

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (hereinafter "Agreement") is entered into between the STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD (hereinafter "ARB") 1001 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814, and Maxi Foods, LLC (hereinafter "Maxi Foods"), 8616 California Avenue, Riverside, California 9250-2860.

### I. RECITALS

- (1) Health and Safety Code, Section 39650-39675 mandates the reduction of the emissions of substances that have been determined to be toxic air contaminants (TACs). In 1998, following an exhaustive 10-year scientific assessment process, the Air Resources Board identified particulate matter (PM) from diesel-fueled engines as a toxic air contaminant. Transport Refrigeration Units (TRUs) are powered by diesel fueled engines that emit toxic particulate matter. TRUs are controlled under section 2477 within chapter 9, division 3, Title 13 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR).
- (2) CCR, Title 13, Section 2477 (e) (1) (E) 1.a states "On or before January 31, 2009 (extended to July 31, 2009), owner/operators of all California based TRUs and TRU gen sets subject to this regulation shall apply for an ARB identification number for all California-based TRUs or TRU gen sets operated by the operator..."
- (3) CCR, Title 13, Section 2477 (e) (1) (A) requires TRU owner/operators comply with the in-use emission category performance standards on or before the in-use compliance dates set forth in section 2477 (e) (1) (B).
- (4) The ARB has documented violations of the in-use performance standard and the identification number application requirements.
- (5) Health and Safety Code, Sections 39674 (a) and (b) authorize civil penalties for the violation of the programs for the regulation of toxic air contaminants not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) or not to exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) respectively, for each day in which the violation occurs.
- (6) ARB contends that if the facts described in recital paragraphs (1) – (5) were proven civil penalties could be imposed against Maxi Foods, as provided in H&SC sections 39674.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE  
ARB and Maxi Foods  
Page 2 of 7

- (7) Maxi Foods is willing to enter into this Agreement solely for the purpose of settlement and resolution of this matter with ARB. ARB accepts this Agreement in termination of this matter. Accordingly, the parties agree to resolve this matter completely by means of this Agreement, without the need for formal litigation, and therefore agree as follows:

**II. TERMS & RELEASE**

In consideration of the ARB not filing a legal action against Maxi Foods, for the violations referred to above, the ARB and Maxi Foods agree as follows:

- (1) Upon execution of this Agreement, a civil penalty of two thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars (\$2,250.00) shall be paid on behalf of Maxi Foods as follows:

- \$1,687.50 to the **California Air Pollution Control Fund**.
- \$562.50 to the **Peralta Community College District**.

**ARB to receive by:**

|            |                |
|------------|----------------|
| 02/8/2011  | First Payment  |
| 06/8/2011  | Second Payment |
| 10/10/2011 | Third Payment  |
| 02/8/2012  | Fourth Payment |

- First payment shall be made in the form of a check payable to the **Peralta Community College District** in the amount of \$562.50.
- Second payment shall be made in the form of a check payable to the **California Air Pollution Control Fund** in the amount of \$562.50.
- Third payment shall be made in the form of a check payable to the **California Air Pollution Control Fund** in the amount of \$562.50
- Fourth payment shall be made in the form of a check payable to the **California Air Pollution Control Fund** in the amount of \$562.50.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE  
ARB and Maxi Foods  
Page 3 of 7

- Checks with the signed settlement agreement shall be sent to:

Mr. Aldo Chaney, Air Pollution Specialist  
Air Resources Board, Enforcement Division  
9480 Telstar Ave., Suite 4  
El Monte, CA 91731

- (2) Effect of Untimely Payment or Performance. If any payment is more than 15 days late, the entire remaining balance becomes immediately due and payable. In addition, if the Attorney General files a civil action to enforce this settlement agreement, Maxi Foods shall pay all costs of investigating and prosecuting the action, including expert fees, reasonable attorney's fees, and costs.
- (3) It is agreed that if Maxi Foods, including its subsidiary or parent company, at any time becomes insolvent, or makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors or similar action adversely involving Maxi Foods, its subsidiary, or parent company, or a proceeding or petition under any bankruptcy, reorganization, arrangement of debt, insolvency, readjustment of debt, or receivership law or statute is filed by or against Maxi Foods, its subsidiary, or parent company, or a trustee in bankruptcy, custodian, receiver or agent is appointed or authorized to take charge of any of Maxi Foods, its subsidiary, or parent company's properties, or if any deposit account or other property of Maxi Foods, its subsidiary, or parent company be attempted to be obtained or held by writ of execution, garnishment, attachment, condemnation, levy, forfeiture or other legal process, or Maxi Foods, its subsidiary, or parent company takes any action to authorize any of the foregoing, the entire remaining balance becomes immediately due and payable without notice or demand.
- (4) It is further agreed that the penalties described in Terms and Release paragraph 1 are punitive in nature, rather than compensatory. Furthermore, the penalty is intended to deter and punish Maxi Foods for violations of state environmental statutes, and these penalties are payable to and for the benefit of ARB, a governmental unit. Therefore, it is agreed that these penalties imposed on Maxi Foods by ARB arising from the facts described in recital paragraphs 1 – 5 are non-dischargeable under 11 U.S.C § 523 (a) (7), which provides an exception from discharge for any debt to the extent such debt is for a fine, penalty or forfeiture payable to and for benefit of governmental unit, and is not compensation for actual pecuniary loss, other than certain types of tax penalties.

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

ARB and Maxi Foods

Page 4 of 7

- (5) If the Attorney General files a civil action to enforce this settlement agreement, Maxi Foods shall pay all costs of investigating and prosecuting the action, including expert fees, reasonable attorney's fees and costs.
- (6) Maxi Foods shall instruct all employees who operate diesel fueled commercial vehicles to comply with the idling regulations set forth in CCR, Title 13, Section 2485, within 45 days of the execution of this agreement.
- (7) Maxi Foods shall not violate the TRU ATCM, as codified in CCR, Title 13, Section 2477.
- (8) This Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon Maxi Foods, and its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations and upon ARB and any successor agency that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Agreement.
- (9) This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between ARB and Maxi Foods concerning the subject matter hereof, and supersedes and replaces all prior negotiations and agreements between ARB and Maxi Foods concerning the subject matter hereof.
- (10) No agreement to modify, amend, extend, supersede, terminate, or discharge this Agreement, or any portion thereof, is valid or enforceable unless it is in writing and signed by all parties to this Agreement.
- (11) Severability. Each provision of this Agreement is severable, and in the event that any provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement remains in full force and effect.
- (12) This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to California's choice-of-law rules.
- (13) This Agreement is deemed to have been drafted equally by the Parties; it will not be interpreted for or against either party on the ground that said party drafted it.

(14) **SB 1402 Statement**

Senate Bill 1402 (Dutton, Chapter 413, statutes of 2010) requires the ARB to provide information on the basis for the penalties it seeks (see Health and Safety Code section 39619.7). This information, which is provided throughout this settlement agreement, is summarized here.

**The manner in which the penalty amount was determined, including a per unit or per vehicle penalty.**

Penalties must be set at levels sufficient to discourage violations. The penalties in this matter were determined in consideration of all relevant circumstances, including the eight factors specified in Health and Safety Code section 42403 and 43024.

The per unit penalty for the TRU violations involved in this case is a maximum of \$1,000 per unit per day for strict liability violations or \$10,000 per unit per day for negligent or intentional violations pursuant to H&SC section 39674. The penalty obtained for the TRU violations involved in this case is \$750.00 per unit for 3 non-compliant units for a penalty of \$2,250.00 after considering all factors specified in 43024. The penalty reflects the fact that these were unintentional first time violations and that Maxi Foods cooperated with the investigation.

**The provision of law the penalty is being assessed under and why that provision is most appropriate for that violation.**

The penalty provision being applied for the TRU ATCM (Title 13, CCR, section 2477) violations in this case is H&SC section 39674 because the TRU ATCM is a Toxic Air Contaminant Control Measure adopted pursuant to authority contained in H&SC section 39002, et seq., 39650-39675 and because Maxi Foods, failed to bring TRUs in its fleet into compliance by the deadlines set forth in the TRU ATCM.

**Is the penalty being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollution at a specified level, and, if so a quantification of excess emissions, if it is practicable to do so.**

The provisions cited above do prohibit emissions above a specified level of g/hp-hr. However, since the hours of operation of the three non-compliant units involved and their individual emission rates are not known, it is not practical to quantify the excess emissions.

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

ARB and Maxi Foods

Page 6 of 7

- (15) Maxi Foods acknowledges that ARB has complied with SB 1402 in prosecuting or settling this case. Specifically, ARB has considered all relevant facts, including those listed at HSC section 43024, has explained the manner in which the penalty amount was calculated (including a per unit or per vehicle penalty, if appropriate), has identified the provision of law under which the penalty is being assessed and has considered and determined that this penalty is being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollutants at a specified level. However, since the hours of operation of the non-compliant units involved and their individual emission rates are not known, it is not practicable for ARB to quantify the excess emissions.
- (16) Penalties were determined based on the unique circumstances of this matter, considered together with the need to remove any economic benefit from noncompliance, the goal of deterring future violations and obtaining swift compliance, the consideration of past penalties in similar cases negotiation, and the potential costs and risk associated with litigating these particular violations. The penalty reflects violations extending over a number of days considered together with the complete circumstances of this case. The penalty was discounted in this matter based on the fact that this was a first time violation and the violator made unusually diligent efforts to comply and to cooperate with the investigation. Penalties in future cases might be smaller or larger on a per unit basis.
- (17) The penalty in this case was based in part on confidential business information provided by Maxi Foods that is not retained by ARB in the ordinary course of business. The penalty in this case was also based on confidential settlement communications between ARB and Maxi Foods that ARB does not retain in the ordinary course of business either. The penalty also reflects ARB's assessment of the relative strength of its case against Maxi Foods, the desire to avoid the uncertainty, burden and expense of litigation, obtain swift compliance with the law and remove any unfair advantage that Maxi Foods may have secured from its actions.
- (18) Now therefore, in consideration of the payment by Maxi Foods, in the amount of two thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars (\$2,250.00), ARB hereby releases Maxi Foods and its principals, officers, agents, predecessors and successors from any and all claims that ARB may have

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE  
ARB and Maxi Foods  
Page 7 of 7

based on the facts and allegations described in recital paragraphs (1) – (5) above. The undersigned represent that they have the authority to enter into this agreement.

~~California Air Resources Board~~

By: 

Name: Jim Ryden  
Title: Chief of Enforcement Division  
Date: 3/7/11

Maxi Foods, LLC

By: 

Name: ROTANDO IGLESIAS  
Title: MEMBER  
Date: 2-1-11