June 15, 2021 Consultation Group Meeting

*Please note responses placed in chat were added on the left hand column and integrated in the quantification of responses in red, where appropriate. A recording of the meeting and the chat is available at: https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/ab-617-cg-meeting-210615



43

23




Activities

Participants

Average responses

Average engagement

How ready are you to start traveling again? === ¿Qué tan preparado/preparada estás para empezar a viajar de nuevo?




Response options	Count	Percentage	000/
I can't even think about it. === Ni siquiera puedo pensar en eso.	4	11%	Engagement
I'm making plans. === Estoy haciendo planes.	19	50%	
I'm out the door! === ¡Tengo un pie fuera de la puerta!	15	39%	38 Responses

Share ONE word to describe your feelings about reconvening this Consultation Group. === Comparta UNA palabra para describir sus sentimientos acerca de volver a convocar este Grupo de Consulta.



From chat:

- -Needed
- -excited
- -excited
- -ready
- -in person?
- -grateful



What issues (related to the Community Air Protection Program) should the Consultation Group weigh in on?



From the chat:
-All of those are important. I second them.

Responses

Funding, areas of agreement, conflict resolution, zero vs near zero opportunities to give immediate reductions



How emissions data should be collected and communicated so that it is meaningful to communities, transparent, and accurate.

14

Responses

Implementation challenges & opportunities

Best practices and lessons learned

Sustainable long term funding

Budget

Applying lessons learned to other communities

Getting past jobs vs the economy myth

Health benefits tracking is critical

How to forward environmental justice and equity; sustainable funding; transparent tracking of emission reduction programs; health tracking which demonstrates actual health benefits; dealing with conflicts more effectively

Health Metrics

Long term funding

Innovative community solutions

Health metrics

What do you need to effectively carry out your work? === ¿Qué necesita para realizar eficazmente su trabajo?



Cleare	er direction from CARB on
Consu	ltation Group objectives
=== Di	rección más clara de CARB
sobre	los objetivos del Grupo de
Consu	lta.

Response options



nt

From the Chat:

- E

=== Direcció	n Group objectives ón más clara de CARB ojetivos del Grupo de	6	26% 25%	Engagemen
	nd finalized charter === e acta relevante y	3	13%	232 Responses
More active Facilitación	facilitation === más activa.	1	4%	
within the g	nagement of conflict roup === Manejo conflictos dentro del	5	2 2 % <mark>21</mark> %	
All of the ab	ove === Todas las	-6-7	26% 29%	
None of the las anteriore	above === Ninguna de es.	2	9%8%	

Count Percentage

For our next Consultation Group meeting(s), which date(s) work for you? === Para nuestra próxima reunión del Grupo de Consulta, ¿qué fechas le convienen?



Response options	Count	Percentage
July 20 === 20 de Julio	4-6	40%46%
Aug 3 === 3 de Agosto	6-7	60% 54%



From the Chat:

- July 20th for sure. Aug 3 second choice.

-July 20 works for me. On Aug. 3 I will be in Maui

Responses

What is one thing you want CARB to know relative to the Community Air Protection Program?

" Other local governments need to be supportive for the process to work "

" CARB will need to be more aggressive in its compliance and enforcement authorities as a cornerstone of equity in emission

From chat: All above

Responses

There are differences in how the CERPs are being developed and implemented



There seem to be more days with high short term spikes

There needs to be a more formal and structured process for improving AB 617 CERP development and implementation with the newer communities, based on lessons learned from the older communities 19 Responses

All parts of California should have clean air.

how will AB617 deal with climate change impacts

Collaborate with public Heath for better health outcomes information

Polluter pay

More teeth + transparent district progress toward AB 617 required activities -- particularly statewide mandates.

NEED MORE TEETH!!

The program needs to be funded and expanded to all CA LIC and DAC's as a standard.

Focus on enforcement and community driven solutions

High emission legacy sources should be phased out

We need quantifiable metrics to show real reductions in emissions and exposures are being achieved.

Need to understand authorities. Many of the issues we face can only be addressed by the legislature

It's a program with intention, but stuck at a lack of willingness

Other local governments need to be supportive for the process to work

Responses

data analysis and interpretation. Who will do? How will it be reported? How often and where? How will proportionate contribution to health impacts be determined.

Enhanced penalties

CARB will need to be more aggressive in its compliance and enforcement authorities as a cornerstone of equity in emission reductions.