1 2

#### AB617 Consultation Group Community Air Protection Blueprint Update Discussion August 5, 2020

# List of Topics to Discuss for Inclusion in Update to Blueprint

5

3

4

6 Note: To develop this document, CARB staff started with the catalog of discussion topics

- 7 reviewed and discussed at the Consultation Group's July 24, 2020 meeting, added new
- 8 topics identified by the Group in that discussion, and finally added details under the topic
- 9 from the flip chart notes of best practices developed at the Consultation Group's February
- 10 2020 meeting.

# 11 **RESOURCES**

## 12 Stipends

- Provide stipends in accordance with CARB guidance to community members of steering committees.
- Provide a floor amount that the districts can build upon.
- Amounts mentioned range \$50-State standard/ day (some include travel) 1099
   provided to everyone that receive over \$600/year. Stipends should be a respectful amount.
- Will vouchers trigger tax liability
- 20 Do not use community air grant funding for stipends.
- Look at Bay Area and Imperial examples of how to provide stipends.
- Work together to advocate for additional funding.

## 23 Training

- Training on effective community engagement, environmental justice, and racial equity in order for CARB and air district staff to understand racial disparities and systemic racism.
- CARB to conduct more training throughout process, both in-person and through the
   online Resource Center (including early Blueprint training to enhance community
   capacity building prior to selection; key elements of the emission inventory; the role of
   the Steering Committee; etc.)
- Special event training or summits on how to present information, including
   understanding how people learn. Include topics on air quality, health impacts.
- Guidance on how to deal with data and monitoring in communities.

## 33 Community tours

- Recommend districts provide a community tour for Steering Committee members and other stakeholders at the beginning of the process.
- Include youth members to be part of the discussion.

## 37 Opportunities for Pre Selection Work

- Identify opportunities for pre-selection work with community members prior to official
   CARB selection.
- 40 Avoid selection process forcing communities to compete against each other.

## 41 CSC EARLY ACTIONS

#### 42 Establishing a community boundary

- 43 Clarify the significance of selecting boundaries at the beginning of the process, including
- the role of the steering committee, and provide additional tools to support preliminary
   adoption of boundaries (including remote sensing maps of community).

#### 46 Establishing a Charter/Governance System

- CSC Charters may include a clear decision-making process; a dispute resolution process;
   recommended practices to address potential conflicts of interest as well as the process
   for providing stipends.
- 50 These practices may include:
- 51 Clear expectations for codes of conduct;
- 52 Meeting discussion formats where all perspectives are heard and respected;
- Providing information on principles of transparency and how to avoid conflicts of
   interest;
- 55 o Collaborative leadership model

#### 56 Principles of open public meetings

- Charter principles, policies, approaches to enable CSC members to participate in the
- 58 public process, including subcommittees and other meetings [including Brown Act]

#### 59 PUBLIC PROCESS

#### 60 Steering committee agenda setting

61 • Joint CSC and District effort

#### 62 Timing and distribution of meeting materials

- Districts to discuss with CSC members how to ensure transparency and accessibility during the Steering Committee process, including:
- 65 How much time is needed to review documents.
- 66 Process to post materials after meetings (e.g. notes, videos, etc.); and
- 67 Process to provide meeting information (including video recordings) to those who 68 are unable to attend in person.

## 69 Meeting facilitation

• Provide professional facilitation at CSC meetings, unless the CSC decides otherwise.

## 71 Translation and interpretation services

- Establish the translation and interpretation needs of the group.
- How much time it takes for translation and ensures that there is an understanding of
   what this timing does with regard to deadlines.

## 75 Conflict of Interest, disclosure, and recusal

- CSC Charters may include a clear decision-making process; a dispute resolution process;
   and recommended practices to address potential conflicts of interest.
- 78 Providing information on how to avoid conflicts of interest;
- 79 Include a definition of a community member.

- Providing mechanisms for members to share their affiliations and interests (e.g.,
- Acknowledging affiliations during steering committee discussions on relevant topics;
   Soliciting and posting short bios of steering committee members).

## 83 CERP DEVELOPMENT

#### 84 Documenting the process

- CERPs to list any CSC-identified strategies that were not included, the reason for
   exclusion, and any next steps.
- Districts should map their monitoring plans and/or emissions reduction programs back
   to Blueprint checklists
- 89 Provide written responses for all comments received on program documents

## 90 Information for priority setting

- Outline the community budget for CSC members (including funding for incentives, air monitoring, etc.)
- CARB to complete the emission inventory for each selected community, for increased consistency and to ensure that all sources are included.
- Forecasted emission inventories will be used for all community emissions reduction
   programs and clarify how a forecasted inventory will be interpreted.

## 97 Criteria for emission reduction targets, goals, and metrics

98 • Revise criteria for emissions reduction targets, goals, and metrics

#### 99 Strategies

- 100 Identify opportunities for new statewide emissions reduction strategies.
- Discuss opportunities to focus or expedite CARB mobile source strategies.
- Explore mechanisms to ensure CERPs include regulatory measures that go above and
   beyond those in existing plans and programs.
- Every CERP has substantial emission reductions
- Legal definition and description of the law or regulation in regard to the emissions
   reductions
- 107 Clarify air district stationary source compliance assessment methodologies
- 108 Exemptions and waivers in regulatory strategies

## 109 ROLES/RESPONSIBILITIES

## 110 CARB/District/CSC and Blueprint role

• How to include Title VI principles; How to address racial grievances and disparities

## 112 Land use engagement mechanisms

- CERPs to identify which land use, transportation, and mitigation strategies already have
   commitments from public agencies
- Identify the engagement mechanism that will be used to secure the commitments from
   the various organizations that have authority to implement CERP measures
- Expand land use assessment considerations and clarify land use strategy and
   engagement mechanisms.
- 119 BLUEPRINT GENERAL

<ul> <li>Remove uncertainty in the Blueprint</li> <li>What are the consequences for not following the Blueprint?</li> <li>Whistleblower Protection</li> <li>Toxics were missing, dioxins, furans were not monitored and have impacts</li> <li>Highlight Best Practice Models but allow for flexibility (use templates a guides)</li> <li>Incorporate process changes in resolutions and staff reports if not already done in Advisory</li> </ul>
August 5, 2020 Topics from Letter Submitted by EJ Groups
<ul> <li>Blueprint Update</li> <li>Establish best practices for engagement through existing knowledge and processes, as well by collaborating with community based organizations.</li> <li>Critical gap in Blueprint – lack of enforceability of adopted measures and of results from the monitoring network.</li> <li>Blueprint update should be completed by the end of 2020 <ul> <li>Initial guidance critical to Year 2 communities should be released by September, specifically CERP guidance and templates, including for monitoring plans</li> <li>Blueprint should be updated on annual basis</li> <li>CARB should facilitate a public process to review and refine recommendations</li> <li>Follow EJAC model</li> <li>Stipends should be provided</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Community Steering Committee Governance</li> <li>Blueprint should include specific parameters for governance and accessibility of Community Steering Committees <ul> <li>Committees should establish co-chairs who represent an environmental perspective and will have equal authority over planning and facilitation of meetings</li> <li>CSCs should be empowered with formal decision making authority. A vote that achieves consensus or majority approval should be required at critical junctures</li> <li>Clarify CARB role with regard to disagreements between air districts and CSCs and establish mechanisms for resolving disputes</li> <li>CERPs that are inadequate should be sent back to districts. Examples include a Plan not voted on by CSC, a Plan not translated into the primary language of CSC members or did not receive enough votes for CSC approval</li> <li>CARB should clarify that the Role of air district is to support the community leadership and decision making of the CSC</li> <li>CARB should clarify that districts do not have authority over pollutants of concerns that are unwilling to enforce adopted measures</li> </ul> </li> <li>Establish policy defining stakeholder composition of CSCs and preventing conflicts of interest <ul> <li>Regulated entities must be required to disclose conflicts and recuse themselves for wotes in which they have a conflict of interest</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

• Request CSC member identify the financial interest that gives rise to the conflict 163 recuse themselves from the discussion, voting and leave the room until after the 164 matter is settled 165 • Documentation (disclosure forms) should be provided to air districts an annual 166 basis 167 • Conflict of Interest training should be provided 168 Provide compensation for community volunteers on CSC 169 • CARB to set minimum compensation amounts and funding should come from 170 implementation funds 171 • CARB should establish best practices for community outreach and engagement 172 173 • CARB must continue to build out planning and implementation processes for communities that haven't been selected via the AB617 process in a way that equitably 174 distributes resources and synergizes collaboration among communities 175 **Community Emission Reduction Plan Guidance** 176 Blueprint needs improved structural guidance and models for CERPs 177 • Specific guidance and resources need to be developed for urban and rural areas 178 179 as well as unique delta and desert environments • Prioritize enforceable, permanent quantifiable reductions that go above and 180 beyond existing regulations, plans and commitments 181 Emission factors should be included 182 Air Districts and CARB should build on and integrate guidance documents 183 such as OPR EJ Guidance for local general plan 184 Integrate concepts from CARBs freight handbook concept paper 185 Require district to adopt and implement direct reduction measures within 186 the AB617 community boundary before using incentive funding for 187 technology adoption or upgrades 188 Incentive funding should prioritize low income households and small local ۰. 189 businesses 190 Best Practices for CERP Planning and Implementation 191 Blueprint should set baseline of best practices for CERP development and 192 implementation processes 193 • CERPs to include all emissions and sources of concern regardless of jurisdiction, 194 including pesticides 195 • Clarify CARB role related to mobile sources, and what additional actions it will 196 take in AB617 communities 197 • Participation of CARB and Air District should not outnumber community 198 participants 199 • Regulatory agencies working on CAPP should be required to participate in 200 trainings on implicit bias and cultural humility at least once a year and when 201 possible in coordination with CAC participants 202 External party should regularly evaluate CSC meetings and be accessible to CSC 203 participants as a type of "ombudsman" that can manage and respond to 204 complaints and concerns in real time, and should continue in an oversight role 205 through the implementation phase of the CERP 206

Air districts must be required to use implementation funding for a minimum
 number of dedicated equity and environmental justice staff positions.

# 209 Transparency in Resource and Budget Allocations

- CARB and air districts should provide annual reports, available to the public, with
- specific details on how AB617 spending is being expended, including analysis of how
- much funding is going to support requests from CSCs, how much is going toward
   monitoring equipment and incentive funding, how much money is spent on personnel
   and how much money is being used for direct reductions
- CARB should establish a minimum percentage of funding earmarked for supporting community member's ability to independently analyze and prioritize proposed reduction measures, including through funding independent convening where regulatory authorities and regulated entities are not present and/or by hiring technical experts not affiliated with regulatory authorities or regulated entitles.
- CARB should disallow use of fund for measures or projects that are not within the boundary of the identified AB 617 community
- CARB should require that both purchase and placement of air monitors occur in
   consultation with CSCs
- Implementation funding should be allocated to entities other than CARB and air districts
- that have jurisdiction over the target pollutant(s), to ensure implementation of reductionmeasures.