#### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement And Release ("Agreement") is entered into between the State of California Air Resources Board ("CARB"), with its principal office at 1001 I Street, Sacramento, California, 95814, and **Shell Oil Products US (Shell)**, with an office at 3495 Pacheco Boulevard, Martinez, California 94553 (collectively, "the Parties").

This agreement settles the following notices of violation ("NOV"):

- 1. NOV F111915-RDSR-CON. This NOV was issued on October 17, 2018, for sale, offer for sale, supply, or transport of uncertified gasoline in violation of the California Reformulated Gasoline (CaRFG) Regulation.
- 2. NOV F092715-RDSR-SUL. This NOV was issued on September 19, 2018, for sale, offer for sale, supply, or transport of diesel in violation of the 15 ppm sulfur content limit of the California Diesel Fuel Regulation.

## I. RECITALS

- (1) California Health and Safety Code section 39003 assigns CARB with attaining and maintaining ambient air quality standards.
- (2) Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Sections 2250-2273.5 establish standards and compliance requirements for motor vehicle fuels applicable to producers and importers of motor vehicle fuel in California. (Cal. Code Regs., tit.13, §§ 2250-2273.5; hereinafter California Reformulated Gasoline (CaRFG) regulation).
- (3) The standards and compliance requirements in the CaRFG regulation apply to all persons who sell, supply, or offer for sale, gasoline for motor vehicles in the State of California. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 13, § 2261).
- (4) Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Section 2266.5(f) (Restrictions on blending California Reformulated Gasoline Blendstock for Oxygenate Blending (CARBOB) with other materials) provides in pertinent part as follows: "(1)(H) Non-CARBOB material in a pipeline. Where a person is transporting a tender of CARBOB followed by a tender of non-CARBOB material in a pipeline, or vice versa, the interface between the CARBOB and non-CARBOB material shall be diverted to a separate storage tank and may not be offered, sold, or supplied as CARBOB or as California gasoline."
- (5) The standards and compliance requirements for California gasoline or transactions involving California gasoline also apply to CARBOB or transactions involving CARBOB, including but not limited to CaRFG regulation sections 2261, 2262, 2262.3, 2262.4, 2262.5(a), (b), (c), and (e), 2262.6, 2264, 2264.2, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2270, 2271 and 2272. (Cal. Code Regs., tit.13, §§ 2260; 2272). Whenever the term "California gasoline" is used in this Agreement, the term means

Settlement Agreement and Release Shell Oil Products US NOVs F092715-RDSR-SUL & F111915-RDSR-CON Page 2 of 9

California gasoline or CARBOB. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 13, § 2266.5).

- (6) CARB regulations state, "[E]ach sale of California gasoline at retail, and each dispensing of California gasoline into a motor vehicle fuel tank, shall also be deemed a sale or supply by any person who previously sold or supplied such gasoline in violation of any applicable sections of this subarticle." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 13, § 2268).
- (7) CARB regulations state, "[S]tarting June 2006 in accordance with the phase-in schedule in subsection (a)(3), no person shall sell, offer for sale, supply or offer for supply any vehicular diesel fuel having a sulfur content exceeding 15 parts per million by weight." (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 13, § 2281).
- (8) Failure to comply with the requirements of the CaRFG regulation is a violation of state law resulting in penalties. Health and Safety Code section 43027, subdivision (c) states, "[a]ny person who violates any provision of this part, or any rule, regulation, permit, variance, or order of the state board, pertaining to fuel requirements and standards, exclusive of the documentation requirements specified in subdivision (d), is strictly liable for a civil penalty of not more than thirty-five thousand dollars (\$35,000)."
- (9) Health and Safety Code section 43030, subdivision (a) states "[f]or the penalties prescribed in sections 43027 and 4328, each day during any portion of which a violation occurs is a separate offense."
- (10)Health and Safety Code section 43031, subdivision (b) states, "[i]n determining the amount assessed, ...the state board, in reaching any settlement, shall take into consideration all relevant circumstances, including, but not limited to, all of the following: (1) The extent of harm to public health, safety, and welfare caused by the violation. (2) The nature and persistence of the violation, including the magnitude of the excess emissions. (3) The compliance history of the defendant, including the frequency of past violations. (4) The preventive efforts taken by the defendant, including the record of maintenance and any program to ensure compliance. (5) The innovative nature and the magnitude of the effort required to comply, and the accuracy, reproducibility, and repeatability of the available test methods. (6) The efforts to attain, or provide for, compliance. (7) The cooperation of the defendant during the course of the investigation and any action taken by the defendant, including the nature, extent, and time of response of any action taken to mitigate the violation. (8) For a person who owns a single retail service station, the size of the business."
- (11) CARB alleges in NOV F111915-RDSR-CON that on November 19, 2015, Shell sold, offered for sale, supplied, offered for supply or transported California gasoline containing non-certified gasoline component material resulting in two counts over the course of one day. Shell voluntarily disclosed this CARBOB contamination to CARB on November 20, 2015.

- (12) CARB alleges that the sale, offer for sale, supply, offer for supply or transportation of non-complying CARBOB was unlawful and in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2261, 2265, 2266.5, and 2268.
- (13) CARB alleges in **NOV F092715-RDSR-SUL** that starting September 27, 2015, Shell sold, offered for sale, supplied, or offered for supply a batch of vehicular diesel that exceeded the sulfur limit for **two days**. Shell voluntarily disclosed to CARB that this diesel batch was not in compliance on September 28, 2015.
- (14) CARB alleges in NOV F092715-RDSR-SUL that on September 28, 2015, Shell delivered noncompliant diesel to four retail stations for one day. Shell voluntarily disclosed delivery of noncompliant diesel to CARB on September 28, 2015.
- (15) CARB alleges that the sale, offer for sale, supply, offer for supply or transportation of non-complying diesel was unlawful and in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 13, section 2281.
- (16) In consideration of the foregoing, and of the promises and facts set forth below, the Parties desire to settle and resolve all claims, disputes, and obligations relating to the above-listed alleged violations and voluntarily agree to resolve this matter by means of this Agreement. In order to resolve the violations described herein, Shell has taken, or agrees to take, the actions enumerated below within the Terms and Conditions. Further, CARB accepts this Agreement in termination and settlement of this matter.

# II. TERMS AND RELEASE

In consideration of CARB not filing a legal action against Shell for the alleged violations referred to above, and in consideration of the terms set out below (and Shell payment of the penalties), CARB and Shell agree as follows:

(1) The Parties shall exchange signed copies of this Agreement by no later than 15 calendar days after receipt of this Agreement. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts. Facsimile or photocopied signatures shall be considered as valid signatures as of the date hereof, although the original signature pages shall thereafter be appended to this Agreement. Shell shall send the original signed Agreement and any future mailings or documents required per the terms of this Agreement to:

Tony Doan
Air Pollution Specialist
Enforcement Division
California Air Resources Board

Settlement Agreement and Release Shell Oil Products US NOVs F092715-RDSR-SUL & F111915-RDSR-CON Page 4 of 9

> P.O. Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812

- (2) Shell has agreed to pay the sum of twenty thousand dollars (\$20,000.00) as a penalty for NOV F111915-RDSR-CON.
- (3) Shell has agree to pay the sum of forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000.00) as a penalty for NOV F092715-RDSR-SUL.
- (4) Upon execution of this Agreement, Shell shall make a total payment of sixty-five thousand dollars (\$65,000.00) to the AIR POLLUTION CONTROL FUND no later than thirty (30) business days after Shell receives two mailed copies of this Agreement for signature from CARB. The payment along with the "Settlement Agreement Payment Transmittal Form" (Attachment A) shall be submitted to:

California Air Resources Board Accounting Office P.O. Box 1436 Sacramento, California 95812

and send a copy of the same documents to:

Tony Doan
Air Pollution Specialist
Enforcement Division
California Air Resources Board
P.O. Box 2815
Sacramento, CA 95812

- (5) In addition, if the Attorney General files a civil action to enforce this settlement agreement against Shell, Shell shall pay all reasonable costs of investigating and prosecuting the action, including reasonable expert fees (if appropriate), reasonable attorney's fees, and reasonable costs, if and when the Attorney General is deemed to be a prevailing party by a court of competent jurisdiction.
- (6) Shell has demonstrated to the satisfaction of CARB that the alleged violations have been corrected.
- (7) Shell shall not violate any provision of the CaRFG regulation. Any future repeat violations may result in an increased penalty amount.
- (8) Now, therefore, in consideration of the payment by Shell to the California Air Pollution Control Fund in the amount specified above, CARB hereby releases Shell and its principals, officers, directors, agents, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, and subsidiary and parent corporations from any and all claims that CARB or any successor agency that may have responsibility for and

Settlement Agreement and Release Shell Oil Products US NOVs F092715-RDSR-SUL & F111915-RDSR-CON Page **5** of **9** 

jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Agreement may have based on the facts and allegations described in recital paragraphs (1) through (16). The undersigned represent that they have the authority to enter this Agreement.

### III. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- (1) This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between CARB and Shell concerning the subject matter hereof, and supersedes and replaces any and all prior negotiations and agreements of any kind of nature, whether written or oral, between CARB and Shell concerning the subject matter hereof.
- (2) The payment obligation under section II(4) shall apply to and be binding upon Shell and its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, members, parent corporations, and subsidiaries, if any. The release provisions under section II(8) shall apply to and be binding upon CARB and any successor agency that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Agreement.
- (3) The terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement shall remain valid and enforceable notwithstanding any future violations that may occur.
- (4) The effective date of this Agreement shall be the date of the last signatory.
- (5) No agreement to modify, amend, extend, supersede, terminate, or discharge this Agreement, or any portion thereof, is valid or enforceable unless it is in writing and signed by all Parties to this Agreement.
- (6) Each provision of this Agreement is severable, and in the event that any provision of this Agreement is held to be illegal, invalid or unenforceable in any jurisdiction, the remainder of this Agreement remains in full force and effect.
- (7) The headings in this Agreement are not binding and are for reference only and do not limit, expand, or otherwise affect the contents of this Agreement.
- (8) This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to California's choice-of-law rules.
- (9) It is further agreed that the stipulated penalties described in this Agreement are non-dischargeable under United States Code, title 11, section 523(a)(7).
- (10) The failure of any Party to enforce any provision of this Agreement shall not be construed as a waiver of any such provision, nor prevent such Party thereafter from enforcing such provision or any other provision of this Agreement. The rights and

Settlement Agreement and Release Shell Oil Products US NOVs F092715-RDSR-SUL & F111915-RDSR-CON Page 6 of 9

> remedies granted all Parties herein are cumulative and the election of one right or remedy by a Party shall not constitute a waiver of such Party's right to assert all other legal remedies available under this Agreement or otherwise provided by law.

(11) Each of the undersigned represents and warrants that he or she has full authority to enter into this Agreement.

#### IV. PENALTY DETERMINATION

(1) Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 39619.7, CARB must provide information on the basis for the penalty it seeks. This information is provided throughout this settlement agreement and summarized below.

The manner in which the penalty amount was determined, including a per unit or per vehicle penalty.

Penalties must be set at levels sufficient to discourage violations. The penalties in this matter were determined in consideration of all relevant circumstances, including the eight factors specified in Health and Safety Code section 43031, subdivision (b).

The per unit penalty in the case of NOV F111915-RDSR-CON is a maximum of \$35,000 per day per strict liability violation. CARB alleges that the non-complying CARBOB was sold, supplied and transported for two counts over a time period of one day. The penalty obtained in this case is \$10,000 per count per day. The lower penalty reflects the consideration of a number of facts, including: that this was an unintentional violation and voluntarily disclosed to CARB, and Shell's efforts to comply and to cooperate with the investigation.

The per unit penalty in the case of **NOV F092715-RDSR-SUL** is a maximum of \$35,000 per day per strict liability violation. CARB alleges that the non-complying diesel was sold, and supplied over a time period of **two days**. CARB further alleges that Shell delivered to four retail stations for **one day**. This results in **three counts** of violations. The penalty obtained in this case is **\$15,000 per count**. The lower penalty reflects the consideration of a number of facts, including: that this was an unintentional violation and voluntarily disclosed to CARB, Shell did not have a similar diesel violation three years prior to the discovery of this violation, and Shell's efforts to comply and to cooperate with the investigation.

The provision of law the penalty is being assessed under and why that provision is most appropriate for that violation.

Settlement Agreement and Release Shell Oil Products US NOVs F092715-RDSR-SUL & F111915-RDSR-CON Page 7 of 9

CARB alleges that the penalty provisions being applied in this case, Health and Safety Code sections 43027 and 43030, are appropriate because Shell allegedly sold, offered for sale, supplied, or offered for supply or transported CARBOB in California in violation of Cal. Code Regs., tit.13, §§ 2250-2273.5.

CARB alleges that the penalty provisions being applied in this case, Health and Safety Code sections 43027 and 43030 are appropriate because Shell allegedly sold, offered for sale, supplied, or offered for supply or transported diesel fuel in California in violation of Cal. Code Regs., tit.13, §§ 2281-2285.

Is the penalty being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollution at a specified level, and, if so a quantification of excess emissions, if it is practicable to do so.

The provisions cited above do not prohibit emissions above a specified level. CARB alleges that since the fuel did not meet California air pollution standards, any emissions attributable to them are illegal. However, it is not practicable to quantify these emissions because the information necessary to do so is not available.

- (2) Shell acknowledges that CARB has complied with Health and Safety Code section 39619.7 in prosecuting or settling these cases. Specifically, CARB has considered all relevant facts, including those listed at Health and Safety Code section 43031, has explained the manner in which the penalty amount was calculated, has identified the provision of law under which the penalty is being assessed, and has considered and determined that this penalty is being assessed under a provision of law that does not prohibit the emission of pollutants at a specified level.
- (3) Penalties were determined based on the unique circumstances of each matter, considered together with the need to remove any economic benefit from noncompliance, the goal of deterring future violations and obtaining swift compliance, the consideration of past penalties in similar case negotiations, and the potential costs and risk associated with litigating these particular violations. The penalty reflects violations extending over a number of days considered together with the complete circumstances of this case. Penalties in future cases might be smaller or larger on a per unit basis.
- (4) The penalty in each case was based in part on confidential business information provided by Shell that is not retained by CARB in the ordinary course of business. The penalty in each case was also based on confidential settlement communications between CARB and Shell that CARB does not retain in the ordinary course of business. Each penalty also reflects CARB's assessment of the relative strength of the case against Shell, the desire to avoid the uncertainty, burden and expense of litigation, to obtain swift compliance with the law, and to remove any unfair advantage that Shell may have secured from its actions.

Settlement Agreement and Release Shell Oil Products US NOVs F092715-RDSR-SUL & F111915-RDSR-CON Page 8 of 9

#### V. STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT

- (1) The Parties stipulate to the entry of a Consent Judgment if Shell defaults on any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement and hereby waives the right to challenge the Consent Judgment or its terms. Before declaring a default of the terms and conditions of this Agreement, CARB will first provide Shell 10 calendar days written notice of the claimed default and request to cure.
- (2) The Consent Judgment does not constitute evidence of an admission by Shell regarding any issue of law or fact alleged in the Agreement but sets forth the obligations of Shell and constitutes the complete, final, and exclusive agreement between CARB and Shell.
- (3) CARB expressly reserves the right to bring an enforcement action based on violations of law not covered in this Agreement and to seek whatever fines, penalties, or remedies provided by law, including injunctive relief.
- (4) In the event CARB seeks Consent Judgment based on Shell's default under this Agreement, the Parties agree to the following:
  - Superior Court of California, County of Sacramento (Court) has jurisdiction over the Parties and to the subject matter of this action;
  - b. Venue is proper in this Court;
  - c. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Shell for purposes of enforcing the terms of the Consent Judgment; and
  - d. The obligations under this Agreement shall be deemed the terms and conditions of the Consent Judgment.

Settlement Agreement and Release Shell Oil Products US NOVs F092715-RDSR-SUL & F111915-RDSR-CON Page 9 of 9

# **ACKNOWLEDGED AND ACCEPTED BY:**

# California Air Resources Board

Dated: 12/12/2018 By: (Signature)

Printed Name: Ellen M. Peter

Title: Chief Counsel

**Shell Oil Products US** 

Dated: November 26, 2018 By: July Jus Nwe (Signature)

Printed Name: LOUAY MASARWEH