## Sacramento County Second 10-Year PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan

## **Staff Report Errata Sheet**

(p. 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, first sentence) The 2010 PM<sub>10</sub> Plan was adopted by the District on October 28, 2010, not September 27, 2010.

(p. 4,  $2^{nd}$  paragraph, last sentence) The horizon date of the Second 10-Year PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan for Sacramento County (Second PM<sub>10</sub> Plan) should be 2033, not 2030.

(p. 4, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph, second sentence) The population of Sacramento County should be 1,562,000, not 1,578,680.

(p. 5,  $2^{nd}$  paragraph, third sentence) The statement is made that the number of exceedances per year in 2018-2020 was less than 1.0. The Second PM<sub>10</sub> Plan relied on the design value for 2017-2019 based on discussions with U.S. EPA and CARB. Data for 2018-2020 were included in the Staff Report to demonstrate that even when including 2020 data without wildfire impacts, Sacramento County was shown to be in maintenance of the PM<sub>10</sub> standard.

(p. 5,  $2^{nd}$  paragraph, third sentence) The federal threshold for attainment of the PM<sub>10</sub> standard should be "less than or equal to 1.0", not "less than 1.0".

(p.7, Table 1) The title of Table 1 should read "Table 1 – Maximum 24-Hour Average Concentrations (ug/m3) for Sacramento County Monitoring Sites, 1998 – 2020 (Exceptional Events and Wildfire Impacted Days in 2020 Excluded)"

(p. 7, Table 1) Several entries in Table 1 of the Staff Report were incorrectly entered. The correct values should be:

- N. Highland, 1998: 73
- DPM-1, 2003: 63
- Branch-Ctr-1, 2005: 61
- Branch-Ctr-1, 2018: 148
- Health-Ctr-2, 2008: 88
- Peak, 2018: 148

(p. 7, Table 1) A footnote is missing relative to the 2020 data. The footnote should read: "Data Source: (2020 data) U.S. EPA Raw Data Max Values Report, AMP350MX.

(p. 9, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, third sentence) The Final Rule Notice for U.S. EPA approval of the 2010 PM<sub>10</sub> Plan was published on September 26, 2013, not on September 26, 2010.

(p. 14, Table 6) The total of emission contributions for NOx in 2024 should be 11.18, not 11.19. The total of emission contributions for  $PM_{10}$  should be 14.61, not 14.62.