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## Section 1: Methodology

The Institute for Social Research (ISR) at California State University, Sacramento conducted a telephone survey of registered outboard and sailboat owners in order to provide the California Air Resources Board (ARB) with information about statewide use of portable outboard marine tanks (OMTs). The ARB provided the ISR with DMV registration records from the California Energy Commission (CEC) for January through April 2006. This database included all registrations $(454,061)$ for outboard, sail only, and auxiliary and sail vessels. Table 1 describes the criteria used to define the study population from within this file. There were 289,133 registrations meeting these criteria (see Table 2). The study population includes current "household" registrations for outboard boats and for sailboats under 20 feet in length. Only vessels registered and located in California are included in the study population.

The logic for excluding registrations from the study population falls into two basic categories. Some registrations were excluded because the vessels are not likely to be in use in California and/or not likely to use OMTs. These include registrations that are not current, registrations for vessels located outside California, and registrations for sailboats over 20 feet in length. ${ }^{1}$ Other registrations were excluded for methodological—rather than substantive—reasons. For example, including vessels located in California but registered out-of-state would have decreased the efficiency of the phone matches. In addition, including commercial and public agency registrations (many of which are registered owners of multiple boats) would have required a significantly different interviewing strategy than was used to contact households. This distinction becomes important when using survey data to estimate the number of portable outboard marine tanks used by vessels in California.

Table 1. Definition of the Study Population ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Field \# | Field Name | Eligible Codes | Vessels Registrations Included in Study Population | Vessel Registrations <br> Excluded from Study Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R/O County Code | 1-58 | Vessels registered in California | Vessels registered in an unknown county or out-of-state |
| 12 | Status Code | C | Currently registered vessels (expiration = 12/31/07) | Vessels with expired registrations |
| 44 | Type License Code | V1 | Pleasure vessels | Livery, commercial and exempt vessels |
| 70 | Person/Entity Code | Not equal to C | Vessels registered to a household | Vessels registered to a school, business or club |
| 92 | Vessel <br> Propulsion Code | O, S or A | Vessels registered as outboard, sail only, auxiliary and sail | Vessels registered as hand propelled, inboard, jet, inboard/outboard, other or unknown |
| 93 |  | Any, if vessel propulsion $=0$ <br> Less than or equal to 20, if vessel propulsion $=S$ or $A$ | All outboards, regardless of length <br> Sail and auxiliary and sail vessels 20 feet or less in length | Sail and auxiliary and sail vessels more than 20 feet in length |
| 95 | Situs County Code | 1-58 | Vessels located in California | Vessels located in an unknown county or out-of-state |

a Energy Commission output record fields and codes
${ }^{1}$ Of the 131,014 "non-current" registrations, 113,077 were coded as not currently registered, 17,928 were coded as pending status, and nine were coded as prior history. Further investigation regarding the application of these codes is warranted. Vessels with registrations that are not current but may be in use could have expected OMT-use patterns comparable to the study population.

Table 2. Number of Registrations Included in and Excluded from the Study Population

|  |  | Outboard | Sail Only | Auxiliary and Sail | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All registrations for outboard, sail only, and auxiliary and sail vessels |  | 393,305 | 38,980 | 21,776 | 454,061 |
| Excluded from study population | Registrations that are not current | 113,277 | 12,047 | 5,690 | 131,014 |
|  | Sailboats over 20 feet | 0 | 5,740 | 14,074 | 19,814 |
|  | Vessels not registered and located in California | 1,899 | 169 | 18 | 2,086 |
|  | Livery, commercial and exempt registrations | 5,099 | 879 | 18 | 5,996 |
|  | Vessels registered to agencies, schools, businesses or clubs | 5,244 | 724 | 50 | 6,018 |
| Study population |  | 267,786 | 19,421 | 1,926 | 289,133 |

Registration records contain the name and address of the registered owner, but do not contain a phone number. In order to conduct a household telephone survey, Scientific Telephone Samples (STS) matched the DMV registration data against an STS database and appended phone numbers for matching records. This was a new strategy, and lieu of information about the kind of match rate the database would yield, a larger-than-normal random sample of 65,000 records was drawn from the study population. The phone match rate was 38 percent, which produced 25,000 registration records with phone numbers.

It is not unusual for more than one boat to be registered to the same owner. Of the 25,000 registrations matched to phone numbers, 1,651 (or 6.6 percent) were matched to the same phone number. For these "multiple-boat" households, one boat was randomly selected for inclusion in the sample. This produced a sample of 24,148 records. ${ }^{2}$ Because the primary unit of analysis for this study is the registered vesselnot the registered owner-respondents were directed to answer only in terms of the specific vessel selected for the study.

The analysis in this report is based on 1,683 telephone interviews conducted between January 25, 2007 and February 7, 2007. The survey response rate was $64 \%$ (see Table 3). Most interviews ( $97 \%$ ) were conducted with the registered owner of the boat. Three percent of the interviews were conducted with another person who uses the boat. Eighteen respondents who were not sure whether the boat uses an OMT were dropped from the analysis.

Table 3. Survey Response Rate

|  | Percent | Number <br> of cases |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Complete interview | $64.2 \%$ | 1,701 |
| Partial interview | $1.3 \%$ | 35 |
| Refusal | $34.5 \%$ | 914 |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | 2,650 |

Outboard boats were slightly under-represented among the survey respondents. The smallest and largest outboard boats were also somewhat under-represented. In order to adjust for any potential response bias, survey responses were weighted to adjust for these difference. Table 5 summarizes computation of weighting variable values.

Section 2 of this summary describes responses to survey questions. Section 3 provides estimates of the number of OMTs used with boats in the study population. A copy of the questionnaire is included at the end of this document.
${ }^{2}$ In order to complete the desired number of interviews (a minimum of 1500) a random sample of approximately $30 \%(7,224$ out of 24,148$)$ were contacted.

Table 4. Distribution of Study Population and Survey Respondents by Vessel Propulsion and County

| County where vessel is registered | Study Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Survey Respondents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Outboard |  | Sail Only |  | Auxiliary and Sail |  | Total |  | Outboard |  | Sail Only |  | Auxiliary and Sail |  | Total |  |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |
| Alameda | 7,825 | 2.9\% | 799 | 4.1\% | 74 | 3.8\% | 8,698 | 3.0\% | 37 | 2.4\% | 12 | 8.1\% | 0 | .0\% | 49 | 2.9\% |
| Alpine | 57 | .0\% | 11 | .1\% | 0 | .0\% | 68 | .0\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Amador | 1,396 | .5\% | 58 | . $3 \%$ | 5 | . $3 \%$ | 1,459 | .5\% | 8 | . $5 \%$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 8 | .5\% |
| Butte | 7,175 | 2.7\% | 290 | 1.5\% | 25 | 1.3\% | 7,490 | 2.6\% | 67 | 4.4\% | 3 | 2.0\% | 0 | -- | 70 | 4.2\% |
| Calaveras | 2,284 | .9\% | 100 | . $5 \%$ | 10 | .5\% | 2,394 | .8\% | 7 | .5\% | 1 | .7\% | 0 | -- | 8 | .5\% |
| Colusa | 677 | . $3 \%$ | 25 | .1\% | 4 | .2\% | 706 | .2\% | 1 | .1\% | 0 | .0\% | 0 | -- | 1 | .1\% |
| Contra Costa | 9,912 | 3.7\% | 827 | 4.3\% | 71 | 3.7\% | 10,810 | 3.7\% | 68 | 4.5\% | 5 | 3.4\% | 0 | -- | 73 | 4.3\% |
| Del Norte | 620 | .2\% | 21 | .1\% | 7 | .4\% | 648 | .2\% | 6 | .4\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 6 | .4\% |
| El Dorado | 4,828 | 1.8\% | 358 | 1.8\% | 35 | 1.8\% | 5,221 | 1.8\% | 33 | 2.2\% | 5 | 3.4\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 39 | 2.3\% |
| Fresno | 8,635 | 3.2\% | 396 | 2.0\% | 33 | 1.7\% | 9,064 | 3.1\% | 49 | 3.2\% | 3 | 2.0\% | 0 | -- | 52 | 3.1\% |
| Glenn | 947 | .4\% | 30 | .2\% | 1 | .1\% | 978 | . $3 \%$ | 2 | .1\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | .1\% |
| Humboldt | 3,763 | 1.4\% | 224 | 1.2\% | 25 | 1.3\% | 4,012 | 1.4\% | 34 | 2.2\% | 1 | .7\% | 0 | -- | 35 | 2.1\% |
| Imperial | 785 | . $3 \%$ | 20 | .1\% | 1 | .1\% | 806 | .3\% | 2 | .1\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 2 | .1\% |
| Inyo | 448 | .2\% | 21 | .1\% | 2 | .1\% | 471 | .2\% | 5 | . $3 \%$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 5 | . $3 \%$ |
| Kern | 5,025 | 1.9\% | 352 | 1.8\% | 23 | 1.2\% | 5,400 | 1.9\% | 36 | 2.4\% | 4 | 2.7\% | 0 | -- | 40 | 2.4\% |
| Kings | 1,101 | .4\% | 24 | .1\% | 2 | .1\% | 1,127 | .4\% | 10 | .7\% | 1 | .7\% | 0 | -- | 11 | .7\% |
| Lake | 3,016 | 1.1\% | 247 | 1.3\% | 24 | 1.2\% | 3,287 | 1.1\% | 22 | 1.4\% | 2 | 1.3\% | 0 | -- | 24 | 1.4\% |
| Lassen | 1,439 | .5\% | 50 | . $3 \%$ | 4 | .2\% | 1,493 | .5\% | 8 | .5\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 8 | . $5 \%$ |
| Los Angeles | 25,968 | 9.7\% | 2,259 | 11.6\% | 260 | 13.5\% | 28,487 | 9.9\% | 101 | 6.6\% | 17 | 11.4\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 119 | 7.1\% |
| Madera | 2,405 | .9\% | 82 | .4\% | 6 | .3\% | 2,493 | .9\% | 17 | 1.1\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 17 | 1.0\% |
| Marin | 2,822 | 1.1\% | 422 | 2.2\% | 54 | 2.8\% | 3,298 | 1.1\% | 19 | 1.2\% | 4 | 2.7\% | 0 | -- | 23 | 1.4\% |
| Mariposa | 635 | .2\% | 29 | .1\% | 4 | .2\% | 668 | .2\% | 7 | .5\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 7 | .4\% |
| Mendocino | 2,097 | .8\% | 164 | .8\% | 15 | .8\% | 2,276 | .8\% | 19 | 1.2\% | 1 | .7\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 21 | 1.2\% |
| Merced | 2,679 | 1.0\% | 82 | .4\% | 9 | .5\% | 2,770 | 1.0\% | 20 | 1.3\% | 1 | .7\% | 0 | -- | 21 | 1.2\% |
| Modoc | 459 | .2\% | 8 | .0\% | 2 | .1\% | 469 | .2\% | 3 | .2\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 3 | . $2 \%$ |
| Mono | 351 | .1\% | 27 | .1\% | 6 | .3\% | 384 | .1\% | 1 | .1\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 1 | .1\% |
| Monterey | 2,585 | 1.0\% | 182 | .9\% | 13 | .7\% | 2,780 | 1.0\% | 19 | 1.2\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 19 | 1.1\% |
| Napa | 2,315 | .9\% | 169 | .9\% | 13 | .7\% | 2,497 | .9\% | 14 | .9\% | 1 | .7\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 16 | 1.0\% |
| Nevada | 3,751 | 1.4\% | 372 | 1.9\% | 30 | 1.6\% | 4,153 | 1.4\% | 30 | 2.0\% | 2 | 1.3\% | 0 | -- | 32 | 1.9\% |
| Orange | 15,076 | 5.6\% | 1,363 | 7.0\% | 173 | 9.0\% | 16,612 | 5.7\% | 64 | 4.2\% | 6 | 4.0\% | 0 | -- | 70 | 4.2\% |
| Placer | 6,953 | 2.6\% | 384 | 2.0\% | 38 | 2.0\% | 7,375 | 2.6\% | 44 | 2.9\% | 2 | 1.3\% | 0 | -- | 46 | 2.7\% |
| Plumas | 1,367 | .5\% | 72 | .4\% | 7 | .4\% | 1,446 | .5\% | 5 | . $3 \%$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 5 | . $3 \%$ |
| Riverside | 9,845 | 3.7\% | 514 | 2.6\% | 57 | 3.0\% | 10,416 | 3.6\% | 31 | 2.0\% | 5 | 3.4\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 37 | 2.2\% |
| Sacramento | 16,690 | 6.2\% | 822 | 4.2\% | 82 | 4.3\% | 17,594 | 6.1\% | 95 | 6.2\% | 6 | 4.0\% | 0 | -- | 101 | 6.0\% |
| San Benito | 602 | .2\% | 25 | .1\% | 5 | . $3 \%$ | 632 | .2\% | 4 | . $3 \%$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 4 | . $2 \%$ |
| San Bernardino | 9,072 | 3.4\% | 599 | 3.1\% | 69 | 3.6\% | 9,740 | 3.4\% | 29 | 1.9\% | 2 | 1.3\% | 0 | -- | 31 | 1.8\% |
| San Diego | 19,501 | 7.3\% | 2,141 | 11.0\% | 177 | 9.2\% | 21,819 | 7.5\% | 81 | 5.3\% | 13 | 8.7\% | 0 | -- | 94 | 5.6\% |
| San Francisco | 1,283 | .5\% | 156 | .8\% | 23 | 1.2\% | 1,462 | .5\% | 6 | .4\% | 1 | .7\% | 0 | -- | 7 | . $4 \%$ |
| San Joaquin | 8,734 | 3.3\% | 292 | 1.5\% | 33 | 1.7\% | 9,059 | 3.1\% | 36 | 2.4\% | 3 | 2.0\% | 0 | -- | 39 | 2.3\% |
| San Luis Obispo | 4,010 | 1.5\% | 447 | 2.3\% | 48 | 2.5\% | 4,505 | 1.6\% | 30 | 2.0\% | 4 | 2.7\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 35 | 2.1\% |
| San Mateo | 3,872 | 1.4\% | 487 | 2.5\% | 41 | 2.1\% | 4,400 | 1.5\% | 28 | 1.8\% | 6 | 4.0\% | 0 | -- | 34 | 2.0\% |
| Santa Barbara | 3,012 | 1.1\% | 357 | 1.8\% | 26 | 1.3\% | 3,395 | 1.2\% | 14 | .9\% | 1 | .7\% | 0 | -- | 15 | .9\% |
| Santa Clara | 8,508 | 3.2\% | 1,183 | 6.1\% | 97 | 5.0\% | 9,788 | 3.4\% | 49 | 3.2\% | 9 | 6.0\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 59 | 3.5\% |
| Santa Cruz | 2,647 | 1.0\% | 357 | 1.8\% | 31 | 1.6\% | 3,035 | 1.0\% | 17 | 1.1\% | 3 | 2.0\% | 0 | -- | 20 | 1.2\% |
| Shasta | 7,852 | 2.9\% | 233 | 1.2\% | 29 | 1.5\% | 8,114 | 2.8\% | 69 | 4.5\% | 2 | 1.3\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 72 | 4.3\% |
| Sierra | 144 | .1\% | 4 | .0\% | 1 | .1\% | 149 | .1\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 0 | -- |
| Siskiyou | 2,346 | .9\% | 82 | .4\% | 8 | .4\% | 2,436 | .8\% | 16 | 1.0\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 16 | 1.0\% |
| Solano | 4,808 | 1.8\% | 181 | .9\% | 24 | 1.2\% | 5,013 | 1.7\% | 36 | 2.4\% | 4 | 2.7\% | 0 | -- | 40 | 2.4\% |
| Sonoma | 6,809 | 2.5\% | 586 | 3.0\% | 63 | 3.3\% | 7,458 | 2.6\% | 61 | 4.0\% | 7 | 4.7\% | 1 | 10.0\% | 69 | 4.1\% |
| Stanislaus | 7,397 | 2.8\% | 297 | 1.5\% | 22 | 1.1\% | 7,716 | 2.7\% | 41 | 2.7\% | 3 | 2.0\% | 0 | -- | 44 | 2.6\% |
| Sutter | 2,523 | .9\% | 64 | . $3 \%$ | 4 | .2\% | 2,591 | .9\% | 14 | .9\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 14 | .8\% |
| Tehama | 2,015 | .8\% | 39 | .2\% | 9 | .5\% | 2,063 | .7\% | 18 | 1.2\% | 0 | -- | 1 | 10.0\% | 19 | 1.1\% |
| Trinity | 840 | . $3 \%$ | 27 | .1\% | 5 | . $3 \%$ | 872 | .3\% | 5 | . $3 \%$ | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 5 | . $3 \%$ |
| Tulare | 3,313 | 1.2\% | 138 | .7\% | 10 | .5\% | 3,461 | 1.2\% | 18 | 1.2\% | 1 | .7\% | 0 | -- | 19 | 1.1\% |
| Tuolumne | 2,263 | .8\% | 154 | .8\% | 7 | .4\% | 2,424 | .8\% | 7 | .5\% | 2 | 1.3\% | 0 | -- | 9 | .5\% |
| Ventura | 5,933 | 2.2\% | 588 | 3.0\% | 61 | 3.2\% | 6,582 | 2.3\% | 29 | 1.9\% | 3 | 2.0\% | 0 | -- | 32 | 1.9\% |
| Yolo | 2,395 | .9\% | 158 | .8\% | 14 | .7\% | 2,567 | .9\% | 18 | 1.2\% | 3 | 2.0\% | 0 | -- | 21 | 1.2\% |
| Yuba | 1,976 | .7\% | 22 | .1\% | 4 | .2\% | 2,002 | .7\% | 14 | .9\% | 0 | -- | 0 | -- | 14 | .8\% |
| Total | 267,786 | 100.0\% | 19,421 | 100.0\% | 1,926 | 100.0\% | 289,133 | 100.0\% | 1,524 | 100.0\% | 149 | 100.0\% | 10 | 100.0\% | 1,683 | 100.0\% |

Table 5. Computation of Weights to Adjust for Vessel Propulsion and Length

| Propulsion | Length | Study Population |  | Unweighted Survey Responses |  | Difference ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Weight | Weighted Survey Responses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |  |  | Number | Percent |
| Outboard | Under 12 feet | 36,284 | 12.5\% | 153 | 9.1\% | -3.5\% | 1.3804 | 211.2 | 12.5\% |
|  | 12 feet | 41,593 | 14.4\% | 264 | 15.7\% | 1.3\% | . 9170 | 242.1 | 14.4\% |
|  | 13 feet | 17,223 | 6.0\% | 113 | 6.7\% | .8\% | . 8876 | 100.3 | 6.0\% |
|  | 14 feet | 35,903 | 12.4\% | 229 | 13.6\% | 1.2\% | . 9127 | 209.0 | 12.4\% |
|  | 15 feet | 25,879 | 9.0\% | 150 | 8.9\% | .0\% | 1.0040 | 150.6 | 8.9\% |
|  | 16 feet | 30,105 | 10.4\% | 167 | 9.9\% | -.5\% | 1.0491 | 175.2 | 10.4\% |
|  | 17 feet | 24,220 | 8.4\% | 155 | 9.2\% | .8\% | . 9097 | 141.0 | 8.4\% |
|  | 18 feet | 17,720 | 6.1\% | 99 | 5.9\% | -.2\% | 1.0414 | 103.1 | 6.1\% |
|  | 19-20 feet | 20,090 | 6.9\% | 90 | 5.3\% | -1.6\% | 1.2989 | 116.9 | 6.9\% |
|  | Over 20 feet | 18,769 | 6.5\% | 104 | 6.2\% | -.3\% | 1.0510 | 109.3 | 6.5\% |
| Sail only | 20 feet or less | 19,421 | 6.7\% | 149 | 8.9\% | 2.1\% | . 7584 | 113.0 | 6.7\% |
| Auxiliary \& Sail | 20 feet or less | 1,926 | .7\% | 10 | .6\% | -.1\% | 1.1200 | 11.2 | . $7 \%$ |
| Total |  | 289,133 | 100.0\% | 1,683 | 100.0\% | n/a | n/a | 1,682.9 | 100.0\% |

[^0]
## Section 2: Summary of Survey Responses

Table 6. Type of Engine by Vessel Propulsion Categories

|  | Percent |  |  |  | Number of cases ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Outboard | Sail Only | Auxiliary \& Sail | Total | Outboard | Sail Only | Auxiliary \& Sail | Total |
| One or more outboard engines ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 88.4\% | 8.0\% | 72.7\% | 82.9\% | 1,378 | 9 | 8 | 1,395 |
| Non-outboard engine ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.2\% | 4.4\% | -- | 3.3\% | 50 | 5 | 0 | 55 |
| Electric outboard engine ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.0\% | 1.8\% | -- | 1.1\% | 16 | 2 | 0 | 18 |
| No engine | 7.4\% | 85.8\% | 27.3\% | 12.8\% | 115 | 97 | 3 | 215 |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 1,559 | 113 | 11 | 1,683 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ All tables in the remainder of this report summarize data weighted to adjust for vessel propulsion and vessel length. The weighting process produces fractions of cases. Due to rounding, the number of cases may not sum exactly to the total.
${ }^{b}$ Boats that use other types of engines in addition to an outboard engine are also counted in this category.
c For example, inboard, stern-drive, or jet engine.
d For this analysis, "outboard engine" does not include electric outboard engines.

Table 7. Summary of Responses for Outboard Engine Powered Boats by Vessel Propulsion Categories

|  |  | Percent |  |  |  | Number of cases |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Outboard | Sail Only | Auxiliary \& Sail | Total | Outboard | Sail Only | Auxiliary \& Sail | Total |
| Number of outboard engines used with boat | One | 95.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 95.1\% | 1,309 | 9 | 8 | 1,326 |
|  | Two | 4.9\% | -- | -- | 4.8\% | 67 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
|  | Three | .1\% | -- | -- | .1\% | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 1,378 | 9 | 8 | 1,395 |
| Horsepower for all outboard engines used with boat | 1-6 HP | 13.9\% | 70.0\% | 75.0\% | 14.6\% | 202 | 7 | 6 | 215 |
|  | 7-15 HP | 25.0\% | 20.0\% | 12.5\% | 24.9\% | 363 | 2 | 1 | 366 |
|  | 16-40 HP | 15.4\% | -- | -- | 15.2\% | 223 | 0 | 0 | 223 |
|  | More than 40 HP | 40.6\% | -- | -- | 40.1\% | 589 | 0 | 0 | 589 |
|  | Don't know | 5.0\% | 10.0\% | 12.5\% | 5.1\% | 73 | 1 | 1 | 75 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 1,450 | 10 | 8 | 1,468 |
| Do 1-6 HP engines have built-in fuel tanks? | Yes | 43.3\% | 71.4\% | 20.0\% | 43.7\% | 88 | 5 | 1 | 94 |
|  | No | 56.7\% | 28.6\% | 80.0\% | 56.3\% | 115 | 2 | 4 | 121 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 203 | 7 | 5 | 215 |
| Does boat use a factory installed tank that is integrated into the vessel? | Yes | 35.5\% | 37.5\% | 12.5\% | 35.3\% | 485 | 3 | 1 | 489 |
|  | No | 63.0\% | 62.5\% | 87.5\% | 63.2\% | 862 | 5 | 7 | 874 |
|  | Don't know | 1.5\% | -- | -- | 1.5\% | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 1,368 | 8 | 8 | 1,384 |

Table 8. Portable Outboard Marine Tank Use for Outboard Engine Powered Boats by Vessel Propulsion Categories

|  |  | Percent |  |  |  | Number of cases |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Outboard | Sail <br> Only | Auxiliary \& Sail | Total | Outboard | Sail Only | Auxiliary \& Sail | Total |
| Does boat use a portable outboard marine tank (OMT)? | Yes | 64.4\% | 55.6\% | 87.5\% | 64.4\% | 887 | 5 | 7 | 899 |
|  | No | 35.6\% | 44.4\% | 12.5\% | 35.6\% | 491 | 4 | 1 | 496 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 1,378 | 9 | 8 | 1,395 |
| Number of boats OMT is used with | One | 93.8\% | 83.3\% | 100.0\% | 93.8\% | 829 | 5 | 7 | 841 |
|  | Two | 4.5\% | -- | -- | 4.5\% | 40 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
|  | Three | 1.5\% | -- | -- | 1.4\% | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
|  | Four or more | .2\% | 16.7\% | -- | . $3 \%$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 884 | 6 | 7 | 897 |
| Is OMT used with any other type of equipment? | Yes | 2.6\% | 16.7\% | -- | 2.7\% | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
|  | No | 97.4\% | 83.3\% | 100.0\% | 97.3\% | 858 | 5 | 7 | 870 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 881 | 6 | 7 | 894 |
| Number of OMTs used with boat | One | 73.4\% | 66.7\% | 100.0\% | 73.5\% | 647 | 4 | 7 | 658 |
|  | Two | 23.5\% | -- | -- | 23.1\% | 207 | 0 | 0 | 207 |
|  | Three | 2.6\% | 33.3\% | -- | 2.8\% | 23 | 2 | 0 | 25 |
|  | Four | .6\% | -- | -- | .6\% | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 882 | 6 | 7 | 895 |

Table 9. Material Type and Capacity for All Portable Outboard Marine Tanks Used with Boat

|  |  | Percent | Number <br> of OMTs |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Material type for all | Metal | $55.0 \%$ | 585 |
| OMTs used with boat | Plastic | $45.0 \%$ | 479 |
|  | Total | $100.0 \%$ | 1,064 |
| Capacity for all | $1-5$ gallon | $49.4 \%$ | 525 |
| OMTs used with boat | 6 gallon | $40.5 \%$ | 430 |
|  | $7-10$ gallon | $5.7 \%$ | 60 |
|  | $11-15$ gallon | $2.9 \%$ | 31 |
|  | More than 15 gallons | $1.6 \%$ | 17 |
|  | Total | $100.0 \%$ | 1,064 |

a The unit of analysis for this table is OMTs (rather than boats). Information from respondents who were not sure about the OMT material type or capacity was excluded from this table.

Table 10. Capacity within Material Type for All Portable Outboard Marine Tanks Used with Boat

|  |  | Percent | Number <br> of OMTs |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| All metal OMTs | 1-5 gallon | $28.0 \%$ | 298 |
| used with boat | 6 gallon | $22.7 \%$ | 242 |
|  | $7-10$ gallon | $2.7 \%$ | 28 |
|  | $11-15$ gallon | $.8 \%$ | 9 |
|  | More than 15 gallons | $.7 \%$ | 8 |
| All plastic OMTs | $1-5$ gallon | $21.4 \%$ | 227 |
| used with boat | 6 gallon | $17.7 \%$ | 188 |
|  | $7-10$ gallon | $3.0 \%$ | 32 |
|  | $11-15$ gallon | $2.0 \%$ | 22 |
|  | More than 15 gallon | $.9 \%$ | 9 |
| Total |  | $100.0 \%$ | 1,064 |

a The unit of analysis for this table is OMTs (rather than boats)

Table 11. Outboard Engine and Portable Outboard Marine Tank Use for Outboard Boats by Vessel Length

|  | Is boat powered by one or more outboard engines? |  |  | Does this boat use a portable fuel tank? (Asked only if boat is powered by outboard engine) |  |  | Percent of boats: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Powered by outboard engines | UsingOMTs |
|  | Yes | No | Total |  |  | Yes | No | Total |
| Under 12 feet | 159 | 52 | 211 | 95 | 63 | 158 | 75.4\% | 45.0\% |
| 12 feet | 205 | 37 | 242 | 187 | 18 | 205 | 84.7\% | 77.3\% |
| 13 feet | 93 | 7 | 100 | 84 | 9 | 93 | 93.0\% | 84.0\% |
| 14 feet | 193 | 16 | 209 | 182 | 11 | 193 | 92.3\% | 87.1\% |
| 15 feet | 138 | 13 | 151 | 116 | 21 | 137 | 91.4\% | 76.8\% |
| 16 feet | 168 | 7 | 175 | 99 | 69 | 168 | 96.0\% | 56.6\% |
| 17 feet | 128 | 13 | 141 | 55 | 73 | 128 | 90.8\% | 39.0\% |
| 18 feet | 94 | 9 | 103 | 22 | 72 | 94 | 91.3\% | 21.4\% |
| 19-20 feet | 110 | 6 | 116 | 21 | 90 | 111 | 94.8\% | 18.1\% |
| Over 20 feet | 90 | 19 | 109 | 25 | 65 | 90 | 82.6\% | 22.9\% |
| Total | 1,378 | 179 | 1,557 | 886 | 491 | 1,377 | 88.5\% | 56.9\% |

a Please note that these percentages describe the percent of all outboard boats that use OMTs—regardless of whether or not they are powered by an outboard engine. This differs from the percentages for outboard boats shown in Table 8, which describe the percent of outboard boats powered by an outboard engine that use OMTs.

Figure 1. Percent of Outboard Boats Using Portable Outboard Marine Tanks by Vessel Length


Table 12. Portable Outboard Marine Tank and Fuel Hose Replacement

|  |  | Percent | Number <br> of cases |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| OMT |  | $82.8 \%$ | 730 |
| replacement | Have not replaced tank since owned boat | $6.1 \%$ | 54 |
|  | Replaced every one to five years | $4.0 \%$ | 36 |
|  | Replaced every six to ten years | $2.7 \%$ | 24 |
|  | Replaced every 11 to 15 years | $4.3 \%$ | 38 |
|  | Replaced less frequently than every 15 years | $100.0 \%$ | 882 |
|  | Total | $53.9 \%$ | 467 |
| OMT fuel hose | Have not replaced fuel hose since owned boat | $18.3 \%$ | 159 |
|  | Replaced every one to five years | $9.2 \%$ | 80 |
|  | Replaced every six to ten years | $8.2 \%$ | 71 |
|  | Replaced every 11 to 15 years | $10.3 \%$ | 89 |
|  | Replaced less frequently than every 15 years | $100.0 \%$ | 866 |
|  | Total |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 13. Portable Outboard Marine Tank Storage Characteristics

|  |  | Percent | Number <br> of cases |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Is the tank usually <br> stored with fuel in it? | Yes | $56.7 \%$ | 504 |
|  | No | $41.2 \%$ | 366 |
|  | Don't know | $2.0 \%$ | 18 |
|  | Total | $100.0 \%$ | 888 |
| Do you close the vent <br> when the tank is stored? | Yes | $67.0 \%$ | 594 |
|  | No | $22.4 \%$ | 198 |
|  | Don't know | $8.2 \%$ | 72 |
|  | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $2.5 \%$ | 22 |
|  | Total | $100.0 \%$ | 886 |

[^1]Table 14. Portable Outboard Marine Tank Venting for Tanks Stored With and Without Fuel

| Do you close the vent <br> when the tank is stored? | Is the tank usually stored with fuel in it? |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Yes | No | Don't know |
|  | $70.6 \%$ | $63.4 \%$ | $33.3 \%$ |
| No | $23.1 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| Don't know | $3.2 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Other | $3.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Number of cases | 504 | 366 | 18 |

Table 15. Location of Boat and Portable Outboard Marine Tank Storage During Last Twelve Months

|  |  | Percent | Number <br> of cases |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Boat storage | Boat was stored in the same county in which <br> it is registered for all of the last 12 months | $93.0 \%$ | 823 |
|  | Boat was stored an another location <br> for some or all of the last 12 months | $7.0 \%$ | 62 |
|  | Total | $100.0 \%$ | 884 |
| OMT storage | OMT was stored in the same county as boat | $98.7 \%$ | 870 |
|  | OMT was not stored in the same county as boat | $1.3 \%$ | 11 |
|  | Total | $100.0 \%$ | 881 |

Table 16. Respondent Age and Gender by Vessel Propulsion Categories

|  |  | Percent |  |  | Number of cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Outboard | Sail ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total | Outboard | Sail ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total |
| Age | Under 18 | .4\% | .8\% | .4\% | 6 | 1 | 7 |
|  | 18 to 24 | .8\% | 1.6\% | .8\% | 12 | 2 | 14 |
|  | 25 to 44 | 11.1\% | 8.8\% | 10.9\% | 171 | 11 | 182 |
|  | 45 to 64 | 48.9\% | 53.6\% | 49.3\% | 754 | 67 | 821 |
|  | 65 and older | 37.5\% | 31.2\% | 37.0\% | 578 | 39 | 617 |
|  | Declined | 1.4\% | 4.0\% | 1.6\% | 21 | 5 | 26 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 1,542 | 125 | 1,667 |
| Gender | Male | 84.9\% | 75.8\% | 84.2\% | 1,308 | 94 | 1,402 |
|  | Female | 15.1\% | 24.2\% | 15.8\% | 233 | 30 | 263 |
|  | Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 1,541 | 124 | 1,665 |

a Includes sail only and auxiliary and sail.

Table 17. Number of Years Respondent Has Owned Boat by Vessel Propulsion Categories ${ }^{a}$

|  | Percent |  |  | Number of cases |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Outboard | Sail $^{\text {b }}$ | Total | Outboard | Sail $^{\text {b }}$ | Total |
| 3 years or less | $27.4 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | 361 | 22 | 383 |
| 4-5 years | $14.6 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | 192 | 15 | 207 |
| 6-10 years | $18.9 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | 249 | 18 | 267 |
| 11-15 years | $18.1 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | 239 | 15 | 254 |
| More than 15 years | $21.0 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | 276 | 24 | 300 |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | 1,317 | 94 | 1,411 |

[^2]
## Section 3: Estimates

Table 18. Mean Number of Portable Outboard Marine Tanks per Registered Vessel $(\mathrm{N}=1,623)$

|  |  | Metal | Plastic | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mean | 1-5 gallon | .184 | .140 | .324 |
|  | 6 gallon | .149 | .116 | .265 |
|  | $7-10$ gallon | .017 | .020 | .037 |
|  | 11-15 gallon | .005 | .013 | .019 |
|  | Over 15 gallons | .005 | .006 | .011 |
|  | Total | .360 | .295 | .655 |
| Standard | 1-5 gallon | .465 | .385 | .571 |
| Deviation | 6 gallon | .452 | .381 | .580 |
|  | 7-10 gallon | .149 | .156 | .214 |
|  | 11-15 gallon | .081 | .146 | .167 |
|  | Over 15 gallons | .076 | .084 | .113 |
|  | Total | .627 | .558 | .736 |

Table 19. Confidence Intervals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for Mean Number of Portable Outboard Marine Tanks Per Registered Vessel

|  | Metal | Plastic | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 gallon | $.161-.206$ | $.121-.159$ | $.296-.351$ |
| 6 gallon | $.126-.170$ | $.098-.135$ | $.236-.293$ |
| $7-10$ gallon | $.010-.025$ | $.012-.027$ | $.027-.048$ |
| $11-15$ gallon | $.001-.009$ | $.006-.021$ | $.011-.027$ |
| Over 15 gallons | $.001-.008$ | $.002-.010$ | $.005-.016$ |
| Total | $.329-.390$ | $.268-.322$ | $.619-.691$ |

a 95 percent confidence interval for mean.

Table 20. Estimated Number of Portable Outboard Marine Tanks Used with Vessels in Study Population

|  | Metal | Plastic | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1-5 gallon | 53,200 | 40,479 | 93,679 |
| 6 gallon | 42,792 | 33,539 | 76,331 |
| 7-10 gallon | 4,915 | 5,783 | 10,698 |
| 11-15 gallon | 1,446 | 3,759 | 5,494 |
| Over 15 gallons | 1,446 | 1,735 | 3,180 |
| Total | 104,088 | 85,294 | 189,382 |

Table 21. Confidence Intervals for Estimated Number of Portable Outboard Marine Tanks Used with Vessels in Study Population

| Metal | Plastic | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1-5 gallon | $46,550-59,561$ | $34,985-45,972$ | $85,583-101,486$ |
| 6 gallon | $36,431-49,153$ | $28,335-39,033$ | $68,235-84,716$ |
| 7-10 gallon | $2,891-7,228$ | $3,470-7,807$ | $7,807-13,878$ |
| 11-15 gallon | $289-2,602$ | $1,735-6,072$ | $3,180-7,807$ |
| Over 15 gallons | $289-2,313$ | $578-2,891$ | $1,446-4,626$ |
| Total | $95,125-112,762$ | $77,488-93,101$ | $178,973-199,791$ |

Table 22. Estimated Portable Outboard Marine Tank Use for Study Population Vessels and Excluded Comparable Registrations

|  |  | Outboard | Sail Only | Auxiliary and Sail | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Survey respondents | Percent using OMTs | 64.4\% | 55.6\% | 87.5\% | 64.4\% |
|  | Mean number of OMTs per vessel | . 699 | . 074 | . 556 | . 655 |
| Vessels in study population |  | 267,786 | 19,421 | 1,926 | 289,133 |
| Excluded "comparable" vessel registrations ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Registered outside California, but located inside California | 1,206 | 123 | 12 | 1,341 |
|  | Livery vessel registrations | 2,632 | 147 | 3 | 2,782 |
|  | Commercial vessel registrations | 740 | 2 | 0 | 742 |
|  | Exempt (Youth Group) registrations | 169 | 230 | 11 | 410 |
|  | Exempt (Government) registrations | 1,558 | 500 | 4 | 2,062 |
|  | Vessels registered to agencies, schools, businesses or clubs | 5,244 | 724 | 50 | 6,018 |
|  | Subtotal | 11,549 | 1,726 | 80 | 13,355 |
| Estimated number of vessels using OMTs | Study population | 172,454 | 10,798 | 1,685 | 186,202 |
|  | Excluded comparable registrations | 7,438 | 960 | 70 | 8,601 |
|  | Total | 179,892 | 11,758 | 1,755 | 194,803 |
| Estimated number of OMTs used with vessels | Study population | 187,182 | 1,437 | 1,071 | 189,382 |
|  | Excluded comparable registrations | 8,073 | 128 | 44 | 8,748 |
|  | Total | 195,255 | 1,565 | 1,115 | 198,130 |

[^3]
## Section 1: Introduction \& Eligibility

Hello, my name is $\qquad$ , and I'm calling from California State University, Sacramento. We are conducting a survey about outboard boats for the California Air Resources Board. I am calling about a <vessel length, builder and boat type> registered to <name of registered owner>.

Q1. Do you or someone in your household own or use this boat?
1 Yes
2 Yes, but this is not a good time to do the interview
3 No, do not have boat anymore (sold, gave away, etc)
4 No, owner has moved
5 No, wrong number, don't know anything about this boat
6 No, phone number was not residential
7 No boat owner/user is deceased
8 No, but they provide boat-owner's phone number
Q2. May I speak with the registered owner or person who would be most familiar with this boat?
1 Registered owner of boat is available and agrees to be interviewed
2 Another household member who also uses the boat is available and agrees to be interviewed
3 The appropriate person is not available
Q3. Is this boat powered by one or more outboard engines? (Interviewer note: Before recording "no" please be absolutely sure that this boat is never used with any outboard engines. An outboard engine is a detachable engine that hangs off the back of the boat and includes the engine, transmission and propeller.)

1 Yes (skip to Q4)
2 No
Q3a. Does the boat have any kind of engine? Interviewer: record category that best describes boat:
1 Yes, it actually does have an outboard engine
2 Yes, it has an engine, but not an outboard engine (skip to Q26)
3 No, it's a sailboat and does not have any engine at all (skip to Q26)
4 No, it's another kind of boat (not a sailboat) and does not have any engine (skip to Q26)
5 Other, describe: $\qquad$ (skip to Q26)

Q4. How many outboard engines do you use with this boat? $\qquad$ (if 0, skip to Q26, interviewer note: be sure to probe to include secondary motors for low speed trolling or fishing.)

Q5. What horsepower is the engine? ${ }^{3}$
1 1-6
2 7-15 (skip to Q7)
3 16-40 (skip to Q7)
4 More than 40 (skip to Q7)
5 Don't know (skip to Q7)
Q6. Does this engine have its own built-in fuel tank? ${ }^{3}$
1 Yes
2 No

Q6a. Does the boat use a factory installed tank that is integrated into the vessel?
1 Yes
2 No
3 Don't know

[^4]
## Section 2: Portable Outboard Marine Tank (OMT) Usage

We are collecting information about portable outboard fuel tanks. The portable tanks connect to the engine with a rubber fuel line and may be removed from the boat for refueling or storage.

Q7. Does this boat use a portable fuel tank?
1 Yes
2 No (skip to Q26)
3 Don't know (skip to Q19)
(Interviewer note: if a respondent is not sure whether the boat uses an OMT - or if they say no and you think they may be uncertain or may have misunderstood the question - you need to rephrase the question, including a description of the tanks: the tanks are red in color ("all red and all small") and made of plastic or metal. The tank connects to the engine with a fuel line that has a hand pump used to prime the engine.)

Q8. Do you also use this tank with another boat or boats?
1 Yes
2 No (skip to Q9)
3 Don't know (skip to Q9)
Q8a. How many? $\qquad$
Q9. Do you also use this tank with any other type of equipment?
1 Yes
2 No
3 Don't know
Q10. How many portable tanks do you use with this boat?
11 portable tank
22 portable tanks
33 portable tanks
44 portable tanks
55 or more portable tanks
Q11. Is the tank made of metal or plastic? ${ }^{4}$
1 Metal
2 Plastic
3 Don't know
Q12. What size would you classify the tank? ${ }^{4}$
1 1-5 gallon
26 gallon
3 7-10 gallon
$4 \quad 11-15$ gallon
5 16+ gallon
6 Don't know
7 Other, please describe $\qquad$
Q13. Since you have owned the boat, have you ever had to replace the tank? (Interviewer note: by replace we mean buy a new tank.)

1 Yes
2 No (skip to Q15)
3 Don't know (skip to Q15)

[^5]Q14. How many times have you replaced the tank?
$\qquad$ times since you owned the boat
Q15. Since you have owned the boat, have you ever had to replace the fuel hose?
1 Yes
2 No (skip to Q17)
3 Don't know (skip to Q17)
Q16. How many times have you replaced the fuel hose?
$\qquad$ times since owned the boat

Q17. Is the tank usually stored with fuel in it?
1 Yes
2 No
3 Don't know
Q18. Do you close the vent when the tank is stored?

```
1 Yes
2 No
3 Don't know
4 \text { Other, please describe}
```

$\qquad$

## Section 3: Storage

Q19. My records show this boat is registered in <county name>. Is this correct?
1 Yes (skip to Q21)
2 No
3 Don't know what county boat is registered in (skip to Q21)
Q20. What county is the boat currently registered in?

| 1 Alameda | 21 Marin | 41 San Mateo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Alpine | 22 Mariposa | 42 Santa Barbara |
| 3 Amador | 23 Mendocino | 43 Santa Clara |
| 4 Butte | 24 Merced | 44 Santa Cruz |
| 5 Calaveras | 25 Modoc | 45 Shasta |
| 6 Colusa | 26 Mono | 46 Sierra |
| 7 Contra Costa | 27 Monterey | 47 Siskiyou |
| 8 Del Norte | 28 Napa | 48 Solano |
| 9 El Dorado | 29 Nevada | 49 Sonoma |
| 10 Fresno | 30 Orange | 50 Stanislaus |
| 11 Glenn | 31 Placer | 51 Sutter |
| 12 Humboldt | 32 Plumas | 52 Tehama |
| 13 Imperial | 33 Riverside | 53 Trinity |
| 14 Inyo | 34 Sacramento | 54 Tulare |
| 15 Kern | 35 San Benito | 55 Tuolumne |
| 16 Kings | 36 San Bernardino | 56 Ventura |
| 17 Lake | 37 San Diego | 57 Yolo |
| 18 Lassen | 38 San Francisco | 58 Yuba |
| 19 Los Angeles | 39 San Joaquin | 59 Don't know county |
| 20 Madera | 40 San Luis Obispo | 60 Out of State |

Interviewer note: if respondent does not know county, ask for and record another other geographic identifier, including town, marina, or waterway (lake or river); if a river is provided as an identifier, probe to get something more specific because rivers may cross multiple county borders.

Q21. During the last 12 months, when the boat was not in use, was the boat stored in <county name>?
1 Yes, above county for all of the last 12 months (skip to Q24)
2 Yes, for some of the time
3 No, different county or counties (skip to Q23)
4 Don't know county name (Interviewer note: Probe to determine nearest city, town or Marina. Rivers and sometimes lakes-cross county boundaries.)

Q22. How many months did you store the boat in <county name>?
$\qquad$
Q23. What (other) counties was the boat stored in during the last 12 months? County 1: $\qquad$ How many months did you store the boat in this location? How many months did you store the boat in this location? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
County 2 : $\qquad$ How many months did you store the boat in this location? $\qquad$
Q24. When not in use, is the portable fuel tank stored in the same county as the boat?
1 Yes (skip to Q26)
2 No
3 Don't know if the tank is stored with the boat (skip to Q26)
Q25. What counties was the portable fuel tank stored in during the last 12 months?
County 1: $\qquad$ How many months did you store the tank in this location?
County 2 : $\qquad$ How many months did you store the tank in this location?
County 3: $\qquad$ How many months did you store the tank in this location?

## Section 4: Demographics

Now I just have to ask two more questions that will be used to make sure we have representative information.

Q26. Which age bracket includes you?
1 Under 18
218 to 24
325 to 44
445 to 64
565 and older
6 Refused
Q27. What is your gender?
1 Male
2 Female
That is all the questions I have for you. Thank you for your time. If you are interested, you can visit the Air Resources Board online at:www.arb.ca.gov


[^0]:    a Difference between percent distribution for unweighted responses and study population.

[^1]:    a Most respondents in the "other" category reported that the tank does not have a vent. Six respondents said they close the vent "sometimes" and one respondent had not used the tank yet.

[^2]:    a From DMV database (some registrations were missing this information).
    b Includes sail only and auxiliary and sail.

[^3]:    a Vessels excluded from study population with expected OMT usage comparable to study population. Non-household-vessel OMT use may be greater than household-vessel OMT use; assuming equal OMT use rates produces a conservative estimate of overall use.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Q5 and Q6 are repeated for up to five engines in the 1-6 HP category.

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ Q11 and Q12 are repeated for up to five tanks.

