

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (Agreement) is entered into by and between the California Air Resources Board (ARB), with its principal office at 1001 I Street, Sacramento, California, and Agustin Reyes, Inc. (Agustin Reyes) with its principal place of business at 2307 W 77<sup>th</sup> Street, Hialeah, Florida 33016.

### RECITALS

1. ARB alleges that prior to August 1, 2012, Agustin Reyes sold, supplied, and offered for sale in California "**Royal Violets Agua de Colonia and Royal Violets Baby Cologne with Chamomile**" that were subject to the volatile organic compound (VOC) limit for "Air Freshener" category in Title 17, California Code of Regulations (CCR), section 94509(a) without clearly displaying a date of manufacture as required CCR, section 94512(b).
2. ARB alleges that Agustin Reyes did not provide any requested information within 90 days of a written request regarding the products described in recital paragraph 1 in violation of CCR, section 94513(a).
3. ARB alleges that if the allegations described in recital paragraphs 1 and 2 were proven, civil penalties could be imposed against Agustin Reyes as provided in Health and Safety Code sections 42402 et seq. for each and every unit involved in the violations.
4. Agustin Reyes admits the allegations described in recital paragraphs 1 and 2, but denies any liability resulting from said allegations.
5. The parties agree to resolve this matter completely by means of this Agreement, without the need for formal litigation.

Therefore, the parties agree as follows:

### TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. Agustin Reyes shall not sell, supply or offer for sale for use in California any consumer products in violation of ARB consumer products regulations set forth in title 17, CCR, Section 94500 et seq. however, the terms and conditions set forth in this agreement will remain valid and enforceable notwithstanding any future violations that may occur.
2. Agustin Reyes in settlement of the above-described violations of title 17, CCR, section 94509(a) agrees to pay a penalty to ARB in the amount of \$5000 payable to the California Air Pollution Control Fund, pursuant to the following schedule:

- a) \$2000 with the signed agreement, by March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2013;
  - b) \$1500 by May 1, 2013;
  - c) \$1500 by June 15, 2013.
  - d) If any payment is more than 15 days late, the entire balance becomes due and payable.
3. This settlement shall apply to and be binding upon Agustin Reyes and its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations and upon ARB and any successor agency that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this settlement.
  4. The parties stipulate that this Agreement shall be the final resolution of ARB claims regarding the above-described violations and shall have the same res judicata effect as a judgment in terms of acting as bar to any civil action by ARB against Agustin Reyes, its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations. This Agreement shall be deemed the recovery of civil penalties for purposes of precluding subsequent criminal action as provided in Health and Safety Code section 42400.7(a).
  5. This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to California's choice of law rules.
  6. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between ARB and Agustin Reyes concerning the claims and settlement in this Agreement, and this Agreement fully supersedes and replaces any and all prior negotiations and agreement of any kind or nature, whether written or oral, between the ARB and Agustin Reyes concerning these claims.
  7. No agreement to modify, amend, extend, supersede, terminate, or discharge this Agreement, or any portion thereof, shall be valid or enforceable unless it is in writing and signed by all parties to this Agreement.
  8. Each of the undersigned represents and warrants that he or she has full power and authority to enter into this Agreement.
  9. **SB 1402 Statement.** California Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 39619.7 (Senate Bill 1402 - Dutton, Chapter 413, statutes of 2010) requires ARB to provide information on the basis for the penalties it seeks. This Settlement Agreement includes this information, which is also summarized here.

**The provision of law the penalty is being assessed under and why that provision is most appropriate for that violation.**

The penalty provision being applied in this case is HSC section 42402, et seq. because Agustin Reyes sold, supplied, offered for sale, or manufactured for sale consumer products for commerce in California in violation of the Consumer Products Regulations (Title 17 California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 94507, et seq.). The penalty provisions of HSC section 42402, et seq. apply to violations of the Consumer Products Regulations because these regulations were adopted under authority of HSC section 41712 which is in Part 4 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code.

**The manner in which the penalty amount was determined, including aggravating and mitigating factors and per unit or per vehicle basis for the penalty.**

Penalties must be set at levels sufficient to discourage violations. ARB considered all relevant circumstances in determining the penalty in this case, including the eight factors specified in HSC section 42403.

Under HSC section 42402, et seq. the penalties for strict liability violations of the Consumer Product Regulations are a maximum of \$1,000 per day of violation, with each day being a separate violation. In cases like this involving unintentional first time violations of the Consumer Products Regulations, the ARB has sought and obtained penalties of approximately \$3,000 per procedural violations and \$10,000 for failure to respond violations in addition to the cost of investigating the violation. In this case the total penalty is \$5000 which includes a penalty for the date code violation and for the failure to respond. The penalty was reduced because this was a first time violation and the company demonstrated financial hardship. Penalties in future cases might be higher or lower.

**Is the penalty being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollution at a specified level, and, if so a quantification of the excess emissions, if it is practicable to do so.**

The Consumer Product Regulations do not prohibit emissions above a specific level, but they do limit the concentrations of VOCs in regulated products. There were no quantifiable excess emissions attributable to the procedural violation.

10. Agustin Reyes acknowledges that ARB has complied with SB1402 in investigating, prosecuting and settling this case. Specifically, ARB has considered all relevant facts, including those listed at HSC sections 42403, has explained the manner in which the penalty amount was calculated, has identified the provision of law under which the penalty is being assessed and has considered and determined that while this penalty is not being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollutants at a specified level, it is practicable for ARB to quantify the excess emissions from the

alleged violations, has done so and has included this information in this Settlement Agreement.

11. Final penalties were determined based on the unique circumstances of this matter, considered together with the need to remove any economic benefit from noncompliance, the goal of deterring future violations and obtaining swift compliance, the consideration of past penalties in similar negotiated cases, and the potential costs and risk associated with litigating these particular violations.
12. The final penalty in this case was based in part on confidential financial information or confidential business information provided by Agustin Reyes that is not retained by ARB in the ordinary course of business. The penalty in this case was also based on confidential settlement communications between ARB and Agustin Reyes that ARB does not retain in the ordinary course of business. The penalty also reflects ARB's assessment of the relative strength of its case against Agustin Reyes, the desire to avoid the uncertainty, burden and expense of litigation, obtain swift compliance with the law and remove any unfair advantage that Agustin Reyes may have secured from its actions.

**CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD**

Dated: 03/28/13

By:   
James R. Ryden, Chief  
Enforcement Division

**AGUSTIN REYES INC.**

Dated: 3/25/2013

By:   
Agustin Reyes  
President