

Consultation Group 9.21.21

Tracking and documenting comments and requests for follow-up

CARB CONSULTATION GROUP SEP 17, 2021 06:10PM

Coming back to this...

Suggested Agenda Items (for future meetings)

Please reach out to CARB with specific legal requests - CARB commits to follow-up about this topic and to set up a process.

Requests for more information & follow-up

CAP Blueprint Process

Review of the PBP needs to happen with the full CG to allow public process requirements.

CARB is invested in the PBP, but stepped back to allow community-led process.

No deadline for comments, to allow for in-depth conversation in November.

CARB is ready to go through the PBP... held off to allow CG time to read through it (good since only a few people had read it). CARB embraces this PBP, will use the input.

Asking for more dialogue on the process.

The People's Blueprint

Localized emission reductions also support climate change. These conversations are the starting point to benefit the greater good.

Public health and exposure reduction go hand-in-hand - benefits/solutions/challenges apply to both.

CARB communicates lessons learned to new communities.

The current BP must be followed until the update is approved by the CARB gov. board, otherwise confusion about requirements.

CARB has reviewed drafts as provided, but has not discussed ideas with the writer's group (because requires a public process).

The PBP has valuable information for new communities that can be reviewed and applied right away.

It important that the BP inform work ongoing now, for instance in ECV.

Much appreciation for the writer's group!

Public health needs to be emphasized (Community Exposure Reduction Plan, etc.) This is meant to close the health gap. Funding required to support.

Celebrating the writers group for all their work!!

One point of view out there is that CA regs push business out of CA. But, communities have the right to decide the types of businesses that come into their communities.

Business is still important and involved, the investment "type" is the concern in the 617 communities. Supports market-based investments to leverage regulatory agency investments - considering regulation/legal requirements. Clean business is an important focus for our purposes.

This is meant to be the writers group "statement" from the community about the process from the last few years- it is not a full and complete BP document. Notes the learning that has occurred during implementation of 617. Recognize it needs CARB and CG review to produce the final BP.

AD... looking at how its laid out, the community-led efforts. Look forward to looking at characterizing the work of the future of 617. Look forward to when/how more comments will be accepted.

Its a comprehensive document, recognize the time, thought that created it.

CARB needs to request funds from the legislature (in collaboration with EJ/community groups).

budgeting/funding... AQMD diverting funds (outside 617) or not accepting 617 funds or equipment.

Arbitration and mediation is good, but, what about legal violations?

Chpt 3 - governance - the focus was on governance in the CSCs, but the problem is the governance in the AQMDs. Concern about violation of CERP and CAP requirements.

Market-based incentives... difficult with considering lack of (distrust of) government funds and new things in the community

Innovation... requires work to develop trust between the entrepreneurs and the community to attract new, un-vetted investments in EJ communities. (What is the tolerance for newness in EJ communities, considering enforcement and protection of communities.) Requires funding inputs to support.

What amount of funding is needed for success? Other climate change impacts considered, especially the risk for our communities. Need discussion of resources, whats needed and how to get them.

The Program needs more funding. Implementation, Consultation, and Engagement requires resources to support them from the state.

Looking to the future, we must confront the increasing climate change impacts in these disadvantaged communities

How to promote clean businesses, bring good jobs to our communities, to lift people out of poverty ?

Need more on innovations and alternatives, job options, etc.

Missing a conversation about Just Transition.

Case studies like (SD and Imperial) would be helpful.

The section on community readiness section is very helpful, forward-looking. Showing the community input.

Please note:

Quick legal response today. In the past we didn't have legal opinion available in the moment. Can we expect this in the future?

"New" things (new technology and new people added to participate) must include introduction, tutorials and education as appropriate.

CARB can reach out and help teach new technology. We will ensure that we are available to help at any time.

Please help the members get familiar with the technological tools that are coming to the group - to ensure everyone can use them - to better support the purpose.

Commit: will add "Request" to the subject line to those emails that need a response or task to be completed.

The CARB emails are quite long and detailed... could you send emails that direct focus on a task, such as "read x".

In the future, please use precise wording... "Participatory budget" in the recap description.

Caucus

EJ, Business, separation to form agenda-setting team reduces the EJ voice and silos the discussion.

Caucus idea could help with efficiency in large, diverse-interests group. Concern that the caucus system may not balance EJ community perspective. Support for smaller groups for targeted questions.

Public is welcome to give comments during the public comment period, including suggesting agenda items.

Bagley-Keene: requires that any meeting of a formal body must post notice and be open to the public. A non-substantive meeting (logistical-Agenda-setting), with less than a majority of the formal body, will meet the B-K requirements.

Support for Co-leadership rather than caucus for equity purposes.

Co-leadership as opposed to Caucus was not fully understood between EJ groups and CARB (from 8/31 meeting). Could we go back to discussion about this representation topic, to ensure equity is applied?

The breakout room purpose wasn't clear.

**the word "caucus" caused some confusion in
the breakout**
