing element and is currently on track to meet its regional housing needs allocation, or RHNA, needs on a prorated basis:

Two, Prohousing designation could be a mandatory

criterion for projects serving high resource locations with a jobs-housing imbalance rather than optional.

I'd like to end by expressing my support for the other comments offered by my fellow ClimatePlan members.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

Nailah, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

NAILAH POPE-HARDEN: All right. Good morning, everyone and thank you for the opportunity to give comments and discuss CAPTI today. As always, we appreciate this body for their diligence and consistently -- consistency in continuing to uplift CAPTI -- CAPTI's framework and principles at the these meetings. And we are excited to have this discussion today to help move the plan forward.

As you can see, we have in-person comments, we have Zooming comments -- people Zooming in. We've submitted written comments. And the ClimatePlan network is deeply committed to ensuring that CAPTI moves forward and is implemented.

And I won't spend a lot of time reiterating comments that folks in the ClimatePlan network have said, but I do want to just highlight a couple of them or couple of new comments. One is while we are extremely happy that

CalSTA and Caltrans are working towards developing metrics to track the implementation of CAPTI, we are deeply disappointed in the way that the current report articulated the designation of projects being -- or strategies being underway versus completed and would really appreciate a reevaluation of how we're designating whether projects are completed or underway.

2.2

As commenters before me said, the goal here is to ensure that communities are reconnected, ensure that we're reducing emissions and making sure that everyone has communities to thrive. Until we've reached that goal, we need to be careful about how we're designating, what is complete, and what is underway, and what is in progress.

The other thing that I'd like to amplify is in CAPTI and in this body, there's been a lot of work around interagency collaboration and making sure that agencies are work together and we want to make sure that other stakeholders are invited into that process.

Members of the ClimatePlan network and outside stakeholders are very interested in ensuring CAPTI's success and would love to be invited into the process of being a part of work groups, attending meetings, and doing whatever we can to further CAPTI and the CAPTI goals.

Thank you for your time.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

And lastly, we will hear from Ari Eisenstadt. So Arie, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

1.3

2.2

ARI EISENSTADT: Thank you so much. Hi, folks. My name is Arie Eisenstadt. My pronouns are he/him and I'm with California Environmental Justice Alliance.

I am mostly commenting today to address the interconnection between the transportation issues outlined in CAPTI and the electricity sector. And I know we heard another commenter earlier in the day indicate that there would be a quote need for new generation to meet the needs of the transportation sector. And I mostly want to just highlight the fact that when we're talking about new demand coming from the transportation sector via electrification, we really need to make sure that that demand is not being met by fossil generation and specifically from gas plants, which are poisoning the same communities that pollution from transportation is.

And we really need to continue to hold the line when it comes to having no new gas plants in California, and beginning to retire them. And creating electricity from gas to meet transportation needs is not a way to decarbonize the transportation sector. It's only a way to shift pollution to communities that are already overburdened. So we really hope that the -- the agencies

here today will consider the need to plan for the electrification of transportation while doing so in a way that increases benefits for communities by building renewables and actually shutting down fossil fuel generation.

Thanks.

1.3

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

And that concludes the remote commenters for this item.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you so much. I will open it up to my fellow Board members and commissioners, if you have any comments, or thoughts, or discussion.

Professor Sperling.

CARB BOARD MEMBER SPERLING: Thank you very much. Let me start by saying that this discussion really addresses the key challenge facing the transportation sector and that is we've created this car-centric transportation system that is -- has marginalized large portions of our population, that is extremely expensive, that has a large environmental impact. And the bad news is most of these indicators are going in the wrong direction, despite all these efforts.

You know, VMT per capita has not changed. It's been pretty much flat. For years, it's not gone down,

even though we have laws in place to -- to -- you know, SB 375 to reduce it. Transit use is less than two percent of total travel in California and has decreased -- has been decreasing actually for over 10 years. Land use, now we're seeing more sprawl happening, large -- you know, the pandemic certainly contributed to that, but that's going in the wrong correction.

2.2

So, you know, the CAPTI I think is a step in the right direction. And I applaud CalSTA and the Darwin -- Mr. Moosavi -- Moosavi for, you know, their great efforts, because it is important what they're doing. But if we look at all the -- you know, the lack of progress, it's -- it's kind of stunning in terms of emissions, in terms of equity in the -- in transportation. And so, you know, even CAPTI, if you look at actually projects being approved in the state, there's many new projects being approved that are not aligned with CAPTI and coming into place.

And so -- so, I mean, in -- the response to this is clearly we need to do something different, you know.

And a lot of the -- most of the comments resonated with me and, you know, I agree that, you know, we need, at a minimum, look at some of these indicators that are going in the wrong direction. They're certainly not going in the right direction, you know, to really focus our

attention on what to do going forward. And so, I mean, the end result -- I mean, CAPTI and Darwin can only do so much. And I know he's symp -- very sympathetic to what I'm saying from past discussions. But we need much more aggressive action.

2.2

And so the question becomes, you know, what -what can and should CTC do? What can and should CARB do?
You know, we keep saying this -- these are our goals, but
we're not accomplishing them. And so I know -- so I guess
the question is what do we do? And I think clearly we've
been fumbling around with this for a long time, many, many
years. And, you know, maybe I can ask Darwin if he wants
to -- you know, put him on the spot a little bit if he has
any suggestions. But I know that is putting him on the
spot, so I understand if you don't want to say too much.

But I would be interested in hearing, you know, like what South -- what Jacki from South Bay COG. That's exactly the kinds of innovation that we should be encouraging, you know, local innovation, trying to do -- achieve exactly the goals we're talking about. And she's been getting -- you know, I've worked with her for 15 years and she's gotten very little support from the State, from -- in terms of funding in hardly any way.

And so I don't know -- I confess, I'm not sure exactly what to do, but I think this is a good time for us

to really sharpen our focus and really think very clearly, you know, how can we change, you know, these trends.

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Do you want me to comment on that?

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Well, Dr. Sperling, I certainly -- I agree with the -- the overall sentiments. I won't turn this into pulling out my CARB wish list. It sound -- it sounded like that's what you were asking for, but I don't think that's necessarily appropriate. But I think in terms of, you know, how we talk about and think about CAPTI, you know, we've been clear through this process that it is a intentionally narrow effort. And I think that's what frankly is -- is what's distinct and important about it is that it is trying to target a specific set of investments and think about what we do with those to meet these broader challenges, but it's going to take work beyond those -those investments as you noted to -- to tackle these broader challenges.

You know, I do think we do have across our -- our agencies several visionary documents that do provide blueprints and lists of recommendations of the types of things we could be doing, whether that's looking at the California Transportation Plan 2050 or even the up --

updated CARB Scoping Plan VMT appendix, or I believe it's
now called the Sustainable Communities appendix.

2.2

There's -- there are recommendations galore I think out there in terms of things that we could be doing. These are really politically challenging things and large -- and large things. I think some of the issues obviously came up here today. And, you know, obviously, defer to the -- to the Board and Commission in terms of what of those things can be kind of further discussed by this body or tackled.

But I don't think we're -- we're short of ideas.

It's -- it's just that they're just really complicated issues that span local, regional, State government and a lot of them come down to governance issues, you know, for 375, so -- but yeah, I agree, a lot of this is well beyond the scope of CAPTI.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Okay. All right. So we have several -- several in the queue.

First, Commissioner Martinez.

CTC COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Thank you, Madam
Chair. And would like to thank all the speakers, whether
they were online or here in person and thank Darwin for
his presentation. Darwin, you stated something that I
think is important. You know, you talk about it's
specific certain investments. But what's important here

is the narrowing of the investments is shifting the conversation and shifting the funding to accommodate, right, because you -- we have no power over land use over local jurisdictions, but most times, and in the -- and in the past, a lot of our funding from the State and federal has dictated our land use patterns that created the sprawl that we see today, right?

2.2

So we now have an opportunity to shift that funding and start rethinking, as, you know, we start to see what local government is doing. And so look at what is strong in -- in community. You have folks coming together from a diverse group of folks and we heard them speak here today. You've heard local governments speak here today. There is a lot of enthusiasm about CAPTI, about the vision that CAPTI is setting towards these investments. And so we have a moment in time here, and yes, we're not meeting our goals. And I'm definitely in agreement. And I -- and I wanted to -- to commend Professor Sperling for articulating, you know, what he mentioned, but we all do have a responsibility that we're up here.

We have ideas. We don't have all the answers, but I do think, and I'll end with this, is that if we focus on what is small. Small is beautiful. And I said last yes -- last week at a sustainability summit as it

pertains to climate at Cal State Fullerton, we -- yes, we want to be ambitious. We want to go big. But when we do small projects and you add them up, they end up becoming big, and it ends up -- it matters. And in local government, sometimes they need to start small.

And so I would just advise us as we move forward to continue to engage local government. You know, they're starting to come. They're starting to show up. They want to be involved and engaged, but it's going to be the funding opportunity that we present that help them and guide them to this new story that we're tying to build here in California.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you.

Dr. Balmes followed by Dr. Lugo.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Thank you, Chair Randolph. And again, I also want to thank Darwin for his presentation, CalSTA for pursuing CAPTI, and all the speakers who I thought gave thoughtful comments, as Ms. Martinez just mentioned. And I want to focus down on one aspect. We've been hearing the big picture from Professor Sperling and from Mr. Martinez, but the public transit issue, which was highlight by even Ian Griffiths and Amy Thomson. And we just had, at a recent CARB Board meeting, a discussion about the Innovative Clean Transit initiative

that we have, which is going to add to the economic pressures on transit agencies.

2.2

You know, and it's sad to me, there's only two percent of travel in California by public transit. You know, it would be nice to have more transportation on -- on public transit in terms of meeting our climate goals, but as the two speakers, Mr. Griffiths and Ms. Thomson mentioned, there's this, you know, economic cliff that transit agencies are about to fall off of when the pandemic level support decreases or stops. And we're pushing, we being CARB, the electrification of public transit fleets. And Professor Sperling and I both were concerned about that at our public meeting. And we want to see zero-emission vehicle buses, but they currently -- while they may be a long-term save costs, they currently are really more expensive for these agencies.

And I -- you know, I'm frustrated that these already financially constrained agencies might have to cut service, in part because we're asking them to buy electric vehicles that we -- you know, are needed to meet our climate goals. So I don't know -- again, Darwin, I'm sure you don't have any magic answer here, but, you know, other than more money. I think there needs to be more money put into public transit in this State to replace federal funds that are being eliminated. I think there are probably

more federal funds in the new -- the new federal legislation, but it's a tough balancing act.

2.2

And I just, again, want to highlight there's an equity issue here. You know, low income communities of color, the folks that might have to take public transit to get to their jobs, you know, if that service isn't there. And that's a real issue. I -- you know, I live in an upper middle class neighborhood in Berkeley and I see who takes public transit. I said this at our last Board meeting. It's, you know, often home workers, that, you know, have no other way of getting to work and they need those jobs just to feed their family.

So anyway, it's a -- it's an issue that I -- I've been frustrated the entire time I've been on CARB, sort of the, I think, insufficient support for public transit.

Professor Sperling says nobody takes public transit, but that's wrong too.

(Laughter).

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: It may not be safe to be sitting between them though.

(Laughter).

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Dr. Lugo followed by Commissioner Falcon.

CTC COMMISSIONER LUGO: Thank you, Chair Randolph and thanks to all of you for the warm welcome to my first

joint meeting. I appreciate that. If anybody knows how to adjust these seatbacks so that they don't bend as much, fill me in, because I'm here sitting here like ahhhh.

(Laughter).

1.3

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER LUGO: It's a little -- it's keeping my on edge a little bit. That was not my comment primarily that I wanted to make, but --

(Laughter)

advantage of having my mic on for a moment. A couple of things. Darwin thank you for the presentation. And as I am hearing all these really great comments from the public and from, you know, fellow folks up here, I wanted to highlight a couple things that -- that I just haven't heard come up as much that I think could be relevant to this conversation about how do we actually move toward achieving some of these goals and get our indicators going in the right direction.

And, you know, one thing is a kind of unsavory story that relates to this holiday ritual that a lot of just went through around Halloween and trick or treating. So I have a 20-month old daughter and so I am going through the process of reliving things I did as a child, but now on the parent side of things. And I was reflecting with a neighbor of mine on Monday night that it

seems to be a kind of semi-typical California thing that you don't trick or treat in your own neighborhood if you're not that wealthy. You go to a nicer neighborhood. And that's the way I grew up. I never trick or treated in my Mexican immigrant neighborhood. We went to my grandma's neighborhood which was in Newport Beach every year at Halloween.

(Laughter).

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER LUGO: And so I kind of took it for granted. And as someone who thinks a lot about transportation and how do we move away from these really car-based patterns, you know, I thought, dang, is this --what's the deal with this? Why are we driving our kids, you know, to other neighborhoods? What does that tell our kids about the safety and quality of the neighborhoods that we're raising them in. And what happens when -- you know, I started thinking through moments when I was trick or treating as a kid, what was it like as a little brown girl knocking on these doors where wealthy white people were opening, you know, and giving me candy. And there's someone unpacking to do there, I think.

But there was something in the local news this week that caught my eye and because we Jacki commenting from the South Bay, I just wanted to bring it up, which is in the City of Redondo Beach, for two years now there has

been a flier posted around Halloween that says due to the massive influx of Black people into Redondo Beach, we no longer hand out Halloween candy.

2.2

And you know, this is -- this is -- what a terrible thing to say. I mean, what this flier is expressing is that children, the primary participants in Halloween trick or treating, children, if they are black are not welcome in this beachside community. And I know that's not a message that many people in Redondo Beach or others in the South Bay would want to send, but unfortunately, I think it's a -- an authentic message and a true one about continuing effects of racism that we have on how we use public space in our communities.

And so all of this is a long-winded way of getting to say as long as we're not tracking and investing in tracking what kinds of vulnerabilities people are experiencing based on their skin color, based on other kinds of categories that they fit into, I don't think we are actually able to predict how effective new investments in low-emission infrastructure for transportation are going to be.

You can build a fabulous, you know, micromobility network. If people in your community don't feel safe using that because of the color their skin or if people in your community think that someone who doesn't look like

them shouldn't be using it, you know, we're -- we haven't actually gotten to creating equitable and accessible systems. So I think there's a lot more we can be looking at in terms of how threats to safety related to these kinds of vulnerabilities play a role in this increasing divide we have between those who are captive on public transit and those of us who can afford to be using other modes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

When I started looking at these issues in 2008 as a really just enthusiastic mid-20s bike advocate, it -- it really stuck out to me that, you know, there were the people who had been using public transit all along and, you know, I learned that in planning, those people are referred to as captive riders or just the riders that you can kind of expect. Yeah, they're going to keep needing that service. But then it seemed to me that there was this big group of people, including myself, who were fortunate to have more kinds of choices about the mobility that we use. And, you know, in that time period, again 2008 to 2010, which was when I was also helping to design an event in Los Angeles called CEQA via that does open street work to bring people out on bikes and walking, I really thought, wow, you know, we're just -- like, we're on the brink, we're on the cusp. There's going to be this critical mass.

And here we are in 2022, I'm a mom who drives my kid around in my electric car an awful lot. And, you know, I have to say I share Professor Sperling's frustration in terms of wondering, you know, why we're not moving in the direction that we all know we should be.

And -- and I really just want us to urge -- I want to urge us to consider that these cultural factors actually play a significant role and that we are -- are pretty behind in terms of being able to track them with data and being able to make a connection between how safe people feel outside of their vehicles and whether they're choosing to drive or not. So I just wanted to comment on that.

2.2

And then I do have a question, which is the program outcome metrics for CAPTI, where does avoiding displacement fall in there, because I know it's one of the guiding principles to be working on, you know, infill housing and avoiding displacement. But in looking at just the, you know, I know high level overview you gave us, Darwin, I don't really see any kind of tracking there in the metrics. So just wondering if there's something specifically about displacement or securing -- you know, stabilizing housing.

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: That's a really good question, Dr. Lugo. And I think there's -- I have multiple kind of things to highlight with that -- with

that question. You know, the CAPTI itself does include several places where we are putting a focus on anti-displacement policies and pulling them into -- into programs. There's a whole action around developing -- and this is well underway right now, developing best practices for anti-displacement policies to put into transportation programs, both saying what's important and we also don't want to overlook both direct displacement and indirect displacement, because we -- we tend to think that we're -- we're at the point where we're just talking about indirect displacement, but there are still projects we are funding that are leading to, you know, major amounts of direct displacement of individuals with taking of properties and whatnot.

1.3

2.2

So I think -- I think there's -- there's a lot of work underway there. To be completely frank in terms of tracking, we have struggled in terms of, you know, where and how to begin doing that. That was a topic of conversation in developing the metrics study. There is working, I think, being done in the -- in the equity index to think about that as well. There -- but I don't think we currently have the best tools at our disposal to actually be able to -- to track on specific projects how they're having that impact and then being able to kind of even statewide map that or log that in some sort of way,

so -- which is what we want to do with that metric study.

2.2

But if you have resources you can point us to or other things that you're aware of, we'd be more than happy to look into those and take a look, so...

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you. Commissioner Falcon.

CTC COMMISSIONER REYES FALCON: Thank you, Chair. Well, I feel so blessed to be surrounded by such smart people with PhDs and doctorates. And, you know, it's -- it's -- I feel like an underachiever let's put it that way.

I have three things I wanted to share. First of all, I echo Dr. Balmes' comments about funding. And my comments are more focused on funding and flexibility. It seems that, you know, we're constrained in the way that we -- we can invest our moneys, transportation funding into projects. And I guess the question is, you know, what -- what can we do to unconstrain? And I know it's a -- that's a big question, because a lot of this is legislative statute. You know, we have to, you know, look at -- I think, Commissioner Norton had said Constitution. But, I mean, we need to have an honest conversation about how we unconstrain our ability to fund the things that Dr. Balmes had mentioned, including sustainable funding for transit operations.

You know, we -- we hear about that all the time and we, at the Commission, feel very constrained and ham -- you know, kind of our hands tied behind our back, like, well, you know, we want to, but we have these mandates that say that we need to put our monies into certain projects that are legislatively articulated. So, you know, I think we need to have an honest conversation if we want to move to, you know, other ways of how we spend our transportation funding. You know, really looking at the fundamental structure that is constraining our -- the way that we spend our transportation funds. That's one.

2.2

Second, I like the word "small". Thank you,

Commissioner Martinez. Speaking in small, thank you,

Jacki, for -- for mentioning micromobility and alternative

modes of transportation. It's -- it's an area that I've

been particularly interested in in my professional life.

And if we are going to look at exploring micromobility,

maybe looking at, as we, you know, consider bringing this

up as an item in December, the opportunities in the

private sector as it relates to micromobility and shared

mobility.

The interesting -- you know, interesting phenomena that has -- you know, that has emerged from these private micromobility companies is that they are

continuously refining their -- their technology and their ability me to gather data from their riders. And local governments, and -- and MPOs are using that data to identify corridors that are being used. And so it's -- it's an interesting way of prioritizing where to put these -- these bike lanes or electric transportation corridors for e-bikes, for e-scooters, for non-motorized. So I just wanted to -- to bring that up as -- as an opportunity for exploration as -- as we kind of open up, you know, our -- our investigations of alternative ways of -- of mobility.

2.2

Finally, I appreciate all the public comments and particularly the parent from Rialto that made mention of safe routes to schools and the need for cool zone corridors. I don't know if that's how you said it, but I'm just going to say cool zone corridors. One of the really impactful things that we at the Commission, and CalSTA, and Caltrans have been doing are these listening sessions throughout California listening to communities that have been most impacted by our transportation investments.

And one of the common things that we -- we heard is children walking and competing with -- with trucks and safety. And so, you know, it's -- we hear you. It's -- it's being conveyed up and down the state in these

impacted communities. Again, it's going to take funding. And I think we need to look at how we make these corridors safer, not just to walk but to get to our transit stops, and to make them comfortable. Because I know, I had -- I had shared this last month that I toured Imperial County in September. It was about 120 degrees. And so I can't imagine folks walking to their transit stop in 120 degree heat. As we're trying to encourage more transit ridership in alternative -- alternative modes of transportation outside our cars, we need to make these kinds of modes comfortable for people and attractive for people to use.

So thank you. That concludes my comments.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you. Okay. I am going to call on Dr. Lyou next and then we have a couple of Board members who are on our Zoom who want to comment, and then I'll come back to the room for a few more folks who want to comment.

So, Dr. Lyou, you're next.

2.2

SO much interest and comments on -- on this item. I'd like to make a proposal and -- because I think we could use some help here and use the collective knowledge of everyone involved. We need more money for a lot of the things we need to do. We don't want to shrink the pie. We don't want to have people competing over the limited

dollars that we have. Even though we got a lot of money, it -- you know, a lot of it's going to good places and it needs to continue to go there.

2.2

So I would like to see if, as our interagencies collaborate, perhaps we could all get together and start thinking of ways to expand the pie to pay for active transportation, to pay for transit operations, to pay for things like that and to come up with some creative ideas. You know, the Governor is talking about doing an excess profits -- profits tax on -- on, you know, petroleum industry. Well, I think I know where that money should go, you know. We don't have an oil extraction tax in California. I think I know where that money could go. You know, I think when you buy a new tire for your car, maybe you could pay an extra buck or so and we could use that to expand active transportation.

There's just probably a lot of really good ideas on where we could raise money to pay for the things that we need to pay for that we've been talking about today. So if we could get staff to start thinking about that coming up with a list and then opening it up for, you know, public ideas with creative solutions. I would love to see us come up with a list of funding opportunities and then, you know, an assessment of the viability of each of them, so we could maybe take some action on that.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you. Okay. Dr. Pacheco-Werner.

CARB BOARD MEMBER PACHECO-WERNER: Hi. Yes, thank you and welcome, Dr. Lugo. It's fun to have another toddler mom on here. And I -- I did want to say that I think I want to kind of come back to how we're defining equity and just the real need for us to all have in this process a uniform definition of equity, because really, you know, to Dr. Sperling's, and Dr. Balmes' comments, and others, I feel like what we're trying to do is sort of, you know, provide parallel investments for people where we are trying to catch up and sort of have a semi -- you know, a functioning public transportation investment for those in poverty, communities of color, and then we're trying to slow down, you know, the VMT issue for everybody else.

And I think that that -- that sort of thinking isn't what's going to get us towards equity. And I want to see how we can reimagine transportation, so that, as Dr. Balmes mentioned in his neighborhood, how would transportation be reshaped if it was actually made for the residents and the workers of his neighborhood? What would we expect of our transportation investments then? And likewise, if -- you know, if we already have communities

that have expanded, instead of trying to, you know, play backwards, why don't we, you know, rebuild what we're thinking about and start prioritizing, you know, light rail throughout the state.

And so, I mean, obviously these are just some ideas, but I do think that I'm concerned about how we think about equity when we are really trying to create parallel systems instead of trying to create the best system that works for everybody where we're at and with the highest expectations of functionality and -- and costs for everyone.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you so much. I think that was a great point.

Okay. Board Member Takvorian and then we'll come back to the room and I know Director Velasquez wanted to the say a few words too, So we'll do a couple commissioners in the room and then back to you, Dr. Velasquez on zoom.

Okay. Board Member Takvorian.

CARB BOARD MEMBER TAKVORIAN: Thank you, Chair. And thanks to everyone. Sorry I can't be there with you today. I really want to appreciate Deputy Secretary Moosavi's presentation on CAPTI and also the thoughtful public comments. I wanted to pick up on Board Member

Balmes's comments recalling the recent CARB meeting where we received a report on the Innovative Clean Transit.

1.3

2.2

And while I agree that there are certainly challenges, I did want to note that the transit agencies expressed a very high level of collaboration, which was quite a different sentiment than four years ago. And I really appreciate that everybody has been really leaning into moving towards clean transit and that CARB is leaning into that with the significant proposed targets in the Scoping Plan for reduction in VMT, and support for transit expansion, even understanding the challenges that we have.

I, too, am concerned about ridership. And there's a lot of reasons for it, but putting it in context is that in many places like in San Diego we're working to build an effective, accessible, affordable, and equitable transit system at the same time as we're working to make it a clean transit system.

And based on a August 2022 report, San Diego MTS ridership has been able to recover approximately 75 percent of pre-pandemic levels with some of the largest increases in ridership along routes that serve major school and employment centers. That increase is going to be limited on those routes, however, because it -- it takes an average of 90 minutes for a worker to get to work one way. I can attest to that. It takes me 12 minutes to

get to my office in National City from my home in City Heights, but it takes me 75 minutes to get there on the bus. So that is certainly a disincentive and something that we need to really address.

1.3

2.2

But I also want to say that our work, and the work of transportation advocates, has shined a light not just on air quality and climate issues, but on the inequities inherent -- inherent in our transit systems. So this is -- I want to echo Commissioner Lugo's comments a bit and say that cost is really critical. We, in San Diego MTF -- MTS, have also experienced very significant ridership increase with the launch of a free transit opportunities program, especially for youth, a 38 percent increase.

So while I'm -- I'm also concerned about the impact of zero-emission requirements on transit agencies, I agree with others that we have to carefully evaluate our funding priorities. So picking up on Dr. Lyou's ideas, it's seems like one partnership path for our agencies is to elevate incentives for transit ridership. In my view, these should exceed the incentives provided for passenger vehicles to address the discriminatory practices in BIPOC communities, which has included a lack of accessible transportation.

And picking up on Dr. Pacheco-Werner's comments

on equity, we have to make sure that zero-emission vehicles and transit are benefiting the disadvantaged communities first, because they're -- they have the worst air quality. So by encouraging transit agencies to have effective environmental justice guidelines and providing better transit to these communities, and I think really providing free or very low cost transit to folks, we're going to be able to change that ridership metric pretty quickly. So that's what I would encourage and agree that we should join together for these funding priorities and funding pushes.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you.

Vice Chair Guardino followed by Commissioner Norton.

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Thank you, Chair Randolph. I'll be brief with just two quick thoughts, and if I may be so presumptuous, potentially suggestions.

First, thank you to all the speakers today both in the room as well as virtual. Hearing from such diverse group of leaders from our regions and across the state ranging from the partners in the ClimatePlan umbrella, as well as the California Alliance for Jobs, and the California Building Trades, and the American Lung Association, NRDC, et cetera, it was just really helpful

that there is so much common ground.

1.3

2.2

And I want to commend you for continuing to emphasize reducing greenhouse gas emissions, VMT, et cetera. And while one or two people mentioned it, I just want to stress again the importance of capturing improving air quality in our messaging. This is a topic that Dr. Joseph Lyou, Chair Randolph, and others have forgotten more than I will ever know. But it has always stuck with me that April 2020 study by Harvard that one of every five deaths on the planet is directly attributable to poor air quality. And so let's continue to message the importance of our efforts that also combine with improving air quality.

The second item, and we mentioned this earlier, about the need to grow the pie and not fight over the crumbs, there are still efforts in counties, cities, and even regional efforts around transportation funding measures. And is that a hint that I should stop?

(Laughter).

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: I get that at home, but again there are -- there are measures that are being considered and are under development in the years ahead for more dollars that should be targeted by design to meet these needs, as the expenditure plans are built in a collaborative community-based way.

I've had the pleasure of directly leading six county and regional campaigns 1996, 2000, 2008, 2016, 2018, 2020, that combined were -- were and are over \$100 billion in transportation investments in -- in the Bay Area region. And those were all -- those were all fingerprints of where our values are in terms of the way that those funds are allocated to address a lot of the issues we're discussing. So continue to engage in those county and regional efforts to build those plans to meet these needs.

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you. Commissioner Norton.

CTC COMMISSIONER NORTON: Thank you so much. And I agree with all the testimony that was given today and how helpful it has been. And thank the commissioners we've just been hearing from.

I wanted to raise two points that haven't been said. And one is that I think we need to talk about why people are driving. And partly it's because we are being asked to make a choice between wealth creation and transportation very often. Drive till we qualify is still happening. And we have the ability in State law to change State law, and to look at condo creation, to look at way more ways in which we can create home ownership near transit. We have that ability. We haven't looked at it

in quite some time.

2.2

We need to be able to give people an opportunity to create wealth, to have access to ownership, while also being near transit, and to ensure that we have high quality transit corridors that are reaching communities that are many single family homeowner communities, which is why we should be seeing suburban communities that are clamoring for bus rapid transit and other things to be able to use transit, even while living in single occupancy neighborhoods.

We -- housing policy makes a big difference towards transit use. And I'm so glad that Director Velasquez is going to be speaking soon about what we can do for students who graduating from our world class institutions and can't stay in California, because they can't afford to live here. We have to stop educating the world and then watching them leave.

I have two kids who don't have their driver's license. They take transit. Young people want to take transit. They don't want to drive. Twenty-four and 20. We should be keeping populations that actually have a history of transit use and want to stay in ways that they can actually stay.

And another policy that I hope we can work on together interagency is our ability to have good high

quality tolling on our freeways that results in high quality transit, and -- and long and dedicated sources for transit operations. We can do this. And I think there are some ways that we could be expediting placement of these corridors, working with Caltrans, and operating high quality transit. We're hear this all over from every region, they want to operate more buses and move towards electrification with funding sources that can even have the private sector participate.

We have tools available to us and I do hope that we can look, as Commissioner Martinez talked about, at the way land use does affect how often we use transit. We can do more. We can do better. And I think we have a legislature and a Governor that are willing to find all means necessary to make it all work in the end.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Director Velasquez followed by Commissioner Davis.

HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: Thank you, Chair Randolph. This is a great conversation. Very inspiring.

I just have a brief comment. I know we're probably running behind. So if you have lately read the news, or even better seen the Governor speak at one of his press conferences where the issue of the press conference is housing - even this morning in the LA Times the article

about local homelessness plans - you'll notice the takeaway of his message is on housing we've provided millions of dollars in local planning grants, we're putting through historic, unprecedented investments in affordable housing and homelessness programs.

But it is contingent upon local jurisdictions to once and for all create their fair share of housing that they've -- many of them have neglected for many years, maybe decades.

Where am I going with this?

2.2

The question is how? And this is where our joint interest come together in this Committee, because we put forth this prohousing designation program that explicitly, explicitly informs jurisdictions what are the best methods to dismantle the barriers that prevents the creation of more affordable housing, but it does so also in a way that advances the goals that we're talking about here. You know, climate and -- clean friendly transportation goals.

And so the fact that CAPTI has, and thanks to CTC, have now incorporated the Prohousing designation as criteria for these three important grant programs of CTC. It' very, very promising.

Now, you heard me say this before, but I think all of our comments should end with, well, what else do we need to do? Some of you have put out that question, what

else do we need to do? Well, I think we can do more. I think we are making a lot of progress, but we can do more because it is. Once a jurisdiction is designated Prohousing by the -- by the State. It is about continue to pile on the different -- tool is a toolbox, right? Incentives that make -- make sure that those jurisdictions create the housing we need in the right places, in the right locations, that are going to incentivize the use of public transit, the use of -- the reduction of vehicle miles traveled, infill housing near where people go -- do their business go to school, go to work, it is -- it is in the criteria of this Prohousing designation where we -- when we pile -- where we pile on all of these goals.

2.2

And this is relevant to the -- I think Ms. Lugo was talking earlier and was asking about displacement, because the way we -- all of our work is equity, equity focused. So the way we reduce displacement effects on low-income people is to create those deeply affordable housing units next to bus stops, next to rail stations. That's how we do it, right? But it has to be based on the accountability as a state that we -- that we ask local jurisdictions, transit authorities, counties, you know, is based -- it's all about accountability to make sure that those decisions happen.

And so accountability is something very important

right now in our -- in our how -- affordable housing body of work. The Governor talks all the time about this. And he talks about it. And if he is forcefully pushing this agenda, why wouldn't the entity, the Department, the agencies under the executive authority commissions do so as well.

2.2

And so I think accountability is going to be critically important for our joint goals. And I just want to just say you probably -- you know, Darwin, you will probably hear some maybe not so positive feedback about these criteria of Prohousing in terms of this funding, but I say this is the way to do it. This is the way to do It. It goes straight to the accountability piece and how the State supports localities in creating the right type of housing that meets transportation and climate goals at the same time.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you.

Commissioner Davis followed by Board Member Hurt.

CTC COMMISSIONER DAVIS: I first want to echo what's been said by several folks. Thanks to all the speakers that are here today for your comments. It provides all of us up here with insight that I think is valuable, so thank you for being here.

I just wonder, Darwin, when would we expect to see some of the work that Mineta Institute is doing and

when might that be available for everybody to take a look at, one? And two, I think it was Mr. Leon from the California Alliance for Jobs talked about collaboration with the construction industry. I mean, you guys all know what my full-time job is. You know, we're -- we're a industry, a majority minority industry. We move those folks into the middle class lifestyle. Most of them, as -- at least all the CTC folks heard me say, I mean, the vast majority of our membership is super commuters.

2.2

And I think collaboration with the construction industry would be helpful, especially as you're moving towards trying to figure out what you're going to do with road charge versus gas tax, so that we don't, you know, disenfranchise people who have sort of lifted themselves up by their own boot straps and created a lifestyle for themselves. And construction employers good ones are hard to find, few and far between. And making it harder for them to compete and stay in business is bad for the industry, one. Two, it's bad for us as a Commission as we award projects when there's less competition.

The money that we have and this money that we keep talking about needing more money for funding. Well, when we're having to pay more money for projects because we're not making decisions that helps -- helps employers stay competitive, then sort of drives our costs up just on

the -- on the -- on the results of -- results of that.

2.2

SB 1 policy sort of dictates how those monies are suppose to be fent -- spent. I suppose as we move from road charge to -- from the gas tax to the road charge that it becomes a -- kind of a non-issue that money might not be spent for where it was originally supposed to be spent, but I'm -- I'm big on the fact that if we sold the public, you know, vote for this and here's what's going to happen, that we don't go back on that deal.

And there's got to be creative ways that we can try to get transportation to work better. I'm an industry -- I'm in -- I'm in an industry where my -- my people are not going to get on a bus, because they're going to be in a truck with all the tools they need.

Their jobs aren't near bus lines. They're not near transit lines. And to be quite frank, we're in Southern California today, there were trucks coming down from Victorville, Phelan, not quite as far as Barstow, right, folks coming from Banning and Beaumont that are going into LA to go to work.

And so, you know, we need to make sure that we're taking care of people, regardless of what industry they're working in, that their job doesn't allow them the opportunity to get on a bus or get on a train to go to work. So I would appreciate that -- you know, I'd be glad

to if -- I would be glad to offer up -- I -- I will find employers that will be willing to participate in whatever study you want to do, so that we make sure we're not impact -- impacting that industry adversely. I worked with the California Alliance for Jobs for clarity. One of my counterparts with the labor is the chairman of that, so -- but, you know, we want to make sure that -- that the industry that helps drive the economy doesn't get hurt in this transition process.

These are transitions we need to make. I mean, you know, no one is denying where we are and where we need to go, but we just want to make sure that good hard working people aren't hurt in the process.

So thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you.

And then I think our last speaker is Board Member

CARB BOARD MEMBER HURT: I'll bring us home and be quick.

(Laughter).

CARB BOARD MEMBER HURT: So I just want to start off with I think the creation of mass seamless public transit is the backbone of our efforts in CAPTI. And I think it's really important that we understand collectively the health of our public transit operations

in the state, because we will need to advocate for funding. And I think data and just like a coming to the table and all understanding, instead of having kind of like, oh, well, in my area the situation is this way, and down south it's that way. Just a collective view and understanding is going to be really essential for the work that we do and the progress that's really necessary.

2.2

I have two questions. And Deputy Secretary, my first one is around this talk about the reduction of VMTs, social equity. And, of course, we heard the 2.5 million new homes that are needed. And I'm wondering if you could share with us any of the roadway pricing working group discussions around equity. I think there's a real concern about the tools and the metrics that are being discussed on supporting those Californians that are not only in disadvantaged communities, but also low-income individuals that are in other communities that have to travel because they cannot live where they work, and what sorts of --hopefully, they're not being penalized, but they're being helped. And so until that housing affordability catches up, what is being discussed about solutions and tools?

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: That's a

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: That's a really, really important and good question. And, you know, I think a couple things. I'll say that the roadway pricing working group that Caltrans is leading, they're

actually, I believe, having another meeting later today. They've been meeting on a quarterly basis and at this stage, I think, have been forming partnership across the state with -- with relevant stakeholders to think about the numerous kind of ongoing efforts around the state and how the State can support those oftentimes local and regional efforts on roadway pricing, which is I think distinct from the road user charge work that Commissioner Davis brought up -- brought up earlier.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The equity concerns I think are front and center. We heard that through the CAPTI process and made sure to embed that in kind of the foundation of what the work group would -- would tackle. I do think that there are actually some -- both existing resources and best practices, as well as, you know, ongoing research in terms of how to center equity in that work. I think there's an actual positive equity opportunity in thinking about how to get -- how to raise revenue using drivers, particularly drivers who have the -- the ability to pay, to have that money through, for example, particularly if you're looking at tolled lanes to create the opportunity for a fast movement of transit on our -- on our highway facility, even maybe hopefully reducing the price of transit a the expense of those drivers who are -- who would be paying that toll.

Obviously, there's a lot of complexities there in terms of -- in terms of making sure that we're not disproportionately burdening certain folks. There's all kinds of things I think that go into that consideration in terms of who actually pays the toll, how does socioeconomic demographics and other things pay into how those tolls are set up. But for now, a lot of the roadway pricing work is -- is happening at local and regional levels. And part of I think what the role of the State group can play is actually bringing some of these overarching concerns, particularly around equity, to the forefront and think about best practices that -- that folks can be employing across the board. But it's -- it's certainly a topic that's front of mind when we -- when we talk about pricing.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CARB BOARD MEMBER HURT: Thank you. And I guess my second question is around, we've talked about projects in the pipeline and how they don't align with California goals. I have one in the Bay Area. I sit on C/CAG and there is a -- a highway expansion project that's been in the works for years. And they're still -- we still have advocates coming forward saying do we really need to do this? So I'm wondering how -- how you all will help publicly either promote that expansion or not and what kind of modifications publicly would you say we should be

doing or not doing in that -- in that future?

1.3

2.2

CalSTA DEPUTY SECRETARY MOOSAVI: Sure. And this is obviously at the -- I think, at the crux of a lot of the challenges with the work under CAPTI in terms of making shifts in our priorities while having projects that have been underway for decades that are -- that are -- that are mid-stream.

I think a lot of what we outlined in -- in CAPTI and how we -- how Caltrans in particular is approaching these issues is that this -- this process is not about picking winning, or losing projects, or communities. There's actual needs wherever those projects have been identified. However, given the goals we have now in certain places, the approaches that we have originally discussed in terms of how to solve those needs may be -- we may now know and have better information about some of the unintended climate-related consequences or equity consequences of those projects.

So Caltrans is actively working through its 12 district offices on a project-by-project basis with local partners to find opportunities to evolve those projects where feasible to better align them with our goals.

Obviously, we have a lot of work underway to make sure that we're prioritizing the right projects for funding, so we're hoping that that drives and that -- that kind of

funding decision-making tool drives an urgency for folks who are pursuing these projects to think about, okay, well, if I'm going to go after State funding, like this is what my project really needs to look like. This is -- this is what's going to be prioritized. How can I shift or evolve my projects to -- to meet that need or amongst my existing project portfolio, which projects would I prioritize to move forward, because of that knowing that it's a limited kind of funding environment.

2.2

So I think there's multiple things in play to help move us towards shifting away from projects that significantly increase VMT and induce additional travel. But, you know, it's -- it's challenging depending on how far along that pipeline those projects are. And I think it's going to be -- have to be a project-by-project kind of basis approach.

CARB BOARD MEMBER HURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you.

Executive Director Weiss wanted to say a few words and then I'm going to try to figure out how to close this really rich discussion. Go ahead.

CTC EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR WEISS: Yeah. I just wanted to mention a couple things relative to pricing. The Commission has a Road Charge Technical Advisory

Committee, which is setting out recommendations for -- for the next road charge pilot. And one of the things that often gets discussed there, and -- and I'm sure, you know, most of the CARB Board members know this, is that people who are often disadvantaged are often driving less fuel efficient vehicles and maybe one -- the ones who benefit from a road pricing system.

2.2

The second is other road pricing efforts in the State require Commission approval also. And so what we're looking -- we have guidelines for that and we have just begun having internal discussions and we'll be talking more broadly with stakeholders about how we update those guidelines specifically to address equity.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you.

Darwin, thank you so much for that update. That was incredibly informative and helpful. And, you know, we talked about a lot of really important issues as part of this discussion. And -- and, you know, some of the key things I think are really the importance, as several folks mentioned, of local action. I loved Commissioner Martinez's point about starting small. And I was interested to hear about the CTC listening sessions.

It's -- you know, listening to community is so important. And a lot of the really great ideas come from that, but so much of this is really about communities stepping forward,

creating housing in a way that doesn't exacerbate the challenges that we were talking about with Commissioner Davis, where, you know, we do -- this state's economy relies on super commuters.

2.2

And it is really challenging sort of meeting our climate goals while understanding, you know, the economic needs of the folks who are -- who are doing all that driving, and doing all that work, and who are challenged in terms of being able to access alternatives. And as Commissioner Pacheco-Werner mentioned, that's -- you know, thinking about the transportation system as, you know, this very, you know, complex interlinking -- interlinked ecosystem that really needs to serve all of those needs, the needs of the people who do have to drive a lot, given our land use decisions and our job locations, and -- and where we can really lean into providing good transit opportunities where that's going to meet the needs of communities there.

And funding is important. I'll say sort of a note of optimism and a note pessimism. I look at my community. I live in Oakland and I look at places like Telegraph Avenue have -- that have completely changed, you know, in terms of the biking infrastructure and the -- and the ways that the city has been able to make things move better. So that's the optimism. I mean, there are things

happening that we can see.

1.3

2.2

My pessimism is this year, there's been incredible investments at the State level, incredible investments at the federal level. I don't know how much longer we're going to have that -- that sort of -- those surpluses. And so while I support my colleagues' goals for trying to think about how we can best get more funding, I think we also need to recognize that we really want to use the funding that we have as wisely as possible, which circles back to a point that Darwin made, which is that we have some really good plans. You know, we have CAPTI. We have the California Transportation -- 2050 Plan.

I recommend once again folks should read this the Sustainable Communities appendix in the Scoping Plan when the -- when the final version comes out later this month, because there are some really good specific ideas and things that we, at the State level, can do and at the local level can do that will really improve and implement these climate change goals that we have in the transportation sector.

So I will -- I think we should circle back with staff. I love our new agenda item of kind of circling back with -- with a report back on things that are happening, and -- and maybe we could spend some time

talking with staff and talking to commissioners before our next meeting about maybe some actionable ideas to uplift some of the work that's already doing and ways that we can encourage ongoing work.

1.3

2.2

This is -- this is a long-term effort. And I know we're on the right path and we just need to roll up our sleeves and make sure that we are getting it done, and where we are seeing a lack of political will to get it done to raise our voices and say, hey, you know, this is where we could use more support and more implementation.

So with that, I will note we are a little behind schedule, so we're going to go ahead and we're going to take our -- a 45-minute lunch break. When we come back, we still have the report on housing on vacant State land and then also some freight issues that we're going to discuss that are so critical and so important right now, but I'm hoping maybe we could do it in a slightly more efficient way.

But I didn't want to cut this discussion short, because, I mean, this -- this discussion really hit on all of the key issues that we think about in -- as we do this work every day. So I really appreciate everyone's input and I -- and the public comment as well. And thank you again, Darwin, for updating us.

And we will be back in 45 minutes. Thank you.

## AFTERNOON SESSION

(On record: 1:00 p.m.)

1.3

2.2

CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Welcome back from our break.

The next item includes a presentation from HCD on the Excess State Land for Affordable Housing Program, which is administered by HCD and the Department of General Services.

A major barrier to reducing vehicle miles traveled is the fact that many Californians cannot afford to live closer to their jobs and the services they need. This forces people to travel long distances and is a major barrier to reducing transportation emissions. For this reason, we must work together to accelerate the production of infill housing in high destination areas. I'm excited to learn more about the status of the Excess State Land Program, where HCD -- and where HCD hopes to go from here, and how the joint body can be a partner in this important work.

Director Velasquez.

HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: Thank you, Chair Randolph. It is my pleasure to introduce our next presenter, Michael Coulom. He's our Innovations Project Section Chief. He will present on HCD's work identifying excess State land for affordable housing development.

This work requires close coordination with over a dozen of State agencies, but very much in particular the Department of General Services, DGS, and Director Ana Lasso who's been a terrific partner in this effort.

2.2

As Michael will show you, we have much to do in addressing the 2.5 million homes needed in California, as I mentioned in my opening remarks, but finding land available for housing is essential to addressing our current affordability crisis. Obviously, land being extremely expensive, very difficult for developers to secure. And this land, you know, has to be secured while helping through reduced emissions through more efficient housing and transportation integration, as we've been talking through the meeting.

So we're excited to showcase some specific examples of projects that achieve these goals and to have a productive discussion that will garner actions. I have no doubt that we can work with you all on to further this program.

So I'll turn it over to Michael now. Thank you. (Thereupon a slide presentation).

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: Thank you, Director Velasquez for the kind introduction and to Chair Randolph for the really thoughtful and concise summation of the problem and the opportunity. I'd like to begin by

thanking the Commissioners and the Board for the privilege and the opportunity of presenting on just one small part of what HCD is doing to address our State's housing and homelessness crises and for your guidance and input as we work collaboratively with our other State partners to achieve shared policy objectives.

2.2

--000--

Michael Coulom. I'm a Section Chief here at HCD overseeing a part of our portfolio of innovative housing policy programs. And over the course of this presentation, I'll provide some high-level takeaways of the statewide housing plan and data strategy that HCD published last year, and overview of Executive Order N-06-19 for excess State lands, and the Executive Order's connections to CAPTI and future opportunities.

I'll -- I'd also like to save space at -- at the end of this presentation and following public comment for questions and discussion with the commissioners and the Board members, as well as Director Velasquez here today.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: The HCD published the statewide housing plan in March of this year, which we're required to do every four years. The results of our comprehensive research and analysis showed

that we have a profound housing supply shortage here in California with a dearth of, as Director Velasquez said, approximately 2.5 million homes just to stabilize the housing market. About a million of those homes that we need need to serve households with low and very low incomes.

1.3

2.2

--000--

statewide housing supply shortage has produced incredible increases in the cost of housing, even before the COVID-19 pandemic with the average of a single-family home reaching an historic high of over \$800,000 in August of 2021. And so to afford the rent for that home and pay the utilities, you would have to earn around \$39 an hour, which is more than double the average income for the five most common job categories of Californians. That includes home health aides -- home health aides, cashiers, fast food workers, laborers, and retail sales people, all of who are essential workers.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: So understanding why housing costs have become so burdensome requires recognizing that housing costs are the result of policy decisions, often rooted in systemic racism within the housing finance system and land use regulations.

Armed with that knowledge though, we can work together to make better policy decisions and address these systemic issues.

2.2

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: So in his leadership of HCD, Director Velasquez has said that for years, California has been underbuilding homes, especially in the affordable housing realm. This lack of supply and sky rocketing rents and home prices have created heavy overwhelming cost burdens on families.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: The statewide housing plan, with that imperative in mind, lays out three key objectives, to keep Californians in their homes, to produce more affordable and climate-smart mousing, and to continue to act with urgency to address homelessness and housing need.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: While all of these are relevant to today's meeting, the one I'd like to focus on for the most part is objective 2, which aims to encourage new housing development in existing communities to reduce vehicle miles traveled and mitigate climate change, while also simultaneously addressing housing need to implement climate resiliency and

sustainable building strategies, research alternative housing models with lower production costs, such as manufactured and factory-built housing that includes labor standards, and to continue holding local governments accountable, for compliance with statewide housing laws.

2.2

--000--

where, in part, Executive Order N-06-19 comes in. Signed by Governor Newsom in January of 2019 shortly after taking office, the Executive Order seeks to leverage partnerships between the State and local agencies to identify innovative affordable housing development opportunities and expedite their delivery as a demonstration for what we can achieve together.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: The Executive Order charges HCD and the Department of General Services, along with our agencies the Business Consumer Services and Housing and Government Operations Agencies to jointly implement the order and develop these innovative affordable housing demonstration projects with the backing and support of the Governor's office and other State agencies, like the California Housing Finance Agency.

The Executive Order first charged DGS, in consultation with HCD, to screen and identify property

excess to the State needs and suitable for affordable housing. We started by analyzing over 44,000 individual parcels in the statewide property inventory, applying numerous screening criteria, confirming whether or not State agencies actually needed that land, and ultimately identified about 100 different development sites. Those can consist multiple parcels that we're now moving forward with.

2.2

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: So flash forward three years, I'm really proud to say that in a very short period of time, HCD and DGS have accomplished a lot together. We've partnered with over 14 different State agencies to award 17 different sites to affordable housing developers. Ultimately, this land will be under HCD's jurisdiction and leased for affordable housing development with a low cost long-term ground lease of 99 years, which will allow the State to ensure affordability on each site in perpetuity and reduce the project's total cost to facilitate development.

Of the different sites that we've developed, we have at least 24 individual projects in a pipeline of over 4,000 new homes, which on average will be available to very low income households making about 50 percent of their area median income. The portfolio also serves a

variety of populations from large families and seniors to farmworkers, educators, veterans, and folks experiencing or at risk of homelessness who will have permanent access to the services they need to stay health and housed.

1.3

2.2

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: And so I'd now like to share a few examples of some of the projects in our pipeline that demonstrate how we're achieving the shared policy objectives of our receptive agencies. All of these projects are a great example of infill housing that we hope can be learned from and replicated across the state.

--000--

apartments is a new mostly nearly waterfront community in unincorporated Marin County, just a stone's throw from the Larkspur rail and ferry terminals. In addition to the geographic benefits of the site as a key infill opportunity, the project is also geared towards a really wide spectrum of the workforce who will make 30 to 120 percent of the area median income. Marin County has a really severe shortage of housing available at these income levels and a big mismatch between the number of essential worker jobs and housing that essential workers can afford. And so as a result, there are a high number

of super commuters in this area and significant greenhouse gas emissions from those commuters in an area that otherwise is a very bucolic and prioritizes environmental conservation

2.2

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: Next up, our team is really excited to celebrate the start of work on Sugar Pine Village, which at 248 units serving households making 30 to 60 percent of the area median income, is the largest multi-family housing project ever entitled in the history of South Lake Tahoe. The Tahoe Basin has some of the most stringent environmental regulations nationwide to protect, particularly water quality of the lake, which this project will exceed in both its construction and operation. With that mission in mind and the incredible levels of partnership between HCD, DGS, and the California Tahoe Conservancy, the project was entitled, financed, and began construction in just two years, which is an amazing feat for any residential project in California.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: Last month, Director Velasquez, Department of General Services Director Ana Lasso, and Business Consumer Services and Housing Secretary Lourdes Castro Ramirez and I had the

opportunity to celebrate with local officials all of the partnerships that this project has borne. The project will consist of new prototype factory-built all electric housing units and has Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities funding to support additional community benefits to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and ensure equitable access and enjoyment of the Tahoe Basin.

1.3

2.2

--000--

the last project that I'll share with you is Sonrisa studios in Sacramento, which is the first project in our portfolio to complete construction. Sonrisa is an incredible model for sustainable construction and operations utilizing cross-laminated timber in lieu of steel and concrete, while also accelerating construction and producing really beautiful units with high ceilings, natural light, and air flow. All residents will also receive passes for the Sac RT light rail, which is just a few blocks from the site. The project also benefited from transit-oriented development funding.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: And so if there's one thing that I hope you can take away from these project examples, it's that the State's inventory of excess sites really does have a critical climate and

transportation impact. While the Executive Order charged HCD and DGS with developing screening criteria for evaluating excess sites for housing suitability, the Legislature even more recently passed Senate Bill 561 and Assembly Bill 2233 to codify the Executive Order into law.

2.2

We're currently working to revise our screening criteria, which include variables concerning access to opportunity, proximity to job centers, education and high frequency public transit, as well as the availability of affordable housing in job and commute sheds. One site that's particularly a great infill opportunity, the image that you'll see at the right of the slide, which is at 440 Arden Way in Sacramento. This site is also right next to a light rail station. And the City of Sacramento has even gone so far as to donate adjacent property to the State, which will allow us to include a child care facility in the project as well as an additional 20 units. So in total the project will be 124 units serving households making 30 to 60 percent of the area median income right on a light rail ine with access to our State Capitol.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: You've already hear about CAPTI today, so I'll keep this slide brief. I can't do as well to speak to it as the Deputy Secretary Moosavi.

But what I would like to focus on today is CAPTI Strategy 7, which aims to strengthen transportation land use connections, particularly Key Action 7.1 that you see up here on the slide, aims to leverage transportation investments to incentivize infill housing production, which I hope you've observed we're focusing on with all of these project examples.

2.2

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: Infill housing has been a really strong focus area in the housing policy space for the last several years. Recognizing that the speed and cost of housing is deeply impacted by local policy decisions. Since 2017, numerous State legislative and regulatory changes have worked to accelerate infill housing production. HCD provides technical assistance to local jurisdictions to comply with State infill housing laws, but there's still a gap between a local jurisdiction doing what is required by law and being a true Prohousing jurisdiction.

So as a result, as the Deputy Secretary and Director Velasquez have also referenced, HCD developed the Prohousing framework to reward the jurisdictions that go beyond the legal requirements to accelerate housing production particularly in climate-smart locations.

Housing developments, including excess sites that

are in Prohousing jurisdictions, can expect multiple benefits, including lower project costs, quicker timelines, entitlement streamlining or by right approvals.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: So a quick little line from CAPTI that you'll see up there is that, "Building upon the Prohousing Standard developed by HCD, competitive funding programs will explore adopting incentives for local policies that tend to support location-efficient and mixed-use housing production". glad to say that there's already been really strong implementation of this action with Prohousing rewards incorporated into the Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities Program, Infill Infrastructure Grant Program, Transformative Climate Communities, and Transit Intercity Rail Capital programs. And more recently, it's really great to see that our partners here at the table today have incorporated Prohousing into the Local Partnership Program and Solution for Congested Corridors Program as well.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: And so rounding it all out, we're really excited about a site that has the potential to demonstrate the convergence of State and local policy objectives for infill and

climate-start housing. DGS and Caltrans have preliminarily identified an approximately 17-acre site in the City of Oceanside that will benefit from planning work that the city has already been conducting with Caltrans and HCD grants specifically designed to facilitate housing and transportation linkages.

1.3

2.2

This includes the Sustainable Communities Program funding for smart and sustainable corridors, as well as HCD SB 2 planning grants to create incentive districts for workforce housing along commercial corridors. What's really exciting about this site though is that it's something of a unicorn. There are numerous complications to working with property acquired with State and federal transportation dollars, but preliminary research has indicated that this property was actually deeded to the State and can be leased for permanent affordable housing under HCD's jurisdiction. So we included this site in a request for developer qualifications and we look forward to receiving responses from the development community on Monday. So we'll have hopefully some more good news to share very soon.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: Earlier this year, the State Auditor completed a review of State agencies' implementation of the Executive Order finding

that DGS's identification of affordable housing opportunities will benefit thousands of Californians and that State agencies are in substantial compliance with the Executive Order. But the Auditor also found that we could be doing more to unlock and solicit additional sites.

2.2

Lastly, the audit found that the Legislature should codify certain elements of the Executive Order, which, as I mentioned previously, the Legislature has supported with the passage of Senate Bill 561 and Assembly Bill 2233.

--000--

that end, we're encouraged and cautiously optimistic to see that our federal partners are revisiting their position on housing as a transpor -- transportation use with GSA, HUD, and HHS all working on new guidelines for Title 6 -- Title 5 of McKinney Vento Act, which if you are not as a federal transportation nerd, which I certainly am not, permits reuse of federal property for housing and homelessness solutions, as well as recent DOT grants for infill housing and TOD, and forthcoming guidelines and financial support for projects that include residential development.

Should our federal partners move forward with these, it would be really highly impactful funding sources

and policy direction to unlock additional public lands for affordable housing, and we stand by ready to receive that guidance should they release it.

--000--

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: So lastly, we've prepared these questions that we would love to discuss with the commissioners and the Board members following public comment. After public comment, we can return to these. And I would ask Director Velasquez to return and facilitate.

And you can go to the final slide.

--000--

 $\label{thm:manager} \mbox{HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM:} \mbox{ Thank you.}$  That concludes my presentation.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. So now we have the opportunity to hear public comment. And I'll ask the Board clerks to call public commenters.

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Thank you. We have no public comments.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Okay. Thank you.

All right.

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Actually, I' sorry. It looks like a hand just went up in Zoom.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Okay.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: So I will call on

Beverly Yu. Beverly, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

2.2

BEVERLY YU: Thank you very much. Madam Chair, members, Beverly Yu on behalf of State Building

Construction Trades Council California. On item 3 with respect to the issue of affordable housing on excess State lands, we urge the Board, CTC, and HCD to ensure that land grants, incentives, tax breaks, and streamlining include a corresponding responsibility to pay and treat the construction workers building the housing fairly. And this means ensuring that the prevailing wage is paid and a skilled and trained workforce is utilized.

We often hear that it just doesn't pencil out to pay entry construction workers fairly. Meanwhile, developers are profiting from this housing crisis without any attempt by the State to rein in the millions of dollars these so-called affordable housing developers are pocketing.

A recent use UC Berkeley did a study last summer showing that nearly half of residential construction workers are being paid so little that they depend on State services to care for their families. The study also exposes the rampant wage theft that occurs in residential construction. Meanwhile, these developers continue to profit and do so with incentives from the State.

At California State Building Trades, we are prepared to build our way out of this housing crisis, but we should not be expecting them to do so for substandard wages on unsafe job sites. Appreciate your time today.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you. And that concludes the commenters for this item.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right.

Thank you. Director Vas -- Velasquez, is there a -- do you want to kick things off? I will tell you I have Board Member Riordan who would like to make a comment and Dr. -- sorry, I'm writing it down. Sorry -- Dr. Lugo and Board Member -- I'm sorry Commissioner Martinez, Commissioner Davis, and -- okay.

HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: Sure. Thank you. Yeah,
I think it will be --

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Let's go then. All right.

HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: -- great to hear from
the Commission and Board members. Yeah.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Board Member Riordan.

CARB BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: Thank you, Madam

Chair. I just wanted to say for yesterday I was given an opportunity to visit one of these sites with the staff and really enjoyed this presentation. The -- this is a piece

of property that is on one side very much focused towards a residential area. It is, on the other side, adjacent to a Caltrans operation, meaning a freeway, but it is a great example of what we can do at a local level of using what was Cal Fire's, you know -- they hadn't used it for probably years or at least it looked like it hadn't been used. And this is Mulberry Gardens Apartments.

2.2

And the key to the success of this, I think, is everyone working together. And clearly, and we met her yesterday, the Riverside City Council Member that -- in whose district this will be located, was front and center, and probably the strongest advocate for this kind of a project, and I suspect will assist in any way she can to coordinate between the developer, the State departments that are going to be involved, and the City of Riverside. And that's what's going to make this happen. And there are quite a few units. Remind me, what, 200 and some odd units or more.

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: Two hundred and nine, I think.

CARB BOARD MEMBER RIORDAN: Yeah. And it's a great site. It's going to have senior housing plus families. And the first phase will be the seniors, and then they'll bring in the housing for the families. And it should be probably finished maybe totally about what

2025. So it's on the road to -- and passed CEQA, did all the things that are necessary, with the exception of financing. And financing can be an issue, because that goes far beyond what the State government, the local government can do. So they've got to secure that financing. But it's a great project and I'm sure the HCD would be happy to show any other Commissioner or Board members that. It's only about three miles from this building.

Thank you.

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Yeah, thank you.

All right. Dr. Lugo.

Thank you Chair Randolph. Thanks so much for the presentation. We all know what a timely and urgent matter this is. And so I'm really glad that we get to be here talking about how to coordinate across our different Board, and Commission, and departments.

I -- to -- to your two questions in terms of, you know, how we can further implementation, I -- I was aware of a case in the LA area near where I live. And then this week took the time to learn some more about the situation with Caltrans-owned housing along the formerly planned 710 corridor in El Sereno in the City of LA and South Pasadena and Pasadena. And I'm aware that my colleagues on the

Transportation Commission have shown some just fantastic leadership in the recent years in stewarding forward a community-led effort to -- to move away from expanding the 710 Highway, which is great.

2.2

However, this -- this community-led effort that has been happening around actually utilizing long vacant Caltrans-owned housing doesn't seem to have made it to the attention of the Commission in an official way yet. So I'm really glad to just have the opportunity to bring up what I think could be a really fantastic case study or example for our different departments to work together around, which is, you know, in -- in the case of this Caltrans-owned housing along the 710 Corridor, I -- I'm not an expert on the ins and outs of what has happened in the last few years, so I don't want to misrepresent, you know, my knowledge here, but it's clear that there is a more proactive role that the Commission could be taking because it is Caltrans-owned property.

It's also clear that, you know, this fantastic commitment to avoiding displacement that we've heard, you know, across the board today, you know, if we don't take action, we are actually going to be seeing displacement and evictions happening in the case of this housing. And I think it's also a fantastic case for looking at, you know, how can the reconnecting communities' effort being

led at the federal level, and, of course, being supported in our state really examine not just, you know, places where freeways divided communities and destroyed housing, but also places where we're turning away from freeway expansion. And, you know, we've got just this -- it's just like a -- this really great, great case study we could be doing. And so I just want to bring that to our attention.

2.2

And the last thing I want to say about it is I am just so impressed and, you know, happy about the commitment to working with communities that has been coming up, you know, in different spaces and in the presentations today. And as someone who previously served on the Board of a community land trust, I want to, you know, remind us all that working with community happens at the speed of community. And we are probably going to have to, you know, look in the face and make decisions about sometimes shifting our expectations for efficiency, shifting our expectations in terms of what success looks like.

The stories that I was hearing this week from United Caltrans Tenants and the Reclaiming our Houses activists, and the El Sereno Community Land Trust were that they have, mostly through volunteer work, put together this tremendous infrastructure for supporting

permanent affordable housing in their community. However, they have been side-stepped in favor of partnership with more traditional public agencies that don't have such a good record of showing support for community leadership.

2.2

So I think we have a great opportunity to talk about Caltrans-owned properties, affordable housing with the 710 housing. And I think we should also be ready to learn and -- and come up with some ideas of what success looks like that puts community leadership at the forefront in an authentic way.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you. Commissioner Martinez.

CTC COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Thank you, Madam
Chair. And maybe some of the questions I'm going to ask,
Mr. Velasquez or his staff that are here will be able to
answer. But I will go to the questions, but my colleague
Clarisa Falcon had to take another meeting, but she did
have a question, so I wanted start off with that first.
So she wanted to know if there was opportunities as it
pertains to unsheltered for the unhoused and what
guidelines specifically does HCD have as it pertains to
expediting the process that you have to house unhoused
folks. And, you know, from a funding perspective, what
does that actually look like for you all, because I know
the conversations that we've -- that we've been having is

strictly for affordable housing. But in many respects permanent supportive housing, you know, or veterans housing when it pertains to homelessness is still utilizing vouchers is still affordable housing. And so how do we figure out ways of how to expedite on specifically if you -- if you all don't have one to meet the needs of -- of housing for the unhoused currently now throughout the state? That's the first question.

2.2

get started and then if Michael wants to contribute. But as -- I just want to emphasize how important housing for people who are chronically homeless is across our portfolio. You know, this is just one of more than a dozen programs that we have, including our well known Homekey program that has in the last two years, in a short period of time, created 12,500 units of mostly permanent interim -- interim and permanent supportive housing for the homeless. This has been a program that's been modeled in other parts of the country. And we're -- we're proud of having delivered that program in this state, mostly with federal funding related to the COVID-19 pandemic.

But specifically to this program and to many other programs above and beyond Homekey, housing that is for people that earned less than 30 percent, extremely low income people, or people who are unsheltered. That is a

priority. It's just that housing, you know, takes a lot to plan. It takes a huge amount of financial commitment, because of the services that have to be associated with assisting people who were formerly or at risk of homelessness. And this program also prioritizes that. It's just that it goes, you know, above and beyond just that one segment of the population, so -- but we do prioritize in all of our programs that we have, in all of the criteria, specifically even the tax credit and tax exempt bonds program, you know, billions of dollars for the creation of affordable housing. We prioritize first and foremost people who will be housed that experience homelessness.

1.3

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER MARTINEZ: Thank you, Mr.

Velasquez. And to her point when we were talking about this, and one thing that I would just bring to your attention is you know and we're all ware of NIMBYism and the lack of courage sometimes from elected officials. And I can say that. I'm a former elected official. I first served for 12 years in the Santa Ana City Council. So I know what courage looks like and I though what courage does not look like and I can speak to that.

But because when we're dealing with unhoused folks, it even becomes even more difficult to build that kind of housing and then the -- then add the additional

layer, the mental housing and the substance abuse housing. But this is where I believe we can leverage the power of State government. You know, you have these access -- excess land where, you know, you can help local government and counties government go towards hey its no your opinion and county government go towards, hey, it's not longer your responsibility. We're giving you this land to actually build this in partnership with the private sector to make this happen. And it reduces a lot of the NIMBYism.

2.2

And so I think it's imperative of us to keep that in mind, because typically when we're trying to look for housing for unhoused folks, it becomes even more difficult when we're trying to get a developer, a non-profit developer going through that entire process. But if we can break that barrier by utilizing State or federal land, it gives us an advantage for several reasons, whether it's by right asking to -- to make changes to the process in regards to expediting permitting. All of that, the local government can move forward and do that by utilizing State land.

On -- on that note, I wanted to move in regards to the successor -- going back to local government, we have re -- the dissolution of redevelopment agencies and then now we have the -- kind of really the end of the road

here for the successor agencies. There are a lot of local governments that have not utilized that land and it's coming — the chickens are coming home to roost and so that property is going to be going to the State of California. Do we know at this time in regards to the ROPS that they've been submitting what percentage potentially the State of California will get those assets throughout the entire state. I'm not sure if you guys have taken a look into that. But I know since 2012 and the creation of redevelopment agencies and successor agencies, they had to either identify and sell those properties, but they had a period of time to do so. And that time is coming to expire. And if they weren't able to do that, the State is going to take full control of those assets.

1.3

2.2

And so, you know, like I was in the City of Rialto yesterday visiting, and they made that point. We have that land. We've got to do something soon. If not, it's going back to the State. And so that's something for us for you all to look into.

My last question and as it pertains to your two questions, one and two, in regards to what do we prioritize in regards to access land or choosing an affordable housing, just like Commission Lugo said, we need to ensure that there is co-design and creation, you

know, before a plan is created with community. You know, having developers, you know, going out into the community, hey, we think this is what, you know, this community should have as it pertains to affordable housing, but it's really kind prescribing sometimes, a lot of times, and you don't have the community really buy-in. And there -- there creates a lot of frustration and -- and I'm in this line of work. And so sometimes I see that.

2.2

And certainly because of the guidelines of the State and so how can we create more flexibility to ensure that community is first. It's not in -- you know, in the later parts of the phase of the development and -- it's not just about one bedroom apartments and we want a community center, we want a day car center. But they should be a part of what -- what this -- what these developments are going to look like on these State properties.

And one great example as we visited yesterday, and we were talking about transportation, a lot of the issues comes down to funding, right? It's very difficult to afford affordable housing and the funding stack takes years to -- to move through that process and tax credits. But beyond some of the programs and funding that the State has, what other funding or planning mechanisms are available for local government to expand and continue to

move this process fast, because you all said that this is a pretty expedited process. It's still taking, you know, four plus years.

1.3

2.2

And so, you know, when we're talking about reimagining what hosing looks like, we need to reimagine what affordable housing looks like, and we need to understand that the traditional way of building affordable housing has to change, because we need to get things up quick and moving. And I know there's been conversations and laws that have been passed in regards to modular house and prehab -- prefab. But as well, we need to ensure that the workforce and jobs are there and a trained workforce to move us in that direction and wanting to make sure that that is part of the criteria as one of the speakers just mentioned prior as well.

And the last thing that I would just say is that the incentives, whether it's through CARB or other agencies in regards to electrical vehicles, e-bikes, folks that are under the affordable housing and especially the very, very low income folks, look, the reality -- and I come from a city where 56 -- 56 percent of the residents of Santa Ana didn't have access to a personal vehicle, 56 percent. These are very impoverished folks. Many of them take public transportation. They're riding a bike. They're walking and that's throughout communities of

California.

2.2

Not everyone lives in Berkeley, or Davis, where they have the luxury to afford a Tesla or another electrical vehicle, or even an electric bike. Someone just said this the other day, well, you want me to ride an electric -- I can't even afford a regular bike and now you want me to pay for a \$3,000 bike. This makes no sense. And the incentives -- you know, most folks even at the lowest threshold of -- don't even qualify -- it's not that they don't even qualify, they just don't even have the money to afford to even purchase that electric bike even with the incentives.

And so what can we do whether, you know, through these guidelines and process to make it more accessible for those folks to transition to be able to utilize electrical bikes, electrical cars, and in -- and on these developments, especially with affordable housing, removing parking requirements so that we can add more housing, right, and/or parking that creates availability for clean transportation, for other modes of -- of walking and biking. So that you have a sense of community and that we're really trying to push the envelope to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions.

Because if we're continuing to tell local government and laws are being placed that you need to

reduce your parking minimums, but then on affordable housing on State land, we're still allowing parking, you know -- and I get it, people need -- especially in areas like here in Riverside, there's sprawl, right? And so some people need a car. But, you know, are we going to wait the 10, 15 years for that to happen to transition or what can we do to lead first? And so with that, those are my comments.

And I just hope that as we move forward, that we do really center community first in ensuring that whatever, you know, developers you all choose to build this housing, that they are centered first. That they don't come at the -- at the very end, because at the end of the day, we're wanting to ensure that they are the co-creators and the design of the places that they're going to call home.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you.

Commissioner Davis.

1.3

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah. First, I'd like just to commend you for the presentation and for the work that you guys are doing. I mean, you're talking about changing the lives and maybe hopefully they're to dream it becomes a generational, right? I mean, that's really what we want to see.

But I want to piggyback on a comment that I think

Beverly Yu made earlier. I mean this is a dirty and a dangerous industry. And the State, in my opinion, really can't be a partner to allowing this exploitation of the workforce, a workforce that's largely minority, largely immigrant. And if you don't put in labor standards of some sort, prevailing rate, skilled trained workforce, which then would require people to become -- through an apprenticeship program, which leads to a career, not just a job, right? So not only are you going to change the lives of the people who have moved into the buildings, but you're going to change the lives of the people who are building the buildings, right? So that you're talking about real change.

2.2

But if the State doesn't put in some parameters for some sort of workforce protection, you're just becoming a partner to the general exploitation we see in the housing development market.

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: Thank you for the comment Commissioner Davis and thank you as well to the commenter who called in. I didn't make this a point in my presentation, which perhaps is a fault of mine. But because this is State lands, because the State is retaining ownership of it, all the construction is subject to prevailing wage law.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Okay. Great. Yeah. Thank

you for that clarification.

2.2

Dr. Balmes.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Thank you, Chair Randolph. And I want to thank the previous speakers, the commissioners. I really enjoyed hearing your perspective and am pleased that we're pushing for social justice with regard to both the jobs, building the affordable housing, and community input, which I totally agree, you'll get much more useful projects if the community is in. But I recognize, as I think Dr. Lugo said, that that may slow the it process, but I think the end product made benefit from that.

But I have some specific questions, which I think might be a little easier to answer. So I was looking at slide 19 with the screening criteria for excess sites.

And those all seemed like appropriate criteria. But from sort of a CARB point of view in reducing vehicle miles traveled, the proximity criteria, proximity to job centers, proximity to education, proximity to public transit are sort of important. And so I guess my question specifically, Michael, is do these criteria get differential weighting at all? I mean, there is, well one, two, three, four, five, six different criteria, and, you know, how are they weighted? And of the sites that have already been awarded, you know, how many of them

scored well on these criteria, just to get a sense?

1.3

2.2

Thank you for that question. So I think I'll take the second half of your question first. How many of these sites scored well? They all scored well, but some of these, I think as you can understand, may be conflicting criteria. And so, for example, the site that we had the opportunity to visit yesterday here in Riverside, the closest bus stop is about 0.4 miles away from the site, which is less than desirable. But at the same time, we understand that the gap between supply and demand here in Riverside is really extreme. So we do have to balance those factors.

Additionally, though all of these sites do -they do score -- that we have started developing thus far
have scored highly. And the reason that that's important
is because many of these screening criteria also aline
with the scoring for competitive housing finance programs.
So it's been important to us that not only are we going to
select a site that scores well for us, but it's a site
that ultimately will get built.

And then as to your question for weighting, that's something that we are looking at very closely right now. We're in the midst of revising these screening criteria, looking at not just how do we weight them, but

specifically are there any improvements that we can make to how we measure them.

2.2

The Executive Order is actually a document that establishes many of these in writing. And it, in some parts, is more prescriptive than others about which data sources we're using. And so we will continue to use those data sources, but we're looking at if we can add any additional nuance to the screening criteria. So if -- if you have any recommendations or suggestions from anybody the Commission or the Board, we really welcome those.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: And just one kind of follow-up question. So again, from a CARB perspective, the proximity criteria are, you know, important to us. And this is one program, you know, under your Department's aegis. How about other programs and do you use the same kind of proximity criteria for those other programs?

HCD SENIOR INNOVATION MANAGER COULOM: Yes, we do. I think some of my other colleagues at HCD could speak to this in greater detail. In large part, these relate to our funding programs, which is under our Division of State Financial Assistance. But yes, we do seek to -- to mirror and align those for reasons of achieving these shared policy objectives, but also making sure that we have -- we have projects that we can finance.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Yeah, because I mean

the big -- the bottom line is we'd like to see programs for more housing and affordable housing be as climate goal oriented as possible.

Thank you.

Board Member Hurt.

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you.

CARB BOARD MEMBER HURT: Thank you, Madam Chair. So I really like the screening criteria for the excess sites, but I'm also thinking about the greatest health outcomes and promoting that criteria, so that we're away from the exposure to pollutants and emissions. And I know that there's a tension there, because we're saying let's build where transit corridors are and job sites, but if we're talking about excess land, maybe that's a second phase of review, but let's go with those spaces that have the great health outcomes.

Thank you.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thanks so much for all the comments on this item.

This is a really great program. It's one of those things you see -- you hear about at it's inception, you know, like hey can we build housing on surplus land and then you see these projects tart to come to life and it kind of harkens back to our earlier discussion about, you know, are we -- are we going to actually make

progress? Are these things going to happen? So I really appreciate that this is an example where things are happening and people are now have homes that wouldn't have otherwise. So thank you so much for your work on this program. Thank you, Director Velasquez.

2.2

Okay. So our last item -- agenda item today is going to be focusing on zero-emission freight system, which is a guiding principle for CAPTI. CAPTI emphasizes creating a freight system that reduces greenhouse gas emissions criteria pollutants, toxic air contaminants, and protects public health. And these priorities align with CARB's work in supporting the deployment of zero-emission medium- and heavy-duty vehicles. And so it's critical as we're thinking about transitioning the freight sector, that the right charging and fueling infrastructure is in place, which requires targeted investments of limited public resources. And so prioritizing where we make those investments has to be coordinated closely with industry, stakeholder and -- stakeholders and environmental justice communities.

So our original plan was to have two presentations, the second one was going to be about Caltrans work addressing zero-emission infrastructure needs in the California Freight Mobility Plan. We're going to kind of set that one aside and perhaps revisit

that at a future meeting, and instead focus on CTC and CARB's collaborative process to identify the infrastructure needed to support zero-emission vehicles in specific freight corridors.

2.2

So we are going to be hearing about the progress our two agencies have made in collaboratively developing the SB 671 assessment. And this assessment, which is called the clean freight corridor efficiency assessment will designate specific freight corridors and the infrastructure needed to support the deployment of mediumand heavy-duty zero-emission vehicles in those corridors based on the potential for emissions reductions impacts on neighboring communities and other factors.

So CTC, which has been tasked with leading this effort, has been a highly collaborative partner with CARB in engaging stakeholders and compiling the research that's necessary for this project. So this presentation is a chance to consider all of the available factors that could be used to identify needed infrastructure, along with options for aligning specific funding programs with those corridor designations.

 $\label{eq:solution} \mbox{So I will turn it over to staff for the} \\ \mbox{presentation on this item.}$ 

(Thereupon a slide presentation).

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON: Good

afternoon, commissioners and Board members. I am

Katherine Garrison. I work in the Freight Policy Section
in the Transportation and Toxics Division at CARB. I'm an
expert on ZEV infrastructure and thank you for having us
here today.

You'll hear a joint update from CARB and CTC on implementing SB 671. I will touch on the importance of having a corridor approach and then you'll hear from Hannah Walter on implementing SB 671.

The Legislature and the Governor -- next slide, please.

--000--

## ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON:

-- recognized the need for a corridor approach to planning zero-emission infrastructure last year when signing SB 671 into law. It is important for you to know that this legislation Requires CTC to coordinate with CAB, the California Public Utilities Commission, the California Energy Commission, and the Governor's Office of Business and Economic Development, GO-Biz.

This approach considers the potential for emission reductions, impact on neighboring communities, and other factors.

Next -- slide 3.

--000--

2.2

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON: Freight fuels our economy. About a third of the state's economy in employment is tied to freight. This activity comes with a cost. It is important for you to know that the freight sector is responsible for almost half of the air pollution in the state from mobile sources.

This picture shows a hazy band of dirty air that accumulates in Southern California over warehouses and residential communities. Currently, California is the nation's largest gateway for international trade and domestic commerce with an interconnected system of ports, railyards, highways and roads, that transport freight. This transport activity generates air pollution. Air pollution impacts our health. As shown in the picture, communities are disproportionately burdened by the negative health impacts shown from moving freight. These communities breathe unhealthy levels of air pollution and suffer the health impacts.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

--000--

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON: Thank you.

Two years ago, our Governor issued Executive Order N-79-20 emphasizing California's commitment to accelerating -- accelerating the deployment of ZEVs,

zero-emission vehicles. SB 671's focus is on heavy-duty trucks. I want you to realize that the middle two ZEV targets shown on this slide are captured there.

--000--

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON: Freight activity. Our programs are housed in many agencies, not just one agency. When developing planning and documents, we all work together.

Next slide.

2.2

--000--

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON:

Policies may include regulatory activity. CARB has committed through the Scoping and State strategies for the State Implementation Plans to develop zero-emission regulations to reduce emissions from transporting freight. The next three slides touch on regulatory activities to reduce emissions from trucks.

--000--

week, the CARB Board heard staff's presentation on Advanced Clean Fleets. This was the first of two Board meetings that will consider the proposal. The second Board meeting will be in the spring of 2023. For the benefit if of the Commissioners, this slide summarizes this groundbreaking proposal that complements --

complements Advance Clean Trucks, which requires manufacturers to sell ZEVs.

2.2

ACF proposes to transition public, drayage, and high priority fleets to 100 percent ZEVs by 2040. The earliest requirements for fleets to begin transitioning to ZEVs start in 2024. On the hundred percent requirement of all Class 2b through 8 vehicles sold into California must be ZEVs. The Board directed staff last week to engage with manufacturers on the possibility of moving this date up to 2036.

--000--

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON: Both Advanced Clean Trucks and the proposed Advanced Clean Fleets will provide heavy-duty ZEVs rolling down the freight corridors. Hydrogen fueling and truck charging will be needed.

This graph shows the anticipated number of heavy-duty ZEVs on the road in the future. What I'd like you to understand is that these heavy-duty ZEVs will need both retail and private hydrogen fueling stations and chargers. Fueling along freight corridors will be critical.

--000--

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON: Next -- this shows slide the models of ZEVs being produced and the

number of models for each vehicle cost size. I'd like you to see that a wide variety of configurations is available.

Next slide.

1.3

2.2

--000--

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON: I'd like you to know we are considering equity at every step in this transition to ZEVs. ZEVs have to work for everyone. There should, in fact, be a pathway to improving transportation, reducing the pollution to underserved communities and disadvantaged populations. And in that, fueling infrastructure cannot be a barrier to truck owners and operators transporting freight. When building something new, we have the opportunity to do things differently do them right from the start. That exists now with ZEV infrastructure. We must keep equity and accessibility in mind while creating new systems for fueling.

--000--

ARB TTD AIR RESOURCES ENGINEER GARRISON: I would like to tell you that in our collaborating with CTC and other energy and transportation agencies, we each realized our interdependence and connectedness and how vital it is that statewide transportation planning consider ZEV infrastructure. Deploying ZEVs and providing fueling stations and truck charging hubs require a corridor

approach that will reduce the localized pollution impact to communities.

1.3

2.2

I'd like you to know what we've learned from Advanced Clean Fleets and Advanced Clean Trucks development processes that fleets need the following, both electricity and hydrogen will be relied upon by fleets. Sometimes the fleet may need both.

Equitable access is a priority especially for small and owner-operator fleets. A public contracted off-site fueling network that supports fleets with and without access to their own depot fueling is important. With the proposed implementation schedule for ACF, we need a rapid and large-scale deployment plan. Fleets want assurance that the grid will be able to handle the increase and sometimes concentrated load, and fueling standards geared to heavy-duty vehicles in both electricity and hydrogen are needed to ensure reliable fueling.

Hannah, I'd like you to know how grateful CARB staff is for you and CTC's staff openness in collaborating with CARB on this important work.

Hannah, please take over.

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: Thank you, Katherine and I really appreciate CARB staff. They've been great to work with. So thank you.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Why don't you get closer to the mic.

1.3

2.2

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: I know. I was just thinking that. Thank you.

So my name is Hannah Water. I'm an Associate Deputy Director with the California Transportation Commission. And I'll be giving you a brief update on where we're at with Senate Bill 671.

--000--

I'd just like to note what the assessment is covering.

There are a number of bill requirements which you see here. And we are gathering information so that we can talk about these in the report. And essentially what we're doing is identifying the infrastructure gap. In other words, based on the amount of zero-emission trucks that will be on the road as a result of the Advanced Clean Trucks and Advanced Clean Fleets rule, approximately how many stations would we need to support those vehicles on a year by year basis starting in 2024? How much would that cost and what are the approximate time frames associated with those stations.

We're working with the California Energy
Commission, the Public Utilities Commission, and some
utilities to try -- also with the Army Corps of Engineers

who the Commission has a contract with their engineering research and development center staff to model this information. And so we're looking not just at the stations themselves and optimal locations for freight, but also at what electric infrastructure is needed to support those stations. So we're working on modeling that all out, and we plan to include it in the report. And our goal is that this will be a report that is one State plan supported by multiple State agencies and also informed by and supported by industry.

Next slide, please.

2.2

--000--

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: So equity, we wanted to just touch on some of the ways that we're looking at it in the assessment. We're using CalEnviroScreen 4.0, which has air quality and other socioeconomic factors to help us identify the top five freight corridors that we want to focus on related to diesel pollutants primarily.

--000--

also discussing methods to avoid displacement in the report. Then SB 671 does not have its own funding source. So right now, there are several possible existing funds that people can apply for for funding to build stations.

One of them is Energy Commission funds. They have 1.4 billion. It's not all for medium- and heavy-duty, but a lot of it is, 690 million, and some other funds that they would be eligible for. So there are existing grant programs out there, but we do not have funding through this report for projects.

Next slide, please.

1.3

2.2

--000--

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: I wanted to touch on the National Electric Vehicle Infrastructure funds, NEVI. It can be confusing about how this fits in. So this funding program, the formula program specifically, is being led by Caltrans and the Energy Commission.

California has about 384 million for the first five years. And that funding is specific to passenger vehicle infrastructure, but Caltrans staff have said that if they can meet the goals for those passenger vehicles in the first five years, then they're open to also using some of those funds for medium— and heavy—duty vehicles.

Next slide, please.

--000--

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER:

Alternative fuel corridors are federally designated corridors. And in order to be funded through NEVI, you have to be along one of these corridors. So

178

Caltrans staff and Commission staff are working together to make sure that our priority clean freight corridors are also alternative fuel corridors.

Next slide, please.

--000--

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: This is a look at the state -- like the whole state for the priority corridors that we identified for Senate Bill 671. And these are corridors that the work group members have identified as priorities for freight, and for electrification, and hydrogen, so for zero-emission infrastructure.

And the next slides --

--000--

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: -- go into more detail, but I'm just going to ask our --

--000--

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: Thank you. We're going to move through these quickly.

--000--

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: There are quite a few of the major highways in the State, including the  $710 \, --$ 

--000--

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: -- and

areas down by the border.

1.3

2.2

What I just want to focus in in this slide is that the draft is due August of 2023 and the final report is due December 1st, 2023.

Next slide, please.

--000--

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: And all I really wanted to say on this slide is that we really are leaning on our modeling efforts with our State agencies and on some input from the Trucking Association, from different members of industry to try to put together our cost, time, and infrastructure assessments. And so we're hoping to identify all of those things as quickly as possible.

Thank you.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you so much.

Okay. We are now ready to hear from the public on this item. So I will ask the clerks if we have any public commenters?

AMPARO MIRAMONTES: Hi. I'm Amparo Miramontes and thank you for the opportunity to speak. Thank you for the presentation.

Although I think we can all agree that the reduction of fossil fuel emissions are -- and especially in the Inland Empire, the by-product of diesel emissions is literally choking us out. I think there's a concern that we have as a community. It's with the introduction of hydrogen as a fuel. And one of those things is it's lack of efficiency. So if you start out with 100 watts to go into powering your vehicle, by the time you're done, it's at 38 watts. So that's 38 percent efficient, where as electricity is 100 watts to 80. So you do lose a little bit, but it's far more efficient.

2.2

And I think the reason we're so concerned is it the storage of the hydrogen, the movement of the hydrogen from place to place, and overall the asset life cycle for hydrogen technology management, the equipment that goes into that for long-term storage, transportation, and just like capturing and moving it into the energy that is required for vehicle use.

So I -- we spent a lot of time over the last few months talking with a speculative company that's looking at the ports to convert to hydrogen for, you know, long transportation and/or for moving cargo, and we can't seem to understand the safety imple -- implementations that they're -- they're going to be executing to prevent the community from unwanted exposure or leaks. And with much

of this, we haven't seen any of the actual cost analysis of hydrogen to electrification.

So I guess what we're trying to ask is we don't know how much -- what the balance is between the hydrogen technology versus the electrification. We'd like to see more date on that to see what that looks like, and we'd like to see a cost analysis to see what the long-term costs from the asset life cycle from cradle to grave for the hydrogen as well as the electrification uses.

So -- and lastly, where these are going, again it's going into communities that are already -- they're already overburden with all kinds of toxicity. So we'd like you to really consider just, if we could, reduce the amount of hydrogen technology we're integrating, because by the time it's able to be implemented, we speculate that electrification will be so far advanced. And the storage and movement of electricity is far more efficient, and, you know, we're really, really, really concerned about what hydrogen storage and the processing will look like.

Thank you.

1.3

CARB BOARD CLERK HARRINGTON: Thank you.

That concludes the in-person comments. I'll now pass it over to Lindsay.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you. We have two remote commenters. So we'll from Brian Yanity, Bill

Boyce.

1.3

2.2

So Brian, I've activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

BRIAN YANITY: Good afternoon, Chair, commissioners, and Board members. My name is Brian Yanity. I'm with the intermodal railcar company, Flexiwaggon America and also serve as Vice Chair of the City of Fullerton Transportation Circulation Commission.

Thank you for this meeting and the opportunity to speak today. Mode shift of freight movement from truck to rail needs to be a top priority for the State's climate and transportation policies. I didn't see any mention of that. Under alternative fuel corridors, the State needs to consider electric freight rail corridors.

Here is a specific example. The BNSF railway recently announced their Barstow International gateway project, a proposed large inland port on the west side of Barstow, parts of this proposal are plans for short-haul intermodal freight trains leaving Barstow and the ports of LA/Long Beach. Short-haul intermodal freight rail in the region is something myself and many others have supported for a long time, as it would potentially get thousands of trucks off Southern California highways each day.

This scenario provides an opportunity though for much needed freight rail electrification in the LA Basin

and the Inland Empire with a captive electric locomotive fleet operating between the ports and Barstow. A 2016 CARB report on freight locomotive stated that about 60 percent of all locomotive diesel fuel energy and resulting pollution consumed by all freight trains in Southern California is on the steep grade Cajon Pass segments of BNSF and Union Pacific between the Inland Empire and Barstow.

2.2

The Barstow and high desert area coincidence -coincidentally is also a major solar power generation hub.
Building an overhead wire electrification system for rail
is expensive, but I can assure it's going to be less
expensive overall than moving the same amount of freight
with electric trucks. This is largely due to the fact
that trains with steel wheels and rails use a small
fraction of the energy needed to move a ton mile of
freight compared to a truck with rubber tires on pavement.

Electric rail is vastly more energy efficient than electric trucks, which is an important consideration for not overstressing the power grade from electric transportation. Overhead wire rail electrification is a proven technology over a century old used successfully by many freight rail operations around the world. A 50 kV AC overhead wire would be the most economic and practical way to power very heavy electric freight trains, such as the

15,000 ton plus - I guess, they can get that heavy - trains that go up Cajon Pass.

2.2

In this country, the Deseret Power Railway hauls 50 kV electric HEAVY coal trains between Colorado and Utah. I'm not a big fan of coal myself, but this is a real working example with heavy electric freight rail you can look at in this country. They had similar trains in Arizona and British Columbia. And 50 kV iron ore trains, 40,000 tons have been in operation for South Africa for decades. And no, hydrogen will not work for heavy freight trains. I don't have time to get into it.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

Bill, I have activated your microphone. Please unmute and begin.

BILL BOYCE: Good afternoon. This is Bill Boyce with Bill Boyce Consulting, LLC. I work with the major west coast utilities on the West Coast Clean Transit Corridor Initiative. I just wanted to let all the respective boards know that the West Coast Clean Transit Corridor has been studying electrification of the major I-5 and east/west corridors on the west coast for Class 8 trucking, and been doing that since 2019.

Really wanted to emphasize that what California is doing on this is really paramount. Getting things started, early planning on the utility side, and to let

you know that Oregon and Washington also part of that with regards to the important goods movement up and down the west coast. So just wanted to make sure you all were aware of the utility cooperation and the fact that what you're doing is going to have transportability to the other states with regards to building a network, because transportation doesn't stop at the State boundaries. It goes north, south, east, west and we're going to have to work with everybody to make this really successful.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

meet the goals.

CARB BOARD CLERK GARCIA: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:commenters} \mbox{ And that concludes the remote commenters for this } \mbox{ item.}$ 

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you very much.

Okay. Commissioners and Board members.

We have Commissioner Norton.

CTC COMMISSIONER NORTON: Thank you very much.

And I want to thank CTC's Hannah Walter and CARB's
Katherine Garrison for great presentations today, and also
really running some fantastic meetings. I flew up for the
one that you held in Sacramento. It was a really
impressive meeting of the private sector, the public
sector. And people really dug in to talk about how to

I am concerned though that we are being asked to make some decisions. And I'm happy that we're here with CARB, because this whole idea of whether EVs are ready, or where the EV infrastructure is, or where hydrogen infrastructure is, and whether it's ready. We need your expertise, because it is really becoming a challenge to understand and now base billions of dollars of economic infrastructure investments on people saying this will work, that will work, and not necessarily having some real metrics.

2.2

I would like to suggest also that there is an opportunity to look at some ways like with hydrogen and others where there are some new opportunities and new concepts that are being put forth that could be very interesting, such as a three inch pipe, the DOE lined pipe that could potentially go in while we're looking at the 10,000 miles that we're permitting for broadband. There are ways to do it, but I think it's really incumbent on us, as CTC and CARB, to come together and talk about where the playing field is. Because as we're working on the 710 south and a lot of other proposals, people need to understand what's possible and the -- as we heard from the industry, they need to understand where the State is going to back them so that they can move to zero-emission freight and start really thinking about the kinds of

infrastructure they're going to need and fueling options, so that we can start applying for sufficient money in IIJA, IRA, and State funds.

1.3

2.2

Can you talk a little bit about your processes and CEC and CPUC about how you're going to look at electric, versus hydrogen, versus everything out there in order to achieve the clean corridors we all hope to see.

Thank you, Commissioner Norton. So just a brief overview of how we're approaching the quantification of the infrastructure needed, is that the Army Cops has a model that takes existing truck routes, short and long haul, and all different kinds of truck use cases into account, and then looks at where are ports of entry, land and maritime, warehouses, distribution centers, and where are the roads that are the most traveled, and then runs an algorithm to identify optimal locations for zero-emission stations, whether Hydrogen or electric.

They're working on that now. They have some really great data. So once we have that and we want that piece to really be informed by business needs. So from a business, a fleet perspective, where are these optimal locations.

Then what we're going to do is work with the Energy Commission and Lawrence Berkeley National Labs, who is their contractor, to associate energy load forecasts with those locations. And it's a big picture, because in order to really understand the need, you have to not only understand what trucks will be using that station, but what passenger vehicles may be charging around there and other needs.

1.3

2.2

And then we'll take that and work with Southern California Edison, and the Utilities Commission to develop a methodology where they can start doing distribution and transmissions system planning. And with that, we will kind of develop rules of thumb about how long on average, depending on the type of upgrade needed, it will take to build the electric upgrades needed, and the station, and the cost.

And we're starting to gather that information now, but we really want to gather support from not only the various State agencies involved, but the industry, so that everyone is on the same page. And then we'll look at -- so what's the -- what's it going to look like in 2024? What do we need in 2024 when these vehicles first start being mandated.

So that's our plan. That's what we're working on. And we're hoping to have that done as soon as possible, but definitely by August when the draft is due.

That's what that looks like. And I can't

remember your other question. Sorry.

1.3

2.2

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: Oh, so the thing about hydrogen and electric is that, in general, the thought is that the State doesn't really want to put businesses in the position of -- we want the market to decide. So we don't want to be the one to say, it should be all electric or it should be all hydrogen. We -- there's -- you know, we want business to figure that out based on their own needs and competition, but we want to encourage both. And probably what we're thinking in the report is that we'll run a few different scenarios.

So if we assume that a certain percent in one scenario is hydrogen and another percent is electric, we'll run a scenario like that, and then we'll run a different one assuming a different split.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you. Commissioner Falcon.

CTC COMMISSIONER REYES FALCON: Thank you, Chair and thank you Katherine and Hannah for your presentation and for the public for their comments.

I want to echo my colleague, Commissioner

Norton's comments about how -- how this is so important.

I think that we should have this as a regular item when --

when we have these joint meetings, because this -- this issue I personally hear a lot about, in my region of the State, in San Diego, it's an area that is highly activated with -- with truck activity, not only from intrastate State but also from the border.

2.2

And I'm interested -- interested in knowing. And I haven't brought this up, Hannah, but is there -- and, you know, I really appreciate you know all the attention that CARB staff and CTC staff has dedicated to the concerns from the border community, particularly in Otay Mesa and in Imperial Opportunity.

I'm interested in knowing if there's been any high level conversations from our partners on the other side of the border, and from our -- our eastern neighbors, Arizona, Nevada, et cetera. If their companies come and their freight are paying attention to what our goals and our requirements are here in California and if their companies and their freight aren't prepared. And just really quick, you know, I wanted to provide some con -- some perspective, at least from border community, it is typical that truck -- truck drivers have to wait six to eight hours on the border just to cross.

And so that provides a lot of anxiety as it is already. We add to that the potential to have to charge to 2, 3, 4, whatever hours their trucks. Now, we're

getting into a -- you know, a labor issue, where there -- where folks are -- you know, are working in conditions that -- that, you know, they're dealing with extended hours.

2.2

And so I'm just interested in hearing if there's any high level conversations that are happening just beyond our State agencies about this, because it is a huge concern, particularly in the border communities.

CARB MSCD ASSISTANT DIVISION CHIEF BEVAN: Hi. Analisa Bevan. I'm CARB's zero-emission infrastructure specialist. Thank you for those questions.

Yes. We have been speaking with Mexico and in particular Baja California representatives about the border crossing Otay Mesa freight corridor. And we're actually planning a roundtable conversation at a high level at the end of November to talk about infrastructure issues, about the transition to zero-emission technologies for freight.

And to the question about the border crossing wait times, we've been talking with companies that are looking for solutions, have developed solutions for truck charging in that kind of environment, in particular wireless in-ground charging solutions, where all of that time spent waiting can be spent charging. So this may be a particularly auspicious place to explore that

possibility.

1.3

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER REYES FALCON: Thank you for that.

One other idea, just throw it out there. So San Diego is actually looking at the potential for extending their trolley binationally. So if there's opportunities to do that and there's already conversations on the transportation infrastructure side, if we can include charging opportunities as well on the other side of the border.

Thank you.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you so much.

Board Member Kracov and then Dr. Lyou.

CARB BOARD MEMBER KRACOV: Thank you both for the presentation. It's obviously such an important topic and I know Analisa Bevan, you know, also is working full time on this. You know, I hail from the South Coast region and this issue with the ports out -- to the Inland Empire is really one of the most important things that -- that I'm working on and that we're working on in the South Coast. You know, we're about to pass a rule at CARB, knock on wood, our Advanced Clean Fleet Rule.

It's going to require mandatory retirement at useful life for all trucks in the drayage registry and

mandatory retirement with zero-emission starting in 2024. You figure there are 20,000 trucks in the drayage registry. Maybe not all of those are heavy users, so maybe some of those are going to drop off. But let's say that, you know, 10 percent of them have to turn over starting in 2024. Let's say that's 1,500 to 2,000 zero-emission trucks.

2.2

You know, it's not, you know, that far away.

It's a year and a half away. And they're coming first in our rule. As we emphasize and discussed, at our last

Board meeting, it has to be done right. So I'm really excited about, you know, this project and it's a little overwhelming sitting here, all the things you're talking about. Army Corps of Engineers models and then working with the utilities on sort of a micro basis, but of, course. But of course those are all the things that we're going to need to do this successfully.

And you know, we sit here at CARB and try to do the best that we can for air quality and climate, and pass rules that we think make sense, but we're relying on the Energy Commission, PUC, the private sector, with Cal ISO managing this through the utilities to sort of get all of this done. You know, I'm overwhelmed just with the CARB job, not to mention all that other stuff and being comfortable and confident in it. So I think this kind of

interagency work putting it all together, you know, is really valuable.

2.2

You know, that being said, I'm worried about drayage in 2024. I'm worried about getting it right. I'm worried about the message it's going to send. I worry about a lot of the negativity that I hear from folks like PMSA and frankly the ports, and real or imagined the perceived, you know, lack of real urgency at the ports to help us succeed. So I think it's really important for all of us to work together, the private sector, our other public sector, regulated entities in trying to figure this out, you know, really focusing on drayage, but -- you know, but after all, you know, drayage is all about the freight corridors.

So I'm looking forward to learn more about the 671 process, you know, really hoping that our regulated entities and our partners, including the ports and the terminal operators in the South Coast, you know, come to the table with constructive ideas instead of nasty editorials, and that we find a way, you know, to work together on this.

So really appreciate this. I also appreciate the comments of Commissioner Norton and Falcon. I want to second all the things that they said. You know, in particular, I think for some of the real heavy-duty

operations, long-haul, you know, locomotives, I'm sorry we cut the fellow out about why hydrogen won't work for locomotives.

(Laughter).

2.2

CARB BOARD MEMBER KRACOV: I'm interested to find out. But you ask everybody, you get two different opinions. Aviation, ships, you know, I think that there's a case to be made that we really have to study this and that maybe it's going to be an all-of-the-above approach, included hydrogen.

So Commissioner Norton, you know, mentioned this idea of we're digging up the streets anyway, are there certain places and certain corridors where hydrogen infrastructure may be wise? I don't know, but I think it's worth studying and I think that if we're going to be doing this kind of detailed work and planning, that we have to put these things on the table. Again, it's a little bit above our pay grade here at CARB. It requires all these other folks, but that's why they're paying, you know, you the big bucks to figure this out.

So I wanted to second some of the work and comments of my colleagues, express my interest in this, and do think that we have to really pay attention to this. I'm just really looking forward to every quarter, every six months how are things going at the ports? What are

the ports doing? How is Harbor Trucking Association working with its members on getting the word out about drayage? How are we at CARB doing in getting the word out? How is the money flowing? How is it fitting in with our funding plan we're considering in November?

You know, not to mention what PUC, CEC, and Edison, and DWP are going to be doing. But I see Analisa Bevan shaking her head. I know she understands this. I think it's keeping us all up at night, but you do have partners here. I know we've got a lot of partners in the other agencies and in the communities on this.

And I'm just really hoping that -- that together we can do it with our 671 assessments leading the way. So thank you very much.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you.

Dr. Lyou.

2.2

CTC COMMISSIONER LYOU: Yeah. Well, no offense to Gideon, or Ellen, or any of the other attorneys in the room, but, you know, whenever I ask 10 attorneys for an opinion, I get 10 different opinions. If I ask them again the next day, I get 10 more opinions. So, yeah, everyone says something different.

I want to build on -- on what Board Member Kracov said in terms of having to do this as really trying to bring together so many desperate things perfectly, that I

do have my doubts. And there's going -- I mean, change is hard. And this is -- this one is going to be really tricky tough for a variety of reasons, the technology, the money, the leadership, the infrastructure, the requirements and the -- just the practical aspects of it.

2.2

But I would -- I would really want to drill down on what it takes for DWP, LA Department of Water of Power and Southern California Edison to get to the point where they're actually providing electrons, stub out at least, to where they need to go to make this system work, especially with the drayage trucks coming out of the San Pedro Bay ports, because I heard about how their decision-making process works and what they need in order to go and do this, and then their timeline for doing it, which is measured in increments of multiple years, not months. And we don't have that time, and sometimes even decades, to get stuff, electrons, to where they need to go.

And you don't have to be genius to figure out how much electricity these trucks are going to need. You know, their batteries are so big, they take so much, and you need so many megawatts to run a fleet out of the ports depending on far -- how far that you're expecting them to go. I mean, it's -- it's calculable and the numbers are overwhelming, especially when it comes to getting charging

stations in at places where they need to be.

You know, the Port of Long Beach made an announcement recently they're doing 30 charging stations for heavy-duty trucks. Good for them. They know. They recognize it's nowhere close to what they need, right? And so I think in the end that that's going to be the biggest problem that we are going to be dealing with was getting these charging stations in where they need to be.

The rest of it's a problem too, but that -- that one, because of the timing and because the utilities work in a way very different from the rest of us, that I would drill down and focus on that.

Thank you.

1.3

2.2

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you.

Dr. Balmes.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Thank you, Chair Randolph. And I also appreciate what Board Member Kracov and Dr. Lyou just said and -- as well as Ms. Norton, Ms. Falcon. I have a specific question though for -- about CAPTI. So this is like a minor issue compared to the big macro-issues that have just been discussed.

But it's about the Trade Corridor Enhancement

Program, TCEP. I deliberately said the whole name,

because I've been bombarded by abbreviations today. And I

would kind of urge us, when we have public meetings, to

not use the abbreviations as much as we do. And that's for CARB as well as CTC and HCD.

CARB BOARD MEMBER KRACOV: You mean, the California Air Resources Board and the --

(Laughter).

1.3

2.2

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Exactly.

(Laughter).

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Exactly, Gideon.

But TCEP -- TCEP, you know, has been supporting, or is supposed to be in my understanding, main streaming zero-emission vehicle infrastructure. And we've been talking about charging and a little bit about hydrogen.

But I -- CARB, the California Air Resources
Board --

(Laughter).

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: -- is really pushing zero-emission vehicles that are fully zero emission as opposed to low emissions. That's been our policy. I sometimes have disagreed with it at times, because I would like to actually get the biggest health bang as possible in terms of getting rid of diesel.

But I just wanted to ask about aligning CARB with CTC and TCEP, the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program, because it seems like, and I -- maybe I'm wrong, but that CTC is -- is funding non-zero-emission vehicle

infrastructure, or at least maybe funding is the run wrong word, but, you know, designing less than zero-emission vehicle transportation options. And so I just wanted to clarify whether the -- our two agencies are aligned. And, you know, I think we should be.

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: And thank you, Dr. Balmes. You're referring specifically to near-zero infrastructure.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Right, because we're -- CARB is like no.

1.3

2.2

11 CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: Right.
12 Well, we did --

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: And we shouldn't be -- we sort --

CTC ASSOCIATE DEPUTY DIRECTOR WALTER: We did discuss this with the Air Resources Board, and what the conclusion we came to for Cycle 3 of the program, which is coming up, is that the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program will fund near-zero. However, the evaluation criteria that gives extra points for projects is specifically referring to zero-emission vehicle infrastructure.

So you could still potentially score higher in the program if you were building 100 percent zero-emission infrastructure, but near-zero-emission infrastructure is eligible and can compete in the Program.

CARB BOARD MEMBER BALMES: Yeah. And so I thought that would be the answer. And, you know, I've been at somewhat odds with my fellow Board members and staff about trying to get near-zero. I actually kind of like low-emission rather than near-zero to get the biggest public health benefit as possible in terms of getting rid of diesel. But I've always been told that, well, you know, if we fund - let's be straight about it - natural gas vehicles, that infrastructure is going to be there for the long haul and then we're stuck with it.

2.2

But also, if we go to low diesel emission vehicles, we're going to be stuck with those too. I mean, those vehicles have a long half-life -- or not a half-life, full life, usable life. So I actually am not as dogmatic about low emission as some of my fellow Board members and staff. I was just curious, because it does -- it's better when our agencies are aligned, in terms of our air quality and climate change goals than not aligned, but I understand where you may be coming from.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Okay. Thank you.

All right. I think -- any other questions or comments from Board members?

Thank you so much for that presentation and we look forward to the continued work. I know at the staff level, we'll be working very closely, and just really

looking forward to the results of the assessment next year. And I think it will really help inform all the work that we are doing together. So thank you very much for being here.

1.3

2.2

Okay. That was our last agenda item, so now it is time for wrapping up. And so I will invite CARB's Executive Officer, Dr. Cliff, to summarize some of the key themes and next steps that we heard today.

CARB EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLIFF: Thank you, Chair Randolph. Really robust discussion and appreciate the agenda and discussion that occurred. So I'll just take a quick moment to summarize some of the key themes that we heard and then propose some next steps.

So as we discussed the CAPTI implementation throughout the meeting, we heard a robust discussion on the bigger picture needed to do even more to align transportation, and housing, and ways to combat climate change and meet our air quality and public health goals.

Many commenters and the joint body expressed support for CAPTI, but indicated that more was needed before we conclude the CAPTI action is complete or that we have achieved our goals. Vehicle miles traveled, or VMT, is going in the wrong direction and most indicators of VMT reduction are also moving in the wrong direction too, as Professor Sperling and others pointed out. And as we look

around at the impacts climate change is having on us, we see that a business-as-usual scenario is no longer viable in California.

2.2

The presentation on excess State lands for housing was really valuable and it's one tool to help spur housing production in areas that can also reduce auto dependence. Funding was a focus of many of the comments, and in particular the need to invest in transit to expand service, support the transition to zero emissions, improve safety, and ensure transit is time and cost competitive with a car, so that it doesn't take 75 or 90 minutes to get to work on transit.

And thank you, Dr. Lugo, for raising safety and how the experience of safety can vary along racial lines. Funding for affordable housing is critical as well, particularly in areas close to transit.

I'd like to add that in -- in my former role, focused specifically on traffic safety, I became alarmed that pedestrian and bicyclist fatalities have increased nationally at dramatic rates. In fact, pedestrian fatalities have grown by more than 50 percent in the past decade. Safety for those who walk, ride, and roll must be a priority for us to achieve our goals.

As the Chair and other mentioned, the State has several planning documents that identify potential actions

to reduce VMT, improve equitable outcomes, while also continuing to provide for the mobility of Californians.

Beyond CAPTI, there's the SB 150 report that I mentioned in my opening, the Sustainable and Equitable Communities appendix to the Scoping Plan, and the AB 285 final report.

2.2

And as we think about ongoing work between our agencies and future meeting topics, I'd like to dive more deeply into some of the key strategies included in these documents with a particular eye on funding. One of the big areas of action identified in all of the documents is pricing. Pricing takes many forms and it's a lever that can influence travel demand in ways that reduce congestion and vehicle miles traveled, while also generating revenue to invest in sustainable transportation options, like transit, active transportation, and community mobility concepts like the one highlighted in the South Bay.

And as we think about pricing, of course, we must approach it with equity in mind. This question Dr.

Pacheco-Werner posed concerning what -- what we must ask of our transportation system, also to serve workers is an important one.

Regarding freight, we heard about the need to reduce emissions to impacted communities that are overburdened by air pollution and the work being done in response to SB 671 to identify freight corridors that

would be priority candidates for deployment of zero emissions medium- and heavy-duty vehicles. And we had a great discussion just now about how freight crosses borders, both between states and binationally and the need for partnerships with neighbors to make that work successful.

2.2

As -- as the CARB Board deliberates on the Advanced Clean Fleet Regulation to advance a transition to zero-emission freight, the SB 671 work is an opportunity to plan the supportive Infrastructure that must be in place for fueling and charging. Going forward, staff will also be exploring with Caltrans an opportunity to present the freight mobility plan at a future join meeting.

And so with these themes in mind, I'm going to suggest a few next steps. First, I'd like one of the joint — the future joint meetings next year to focus on road pricing, what is needed as well as important considerations that should be made as State and regional transportation agencies consider different pricing mechanisms.

Second, I'd like to ask staff of the three agencies to do some brainstorming on other potential sources of revenue as proposed by Dr. Lyou. And as part of that, I'd like to see suggestions for key principles, perhaps for future legislation, regarding the expenditure

of sales tax revenue. As Vice Chair Guardino noted, many counties have and will continue to raise revenue through sales tax measures. What we can do to ensure that those sales tax measures are aligned with climate, air quality, equity, and mobility goals is going to be really important.

1.3

2.2

Third, I'd like to suggest that staff from our agencies think about what can be done to encourage the adoption of VMT reducing Prohousing policies, as suggested by Director Velasquez. Are there additional transportation or other funding programs through which we can encourage local governments to adopt these policies?

Fourth, the question HCD posed in its presentation of excess State lands for affordable housing were excellent. And I'd like our staff to explore them further to identify opportunities for accelerating infill housing.

And then before closing, I'd really like to thank Caltrans for their flexibility in moving their presentation to a future meeting. I know we were crunched a bit for time, so thank you for that. And I'd like to thank the staffs of -- of the three agencies for continuing to work together on these issues. I know that collaboration is very time-consuming and it takes dedication, diplomacy, and I really appreciate those

efforts. So thank you for -- for doing that.

1.3

2.2

That's all I have. Thank you, Madam Chair.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you and thank you for -- thank you to the Caltrans' staff. I actually made a little note to myself, oh, thank you so much for being willing to put that off. So I appreciate your flexibility.

Okay. Vice Chair Guardino, do you have any closing thoughts?

CTC VICE CHAIR GUARDINO: Yes. And I want to thank my colleague Hilary Norton for texting me something that with everyone's permission would like to do. I think a lot of you know that we lost a leader who was truly larger than life, who served with distinction on California Transportation Commission for nearly two decades. He always led with his heart, but never left his head behind in the decisions he made with taxpayer money.

And on September 4th of this year, after a short battle with cancer, Bob Alvarado left us, but his spirit, and his legacy, and his impact will always remain. So with your permission, Chair Randolph, and colleagues, and members of the public, when we do close today, I'm hoping we can close in Bob Alvarado's honor and memory.

My short comments. Thank you to our three professional staff teams for making this worthwhile for us

as well as the public and the good of our state. To my colleague, commissioners, and CARB Board members, and Chair Randolph, and our Executive Director of HCD, this has been incredibly worthwhile and I'm looking forward to our joint meeting in April, where we can continue to make progress.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

Director Velasquez, do you have any closing remarks that you would like to make?

HCD DIRECTOR VELASQUEZ: Well, Chair Randolph, Vice Chair Guardino, and all the members of CARB and CTC, thank you for the continued partnership. Great, great meeting. Look forward to the future.

CARB CHAIR RANDOLPH: All right. Thank you.

And I will add my thanks to everyone for this great discussion. As the State continues with implementing CAPTI and -- and with implementing our 2022 Scoping Plan update, which we will be tackling in December, My commitment is to continue to collaborate with CTC, and HCD, and our other State partners to continue this work. It is so important that we are continually talking, continually working together, continually building solutions for these challenging problems. And I thank you for all your work and look forward to continuing to work together with my colleagues.

And so thank you on behalf all the commissioners, Board members, and Director Velasquez, and all the staff.

Thank you to the public for attending and participating in this meeting.

And I -- we will now adjourn -- adjourn the meeting in the memory of California -- California

Transportation Commissioner Bob Alvarado, who gave so much to the State of California. So we will adjourn in his memory and thank you, and have a good evening.

(Thereupon the California Air Resources Board, California Transportation Commission, and California Department of Housing and Community Development meeting adjourned at 2:48 p.m.)

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, JAMES F. PETERS, a Certified Shorthand
Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify:

That I am a disinterested person herein; that the foregoing CARB, CTC, and HCD meeting was reported in shorthand by me, James F. Peters, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, and was thereafter transcribed, under my direction, by computer-assisted transcription;

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said meeting nor in any way interested in the outcome of said meeting.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of November, 2022.

James & Tithe

JAMES F. PETERS, CSR

Certified Shorthand Reporter

License No. 10063