] 2 ORIGINAL FIL County of Los Angeles 3 MAR 07 2011 4 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk 5 6 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 10 11 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX Case No. BC 427662 REL. CALILFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD, 12 CONSENT JUDGMENT PLAINTIFF, PURSUANT TO STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES: [PROPO) 1.3 ORDER 14 LIQUID GLASS ENTERPRISES, INC. and DOES Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Sohigian 15 Dept.: 41 1 through 50, 16 17 DEFENDANT. 18 19 This Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by Plaintiff the PEOPLE OF 20 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD (CARB) 21 and Defendant LIQUID GLASS ENTERPRISES, INC. (Liquid Glass). For purposes of this 22 Consent Judgment, CARB and Liquid Glass are referred to collectively as the "Parties." 23 24 INTRODUCTION This Consent Judgment relates to Liquid Glass's violations of California Code of 25 Regulations, Title 17, sections 94509 and 94512 (the "Regulations"). As set forth in the 26 complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief filed on December 9, 2009 (the "Complaint"), 27

.

CARB alleges that Liquid Glass violated Title 17, section 94509 by offering to sell and selling in California Liquid Glass Ultimate Auto Polish/Finish which contain volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in concentrations greater than 15 percent, and offering to sell and selling in California Liquid Glass Pre-Cleaner which contain VOCs in concentrations greater than 17 percent, both of which exceeded maximum concentrations of VOCs from 2005 to the present. CARB's complaint also alleges that Liquid Glass violated Title 17, section 94512, by failing to clearly display the date of manufacture on Liquid Glass Ultimate Auto Polish/Finish or Liquid Glass Pre-Cleaner (the "Products") from 2005 through at least 2007.

The Parties engaged in extended settlement negotiations to resolve the Complaint. In these negotiations, CARB was represented by the Attorney General of the State of California. Liquid Glass was represented by Michael Vergara with the law firm Somach Simmons & Dunn.

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a compromise and settlement of the allegations in the Complaint. The Parties believe that the resolution embodied in this Consent Judgment is fair and reasonable, and that entry of this Consent Judgment is fair and in the best interest of the public.

The Parties, after opportunity for review by counsel, hereby stipulate and consent to the entry of this Consent Judgment as set forth below.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

CONSENT JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION

1. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

Except where otherwise expressly defined in this Consent Judgment, all terms shall be interpreted consistent with Division 26, Part 4, Chapters 1 through 4 of the California Health & Safety Code (Health & Saf. Code §§ 39000 et seq.) and the Consumer Products Regulation (Cal Code Regs., tit. 17, §§ 94507 et seq.).

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

The Parties agree that the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in this action, and for purposes of enforcing this Consent Judgment, personal jurisdiction over the Parties. The Superior Court for the County of

6

12 13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22 23

24

2526

27

28

Los Angeles is a proper venue for this action. This court shall keep jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6, and any other relevant statute, through the date of termination of this agreement.

3. PAYMENT OF CIVIL PENALTIES

3.1 Total Penalties

On entry of this Consent Judgment, Liquid Glass shall be liable for a total of one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) in civil penalties, forty thousand dollars (\$40,000.00) of which shall be suspended ("Suspended Penalty") until the terms of this Consent Judgment expire, or until Liquid Glass violates the terms of the injunction set forth in Section 4, at which time CARB may seek enforcement of the injunction and payment of the Suspended Penalty as provided in Section 5.

3.2 Civil Penalty Payment

Within twenty (20) days of facsimile and mail service of the notice of entry of this Consent Judgment, Liquid Glass shall deliver a check for \$60,000.00 made payable to the "Air Pollution Control Fund" addressed as follows:

California Air Resources Board · 1001 I Street Sacramento, California 95812

Attn: Steve Giorgi

If Liquid Glass fails to make timely payment of this amount, Liquid Glass shall pay a stipulated late payment penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for each day payment is overdue.

3.3 Suspended Penalty

Against the total penalty of \$100,000.00, \$40,000.00 shall be suspended for a period of five years. Subject to the requirements of Section 5, if during the term of this Consent Judgment Liquid Glass violates the terms of the injunction stated in Section 4.1, Liquid Glass shall pay to the Air Pollution Control Fund, at the address stated in Section 3.2, the Suspended Penalty. If

13 14

12

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

28

Liquid Glass is ordered to pay the Suspended Penalty and then fails to pay the Suspended Penalty in twenty (20) days after service of entry of the order, it shall pay an additional late payment penalty of \$1000.00 per day for each day it is late. CARB shall seek payment of the Suspended Penalty by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 pursuant to Section 5.

Disputes Pertaining to Late Payment Penalties

Should any disagreement arise pertaining to Liquid Glass's failure to timely pay the late payment penalties provided in Sections 3.2 and 3.3, CARB may move the Court to award such late payment penalty(ies) by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005. Liquid Glass may file an opposition, and CARB may file a reply. At least ten (10) business days before filing a motion under this section, CARB must meet and confer in good faith with Liquid Glass to attempt to resolve the dispute without judicial intervention.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

4.1 Injunction

Pursuant to this Consent Judgment, Liquid Glass is enjoined from and shall not manufacture for sale in California, nor distribute, supply, sell or offer for sale within the State of California any consumer product in violation of the Consumer Products Regulation, California Code of Regulations, title 17, sections 94509 and 94512.

4.2 Violation of the Injunction

If Liquid Glass violates Section 4.1, it may be liable for penalties subject to the Consent Judgment enforcement provisions set forth in Section 5, as applicable, in an amount consistent with Health & Safety Code sections 42402, 42402.1, 42402.2, 42402.3, 42402.4, and 42403. These penalties shall be in addition to the Suspended Penalty.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF INJUNCTION

2.

2:1

.28

As provided in Sections 3 and 4, CARB may move this Court to enforce the injunction, and to award other appropriate relief by serving and filing a regularly noticed motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005. Liquid Glass may file an opposition, and CARB may file a reply, both also in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005. Nothing in this Consent Judgment alters or reduces CARB's burdens (e.g., burden of going forward, burden of producing evidence, burden of proof) applicable to any enforcement action brought under this Consent Judgment. At least ten (10) business days before filing a motion under this section, CARB must meet and confer with Liquid Glass to attempt to resolve the matter without judicial intervention.

6. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

6.1 Final and Binding Resolution

This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution and settlement of all claims, violations or causes of action alleged by CARB in the Complaint, and of all claims, violations or causes of action which could have been asserted by CARB against Liquid Glass, based on the facts that are the subject of the Complaint ("Covered Matters").

6.2 Reserved Claims

CARB reserves the right to pursue any claim(s) that is not a Covered Matter ("Reserved Claim"), and Liquid Glass retains all available defenses (except those expressly waived under Section 6.4 below) including, without limitation, subject matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction, and statute of limitations against any Reserved Claim. Any claims, violations or causes of action that constitute a Reserved Claim are not resolved, settled or covered by this Consent Judgment.

6.3 Covenant Not to Sue

Liquid Glass and its officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys covenant not to sue or pursue any civil or administrative claims against CARB or other departments or agencies of the State of California, or their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys arising out of or related to Covered Matters, except for the purpose of enforcing Plaintiff's obligations under this Consent Judgment.

6.4 Laches, Claims Splitting Etc.

In any subsequent action that may be brought by CARB based on any Reserved Claims,
Liquid Glass agrees that it will not assert that failing to pursue the Reserved Claims as part of this
action constitutes claim-splitting, laches or is otherwise inequitable. This Paragraph does not
prohibit Liquid Glass from asserting any statute of limitations that may be applicable to any
Reserved Claims.

6.5 No Waiver of Rights

Liquid Glass hereby specifically reserves any rights, and by this Consent Judgment does not waive its rights, to challenge any permit, permit condition, or CARB action not otherwise resolved pursuant to this Consent Judgment.

6.6 Applicable Dates

The provisions of sections 6.1 through 6.4 are effective on the date of the entry of the Consent Judgment.

6.7 No Bar to Enforcement

Sections 6.1 through 6.4 shall not bar CARB's right to enforce the terms of the Consent Judgment in this or another proceeding.

7. NOTICE

All submissions and notices required by this Consent Judgment shall be sent to: For CARB:

Julie Cress California Air Resources Board 1001 I Street Sacramento, California 95812

Gary Tavetian
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
300 South Spring Street, Ste 1702
Los Angeles, California 90013

For Liquid Glass:

John R. Heywang
Liquid Glass Enterprises, Inc.
P.O. Box 1170
Teaneck, NJ 07666
jheywang@ix.netcom.com

Michael Vergara Somach Simmons & Dunn 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000 Sacramento, California 95814 myergara@somachlaw.com

Any Party may change its notice name and address by informing the other Party in writing, but no change is effective until it is received. All notices and other communications required or permitted under this Consent Judgment that are properly addressed as provided in this Paragraph are effective upon delivery if delivered personally or by overnight mail, or are effective five (5) days following deposit in the United States mail, postage prepaid, if delivered by mail.

8. EFFECT OF JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in this Consent Judgment, nothing in this Consent Judgment is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude CARB, or any state, county; or local agency, department, board or entity from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation.

9. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of CARB to enforce any provision of this Consent Judgment shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this Consent Judgment. The failure of CARB to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this Consent Judgment. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this Consent Judgment shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this Consent Judgment.

10. FUTURE REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall excuse Liquid Glass from meeting any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by changes in the applicable law.

11. APPLICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon CARB and Liquid Glass, and their employees, agents, successors, and assigns.

12. AUTHORITY TO ENTER CONSENT JUDGMENT

Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment, to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

13. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

The Parties agree that this Court has exclusive jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the Consent Judgment. The Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, and to address any other matters arising out of or regarding this Consent Judgment.

14. EFFECTIVE DATE OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment shall go into effect immediately upon the Court's entry thereof.

15. NON-DISCHARGEABILITY OF OBLIGATIONS

Liquid Glass agrees that it will not seek to discharge in bankruptcy any payment obligations required by this Consent Judgment.

16. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES

The Parties shall pay their own attorneys' fees and costs and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred in this action.

17. INTERPRETATION

This Consent Judgment was drafted equally by all Parties. The Parties agree that the rule of construction holding that ambiguity is construed against the drafting Party shall not apply to the interpretation of this Consent Judgment.

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

. 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COUNTERPART, EMAIL, AND FACSIMILE SIGNATURES This Consent Judgment may be executed by the Parties in counterparts, emails, and 2 facsimiles, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, 3 shall constitute one and the same document. 4 5 INTEGRATION This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the Parties and may not be 6 amended or supplemented except as provided for in the Consent Judgment. 7 MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT 8 This Consent Judgment may be modified only by the Court, or upon written consent by the 9 Parties and the approval of the Court. 10 TERMINATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT 11 This Consent Judgment will expire and be of no further effect five (5) years from the entry 12 13 of this Consent Judgment by the Court. 22. FINAL JUDGMENT 14 Upon approval and entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court, this Consent Judgment 15 shall constitute a Final Judgment by the Court as to the Parties. 16 17 STIPULATION AND APPROVALS OF THE PARTIES. 18 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 19 20 Plaintiff California Air Resources Board: 21 Dated: F=B 24 22 lames Goldstene, Executive Officer of the California 23 Air Resources Board 24 25

1

26

27

| 1 | Defendant Liquid Glass Enterprises, Inc.: |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Dated: 2/9/2011, 2011 John R Heywong |
| 4 | JOHN R. HEYWANG President and Chief Executive Officer |
| 5 | Liquid Glass Enterprises, Inc. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Approved as to form: |
| 8 | |
| | Dated: 2/24, 2011 GARY TAVETIAN |
| 9 | Deputy Attorney General for the State of California Attorneys for Plaintiff |
| 10 | People of the State of California, ex rel. California |
| 11 | Air Resources Control Board |
| 12 | Dated: 2-11-11, 2011 |
| 13 | MICHAEL VERGARA Somach Simmons & Dunn |
| 14 | Counsel for Defendant |
| 15 | Liquid Glass Enterprises, Inc. |
| 16 17 | IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED |
| | |
| 18 | Dated:, 2011 RONALD M. SOHIGIAN Judge of the Superior Court |
| 19 | Judge of the Superior Court |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | 10 |
| | Consent Judgment Pursuant To Stipulation of the Parties and [Proposed] Order |