## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (hereinafter "Agreement") is entered into between the STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD (hereinafter "ARB") 1001 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814, and Rubio's Trucking Company, Incorporated (hereinafter "RTC"), P.O. Box 339, Chualar, California, 93925.

## I. RECITALS

- (1) California Health and Safety Code, sections 39650-39675 (HSC §§ 39650-39675) mandate the reduction of the emissions of substances that have been determined to be toxic air contaminants (TAC). In 1998, following an exhaustive ten-year scientific assessment process, ARB identified particulate matter (PM) from diesel-fueled engines as a TAC. Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) are powered by diesel fueled engines that emit this toxic PM. TRUs are regulated under the Airborne Toxic Control Measure for In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units and TRU Generator Sets, and Facilities Where TRUs Operate (TRU ATCM) as codified in 13 CCR § 2477.1 through 2477.21.
- (2) 13 CCR § 2477.5(a) provides that no owner/operator shall operate a TRU or TRU generator (gen) set in California unless it meets in-use performance standards established in § 2477.5.
- (3) 13 CCR § 2477.5(e)(1)(F) requires that within 30 days of receipt of the ARB-issued IDN, owners/operators of California-based TRUs and TRU gen sets permanently affix or paint the IDN to both sides of the TRU chassis housing.
- (4) ARB Enforcement Division has documented that RTC failed to bring the TRUs it operates in California into compliance with the in-use performance standards before the deadlines set forth in the regulation.
- (5) ARB Enforcement Division has documented that RTC failed to affix ARB IDNs to both sides of the TRUs in their fleet within 30 days of receipt of ARB IDNs.
- (6) Failure to bring the TRU fleet in compliance with applicable in-use performance standards and failure to affix ARB IDNs are violations of state law resulting in penalties. HSC § 39674 authorizes civil penalties of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each day that the violation occurs.
- (7) In-use on-road diesel vehicles are powered by diesel fueled engines that emit toxic PM. On-road vehicles are controlled under the Truck and Bus regulation as codified in 13 CCR § 2025.

- (8) 13 CCR § 2025(e)(1)(B) states: "Starting January 1, 2012, for all vehicles with GVWR greater than 26,000 lbs, excluding school buses, fleets must meet the requirements of section 2025(g) or fleets that report may instead comply with the phase-in option of § 2025(i)."
- (9) Failure to comply with the requirements of 13 CCR § 2025 is a violation of state law resulting in penalties. HSC §§ 39674(a) and (b) authorize civil penalties for the violation of the programs for the regulation of TACs not to exceed one thousand dollars (\$1,000) or ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), respectively, for each day in which the violation occurs.
- (10) RTC has elected to meet the requirements of the Engine Model Year Compliance Schedule provided for in 13 CCR § 2025(g).
- (11) 13 CCR § 2025(g) requires that owners of diesel vehicles with a GVWR greater than 26,000 lbs. meet PM Best Available Control Technology (BACT) requirements for all 2002 through 2006 engine model years by January 1, 2014.
- (12) ARB has documented that RTC failed to meet PM BACT requirements for all 2002 through 2006 engine model years by January 1, 2014.

Citation Number	Violation Type	Issue Date
STB12214002CCY	ТВ	12/21/14

TRU

TRU

07/08/15

08/05/15

(13) RTC has three outstanding citations, as noted in the table below:

- (14) In order to resolve these alleged violations, RTC has taken, or agreed to take, the actions enumerated below under "RELEASE". Further, the ARB accepts this Agreement in termination and settlement of this matter.
- (15) In consideration of the foregoing, and of the promises and facts set forth herein, the parties desire to settle and resolve all claims, disputes, and obligations relating to the above-listed violations, and voluntarily agree to resolve this matter by means of this Agreement. Specifically, the ARB and RTC agree as follows:

### II. TERMS AND RELEASE

TRU070815008CCY

TRU080515002WAM

In consideration of the ARB not filing a legal action against RTC for the alleged violations referred to above, and RTC payment of the penalties set forth in Section 1 below, the ARB and RTC agree as follows:

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE ARB and RTC Page 3 of 8

- Upon execution of this Agreement, the sum of four thousand one hundred dollars (\$4,100.00) shall be paid on behalf of RTC no later than May 18, 2017, as follows:
  - \$3,075.00 to the Air Pollution Control Fund
  - \$1,025.00 to the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, please include the following notation in the memo line of the check: "For the School Bus and Diesel Emission Reduction SEP"

Please send the signed Settlement Agreement and any future mailings or documents required per the terms of this Settlement Agreement to:

Mr. Aldo Chaney Air Pollution Specialist California Air Resources Board Enforcement Division 9480 Telstar Avenue, Suite 4 El Monte, California 91731

Please submit each payment by the applicable payment due date along with the corresponding "<u>Settlement Agreement Payment</u> <u>Transmittal Form</u>" (<u>Attachment A</u>) to:

> California Air Resources Board Accounting Office P.O. Box 1436 Sacramento, California 95812-1436

- (2) If the Attorney General files a civil action to enforce this settlement agreement, RTC shall pay all costs of investigating and prosecuting the action, including expert fees, reasonable attorney's costs, and costs.
- (3) It is further agreed that the penalties described in "Terms and Release", paragraph 1 are punitive in nature, rather than compensatory. Furthermore, the penalty is intended to deter and punish RTC for violations of state environmental statutes, and these penalties are payable to and for the benefit of ARB, a governmental unit. Therefore, it is agreed that these penalties imposed on RTC through by ARB arising from the facts described in recital paragraphs (1) through (13) are non-dischargeable under 11 United States Code § 523 (a) (7), which provides an exception from discharge for any debt to the extent such debt is for a fine, penalty or forfeiture payable to and for benefit of governmental unit, and is not compensation for actual pecuniary loss, other than certain types of tax penalties.

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE ARB and RTC Page 4 of 8

- (4) RTC shall not violate HSC §§ 43701 *et seq.*, 44011.6 *et seq.*, and 13 CCR §§ 2180 *et seq.*, 2190 *et seq.*, and 2485 *et seq.*
- (5) RTC shall comply with one or both of the following options to attend the CCDET II class (Diesel Exhaust After Treatment and Maintenance), described on the ARB's webpage <u>http://www.arb.ca.gov/enf/hdvip/ccdet/ccdet.htm</u>. This class is conducted by various California Community Colleges and instructs attendees on California's emission regulations and the proper care and maintenance of diesel exhaust after-treatment systems (DEATS).
  - (a) RTC shall have the fleet maintenance manager (or equivalent) and all staff responsible for maintenance of DEATS attend the CCDET II class. Proof of CCDET II completion shall be provided to ARB within six months of the date of this Agreement and also be maintained in each applicable employee's file for the term of his or her employment.
  - (b) In case RTC uses a contractor for the maintenance of DEATS, in addition to having the fleet maintenance manager (or equivalent) attend the CCDET II course, RTC shall obtain proof that the contractor's staff maintaining the DEATS device(s) completed the CCDET II course within the last four years. This proof of the CCDET II completion shall be provided by RTC to the ARB within six months of the date of this settlement and be maintained with the DEATS installation and maintenance records.
  - (c) In case RTC is unable to find a CCDET II certified contractor within a radius of 25 miles from its yard for the maintenance of DEATS, in addition to having the fleet maintenance manager (or equivalent) attend the CCDET II course, RTC shall contract only with the authorized verified diesel emission control strategy installer(s) or original equipment manufacturer distributor(s) for the maintenance of DEATS. The proof of the CCDET II completion for the fleet maintenance manager (or equivalent) shall be provided by RTC to ARB within six months of the date of this settlement and be maintained with the DEATS installation and maintenance records.
- (6) RTC shall complete Low NOx Software Upgrades (reflash) on all applicable heavy-duty diesel engines operating in California and report to the ARB within 45 days of this agreement.
- (7) RTC shall instruct all employees who operate diesel-fueled vehicles to comply with the idling regulations set forth in 13 CCR § 2485, within 45 days of this Agreement.
- (8) Within 45 days of the execution of this Agreement, RTC shall bring its fleet of TRUs operating in California in compliance with the applicable in-use

performance standards as required by 13 CCR § 2477.5(a) and affix the IDN to both sides of each TRU or TRU gen set within 30 days of receiving the IDN from ARB as required by 13 CCR § 2477.5(e)(1)(F). RTC shall submit the proof of registration in ARB's Equipment Registration system (ARBER) to Mr. Aldo Chaney, Air Pollution Specialist, ARB Enforcement Division, 9480 Telstar Avenue Suite 4, El Monte, California 91731.

- (9) RTC shall not violate the TRU ATCM as codified in 13 CCR § 2477.
- (10) RTC shall not violate the Truck and Bus regulation as codified in 13 CCR § 2025 et seq.
- (11) RTC has three outstanding citations (citation numbers TRU070815008CCY, TRU00515002WAM, and STB012214002CCY), for not meeting the requirements of the TRU, and Truck & Bus programs. These citations will be cleared through this Agreement
- (12) RTC shall submit proof of compliance with the Truck and Bus regulation as codified in 13 CCR § 2025. Within 45 days of the execution of this Agreement, RTC shall submit proof of compliance to Mr. Aldo Chaney, Air Pollution Specialist, ARB Enforcement Division, 9480 Telstar Avenue, Suite 4, El Monte CA, 91731.
- (13) This Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon RTC, and its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations and upon ARB and any successor agency that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Agreement.
- (14) This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between ARB and RTC concerning the subject matter hereof, and supersedes and replaces all prior negotiations and agreements between ARB and RTC concerning the subject matter hereof.
- (15) No agreement to modify, amend, extend, supersede, terminate, or discharge this Agreement, or any portion thereof, is valid or enforceable unless it is in writing and signed by all parties to this Agreement.
- (16) Severability. Each provision of this Agreement is severable, and in the event that any provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement remains in full force and effect.
- (17) This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to California's choice-of-law rules.

- (18) This Agreement is deemed to have been drafted equally by the Parties; it will not be interpreted for or against either party on the ground that said party drafted it.
- (19) Senate Bill 1402 (Dutton, Chapter 413, statutes of 2010) requires the ARB to provide information on the basis for the penalties it seeks (HSC § 39619.7). This information, which is provided throughout this settlement agreement, is summarized here:

# The manner in which the penalty amount was determined, including a per unit or per vehicle penalty.

Penalties must be set at levels sufficient to discourage violations. The penalties in this matter were determined in consideration of all relevant circumstances, including the eight factors specified in HSC § 42403 and 43024.

#### TRU Violations

The per unit penalty for the TRU violations involved in this case is a maximum of \$1,000 per unit per day for strict liability violations or \$10,000 per vehicle per day for negligent or intentional violations pursuant to HSC § 39674.

The penalty obtained for the TRU violations involved in this case is \$2,300.00 for two outstanding TRU citations (TRU070815008CCY, TRU080515002WAM).

#### Truck and Bus Violations

The per unit penalty for the Truck and Bus violations involved in this case is a maximum of \$1,000 per vehicle per day for strict liability violations or \$10,000 per vehicle per day for negligent or intentional violations.

The penalty obtained for the Truck and Bus violation involved in this case is \$1,800.00 for one outstanding Truck and Bus citation (STB012214002CCY).

## The provision of law the penalty is being assessed under and why that provision is most appropriate for that violation.

#### **TRU** Violations

The penalty provision being applied for the TRU ATCM (13 CCR § 2477) violations (including registration and labeling) is HSC § 39674 because the

### SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE ARB and RTC Page 7 of 8

TRU rule is an Air Toxic Control Measure adopted pursuant to authority contained in HSC § 39002 et seq., 39650-39675 and RTC, as an owner of TRUs, failed to bring all TRUs in its fleet into compliance by the deadlines set forth in the TRU ATCM and affix ARB IDNs to all TRUs in its fleet.

#### Truck and Bus Violations

The penalty provision being applied for the Truck and Bus regulation (13 CCR § 2025) violations in this case is HSC § 39674 because the Truck and Bus regulation is a Toxic Air Contaminant Control Measure adopted pursuant to authority contained in HSC §§ 39002 et seq., 39650-39675 and because RTC failed to bring their diesel fleet into compliance by the deadlines set forth in 13 CCR § 2025(g).

Is the penalty being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollution at a specified level, and, if so a quantification of excess emissions, if it is practicable to do so.

#### TRU Violations

In this case, the provisions cited above do prohibit emissions above a specified level of g/hp-hr. However, since the hours of operation of the noncompliant TRUs involved and their individual emission rates are not known, it is not practicable to quantify the excess emissions.

#### Truck and Bus Violations

The provisions cited above do prohibit emissions above a specified level of g/hp-hr. However, since the hours of operation of the non-compliant trucks involved and their individual emission rates are not known, it is not practicable to quantify the excess emissions.

- (20) RTC acknowledges that ARB has complied with Senate Bill 1402 in prosecuting or settling this case. Specifically, ARB has considered all relevant facts, including those listed at HSC § 43024, has explained the manner in which the penalty amount was calculated, has identified the provision of law under which the penalty is being assessed and has considered and determined that this penalty is being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollutants at a specified level.
- (21) Penalties were determined based on the unique circumstances of this matter, considered together with the need to remove any economic benefit from noncompliance, the goal of deterring future violations and obtaining swift compliance, the consideration of past penalties in similar cases, and the potential costs and risk associated with litigating these particular

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE ARB and RTC Page 8 of 8

violations. Penalties in future cases might be smaller or larger on a per unit basis.

- (22) The penalty was based on confidential settlement communications between ARB and RTC that ARB does not retain in the ordinary course of business. The penalty is the product of an arms length negotiation between ARB and RTC and reflects ARB's assessment of the relative strength of its case against RTC, the desire to avoid the uncertainty, burden and expense of litigation, obtain swift compliance with the law and remove any unfair advantage that RTC may have secured from its actions.
- (23) Now therefore, in consideration of the payment on behalf of RTC to the Air Pollution Control Fund and the Peralta Colleges Foundation, ARB hereby releases RTC and their principals, officers, agents, predecessors and successors from any and all claims, the ARB may have or have in the future based on the circumstances described in paragraph (1) through (13) of the Recitals. The undersigned represent that they have the authority to enter into this Agreement.

California /	Air Resources Board	Rubio's Tr	ucking Company, Inc.
Signature:	They	Signature:	Votació La Dubic=
Print Name	Dr. Todd P. Sax	Print Name	: Octavio Rubic
Title:	Chief Enforcement Division	Title:	president
Date:	6/23/17	Date:	6-1-17