California Air Resources Board

Quantification Methodology

Strategic Growth Council

Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities

California Climate Investments



Table of Contents

	ion Methodology Growth Council	
Affordable	e Housing and Sustainable Communities	1
Section A	A. Introduction	3
Method	dology Development	4
Tools		5
Update	es	6
Section B	3. Methods	7
Project	Components	7
Genera	al Approach	7
	Emission Reductions from Affordable Housing Development and Resider	
В.	Solar PV Generation	21
C.	New Bicycle Facility or Walkway	22
	New or Expanded Bus, Cable Car, Rail, Streetcar, Shuttle, Trolley Bus, Bus Transit, or Van Service	
E.	New or Expanded Ferry Service	28
F.	Capital Improvements and Fare Reductions	31
G.	Shared Mobility	33
Section C	C. Appendix A: Default Lookup Tables	4
Section D	D. References	2

Section A. Introduction

California Climate Investments is a statewide initiative that puts billions of Cap-and-Trade dollars to work facilitating greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions; strengthening the economy; improving public health and the environment; and providing benefits to residents of disadvantaged communities, low-income communities, and low-income households, collectively referred to as "priority populations." Where applicable and to the extent feasible, California Climate Investments must maximize economic, environmental, and public health co-benefits to the State.

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) is responsible for providing guidance on estimating the GHG emission reductions and co-benefits from projects receiving monies from the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund (GGRF). This guidance includes quantification methodologies, co-benefit assessment methodologies, and benefits calculator tools. CARB develops these methodologies and tools based on the project components eligible for funding by each administering agency, as reflected in the *program expenditure records*.

For the SGC AHSC Program, CARB developed this AHSC Quantification Methodology to provide guidance for estimating the GHG emission reductions and selected co-benefits of each proposed project type. This methodology uses calculations to estimate GHG emission reductions from avoided passenger VMT from land use, housing, and transportation strategies that support infill, compact, and affordable housing development projects, in addition to GHG emission reductions from solar PV electricity generation.

The AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool automates methods described in this document, provides a link to a step-by-step user guide with a project example, and outlines documentation requirements. Applicants will estimate and SGC will report the total project GHG emission reductions and co-benefits estimated using the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool, as well as the total project GHG emission reductions per dollar of GGRF funds requested. The AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool is available for download on the *California Climate Investments resources webpage*.

Using many of the same inputs required to estimate GHG emission reductions, the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool estimates the following co-benefits and key variables from AHSC projects: Passenger VMT reductions (miles);Net density (dwelling units per acre); Renewable energy generation (kWh); Local and remote ROG emission reductions (lbs); Local and remote NOx emission reductions (lbs); Local and remote PM2.5 emission reductions (lbs); Local diesel PM10 emission reductions (lbs); Fossil fuel use reductions (gallons); Travel cost savings (\$); and Energy and fuel cost savings (\$). Key variables are project characteristics that contribute to a project's GHG emission reductions] and signal an additional benefit (e.g., renewable energy generated). Additional co-benefits for

which CARB assessment methodologies were not incorporated into the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool may also be applicable to the project. Applicants should consult the *SGC AHSC Webpage* for AHSC guidelines, solicitation materials, and agreements to ensure they are meeting AHSC requirements. All CARB co-benefit assessment methodologies are available on the *California Climate Investments co-benefits webpage*.

Methodology Development

CARB and SGC developed this Quantification Methodology consistent with the guiding principles of California Climate Investments, including ensuring transparency and accountability, per the *California Climate Investments Funding Guidelines*. CARB and SGC developed this AHSC Quantification Methodology to be used to estimate the outcomes of proposed projects, inform project selection, and track results of funded projects. The implementing principles ensure that the methodology would:

- Apply at the project-level;
- Provide uniform methods to be applied statewide, and be accessible by all applicants;
- Use existing and proven tools and methods;
- Use project-level data, where available and appropriate; and
- Result in GHG emission reduction estimates that are conservative and supported by empirical literature.

CARB assessed peer-reviewed literature and tools and consulted with experts, as needed, to determine methods appropriate for the AHSC project types. CARB also consulted with SGC to determine project-level inputs available. The methods were developed to provide estimates that are as accurate as possible with data readily available at the project level.

CARB released the Draft AHSC Quantification Methodology and Draft AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool for public comment in November 2023. This Final AHSC Quantification Methodology and accompanying AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool have been updated to address public comments, where appropriate, and for consistency with updates to the ASHC Guidelines.

The "Methods to Find the Cost-effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects for Evaluating Motor Vehicle Registration Fee Projects and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Projects (CMAQ)" were the basis for developing the GHG emission reduction estimates for transit and connectivity project features.¹ The CMAQ Methods are equations for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of certain types of transportation projects, such as bicycle paths, vanpools, and new bus services. CARB and the California Department of Transportation developed the CMAQ Methods, which are used statewide

¹ California Air Resources Board and California Department of Transportation. *Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects for Evaluating Motor Vehicle Registration Fee Projects and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Projects.* May 2005. The CMAQ Methods Guide is available on the *CARB CMAQ Program webpage*

Quantification Methodology for the SGC AHSC Program

by transportation agencies to assess criteria and toxic pollutant emission reductions from transportation projects competing for State motor vehicle fee and federal CMAQ funding. All the CMAQ Methods equations and assumptions needed for this quantification method are included in this document, and some assumptions have been modified as necessary. Therefore, the equations presented in this Quantification Methodology are referred to as Transit and Connectivity Methods. The CMAQ Methods Guide is available on the *CARB CMAQ Program webpage*

To develop VMT reduction estimates for projects that include affordable housing developments, the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool uses information from the California Statewide Travel Demand Model², metropolitan planning organizations³, the Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip Generation Manual and Parking Generation Manual⁴, and the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association "Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures" report⁵ and California Emissions Estimator Model^{®6}. The AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool adapts a methodology from this model for ease of use and alignment with the specific requirements of the AHSC Program.

In addition, the University of California, Berkeley, in collaboration with CARB, developed assessment methodologies for a variety of co-benefits such as providing cost savings, lessening the impacts and effects of climate change, and strengthening community engagement. Co-benefit assessment methodologies are posted on the *California Climate Investments co-benefits webpage*.

The AHSC Quantification Methodology and AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool are applicable only to AHSC project types and should not be used to estimate GHG emission reductions or co-benefits for any projects which do not meet AHSC Program requirements.

Tools

The AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool relies on project-specific outputs from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory PVWatts® Calculator, a web-based tool that estimates the electricity production of grid-connected roof- or ground-mounted solar PV systems. PVWatts calculates estimated values for the proposed system's monthly and annual electricity production. For projects that include solar PV systems, the AHSC

² California Department of Transportation. *California Statewide Travel Demand Model.* 2016. Available through the *Travel Demand Model webpage*.

³ The Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, Butte County Association of Governments, Metropolitan Transportation Commission, Sacramento Area Council of Governments, San Luis Obispo Council of Governments, and Southern California Association of Governments provided trip length data for this AHSC Quantification Methodology.

⁴ Institute of Transportation Engineers. *Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition*. 2021 found on the *ITE Trip and Generation Manual webpage*.

⁵ California Air Pollution Control Officers Association. *Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures*. 2010. *Available through report PDF*.

⁶ California Air Pollution Control Officers Association. *California Emissions Estimator Model, version 2016.3.2.* 2017. Available through the *Caleemod website*.

Quantification Methodology for the SGC AHSC Program

Benefits Calculator Tool relies on estimates of solar PV electricity generation from PVWatts. PVWatts is publicly available to anyone with internet access, free of charge, and subject to regular updates to incorporate new information. The tool can be accessed at the *NREL webpage*.

In addition to the tool above, the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool relies on CARB-developed emission factors. CARB has established a single repository for emission factors used in CARB benefits calculator tools, referred to as the California Climate Investments Quantification Methodology Emission Factor Database (Database), available on the *California Climate Investments resources webpage*. The Database Documentation explains how emission factors used in CARB benefits calculator tools are developed and updated.

Applicants must use the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool to estimate the GHG emission reductions and co-benefits of the proposed project. The AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool and User Guide can be downloaded from the *California Climate Investments resources webpage*.

Updates

CARB staff periodically review each quantification methodology and benefits calculator tool to evaluate their effectiveness and update methodologies to make them more robust, user-friendly, and appropriate to the projects being quantified. CARB updated the AHSC Quantification Methodology from the previous version to enhance the analysis and provide additional clarity (email the *GGRF Program Email* to request a copy of previous versions). The changes include:

- Included instructions in the User Guide and reconfigured the Shared Mobility tab in the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool for applicants that wish to estimate benefits of shared mobility projects using the Clean Mobility Projects Benefits Calculator Tool. In a previous round, benefits of shared mobility projects were estimated using the calculator tool for the Clean Mobility Options Pilot Program;
- Removed bikeshare from the list of project types in the Active Transportation tab of the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool. Benefits from bikeshare can instead be estimated using the Clean Mobility Projects Benefits Calculator Tool and entered in the Shared Mobility Inputs tab of the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool;
- Updated the Default Lookup Table values;
- Added a list of key terms and definitions to the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool;
- Updated Quantification Methodology reference to ITE Trip Generation Manual 11th Edition; and
- Clarified language in the Quantification Methodology and User Guide where necessary and to respond to public feedback.

Section B. Methods

The following section provides details on the methods supporting emission reductions in the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool.

Project Components

SGC developed ten project components that meet the objectives of the AHSC program and for which there are methods to quantify GHG emission reductions based on the *program guidelines*. Other project features may be eligible for funding under the AHSC; however, each project requesting GGRF funding must include at least one of the following:

- Construction or substantial rehabilitation of affordable housing, including mixeduse development, and related infrastructure;
- Grid-connected solar PV system;
- New bicycle facility (bicycle boulevard with traffic calming, Class I bike path, Class II bike lane, Class IV separated bikeway, or Class II to IV conversion);
- New Walkways;
- New or expanded transit service (select from local bus, long distance commuter bus, ferry, heavy rail, light rail, streetcar, cable car, trolley bus, shuttle, vanpool, or bus rapid transit vehicle);
- Capital improvement that encourages mode shift;
- New or expanded carshare, carpool, on-demand transit service, or micro mobility;
- Transit passes for residents;
- New micro mobility subsidies; and
- Transit fare reductions for community members that encourage mode-shift.

General Approach

Methods used in the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool for estimating the GHG emission reductions and air pollutant emission co-benefits by activity type are provided in this section. The Database Documentation explains how emission factors used in CARB benefits calculator tools are developed and updated.

These methods account for emission reductions from avoided passenger VMT and the generation of solar PV electricity. In general, the GHG Emission Reductions and are estimated in the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool using the quantification approaches in Table 1. The AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool also estimates air pollutant emission co-benefits and key variables using many of the same inputs used to estimate GHG emission reductions.

Quantification Methodology for the SGC AHSC Program

Table 1. General Approach to Quantification by Project Component

Affordable housing development or residential transit subsidy

Emissions from avoided passenger VMT

Solar PV electricity generation

Emissions from avoided grid electricity production

New bicycle facility or walkway

Emissions from displaced autos (less emissions from electric bikes and collection and distribution vehicles, if applicable)

New or expanded transit service and shared mobility

Emissions from displaced autos less emissions from new service vehicle

Capital improvements or transit fare reductions

Emissions from displaced autos

A. Emission Reductions from Affordable Housing Development and Residential Subsidies

Both the GHG emission reductions and air pollutant emission reductions from affordable housing developments and subsidies are estimated as the difference between the avoided passenger VMT from the project compared to a baseline scenario lacking VMT reduction measures. Equations 1 through 4 are used to estimate unmitigated VMT for the baseline scenario.⁷

Equation 1: Average Daily Trips per Dwelling Unit

 $Average \ Daily \ Trips = \frac{(Weekday \ Trips * 5) + Saturday \ Trips + Sunday \ Trips}{7 \ days}$

Table 2. Variable Definitions for Equation 1: Average Daily Trips per Dwelling Unit

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Average Daily Trips	Average daily trip rate per dwelling unit for applicable dwelling type	Trips/dwelling unit-day
Weekday Trips	Average weekday trip rate er dwelling unit for applicable dwelling type	Trips/dwelling unit
Saturday Trips	Average Saturday tri rate per dwelling unit for applicable dwelling type	Trips/dwelling unit
Sunday Trips	Average Sunday trip rate per dwelling unit for applicable dwelling type	Trips/dwelling unit

Equation 2: Primary Trip Length

Primary Trip Length

= (H-W Length * H-W Share) + (H-S Length * H-S Share) + (H-O Length * H-O Share)

model webpage.

⁷ Equations 1 through 4 use a methodology and trip type and link percentages described in Appendices A and D of the *User's Guide for CalEEMod Version 2016.3.2*. Available through the *CalEEMod User-guide*. Trip rates are derived from the *Trip Generation Manual*, *11thEdition* accessible through the *ITE Trip and Generation Manual webpage*. Trip lengths not provided by metropolitan planning organizations are calculated for multi-county regions from the California Statewide Travel Demand Model. Available thorough the *travel demand*

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Primary Trip Length	County-Specific average length of urban or rural primary-home-base trip	Miles
H-W Length	County-specific average length of urban or rural trip between home and work	Miles
H-W Share	Statewide default percentage or primary home-base trips which are between home and work (42.3%)	%
H-S Length	County-specific average length of urban or rural trip between home and shopping	Miles
H-S Share	Statewide default percentage or primary home-based trips which are between home and shopping (19.6%)	%
H-O Length	County-specific average length or urban or rural trip between home and locations other than work or shopping	Miles
H-O Share	Statewide default percentage or primary home-based trips which are between home and other locations (38.1%)	%

 Table 3. Variable Definitions for Equation 2: Primary Trip Length

Equation 3: Overall Trip Length

Overall Trip Length

- = (Primary Trip Length * Primary Share)
- + (Primary Trip Length * 25% * Diverted Share)
- + (0.1 miles * Passby miles Share)

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Overall Trip Length	County-specific average length of urban or rural overall home-based trip	Miles
Primary Trip Length	County-specific average length of urban and rural primary home-based trip, from Equation 2	Miles
Primary Share	Statewide average percentage of home-based trips which are primary (86%)	%
Diverted Share	Statewide average percentage of home-based trips which are diverted (11%)	%
Pass-by Share	Statewide average percentage of home-based trips which are pass-by (3%)	%

Table 4. Variable Definitions for Equation 3: Overall Trip Length

Equation 4: Annual Unmitigated VMT

Annnual Unmitigated VMT = Average Daily Trips * Overall Trip Length * Total Units * 365 days

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Annual Unmitigated VMT	Annual VMT by residents of housing	Miles/year
	development without VMT mitigation	
	measures	
Average Daily Trips	Average daily trip rate per dwelling	Trips/dwelling
	unit for applicable dwelling type,	unit*day
	from Equation 1	
Overall Trip Length	Count-specific average length or	Miles
	urban or rural overall home-based	
	trip, from Equation 3	
Total Units	Number of dwelling units in	Dwelling units
	affordable housing development	

Table 5. Variable Definitions for Equation 4: Annual Unmitigated VMT

Equations 5 through 16 are used to calculate the expected percent reductions in passenger VMT⁸ resulting from the characteristics of the affordable housing development.

⁸ Equations 5 through 16 use methodologies and elasticities described in the *Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures* report. *Access through the report PDF.*

Equation 5: VMT Reductions from Increased Density

$$Density VMT \ Reductions = \left(\frac{Density - Required \ Density}{Required \ Density}\right) * 7\%$$

Table 6. Variable Definitions for Equation 5: VMT Reductions from IncreasedDensity

Densky		
Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Density VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with	%
	increased net density over required	
	baseline, capped at 30%	
Density	Net density of affordable housing	Dwelling
	development	units/acre
Required Density	Required baseline net density per	Dwelling
	Project Area Type, defined by the	units/acre
	AHSC Guidelines (See Table 7)	

Table 7. Minimum Net Density by Project Area Type

Project Area Type	Minimum Net Density (Dwelling units per acre)
TOD	30
ICP	20
RIPA	15

Equations 6 and 7 are applicable to mixed-use developments only.

Equation 6: Land Use Index

$$Land Use Index = -\left(\frac{4*(0.01*ln0.01) + \left(\left(\frac{RS}{RS+MS}\right)*ln\left(\frac{RS}{RS+MS}\right)\right) + \left(\left(\frac{MS}{RS+MS}\right)*ln\left(\frac{MS}{RS+MS}\right)\right)}{ln6}\right)$$

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Land Use Index	Measure of diversity of land use in	N/A
	mixed-use development	
RS	Space for residential uses in mixed-	Square feet
	use development	-
MS	Publicly accessible space for	Square feet
	commercial or social services uses in	-
	mixed-use development	

Table 8. Variable Definitions for Equations 6: Land Use Index

Equation 7: VMT Reductions from Increased Land Use Diversity

Diversity VMT Reductions =
$$\left(\frac{Land Use Index - 0.15}{0.15}\right) * 9\%$$

Table 9. Variable Definitions for Equation 7: VMT Reductions from Increased LandUse Diversity

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Diversity VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with increased net density over required baseline, capped at 30%, with increase in land use diversity capped at 500%	%
Land Use Index	Measure of diversity of land use in mixed-use development, from Equation 6	N/A

Quantification Methodology for the SGC AHSC Program

Equation 8: VMT Reductions from Increased Destination Accessibility

$$\begin{aligned} &Accessibility VMT \ Reductions \\ &= \left(\frac{housing \ development \ employment \ density - county \ employment \ density}{county \ employment \ density}\right) * 7\% \end{aligned}$$

Table 10. Variable Definitions for Equation 8: Reductions from IncreasedDestination Accessibility

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Accessible VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	increased employment density caped at 30%	
Employment Density	Number of jobs per acres of specific geography, obtained through Policy Map. ⁹	Jobs/acre

Equation: 9 VMT Reductions form Integration of Affordable Housing

$$Affordability VMT \ Reductions = \left(\frac{Affordable \ Units}{Total \ Units}\right) * 4\%$$

Table 11. Variable Definitions for Equation 9: Reductions from Integration ofAffordable Housing

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Affordability VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	integration of affordable dwelling	
	units into housing development,	
	capped at 4%	
Affordable Units	Number of affordable dwelling units	Dwelling units
	in affordable housing development	
Total units	Number of dwelling units in	Dwelling units
	affordable housing development	

⁹ Employment Density is obtained through the Policy Map mapping tool which uses Data from the EPA Smart Location Database. Access the mapping tool through the *Policy Map webpage*.

Equation 10: VMT Reductions from Land Use Measures

Land Use VMT Reductions

= 1 - (1 - Density VMT Reductions) * (1 - Diversity VMT Reductions)

* (1 – Accessibility VMT Reductions)

* (1 – Affordability VMT Reductions)

Table 12. Variable Definitions for Equation 10: Total VMT Reductions from Land UseMeasures

ineasures in the second s		
Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Land Use VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with all	%
	land use measures, capped	
	according to Project Area Type (See	
	Table 13)	
Density VMT Reductions	VTM reductions associated with	%
-	increased density over required	
	baselines, capped at 35%, from	
	Equation 5	
Diversity VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with	%
	increased land use diversity over	
	baselines, capped at 30%, from	
	Equation 7	
Accessibility VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	increases destination accessibility	
	capped at 30%, from Equation 8	
Affordability VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	integration of affordable dwelling	
	units into housing development,	
	capped at 4%m from Equation 9	

Table 13. Maximum VMT Reductions by Project Area Type¹⁰

Project Area Type	Land Use Measures	Land Use, Parking, and Traffic Calming Measures	Total
TOD	65%	70%	75%
ICP	30%	35%	40%
RIPA	5%	10%	15%

¹⁰ VMT reduction caps are aligned with the "urban" location type from the *Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures* report for TOD, "compact infill" for ICP, and "suburban" for RIPA. *Access through the report PDF*.

Equation 11: VMT Reductions from Limited Parking Supply

 $\begin{aligned} Parking \ Supply \ VMT \ Reductions \\ &= \left(\frac{Total \ Units \ * \ Parking \ Rate - \ Parking \ Space}{Total \ Units \ * \ Parking \ Rate}\right) \ * \ 50\% \end{aligned}$

Table 14. Variable Definitions for Equation 11: VMT Reductions from Limited
Parking Supply

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Parking Supply VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	limited residential parking supply,	
	capped at 12.5%	
Total Units	Number of dwelling units in	Dwelling units
	affordable housing development	
Parking Rate	Average peak parking demand per	Vehicles/units
	dwelling unit for applicable dwelling	
	type	
Parking Space	Number of residential parking	Parking spaces
	spaced in affordable housing	
	development	

Equation 12: VMT Reductions from Unbundled Parking Cost

Unbundled Parking VMT Reductions = Unbundled Cost $*\left(\frac{12 \text{ months}}{\$4,000}\right) * 0.4 * 85\%$

Table 15. Variable Definitions for Equation 12: VMT Reductions from UnbundledParking Cost

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Unbundled Parking VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	unbundled residential parking cost,	
	capped at 20%	
Unbundled Cost	Monthly unbundled cost for on-site	\$/month
	residential parking	

Equation 13: Total VMT Reductions from Parking Measures

Parking VMT Reductions

= 1 - (1 - Parking Supply VMT Reductions)

* (1 – Unbundled Parking VMT Reductions)

Table 16. Variable Definitions for Equation 13: Total VMT Reductions from ParkingMeasures

IVICASULES		
Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Parking VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with all	%
	parking measures, capped at 20%	
Parking Supply VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	limited residential parking supply,	
	capped at 12.5%, from Equation 11	
Unbundled Parking VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	unbundled residential parking costs,	
	capped at 20%, from Equation 12	

Equation 14: VMT Reductions from Traffic Calming Measures

Traffic Calming VMT Reductions = 1%

Table 17. Variable Definitions for Equation 14: VMT Reductions from TrafficCalming Measures

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Traffic Calming VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	traffic calming measures within ½	
	mile of affordable housing	
	development	

Equation 15: VMT Reductions from Residential Transit Subsidy

Subsidy VMT Reductions = Elasticity $*\frac{Recipients}{Total Units} *\frac{Duration}{30 years}$

Table 18. Variable Definitions for Equation 15: VMT Reduction from ResidentialTransit Subsidy

Transit Subsidy		
Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Subsidy VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with	%
	transit passes for residents, capped	
	at 20%	
Elasticity	Elasticity of VMT specific to annual	N/A
	value of transit passes to residents	
	and urban and rural project setting	
Recipients	Number of dwelling units receiving	Dwelling units
	transit passes in affordable housing	
	development	
Total units	Number of dwelling units in	Dwelling units
	affordable housing development	
Duration	Number of years for which transit	years
	passes are funded	

Equation 16: Total VMT Reductions

Total VMT Reductions

= Land Use VMT Reductions + Parking VMT Reductions + Traffic Calming VMT Reductions + Subsidy VMT Reductions

Table 19. Variable Definitions for Equation 16: Total VMT Reductions

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Total VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with all	%
	mitigation measures, capped	
	according to Project Area Type (See	
	Table 13)	
Lande Use VMT	VMT reductions associated with all	%
Reductions	land use measures, capped	
	according to Project Area Type, from	
	Equation 10	
Parking VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with all	%
	parking measures, capped at 20%,	
	from Equation 13	
Traffic Calming VMT	VMT reductions associated with	%
Reductions	traffic calming measures withing ½	
	mile of affordable housing	
	development, from Equation 14	
Subsidy VMT Reductions	VM T Reductions Associated with	%
	transit passes for residents, capped	
	at 20%, from Equation 15	

Equation 17: Annual Avoided VMT

Annual Avoided VMT = Annual Unmitigated VMT * Total VMT Reductions

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Annual Avoided VMT	Annual reductions in baseline	Miles/year
	residential VMT	
Annual unmitigated VMT	Annual VMT by residents of housing	Miles/year
	development without VMT mitigation	
	measures, from Equation 4	
Total VMT Reductions	VMT reductions associated with all	%
	mitigation measures, from Equation	
	16	

Equation 18: Total Avoided VMT

Total Avoided VMT = Annnual Avoided VMT * 30 years

Table 21. Valiable Definitions for Equation 10. Total Avoided Vint		
Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Total Avoided VMT	Reductions in baselines residential VMT for quantification period of affordable housing development (30 years)	Miles
Annual Avoided VMT	Annual reductions in baseline residential VMT	Miles/year

Table 21. Variable Definitions for Equation 18: Total Avoided VMT

Equation 19 is used to estimate emission reductions from the avoided VMT associated with the affordable housing development and transit subsidies for residents.

Equation 19: Auto Emission Reductions from Affordable Housing Development and Residential Transit Subsidies

Emission Reductions

$$= \left(\frac{Annual \, Avoided \, VMt * EF_{yr1} + Annual \, Avoided \, VMT * EF_{yrf}}{2}\right)$$

$$* \, 30 \, years * U^{-1}$$

Table 22. Variable Definition for Equation 19: Auto Emission Reductions forAffordable Housing Development and Transit Subsidies

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Auto Emission Reductions	Auto GHG or criteria and toxic air	MTCO ₂ e or lbs
	pollutant emission reductions for	
	quantification period of affordable	
	housing development (30 years)	
Annual Avoided VMT	Annual reductions in baselines	Miles/year
	residential VMT, from Equation 17	_
EF _{yr1}	County-specific auto vehicle emission	g/mile
	factor for first year of project life	
EFyrF	County-specific auto vehicle emission	g/mile
	factor for final year of project life	_
U	Unit conversion factor (1,000,000 for	g/MT or g/lb
	grams to metric tons; 453.59 for	
	grams to pounds)	

B. Solar PV Generation

The emission reductions from grid-connected solar PV projects are calculated as the emission reductions from avoided fossil-fuel-based electricity generation.¹¹

Equation 20: Emission Reductions from Solar

Emission Reductions =
$$\sum_{n=1}^{30} (1 - Degradation)^{n-1} * Production * EF$$

Table 23. Variable Definitions for Equation 20: Emission Reductions from Solar PV

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Emission Reductions	GHG or criteria and toxic air pollutant emission reductions for useful life of solar PV system (30 years)	MTCO ₂ e or lbs
Degradation	Annual Rate of system degradation (0.5%)	%/year
Production	Annual electricity generation estimates using PVWatts Calculator	kWh/year
EF	Emission factor for California grid electricity	MTCO _{2@} /kWh or lbs/kWh

¹¹ The 30-year useful life was obtained from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory "Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Solar Photovoltaics" fact sheet. *Access through the factsheet PDF*. The estimated rate of system degradation was obtained from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory Technical Report "Photovoltaic Degradation Rates - An Analytical Review." 2012. *Access through the report PDF*.

C. New Bicycle Facility or Walkway

The GHG emission reductions from new bicycle facilities or walkways are calculated as the emission reductions from displaced autos.

Equation 21: VMT Reductions from Bicycle Facility or Walkway

$$VMT \ Displaced = D * ADT * (A + C) * GFA * L$$

Table 24. Variable Definitions for Equation 21: VMT Reductions from Bicycle Facility or Walkway

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
VMT Displaced	Annual passenger VMT replaced by cycling or walking trips	Miles/year
D	Default annuals days of use of new facility (200)	Days/year
ADT	Average two-way daily traffic on road parallel to facility	Vehicle trips/day
А	Adjustment factor for active transportation (See Table 24)	N/A
С	Credit for Key Destinations near facility (See Table 25)	N/A
GFA	Growth factor adjustment *1.54 for new Class I bike paths and Class IV bikeways; 1.0 for new Class II bike lanes, 0.54 for Class II to IV Conversion; 0.46 for new bicycle boulevards)	N/A
L	Average length of auto trip replaced (1.5 miles for cycling; 0.3 miles for walking)	miles

Average Daily Traffic (vehicle trips per day)	One-way Facility Length (miles)	Adjustment Factor for Population >250,000 or Non- university Town with Population < 250,000	Adjustment Factor University Town with Population <250,000
1 to 12,000	<u><</u> 1	0.0019	0.0104
1 to 12,000	1.01 to 2	0.0029	0.0155
1 to 12,000	> 2	0.0038	0.0207
12,001 to 24,000	<u><</u> 1	0.0014	0.0073
12,001 to 24,000	1.01 to 2	0.0020	0.0109
12,001 to 24,000	> 2	0.0027	0.0145
24,001 to 30,000	<u><</u> 1	0.0010	0.0052
24,001 to 30,000	1.01 to 2	0.0014	0.0078
24,001 to 30,000	> 2	0.0019	0.0104

Table 25.Active Transportation Adjustment Factors

Table 26. Key Destinations Credit

Number of Key Destinations	Credit Within ½ Mile of Facility	Credit Within ¼ Mile of Facility
0 to 2	0	0
3	0.0005	0.001
4 to 6	0.0010	0.002
≥ 7	0.0015	0.003

Equation 22: Auto Emission Reductions from Bicycle Facility or Walkway

Auto Emission Reductions

$$= \left(\frac{VMT \ Displaced * EF_{yr1} + VMT \ Displaced * EF_{yrf}}{2}\right) * UL * U^{-1}$$

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Auto Emission Reductions	Auto GHG or criteria and toxic air	MTCO ₂ e or lbs
	pollutant emission reductions for	
	useful life of bicycle or walkway	
VMT Displaced	Annual passenger VMT replaced by	Miles/year
	cycling or walking trips, from	-
	Equation 21	
EF _{yr1}	County-specific auto vehicle emission	g/mile
	factor for first year of useful life	-
EFyrF	County-specific auto vehicle emission	g/mile
	factor for final year of useful life	
UL	Useful life of bicycle facility or	years
	walkways (20 years for Class I bike	
	path or walkways; 15 years for Class II	
	bike lane, Class IV separated	
	bikeways, or bicycle boulevard)	
U	Unit conversion factor (1,000,000 for	g/MT or g/lb
	grams to metric tons; 453.59 for	
	grams to pounds)	

Table 27. Variable Definitions for Equation 22: Auto Emission Reductions fromBicycle or Walkway

D. New or Expanded Bus, Cable Car, Rail, Streetcar, Shuttle, Trolley Bus, Bus Rapid Transit, or Van Service

The emission reductions from new or expanded transit service are calculated as the emission reductions from displaced autos less the new emissions from transit vehicles.

Equation 23: VMT Reductions from New or Expanded Transit Service

 $VMT \ Displaced = R * A * L$

Table 28. Variable Definitions for Equation 27: VMT Reductions for New orExpanded Transit Service

Verieble Verieble Definition Unite		
Variable	Variable Definition	Units
VMT Displaced	Annual passenger VMT replaced by	Miles/year
	transit trips	
R	Increase in annual transit ridership in	Trips/year
	first or last year of service	
А	Adjustment factor for transit	Unitless
	dependency (default or user-defined,	
	see Appendix A)	
L	Length of average auto trip replaced	miles
	(default or user-defined; see	
	Appendix A)	

Equation 24: Auto Emission Reductions from New or Expanded Transit Service

Auto Emission Reductions

$$= \left(\frac{VMT \ Displaced * EF_{yr1} + VMT \ Displaced * EF_{yrf}}{2}\right) * UL * U^{-1}$$

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Auto Emission Reductions	Auto GHG or criteria and toxic air pollutant emission reductions for	MTCO ₂ e or lbs
	quantification period of new or	
	expanded service	
VMT Displaced _{yr1}	Annual passenger VMT replaced by transit trips in first year of service,	Miles/year
	from Equation 23	
VMT Displaced _{yrF}	Annual passenger VMT replaced by	Miles/year
	transit trips in last year of service, from Equation 23	
EF _{yr1}	County-specific auto vehicle emission factor for first year of service	g/mile
EF _{yr} F	County-specific auto vehicle emission factor for final year of service	g/mile
UL	Quantification period for transit	years
	service	
U	Unit conversion factor (1,000,000 for	g/MT or g/lb
	grams to metric tons; 453.59 for	
	grams to pounds)	

Table 29. Variable Definitions for Equation 24: Auto Emission from New orExpanded Transit Service

Equation 25 is applicable only to rail vehicles, when information on the additional fuel consumed rather than the VMT to operate new or expanded service is available.

Equation 25: Rail Vehicle VMT from Fuel Consumption

Rail Vehicle $VMT = Fuel Consumed * Consumption Rate^{-1} * U^{-1}$

Consumption		
Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Rail Vehicle VMT	Annual VMT of rail vehicles to	Miles/year
	operate new or expanded service	
Fuel Consumed	Annual fuel consumed by rail vehicles	Units of
	to operate new or expanded service	fuel/year
Consumption Rate	Statewide average fuel consumption	Gallons of
	rate for locomotives	diesel/miles
U	Unit conversion factor for diesel	Units of
	gallon equivalent	fuel/diesel
		gallon
		equivalent

Table 30. Variable Definitions for Equation 25: Rail Vehicle VMT from	Fuel
Consumption	

Equation 26: Transit Vehicle Emissions

 $Transit Vehicle Emissions = Transit VMT * EF_{yrM} * UL * U^{-1}$

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Transit Vehicle Emissions	Transit vehicle GHG or criteria and	MTCO ₂ e or lbs
	toxic air pollutant emissions for	
	quantification period of new or	
	expanded service	
Transit VMT	Annual VMT of transit vehicles to	Miles/year
	operate new or expanded service	
EFyrM	Transit vehicle emission factor for	g/mile
	middle year of service	
UL	Quantification period for transit	Years
	service	
U	Units conversion factor (1,000,000 for	g/MT or g/lb
	grams to metric tons' 453.59 for	
	grams to pounds)	

Equation 27: Net Emission Reductions from New or Expanded Transit Service

Net Emission Reductions = Auto Emission Reductions – Transit Vehicle Emissions

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
Net Emission Reductions	GHG or criteria and toxic air pollutant	MTCO ₂ e or lbs
	emissions reductions for	
	quantification period of new or	
	expanded transit service	
Auto Emission Reductions	GHG or criteria and toxic air pollutant	MTCO ₂ e or lbs
	emissions reductions for	
	quantification period of new or	
	expanded transit service, from	
	Equation 24	
Transit Vehicle Emissions	Transit vehicle GHG or criteria and	MTCO ₂ e or lbs
	toxic air pollutant emissions	
	reductions for quantification period	
	of new or expanded transit service,	
	from Equation 26	

E. New or Expanded Ferry Service

The emission reductions from new or expanded ferry service are calculated as the emission reductions from displaced autos less the new emissions from the ferry.

Equation 28: VMT Reductions from New or Expanded Ferry Service

 $VMT \ Displaced = D * R * A * L$

Table 33. Variable Definitions for Equation 28 VMT Reductions from Ferry Service

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
VMT Displaced	Annual passenger VMT replaced by	Miles/year
	ferry trips	
D	Annual days of operation of Ferry	Days/year
	service	
R	Increase in daily ferry ridership in first	Trips/day
	or last year of service	
A	Adjustment factor for transit	N/A
	dependency (default or user-defined,	
	See Appendix A)	
L	Length of average auto trip replaced	miles
	(default or user-defined; see	
	Appendix A)	

Equation 29: Auto Emission Reductions from New or Expanded Ferry Service

$$= \left(\frac{VMT \ Displaced * EF_{yr1} + VMT \ Displaced * EF_{yrf}}{2}\right) * UL * U^{-1}$$

Service					
Variable	Variable Definition	Units			
Auto Emission Reductions	Auto GHG or criteria and toxic air pollutant emission reductions for quantification period of new or expanded service	MTCO ₂ e or lbs			
VMT Displaced _{yr1}	Annual passenger VMT replaced by ferry trips in first year of service, from Equation 28	Miles/year			
VMT Displaced _{yrF}	Annual passenger VMT replaced by ferry trips in last year of service, from Equation 28	Miles/year			
EF _{yr1}	County-specific auto vehicle emission factor for first year of service	g/mile			
EF _{yr}	County-specific auto vehicle emission factor for final year of service	g/mile			
UL	Quantification period for ferry service	years			
U	Unit conversion factor (1,000,000 for grams to metric tons; 453.59 for grams to pounds)	g/MT or g/lb			

Table 34. Variable Definitions for Equation 29: Auto Emission Reductions for FerryService

Equation 30: Ferry Emissions

Ferry Emissions = *Fuel Consumption* $* EF * UL * U^{-1}$

Table 35. Valiable Definitions for Equation 50. Ferry Linissions				
Variable	Variable Definition	Units		
Ferry Emissions	Ferry GHG or criteria and toxic air	MTCO ₂ e or lbs		
	pollutant emissions for quantification			
	period of new or expanded service			
Fuel Consumption	Annual quantity of fuel consumed by	Gal, scf, kWh,		
	ferry to operate new or expanded	or kg		
	service	_		
EF	Fuel-specific carbon intensity	g/unit of fuel		
	emission factor or ferry			
UL	Quantification period for ferry service	years		
U	Unit conversion factor (1,000,000 for	g/MT or g/lb		
	grams to metric tons; 453.59 for			
	grams to pounds)			

Table 35. Variable Definitions for Equation 30: Ferry Emissions

Equation 31: Net Emission Reductions from New or Expanded Ferry

Net Emission Reductions = Auto Emission Reductions – Ferry Reductions

Table 30. Variable Demittions for Net Emission Reductions from Ferry Service				
Variable	Variable Definition	Units		
Net Emission Reductions	GHG or criteria and toxic air pollutant	MTCO ₂ e or lbs		
	emission reductions for quantification			
	period or new or expanded ferry			
	service			
Auto Emission Reductions	Auto GHG or criteria and toxic air	MTCO ₂ e or lbs		
	pollutant emission reductions for			
	quantification period of new or			
	expanded service, from Equation 29			
Ferry Emissions	Ferry GHG or criteria and toxic air	MTCO _{2@} or lbs		
	pollutant emissions for quantification			
	period of new or expanded service,			
	from Equation 30			

Table 36. Variable Definitions for Net Emission Reductions from Ferry Service

Quantification Methodology for the SGC AHSC Program

F. Capital Improvements and Fare Reductions

The GHG emission reductions from capital improvements and fare reductions that result in mode shift to transit are calculated as the emission reductions from displaced autos.

Equation 32: VMT Reductions from Capital Improvement or Fare Reductions

 $VMT \ Displaced = D * R * A * L$

Table37. Variable Definitions for Equation 32: VMT Reductions from CapitalImprovement or Fare Reductions

Variable	Variable Definition	Units
VMT Displaced	Annual passenger VMT replaced by	Miles/year
	transit trips	
D	Annual days of operation of transit	Days/year
	service utilizing capital improvement	
	or when fare reductions is provided	
R	Increase in daily transit ridership in	Trips/day
	first or last year of service	
А	Adjustment factor for transit	N/A
	dependency (default or user-defined,	
	See Appendix A)	
L	Length of average auto trip replaced	miles
	(default or user-defined; see	
	Appendix A)	

Equation 33: Auto Emission Reductions from Capital Improvements or Fare Reductions

Auto Emission Reductions

$$= \left(\frac{VMT \ Displaced * EF_{yr1} + VMT \ Displaced * EF_{yrf}}{2}\right) * UL * U^{-1}$$

Table 38. Variable Definitions for Equation 33: Auto Emission Reductions from
Capital Improvements or Fare Reductions

Variable	Variable Definition Units				
Auto Emission Reductions	Auto GHG or criteria and toxic air pollutant emission reductions for quantification period of capital improvement or fare reduction	MTCO _{2e} or Ibs			
VMT Displaced	Annual passenger VMT replaced by transit, from Equation 32	Miles/year			
EF _{yr1}	County-specific vehicle emission factor for first year of service	g/mile			
EFyrF	County-specific vehicle emission factor for final year of service	g/mile			
UL	Quantification period for transit utilizing capital improvement or fare reduction	years			
U	Unit conversion factor (1,000,000 for grams to metric tons; 453.59 for grams to pounds)	g/MT or g/lb			

G. Shared Mobility

Please refer to the CARB Clean Mobility Benefits Quantification Methodology to see equations for estimating emission reductions and co-benefits from new or expanded service and subsidies of shared mobility projects such as carshare, carpool, on-demand transit service and micro mobility (bikeshare, scooter-share, moped-share). The Clean Mobility Benefits Quantification Methodology and Calculator tool can be found on the *California Climate Investments resources webpage*.

Section C. Appendix A: Default Lookup Tables

CARB staff developed these recommended values for applicants to use for the length of the average unlinked passenger trip and baseline average fare cost, by agency or statewide, by mode, and by type of service (Directly Operated (DO) or Purchased Transportation (PT)) using 2021 Annual data from the National Transit Database, supplemented by the previously used 2017 data for transit services that are absent from the 2021 data due to COVID-19 service interruptions or other reasons (identified in bold)¹². These values were calculated by dividing passenger miles traveled by unlinked passenger trips. Adjustment factors were developed by the Institute of Transportation Studies based on a review of research on transit dependency and data from the 2013 California Household Travel Survey¹³.

Mode Type	Mode	Length of Average Trip for DO (Miles/Trip)	Length of Average Trip For PT (Miles/Trip)	Adjustment Factor
Commuter Bus (Express/Intercity)	СВ	23.15	22.61	0.705
Cable Car	CC	1.26	-	0.479
Commuter Rail	CR	25.63	33.55	0.867
Demand Response	DR	5.81	8.88	0.540
Demand Response Transportation Network Company	DR	-	4.64	-
Demand Response Taxi	DR	-	9.10	0.540
Ferry Boat	FB	12.01	23.70	1.00
Heavy Rail	HR	9.24	-	0.794
Light Rail	LR	6.03	-	0.685
Bus (Local)	MB	3.29	4.20	0.561 (Transit bus) 0.585 (Shuttle)
Monorail/Automated Guideway	MG	-	3.18	0.479
Bus Rapid Transit	RB	4.61	-	0.542

Table 39. Length of Average Trip and Adjustment Factor by Mode

¹² Federal Transit Administration. National Transit Database. Available through the *NTD webpage*.

¹³ Handy, Susan, Elisa Barbour, Alissa Kendall, Jamey Volker (2019) Updated Default Values for Transit Dependency and Average Length of Unlinked Transit Passenger Trips, for Calculations Using TAC Methods for California Climate Investments Programs. Institute of Transportation Studies, University of California, Davis. *Study PDF*.

Quantification Methodology for the SGC AHSC Program

Mode Type	Mode	Length of Average Trip for DO (Miles/Trip)	Length of Average Trip For PT (Miles/Trip)	Adjustment Factor	
Streetcar Rail	SR	1.43	-	0.479	
Trolley Bus	TB	1.53	-	0.479	
Vanpool	VP	31.72	48.56	0.879	
Hybrid Rail	YR	6.86	7.29	0.738	

Table 40. Length of Average Trip and Average Fare Cost by Transit Agency

Agency	Mode	Type of Service	Length of Average Trip	Average Fare Cost per Trip
Access Services	DR	ТΧ	12.04	\$2.56
Access Services	DR	PT	10.76	\$2.41
Access Services	DT	PT	14.69	\$2.39
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	СВ	DO	13.68	\$4.46
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	DR	PT	7.71	\$2.60
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	MB	DO	3.89	\$1.20
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	MB	PT	12.60	\$1.21
Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District	RB	DO	3.07	\$0.44
Altamont Corridor Express	CR	PT	55.57	\$9.18
Anaheim Transportation Network	DR	PT	1.35	-
Anaheim Transportation Network	MB	PT	2.32	\$0.80
Antelope Valley Transit Authority	СВ	PT	56.54	\$6.56
Antelope Valley Transit Authority	DR	PT	8.86	\$1.23
Antelope Valley Transit Authority	MB	PT	5.41	\$1.08
Butte County Association of Governments	DR	PT	2.89	\$2.66
Butte County Association of Governments	MB	PT	4.92	\$1.81
California Vanpool Authority	VP	DO	31.72	\$3.49
Central Contra Costa Transit Authority	DR	PT	7.32	\$1.96
Central Contra Costa Transit Authority	MB	DO	4.32	\$0.97
Central Contra Costa Transit Authority	MB	PT	14.60	-
City and County of San Francisco	DR	PT	6.76	\$2.39
City and County of San Francisco	LR	DO	0.74	\$0.25
City and County of San Francisco	MB	DO	2.01	\$0.32
City and County of San Francisco	ТВ	DO	1.53	\$0.23
City of Commerce	DR	DO	4.99	-
City of Commerce	MB	DO	3.83	-
City of Culver City	DR	DO	1.69	\$0.83
City of Culver City	MB	DO	4.43	\$0.46

Agency	Mode	Type of Service	Length of Average Trip	Average Fare Cost per Trip
City of Elk Grove	СВ	PT	14.06	\$2.81
City of Elk Grove	DR	PT	4.68	\$6.63
City of Elk Grove	MB	PT	3.44	\$1.06
City of Fairfield, California	CB	PT	23.56	\$3.90
City of Fairfield, California	DR	PT	10.18	\$1.92
City of Fairfield, California	MB	PT	2.86	\$0.40
City of Fresno	DR	PT	5.74	\$1.22
City of Fresno	MB	DO	2.88	\$0.31
City of Gardena	DR	DO	2.59	\$0.50
City of Gardena	MB	DO	3.34	\$0.77
City of Glendale	DR	PT	3.04	\$1.09
City of Glendale	MB	PT	2.18	\$0.01
City of La Mirada	DR	PT	2.34	\$0.64
City of Los Angeles	СВ	PT	10.91	\$0.83
City of Los Angeles	DR	PT	3.81	\$0.26
City of Los Angeles	DR	ΤX	2.38	\$1.38
City of Los Angeles	MB	PT	1.19	\$0.37
City of Modesto	DR	PT	4.50	\$2.96
City of Modesto	DR	ΤX	5.33	\$1.58
City of Modesto	MB	PT	4.19	\$0.89
City of Montebello	DR	TX	1.80	\$0.69
City of Montebello	MB	DO	3.30	\$0.68
City of Montebello	MB	PT	2.47	\$1.29
City of Norwalk	DR	PT	2.47	\$0.69
City of Norwalk	MB	DO	4.20	\$0.88
City of Pasadena	DR	PT	2.94	\$0.13
City of Pasadena	MB	PT	1.99	\$0.10
City of Petaluma	DR	PT	4.09	\$1.02
City of Petaluma	MB	PT	2.73	\$0.41
City of Redondo Beach	DR	PT	5.40	\$0.85
City of Redondo Beach	MB	PT	3.60	\$0.84
City of Riverside	DR	DO	5.63	\$2.47
City of San Luis Obispo	MB	PT	3.10	\$1.80
City of Santa Clarita	СВ	PT	24.78	\$0.86
City of Santa Clarita	DR	PT	6.54	\$0.98
City of Santa Clarita	MB	PT	4.23	\$0.15
City of Santa Maria	DR	PT	8.30	\$0.44
City of Santa Maria	MB	PT	3.49	\$1.02
City of Santa Monica	DR	PT	1.84	\$0.57

Agency	Mode	Type of Service	Length of Average Trip	Average Fare Cost per Trip
City of Santa Monica	DR	TN	1.57	\$0.57
City of Santa Monica	MB	DO	3.36	\$0.40
City of Santa Rosa	DR	PT	3.99	\$1.35
City of Santa Rosa	MB	DO	2.75	\$0.29
City of Santa Rosa	MB	PT	3.61	\$20.05
City of Torrance	DR	TX	3.47	\$1.97
City of Torrance	MB	DO	4.95	\$0.01
City of Tulare	DR	PT	4.21	\$1.14
City of Tulare	MB	PT	6.06	\$0.60
City of Turlock	DR	PT	7.09	\$2.01
City of Turlock	MB	PT	3.34	\$1.36
City of Visalia	СВ	PT	51.99	\$2.89
City of Visalia	DR	PT	6.38	\$3.61
City of Visalia	MB	PT	6.68	\$0.93
County of Placer	СВ	PT	24.74	\$6.61
County of Placer	DR	DO	10.80	\$3.50
County of Placer	DR	PT	4.22	\$0.82
County of Placer	MB	DO	7.76	\$1.24
County of Placer	MB	PT	3.32	\$0.64
County of Placer	VP	PT	33.91	\$4.68
County of Sonoma	DR	PT	12.17	\$0.71
County of Sonoma	MB	PT	8.33	\$0.57
El Dorado County Transit Authority	СВ	DO	31.03	\$5.37
El Dorado County Transit Authority	DR	DO	11.22	\$10.25
El Dorado County Transit Authority	MB	DO	8.97	\$1.47
Foothill Transit	MB	PT	6.07	\$0.66
Gold Coast Transit District	DR	PT	6.29	\$0.73
Gold Coast Transit District	MB	DO	3.58	\$0.15
Golden Empire Transit District	DR	DO	5.17	\$6.13
Golden Empire Transit District	MB	DO	3.46	\$0.87
Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District	DR	PT	11.99	\$5.67
Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District	FB	DO	12.01	\$9.44
Golden Gate Bridge, Highway and Transportation District	MB	DO	18.84	\$6.22
Imperial County Transportation Commission	DR	PT	26.67	\$2.48

Agency	Mode	Type of Service	Length of Average Trip	Average Fare Cost per Trip
Imperial County Transportation	MB	PT	9.91	\$0.05
Commission			2.00	¢0.40
Kings County Area Public Transit Agency	DR	PT	2.90	\$2.42
Kings County Area Public Transit Agency	MB	PT	5.21	\$1.02
Kings County Area Public Transit Agency	VP	PT	38.69	\$3.70
Laguna Beach Municipal Transit	MB	DO	2.22	\$0.04
Livermore / Amador Valley Transit Authority	DR	PT	4.75	\$3.82
Livermore / Amador Valley Transit Authority	MB	PT	4.27	\$1.98
Long Beach Transit	DR	PT	4.14	\$1.67
Long Beach Transit	MB	DO	3.12	\$0.01
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	DR	DO	2.49	-
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	HR	DO	5.24	\$0.14
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	LR	DO	6.61	\$0.13
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	MB	DO	2.86	\$0.11
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	MB	PT	3.79	\$0.01
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	RB	DO	5.85	\$0.13
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	VP	PT	46.98	\$7.49
Marin County Transit District	DR	PT	6.77	\$4.46
Marin County Transit District	MB	PT	5.63	\$1.06
Metropolitan Transportation Commission	VP	PT	56.57	\$7.43
Monterey-Salinas Transit	CB	DO	40.49	\$16.91
Monterey-Salinas Transit	DR	PT	8.57	\$1.23
Monterey-Salinas Transit	MB	DO	6.90	\$1.42
Monterey-Salinas Transit	MB	PT	3.70	\$1.42
Napa Valley Transportation Authority	CB	PT	16.63	\$1.27
Napa Valley Transportation Authority	DR	PT	2.61	\$1.11
Napa Valley Transportation Authority	MB	PT	9.54	\$0.75
North County Transit District	CR	PT	26.44	\$0.75
North County Transit District	DR	PT	13.48	\$14.64
North County Transit District	MB	PT	4.34	\$0.85
North County Transit District	YR	PT	7.29	\$0.85
Omnitrans	DR	PT	9.85	\$1.10

Agency	Mode	Type of Service	Length of Average Trip	Average Fare Cost per Trip
Omnitrans	MB	DO	5.63	\$1.69
Omnitrans	MB	PT	3.77	\$1.55
Orange County Transportation Authority	СВ	DO	21.11	\$1.68
Orange County Transportation Authority	СВ	PT	19.28	\$1.44
Orange County Transportation Authority	DR	PT	10.46	\$4.26
Orange County Transportation Authority	DR	TX	4.76	\$3.09
Orange County Transportation Authority	DT	PT	3.02	\$3.44
Orange County Transportation Authority	MB	DO	4.41	\$0.70
Orange County Transportation Authority	MB	PT	5.12	\$0.53
Orange County Transportation Authority	VP	PT	36.82	\$6.47
Paratransit, Inc.	DR	DO	9.82	\$4.20
Paratransit, Inc.	DR	PT	10.46	\$7.07
Paratransit, Inc.	DT	PT	8.37	\$4.47
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board dba: Caltrain	CR	PT	22.28	\$25.68
Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board dba: Caltrain	MB	PT	3.47	-
Pomona Valley Transportation Authority	DR	PT	6.02	\$0.33
Pomona Valley Transportation Authority	DR	TX	4.34	\$1.45
Pomona Valley Transportation Authority	DT	PT	4.81	\$1.94
Redding Area Bus Authority	DR	PT	6.36	\$3.53
Redding Area Bus Authority	MB	PT	5.30	\$1.14
Riverside County Transportation Commission	VP	PT	39.33	\$6.72
Riverside Transit Agency	СВ	DO	26.21	\$1.56
Riverside Transit Agency	СВ	PT	23.22	\$2.08
Riverside Transit Agency	DR	PT	11.38	\$5.13
Riverside Transit Agency	DT	PT	17.51	\$4.05
Riverside Transit Agency	MB	DO	6.84	\$0.73
Riverside Transit Agency	MB	PT	11.80	\$1.52
Sacramento Regional Transit District	DR	DO	5.82	\$3.58
Sacramento Regional Transit District	LR	DO	5.78	\$1.43
Sacramento Regional Transit District	MB	DO	3.73	\$1.38
San Bernardino County Transportation Authority	VP	PT	40.47	\$7.66
San Diego Association of Governments	VP	PT	55.11	\$6.61
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	CB	PT	26.10	\$6.78
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	DR	PT	10.04	\$4.26
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	DR	TX	12.05	\$4.58

Agency	Mode	Type of Service	Length of Average Trip	Average Fare Cost per Trip
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	LR	DO	6.32	\$0.99
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	MB	DO	5.32	\$1.68
San Diego Metropolitan Transit System	MB	PT	3.86	\$1.23
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	HR	DO	13.65	\$3.50
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	MG	PT	3.18	\$5.78
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District	YR	DO	6.86	\$2.88
San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transportation Authority	FB	PT	23.70	\$7.32
San Francisco Municipal Railway	CC	DO	1.26	\$4.34
San Francisco Municipal Railway	DR	PT	6.17	\$2.29
San Francisco Municipal Railway	LR	DO	2.73	\$0.77
San Francisco Municipal Railway	MB	DO	2.15	\$0.77
San Francisco Municipal Railway	SR	DO	1.43	\$0.77
San Francisco Municipal Railway	ТВ	DO	1.48	\$0.77
San Joaquin Council	VP	PT	47.37	\$7.05
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	СВ	PT	44.32	\$5.30
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	DR	PT	7.29	\$3.97
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	DR	ΤX	5.13	\$4.77
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	DT	PT	5.83	\$3.73
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	MB	DO	3.51	\$0.66
San Joaquin Regional Transit District	MB	PT	4.55	\$0.59
San Luis Obispo Regional Transit Authority	DR	DO	7.11	\$3.12
San Luis Obispo Regional Transit Authority	MB	DO	12.09	\$0.62
San Mateo County Transit District	DR	PT	8.14	\$2.08
San Mateo County Transit District	DR	TX	15.51	\$1.73
San Mateo County Transit District	DT	PT	11.89	\$2.38
San Mateo County Transit District	MB	DO	3.57	\$1.15
San Mateo County Transit District	MB	PT	5.20	\$1.30
Santa Barbara Metropolitan Transit District	MB	DO	4.09	\$0.17
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	DR	PT	8.08	\$2.71
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	DT	PT	10.68	\$2.86
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	LR	DO	6.44	\$1.10
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	MB	DO	5.00	\$1.10
Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority	MB	PT	4.50	\$2.65
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District	СВ	DO	30.59	\$4.43
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District	DR	DO	6.36	\$2.95

Agency	Mode	Type of Service	Length of Average Trip	Average Fare Cost per Trip
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District	DT	PT	7.23	\$2.09
Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District	MB	DO	4.41	\$4.70
Solano County Transit	СВ	PT	13.78	\$4.17
Solano County Transit	DR	PT	3.59	\$3.72
Solano County Transit	MB	PT	2.82	\$1.22
Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District	CR	DO	25.63	\$5.75
Southern California Regional Rail Authority	CR	PT	39.20	\$7.73
SunLine Transit Agency	DR	DO	8.00	\$1.37
SunLine Transit Agency	MB	DO	6.05	\$0.12
SunLine Transit Agency	VP	PT	57.99	\$7.50
The Eastern Contra Costa Transit Authority	DR	PT	4.74	\$4.18
The Eastern Contra Costa Transit Authority	DR	TN	6.17	\$4.00
The Eastern Contra Costa Transit Authority	MB	PT	4.52	\$0.37
Transit Joint Powers Authority for Merced County	DR	PT	5.87	\$0.92
Transit Joint Powers Authority for Merced				
County	MB	PT	6.36	\$1.63
University of California, Davis (Unitrans)	MB	DO	2.16	\$12.78
Ventura County Transportation Commission	СВ	PT	26.77	\$1.60
Ventura County Transportation Commission	DR	PT	2.80	\$1.75
Ventura County Transportation Commission	MB	PT	4.37	\$0.85
Victor Valley Transit Authority	СВ	PT	52.89	\$13.08
Victor Valley Transit Authority	DR	PT	13.92	\$3.29
Victor Valley Transit Authority	MB	PT	6.85	\$1.52
Victor Valley Transit Authority	VP	PT	45.48	\$6.23
Western Contra Costa Transit Authority	СВ	PT	28.39	\$1.79
Western Contra Costa Transit Authority	DR	PT	6.08	\$0.59
Western Contra Costa Transit Authority	MB	PT	6.27	\$0.42
Yolo County Transportation District	DR	PT	11.29	\$4.83
Yolo County Transportation District	MB	PT	11.50	\$2.54
Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority	СВ	PT	39.30	\$6.69
Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority	DR	PT	5.86	\$5.67
Yuba-Sutter Transit Authority	MB	PT	3.04	\$1.04

Section D. References

The following references were used in the development of this Quantification Methodology and the AHSC Benefits Calculator Tool.

- California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (2010). *Quantifying Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Measures. www.capcoa.org/wpcontent/uploads/2010/11/CAPCOA-Quantification-Report-9-14- Final.pdf*
- California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (2017). Appendix A and D of User's Guide for CalEEMod Version 2016.3.2. www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/user's-guide
- California Air Resources Board (2020). California Climate Investments Quantification Methodology Emission Factor Database. www.arb.ca.gov/cci-resources
- California Air Resources Board and California Department of Transportation (2005). Methods to Find the Cost-effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects for Evaluating Motor Vehicle Registration Fee Projects and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Projects. ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/congestion-mitigation-and-airquality- improvement-cmaq-program
- Federal Transit Administration (2017). *National Transit Database. www.transit.dot.gov/ntd*
- Federal Transit Administration (2020). National Transit Database 2020 Reporting Policy Manual. www.transit.dot.gov/ntd/2020-ntd-reporting-policy-manual.
- National Association of City Transportation Officials (2012). Urban Bikeway Design Guide. nacto.org/publication/urban-bikeway-design-guide/
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (2012). *Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Solar Photovoltaics.* www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/56487.pdf
- National Renewable Energy Laboratory (2012). *Photovoltaic Degradation Rates An Analytical Review. www.nrel.gov/docs/fy12osti/51664.pdf*

National Renewable Energy Laboratory (2017). PVWatts Calculator. pvwatts.nrel.gov

- University of California, Davis (2019). *Quantifying Reductions in Vehicle Miles Traveled from New Bike Paths, Lanes, and Cycle Tracks. ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/cci-ghg-quantification-research*
- University of California, Davis (2019). *Quantifying Reductions in Vehicle Miles Traveled from New Pedestrian Facilities. ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/cci-ghg- quantification-research*
- University of California, Davis (2019). Updated Default Values for Transit Dependency and Average Length of Unlinked Transit Passenger Trips, for Calculations Using TAC Methods for California Climate Investments Programs. ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/documents/cci-ghg-quantificationresearch