## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into by and between the California Air Resources Board (ARB), with its principal office at 1001 I Street, Sacramento, California, and Philip B, Inc. (Philip B) with its principal place of business at: 2630 Townsgate Road, Suite H, Westlake Village, California.

## RECITALS

- ARB alleges that between April 1, 2007 and July 25, 2012, Philip B sold, supplied, and offered for sale in California a product named Philip B Jet Set Hair Spray that subjects to the volatile organic compound (VOC) limit for "Hair Spray" category in Title 17, California Code of Regulations (CCR), section 94509(a).
- ARB alleges that the Philip B Jet Set Hair Spray product referenced in recital paragraph 1, contained concentrations of VOCs exceeding the 55 percent VOC limit for "Hair Spray" specified in Title17, CCR, section 94509(a).
- ARB alleges that the hair spray product referenced in recital paragraph 1 and 2
  used a code indicating the date of manufacture but did not file an explanation of
  their date code on an annual basis, as required in section 94512 (c)
  Administrative requirements, Additional Product Dating Requirements.
- 4. ARB alleges that if the allegations described in recital paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 were proven, civil penalties could be imposed against Philip B as provided in Health and Safety Code Sections 42402 et seq. for each and every unit involved in the violations.
- 5. Philip B admits the allegations described in recital paragraphs 1, 2, and 3, but denies any liabilities resulting from said allegations.
- 6. The parties agree to resolve this matter completely by means of this Agreement, without the need for formal litigation.

Therefore, the parties agree as follows:

## TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- Philip B shall not sell, supply, offer for sale for use in California any consumer products in violation of ARB consumer products regulations set forth in Title 17, CCR, Section 94500 et seq. however, the terms and conditions set forth in this agreement will remain valid and enforceable notwithstanding any future violations that may occur.
- 2. Philip B in settlement of the above-described violations of Title17, CCR, section 94509(a) agrees to pay a penalty to ARB in the amount of \$3,000 payable to the

California Air Pollution Control Fund, concurrent with the execution of this Agreement.

- 3. This settlement shall apply to and be binding upon Philip B and its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations and upon ARB and any successor agency that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this settlement.
- 4. The parties stipulate that this Agreement shall be the final resolution of ARB claims regarding the above-described violations and shall have the same res judicata effect as a judgment in terms of acting as bar to any civil action by ARB against Philip B, its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations. This Agreement shall be deemed the recovery of civil penalties for purposes of precluding subsequent criminal action as provided in Health and Safety Code section 42400.7(a).
- This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to California's choice of law rules.
- 6. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between ARB and Philip B concerning the claims and settlement in this Agreement, and this Agreement fully supersedes and replaces any and all prior negotiations and agreement of any kind or nature, whether written or oral, between ARB and Philip B concerning these claims.
- 7. No agreement to modify, amend, extend, supersede, terminate, or discharge this Agreement, or any portion thereof, shall be valid or enforceable unless it is in writing and signed by all parties to this Agreement.
- 8. Each of the undersigned represents and warrants that he or she has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement.
- SB 1402 Statement. California Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 39619.7 (Senate Bill 1402 - Dutton, Chapter 413, statutes of 2010) requires ARB to provide information on the basis for the penalties it seeks. This Settlement Agreement includes this information, which is also summarized here.

The provision of law the penalty is being assessed under and why that provision is most appropriate for that violation.

The penalty provision being applied is this case is HSC section 42402, et seq. because Philip B sold, supplied, offered for sale, or manufactured for sale consumer products for commerce in California in violation of the Consumer Products Regulations (Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 94507, et seq.). The penalty provisions of HSC section 42402, et seq. apply to

violations of the Consumer Products Regulations because the regulations were adopted under authority of HSC section 41712 which is in Part 4 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code. The penalty provisions of HSC section 42402, et seq. apply to requirements adopted pursuant to Part 4.

The manner in which the penalty amount was determined, including aggravating and mitigating factors and per unit or per vehicle basis for the penalty.

Penalties must be set at levels sufficient to discourage violations. ARB considered all relevant circumstances in determining penalties, including the eight factors specified in HSC section 42403.

HSC section 42402, et seq. provides strict liability penalties of \$1,000 per day for violations of the Consumer Product Regulations. In cases like this involving unintentional first time violations of the Consumer Products Regulations, the ARB has sought and obtained penalties of approximately \$17,000 per ton of excess emissions of volatile organic compounds attributable to the violation. This represents an average cost to retire a ton of volatile organic compound emission credits to comply with the Consumer Product Regulations. However, in similar cases with extremely small amounts of excess emissions, ARB has historically obtained penalties that reflect that the violations occurred on at least three days (the day the product was purchased by ARB, the day it was supplied to the retailer, and the day it was manufactured for sale). In addition, the ARB has in other similar cases sought and obtained further penalties for procedural violations. The penalty in this case is \$3,000 which includes VOC excess emissions and product dating violations. The final amount was reduced in this case because Philip B cooperated with the investigation and demonstrated that the penalty would be a hardship to their small company. Penalties in future cases might be higher or larger on a per unit basis.

Is the penalty being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollution at a specified level, and, if so a quantification of the excess emissions, if it is practicable to do so.

The Consumer Product Regulations do not prohibit emissions above a specific level, but they do limit the concentration of VOCs in regulated products. In this case a quantification of the excess emissions attributable to the violations was practicable because Philip B agreed with ARB's laboratory analysis results and made sales data available to the ARB. Based upon this information (which Philip B has designated as confidential), the violations were calculated to have caused the 0.011 tons of excess emissions of volatile organic compounds to be emitted to the atmosphere in California.

 Philip B acknowledges that ARB has complied with SB1402 in investigating and settling this case. Specifically, ARB has considered all relevant facts, including

those listed at HSC section 42403, has explained the manner in which the penalty amount was calculated, has identified the provision of law under which the penalty amount is being assessed and had considered and determined that while this penalty is not being assessed a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollutants at a specified level, it is practicable for ARB to quantify the excess emissions from the alleged violations, has done so and has included this information in this Settlement Agreement.

- 11. Final penalties were determined based on the unique circumstances of this matter, considered together with the need to remove any economic benefit from noncompliance the goal of deterring future violations and obtaining swift compliance, the consideration of past penalties in similar negotiated cases, and the potential costs and risk associated with litigating these particular violations. The penalty reflects violations extending over a number of days resulting in quantifiable harm to the environment considered together with the complete circumstances of this case listed above. The penalty was discounted in this matter based on the factors described. Penalties in future cases might be smaller or larger on a per ton basis.
- 12. The final penalty in this case was based in part on confidential business information provided by Philip B that is not retained by ARB in the ordinary course of business. The penalty in this case was also based on confidential settlement communications between ARB and Philip B that ARB does not retain in the ordinary course of business either. The penalty also, reflects ARB's assessment of the relative strength of its case against Philip B, the desire to avoid the uncertainty, burden and expense of litigation, obtain swift compliance with the law and remove any unfair advantage that Philip B may have secured from its actions.

<b>CALIFO</b>	RNIA	AIR I	RESOL	IRCES	BOARD

PHILIP B. INC.

James R. Ryden, Chief

**Enforcement Division** 

Name: PAUL

Dated: 4-25-13

Title: CFO

Dated: 4-18-13