

Untitled

Current run (last updated Sep 23, 2021 3:07pm)

5


Activities

20

Participants


16

Average responses



Average engagement


Please share one word to describe your reaction to the People's Blueprint. (Share up to three words, entering them separately.)



Responses

- process Experience necessary Overwhelmed
- waste Important Urgent Community-Led good-intent
- idealistic Thoughtful Inspiring Equitable equitable
- excited hopeful Forward-looking Needed
- Disappointed in CARB one-sided am framework
- Insulted cutting edge ambitious C learner
- Impressive Opinion exciting! unrealistic Illegal
- Improvement angry cynical

Good idea, but some presentation of key points would be helpful before we vote. I don't have enough info to vote in an informed way.




Engagement

33

Responses

For those who have reviewed all or some of the People's Blueprint, please share one thing you are glad to see is included.



Responses

- Community voice recognition of challenges for all parties
- Training training Preparing and training communities
- Not-legal Framework
- Participatory budgeting and demands for accountability
- training, equality, tangible Civil rights step forward
- Governance options Training Incomplete




Engagement

14

Responses

For those who have reviewed all or some of the People's Blueprint, please share one thing you would like to see changed.



Responses

- independent training, student training, scientific support
- Feedback by interest category Take out the illegal stuff
- involvement from all partners in the process
- the approval of it. NOW
- Co-lead model - may not work for all CSCs
- Adding of funding for community training AG-Review
- Arbitration failed to include AQMD penalties for failure to comply & violations
- Need for partnership for all sides




Engagement

10

Responses

For those who have reviewed all or some of the People's Blueprint, please share one thing you would like to see added.



Responses

- Removal of terminology that excludes and marginalizes some groups and elevates whites
- reality about governance More commitments from CARB
- reimbursement dynamic, preserve CARB final approval authority.
- Funding feasibility study by project priority
- formal link with independent academics to support training
- A move away from performative community engagement . Stop the extractive model of working with community,
- More case studies Full approval
- Report Card of AQMD non-compliances
- regulatory authority!! Don't run business out of CA!
- More emphasis on regular residents who may not be well-known community reps
- Concrete ways of evaluating reducing emissions and reducing burden
- resident voices not just the loudest voices




Engagement

15

Responses

Which day(s) are you available for the next meeting? (At our regular time of 1-4 pm)



Response options

| Response options | Count | Percentage |
|------------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| (Option A) Wednesday, November 3 | 4 | 44% |
| (Option B) Wednesday, November 10 | 5 | 56% |



Engagement

9

Responses