

# Advanced Clean Cars (ACC) II Workshop September 16, 2020 [Updated]

# **Today's Workshop Logistics**

- Slides are posted at: <u>https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/advanced-</u> <u>clean-cars-ii-meetings-workshops</u>
- All webinar attendees will remain muted
- Questions can be sent via the GoToWebinar question box
  - Please include slide numbers





# Agenda

- 1. Background
- 2. GHG Refrigerant Provision Proposal
- 3. LEV Criteria Emission Proposals
- 4. Break
- 5. ZEV-related Proposals
- 6. Update on BEV Costs



# Role of Advanced Clean Cars II



### ACC II Rules Are Needed California's climate and air quality challenges still require deep reductions from light-duty vehicles



# 2020 Mobile Source Strategy

- Forthcoming light-duty vehicle scenarios assume aggressive new ZEV sales and continued emission reductions from combustion vehicles
  - Include aggressive assumptions on decarbonizing electricity and hydrogen fuel
- Strong electrification is essential for emission reductions from the light-duty sector
  - Combination of multi-sector regulatory and non-regulatory policies will be needed to achieve these reductions



# LDV Scenario\* Fleet Mix for Deep Emission Reductions



CARB \* Forthcoming 2020 Mobile Source Strategy

# **GHG Refrigerant Provision**



# Hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) Reductions

- Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs): a class of chemicals replacing
  Ozone-Depleting Substances (ODS) such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs)
  - Example: HFC-134a (R-134a) being used as refrigerant in motor vehicle air conditioning (MVAC, or A/C) systems
- Many HFCs are potent GHGs with high Global Warming Potential (GWP) values – significant climate change contributors
  - Worldwide efforts to reduce HFC emissions
  - SB 1383 requires California HFC reduction of 40% below 2013 levels by 2030



### Low-GWP LDV A/C Refrigerants – Current Regulations

- CARB and U.S. EPA's current LDV GHG rules (MY 2017-2025) provide credit incentives for the use of low-GWP refrigerants, lowleak, and efficiency-improvement A/C technologies.
- CARB A/C Direct (Leakage) Credit for low-GWP A/C

MaxCredit		HiLeakPenalty *	
	(gCO <sub>2</sub> e/mi)	(gCO <sub>2</sub> e/mi)	
Car	13.8	0-1.8	
Truck	17.2	0-2.1	

\**HiLeakPenalty* is calculated based on SAE J2727-evaluated A/C leak rate.

 CARB A/C Indirect (Efficiency) Credit for efficiency-improvement A/C technologies (e.g. reduced reheat with externally-controlled variable-displacement compressor; internal heat exchanger)

		MaxCredit	
		(g/mi)	
-	Car	5.0	
	Truck	7.2	

### Low-GWP LDV A/C Refrigerants – Other Relevant Regulations

- A U.S. EPA Significant New Alternatives Policy (SNAP) rule changed HFC-134a and several other high-GWP LDV A/C refrigerants' status from acceptable to unacceptable (from MY 2021)
  - The rule has since been vacated and remanded by court ruling to the extent that it requires HFC replacement
- EU MAC Directive (GWP<=150 for new vehicles from 2017)</p>



### Low-GWP LDV A/C Refrigerants – Industry Status

- HFC-134a (GWP=1,430) still common in in-use LDV fleet, but being replaced by low-GWP alternatives in new LDVs
- U.S. EPA SNAP-approved low-GWP alternatives:
  - HFO-1234yf (GWP=4) being used in millions of new vehicles
  - CO<sub>2</sub> (R-744) (GWP=1) being offered in EU markets
  - HFC-152a (GWP=124) in secondary-loop configuration being developed by industry



#### Data sources:

The 2019 EPA Automotive Trends Report, EPA-420-R-20-006, U.S. EPA, March 2020 Global HFO-1234yf Regulatory Summary and Light Vehicle Conversion Update, Rick Winick, October 2019

# ACC II A/C Refrigerant Concepts

- Prohibit high-GWP (>150) refrigerants in new LDV A/C systems (post-MY 2025)
  - Contribute to meeting State's HFC reduction goals
  - Ensure continued industry low-GWP transition
  - Align with EU MAC Directive
- Continue to offer A/C credits (Leakage or Efficiency or both)
  - Use best and latest knowledge to inform credit program update



### **LEV Criteria Emission Proposals**



# Criteria Emissions Reductions from Combustion Vehicles

#### **Increase Stringency**

- NMOG+NOx fleet average
- SFTP stand-alone standard
- Robust PM emission control
- Optimize emission control for heavier vehicles
- Evaporative emissions

### **Real-World Reductions**

- Better control of engine start emissions
- Address unique challenges for PHEV engine start emissions

### Future Workshop

- PHEV Test Procedures
- PHEV NMOG+NOx credits



# **Current NMOG+NOx Fleet Average**





### Item #1: Preserve Fleet Average of Non-ZEVs to Help Meet Future Ozone Targets



### Option A: Keep ZEVs In but Lower the Fleet Average





### **Option B: Transition to Non-ZEV Fleet Average**





# Item #2: Further Emission Reductions for Non-ZEVs

### **Additional Investigations**

- Reduce NMOG+NOx fleet average from 0.030 to 0.020 g/mile for a larger portion of the fleet
- Evaluating elimination of highest emission bins to promote transition to cleaner conventional vehicles
  - LEV160 and ULEV125



### Item #3: NMOG+NOx Standards for Aggressive Driving

#### **COMPOSITE SFTP STANDARDS**

#### **STAND-ALONE STANDARDS**





# Composite Standards May Not Ensure Robust Control of Emissions





### Nearly all test groups already meet stand alone SFTP... but there are a few high emitters



ACC II Proposal: Require all to certify to stand-alone SFTP standards

# **Item #4: Evaporative Emissions**





### **Evaporative Emissions:** Current Standards & Emissions

Type of emissions:	Standard:	Last Revision:	Fleet Emissions: <sup>1</sup>
Diurnal + Hot Soak	0.300 g/day	MY 2018	26 Tons/day
Running Loss	0.05 g/mile	MY 1995	26 Tons/day

Evaporative emissions in 2040, California, Source: EMFAC 2017



### **Evaporative Running Loss Emissions:** Most Vehicles Well Below Standard

MY 2019 Running Loss Certification



### ACC II Proposal for Evaporative Emissions: Tighten Running Loss Standard

- Change standard from 0.05 g/mile to 0.010 g/mile
  - Eliminate remaining high emitters and ensure good designs remain the norm
  - Draft estimate of ~4 tons/day in HC reductions<sup>1</sup>



Draft evaporative emissions in 2040, statewide, EMFAC 2017

# Item #5: Emission Control for Heavier Vehicles

Recently adopted heavy-duty low NOx rules will apply to engine-certified medium-duty vehicles



- Mix of chassis dyno certified and engine dyno certified in mediumduty vehicles
  - Options vary based on weight class, fuel, and type of vehicle
  - Intent was to allow primarily LD OEMs to certify MDVs similarly and vice versa for HD OEMs
- Need to look at corresponding stringency change for chassis standard to avoid inconsistency

# Equivalency Complicated by Test Cycle Differences

- Chassis cycle, based on LD, focuses on speeds/loads more common in LD usage
  - Engine cycle, necessarily, focuses on speeds/loads more common in HD usage
- Option to use engine or chassis cert not tied to expected usage of vehicle
  - Creates a mismatch in medium-duty vehicles used more like HD but certified like LD and vice-versa
- Emission controls optimized for one cycle don't necessarily ensure good control in other operation
  CARB

Chassis dyno testing covers different region of engine operation than HD engine dyno testing



## Ongoing Work to Better Ensure Equivalency



- ACC II Target: Better ensure equivalent in-use emission control between chassis and engine certification testing
- Ongoing work:
  - Chassis dyno + On-road PEMS testing of medium-duty vehicles
- Exploring effects of higher loads and towing on emissions
- Evaluating 'HD-like' in-use standards for this category
  - E.g., 3 bin moving average window using PEMS



# Item #6: Current PM Emission Standards



For more robust emission control, PM emissions are regulated on two test cycles: FTP and US06

# **Ensure Robust PM Emission Control**



- > 80% of vehicles tested were below 3 mg/mile on US06
- Certification data reported 86% of test groups had US06 PM below 3 mg/mile
- ACC II Proposal: Recognizing higher variability, phase-in more stringent US06 PM standard to ensure all vehicles can meet ~3 mg/mile standard

### Item #7: Clean Up the High Emissions from Cold Starts That Follow Intermediate Soaks



# **Regulatory Concepts Being Evaluated**

- Modify official FTP test procedure to account for intermediate soaks
  - Require emissions to be below standards following <u>any</u> cold soak between 10 min. and 36 hours
- Considering additional requirements for shorter intermediate soaks
  - Catalyst (and engine) temperatures above ambient should allow quicker light-off
  - Targeting same rate of catalyst heating used on overnight soak





### Item #8 Better Control of Engine Start Emissions: Initial Idle Real-World Data



### Better Control of Engine Start Emissions: Initial Idle Testing

#### **Test Results**

- Emissions with a 5 sec initial idle were double, on average, of the emissions observed with a standard 20 second initial idle
- With 20 sec idle, focus is clearly on warming up catalyst while engine out emissions are low
- With 5 sec idle, focus would need to be minimizing engine out emissions, while drive off is heating up catalyst




#### Consider Regulatory Proposal with Additional Testing for Shorter Initial Idles

- Establish unique emission limit for 5 sec idle FTP
  - Could link to current certification standard (e.g., limit is 1.x standard FTP)
  - Could be weighted 3-bag or single cold start bag
  - Need to ensure continuity between the two points
    - Require compliance for any initial idle from 5-20 secs?
- Require continuation of catalyst warm-up strategy during drive off
  - Data supports initial drive off is not aggressive so peak torque not necessary
  - But extra heat to catalyst could be minimal incremental benefit



#### Item #9 Unique Challenges for PHEV Starts

Certification Cycle

High Power Cold-Start Cycles



#### Car PHEVs

 High power cold start emissions are similar to certification value

#### Truck/SUV/Minivan PHEVs

 High power cold start emissions are significantly higher than certification standard



## **Controlling PHEV Starts Emissions**



### **ZEV-related Proposals**



## **Building ZEV Assurance**

What actions can we take to support success for wide-scale adoption?

- Consumers still hesitate to purchase ZEVs
- Need to think more broadly and imagine a world where 50% of on-road fleet is a ZEV
  - How long are these vehicles expected to be on the road?
  - How and where would those vehicles be repaired? Under warranty? Out of warranty?
  - What does the used vehicle market look like?



### Staff Proposal: ZEV Assurance Measures

- 1. Standardized DC Fast Charge Inlet
- 2. Require vehicle and battery data standardization
- 3. Require consumer facing battery state of health (SOH) indicator
- 4. Add ZEVs into existing service info requirements
- (to be discussed at future workshop)
- 5. Add a useful life requirement
- 6. Add minimum warranty requirements



## Fast Charge Inlet Standardization

#### What problem are we solving?

- Current BEVs have one of three different fast charge ports
  - SAE Combo (CCS 1)
  - CHAdeMO
  - Tesla
- Causes uncertainty in:
  - consumers knowing where they can charge
  - infrastructure planning, adding unnecessary cost for EVSE suppliers

Connector	nector CHAdeMO		Tesla මෙම	
Market Power	150kW	150 – 350kW	120 - 250kW	
Comm. Protocol	CAN	PLC	CAN	
Year	2009	2014	2012	



## Fast Charging Landscape





## Staff Proposal: Standardize on-vehicle DCFC Inlet

- Following market trends, staff proposes all 2026 and subsequent model year vehicles that are fast charge capable use SAE Combined Charging System (CCS) 1 standard
- Like the Level 2 charge connector standard we previously adopted (CCR 1962.3), OEMs may comply with requirement by providing an adapter



# **Unlocking and Standardizing Data**

Access and standardization of vehicle data is crucial for many parties

- <u>Current drivers</u>: understanding warranty coverage or need for repair
- Prospective drivers: used car valuation for seller/purchaser
- <u>Repair technicians:</u> assessment of need for repair/rebuild
- <u>Battery refurbishment or reuse industry</u>: Assessment of remaining battery pack value for use in a second life application (e.g., grid storage)
- <u>CARB</u>: understanding compliance to applicable requirements (e.g., full useful life, warranty)



## Staff Proposal: Battery State of Health

- Standardize what battery state of health represents:
  - 1. Usable battery capacity, as determined by SAE J1634 dyno testing, and within a defined accuracy and minimum update frequency
  - 2. Normalized (e.g., 0-100%) so understandable and relative to what it could do when new
- Require that it can be accessed by a consumer without the use of a tool



## **Staff Proposal: Data Standardization**

- Staff proposes to require standardized data to address following purposes:
  - 1. SOH Metric
  - 2. Grid Energy Use
  - 3. Dynamometer Testing
  - 4. Battery Repairs
  - 5. Activity/Inventory
- Require vehicle to have standardized data connector and use standardized communication protocols (e.g., like conventional cars)
   \*See Appendix Slides for



\*See Appendix Slides for proposed data parameters

## Staff Proposal: Adding Service Info Requirements for ZEVs

- Mimic what is done for conventional cars for service and repair information (CCR 1969)
- OEMs would be required to make 'powertrain' service and repair information available to independent technicians
  - Powertrain includes all components and systems related to refueling and propulsion (including regenerative braking)
- Also includes standardized reprogramming and licensing with aftermarket diagnostic tool providers



#### **Update on BEV Costs**



## How Have BEV Costs Been Estimated Previously?

 Define BEV performance specifications – range, vehicle mass, battery size, power, efficiency

2. Define costs for BEV specific components – battery, electric motor and gearbox, etc...

TAR 2025MY BEV200	Incremental Vehicle		
Vehicle Type	Costs (2013 \$)		
Subcompact	\$ 12,001		
MdC / SmMPV	\$ 13,422		
Large Car	\$ 16,746		



## **BEV Powertrain Modeling**

- 1. Decide on range and power requirements
- 2. Size battery pack capacity and electric motors across vehicle sizes
  - a. Estimate vehicle road load
  - b. Initial sizing
  - c. Verify desired performance/range
  - d. Iterate/resize as needed





## **Developing Battery Costs**

- Work to date relying on multiple tools, reports, and projections including:
  - Argonne National Laboratory Battery Pack and Costing model (BatPaC)
  - U.S. DOE Targets and Projections
  - Total Battery Consulting, BNEF, UBS, and others
- General methodology has been:
  - Use BatPaC to generate initial starting point for now/near future
  - Account for additional learning/technology advancements projected for rulemaking timeframe
    - Battery chemistry
    - Design improvements
    - Manufacturing improvements



### **Battery Cost Projections**



## Non-Battery Component Cost Projections

#### • Method:

- Near term costs estimated from numerous teardowns and vehicle comparison reports
- Additional 1.5% per year cost reduction projected for future years
- Example Cost:
  - BEV300 Passenger Car non-battery component costs start at ~\$4,100 in 2027 and fall \$500 from learning to ~\$3,600 in 2035

#### Non-Battery

#### Components:

- Motor and gearbox
- Inverter
- DC-DC converter
- HV cabling
- HV control unit
- On-board charger
- Convenience cord



# **Ongoing Work**

- Past modeling has not included any improvement in component efficiency over time
- Other areas of investigation
  - Manufacturing efficiency/cost differences
  - Capturing current/future criteria pollutant emission costs (e.g., design, calibration, hardware, compliance)
  - Capturing differences from BEV specific platform (e.g., design, calibration, assembly)

#### BEV product simplification yields floor space and capital efficiencies



CEO Strategic Update, Ford Motor Company, October 3, 2017

#### Benefits vs. ICE

- 50% reduction in footprint
- 50% reduction in capital investment
- 30% reduction in hours per unit
- Flexible tooling / process fully scalable and reconfigurable to support increase in demand



Ford



## **Other Opportunities for Comments**

- Written comments may be submitted through October 16, 2020 to: <u>cleancars@arb.ca.gov</u>
- Subscribe to the <u>Clean Cars email list</u> for updates on future workshops on:
  - Plug-in hybrid and fuel cell technology cost assessment
  - GHG fleet average stringency
  - ZEV credit requirements
  - And more...



### **Appendix Slides**



### **Proposed Data Parameters**

SOH Metric	Grid Energy Use	Vehicle Dyno testing	Data for Battery Repair/Rebuilders	Activity/Inventory
SOH	Total Grid Energy (DC) into battery <sup>1,2</sup>	Vehicle speed <sup>1</sup>	Total current throughput (amp-hr) <sup>2</sup>	odometer <sup>1</sup>
distance since last SOH update	Total Grid Energy (AC) into car°	Accelerator pedal position <sup>1</sup>	Individual cell active voltage°	Distance since code clear <sup>1</sup>
	Total Grid Energy Used during Cd <sup>1,2</sup>	SOC1	Individual module active voltage	ignition cycles since code clear <sup>1</sup>
	Total distance travelled in Cd <sup>1,2</sup> Total Propulsion System Active	Battery voltage <sup>1</sup> Battery current (cumul. current for	Individual cell most recent OCV°	Ignition cycles <sup>1,2</sup>
	(PSA) time <sup>1,2</sup> Total energy into battery from regen	last 1 sec)	individual module most recent OCV Individual cell most recent calculated	Positive Kinetic Energy (PKE) <sup>1,2</sup>
	braking <sup>2</sup>	for last 1 sec) AC inlet current (cumul current for	resistance	Total PSA time at idle <sup>1,2</sup>
		last 1 sec)°	BMS detected faults	Total PSA time at city speeds <sup>1,2</sup>
		AC inlet voltage°	Battery temp sensors?	

° if equipped

1 Parameters that are already standardized in SAE J1979 and may be appropriate fits (typically Mode \$09, InfoTypes \$16-\$1C and Mode \$01)

2 Parameters that might need 'recent' and 'lifetime' values like already done for much of Mode \$09 InfoTypes \$16-\$1C



## **Acronyms and Terms**

Acronym	Definition	Acronym	Definition
ACC	Advanced Clean Cars	EMFAC	EMission FACtor, a model that
A/C	Air Conditioning		estimates the official emissions inventories of on-road mobile
BEV	Battery Electric Vehicle		sources in California
BMS	Battery Management System	EU	European Union
BNEF	Bloomberg New Energy Forecast	EVSE	Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment
CCR	California Code of Regulations	FCEV	Fuel Cell Electric Vehicle
CCS	Combined Charging System	FTP	Federal Test Procedure (emission
CFC	Chlorofluorocarbon		test representative of urban driving)
DC	Direct Current	GHG	Greenhouse Gas
DCFC	Direct Current Fast Charge	GVWR	Gross Vehicle Weight Rating



## Acronyms and Terms (continued)

Acronym	Definition
GWP	Global Warming Potential
HC	Hydrocarbon(s)
HCFC	Hydrochlorofluorocarbon
HD	Heavy Duty
HEV	Hybrid Electric Vehicle
HFC	Hydrofluorocarbon
HPCS	High Power Cold Start (Occurs for a plug-in hybrid when the combustion engine is required to supply high power immediately upon starting)
HV	High Voltage
ICE	Internal Combustion Engine

Acronym	Definition
Initial idle	Duration between the ignition-on event and drive-away
kW/kWh	Kilowatt / Kilowatt-Hour
LD	Light-Duty
LDT	Light-Duty Truck
LDV	Light-Duty Vehicle
LEV	Low Emission Vehicle
LEV160	Low Emission Vehicle certified to 0.160 g/mile NMOG+NOx
LVW	Loaded Vehicle Weight
MAC	Mobile Air Conditioning
MDPV	Medium-Duty Passenger Vehicle



## **Acronyms and Terms (continued)**

MDVMedium-Duty VehiclePEMSPortable Emissions Measurement SystemMMT CO2eMillion Metric Tonnes Carbon Dioxide EquivalentPHEVPlug-in Hybrid Electric VehicleMVACMotor Vehicle Air ConditioningPMParticulate MatterMYModel YearSAESociety of Automotive EngineersNMOGNon-Methane Organic GasesSB 32Senate Bill 32 (Chapter 249, Statutes of 2016, Pavley)NOxNitrogen OxidesSB 1383Senate Bill 32 (Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016, Lara)OEMOriginal equipment manufacturerSC03Emission test for driving in hot ambient air temperature with air conditioning in the vehicle turned on	Acronym	Definition	Acronym	Definition
MiniteMinit	MDV	Medium-Duty Vehicle	PEMS	Portable Emissions Measurement
MVACMotor Vehicle Air ConditioningPMParticulate MatterMYModel YearSAESociety of Automotive EngineersNMOGNon-Methane Organic GasesSB 32Senate Bill 32 (Chapter 249, Statutes of 2016, Pavley)NOxNitrogen OxidesSB 1383Senate Bill 1383 (Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016, Lara)OEMOriginal equipment manufacturerSC03Emission test for driving in hot ambient air temperature with air conditioning in the vehicle turned	MMT	Million Metric Tonnes Carbon		System
MYModel YearSAESociety of Automotive EngineersNMOGNon-Methane Organic GasesSB 32Senate Bill 32 (Chapter 249, Statutes of 2016, Pavley)NOxNitrogen OxidesSB 1383Senate Bill 1383 (Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016, Lara)OEMOriginal equipment manufacturerSC03Emission test for driving in hot ambient air temperature with air conditioning in the vehicle turned	CO <sub>2</sub> e	Dioxide Equivalent	PHEV	Plug-in Hybrid Electric Vehicle
NMOGNon-Methane Organic GasesSB 32Senate Bill 32 (Chapter 249, Statutes of 2016, Pavley)NOxNitrogen OxidesSB 1383Senate Bill 1383 (Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016, Lara)OEMOriginal equipment manufacturerSC03Emission test for driving in hot ambient air temperature with air conditioning in the vehicle turned	MVAC	Motor Vehicle Air Conditioning	PM	Particulate Matter
NOxNitrogen OxidesStatutes of 2016, Pavley)ODSOzone-Depleting SubstanceSB 1383Senate Bill 1383 (Chapter 395, Statutes of 2016, Lara)OEMOriginal equipment manufacturerSoak of more than 12 hoursSC03Emission test for driving in hot ambient air temperature with air conditioning in the vehicle turned	MY	Model Year	SAE	Society of Automotive Engineers
NoxNutrogen OxidesODSOzone-Depleting SubstanceOEMOriginal equipment manufacturerOvernight SoakSoak of more than 12 hoursSoakSoak of more than 12 hours	NMOG	Non-Methane Organic Gases	SB 32	
ODS    Ozone-Depieting Substance    Statutes of 2016, Lara)      OEM    Original equipment manufacturer    Scoak    Emission test for driving in hot ambient air temperature with air conditioning in the vehicle turned	NOx	Nitrogen Oxides		
OEMOriginal equipment manufacturerOvernight SoakSoak of more than 12 hoursSoakSoak of more than 12 hours	ODS	Ozone-Depleting Substance	SB 1383	
Overnight SoakSoak of more than 12 hoursambient air temperature with air conditioning in the vehicle turned	OEM	Original equipment manufacturer	SC03	Emission test for driving in hot ambient air temperature with air
	<b>U</b>	Soak of more than 12 hours		
	PC	Passenger Car		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



## Acronyms and Terms (continued)

	Acronym	Definition	Acronym	Definition
	SFTP	Supplemental Federal Test Procedure	ULEV125	Ultra Low Emission Vehicle certified to 0.125 g/mile NMOG+NOx
	SIP	State Implementation Plan	US06	Emission test for aggressive driving
	SNAP	Significant New Alternatives Policy	US DOE	United States Department of
	Soak	Duration between engine-off event and the subsequent engine-on event		Energy
			US EPA	United States Environmental
	SOH	State of Health		Protection Agency
	SULEV	Super Ultra Low Emission Vehicle	ZEV	Zero Emission Vehicle
	TAR	Technical Assessment Report		
	UDDS	Emission test cycle representative of urban driving		
	ULEV	Ultra Low Emission Vehicle		



## **Battery Costing Sources**

- Models
  - <u>BatPaC v4.0</u>
- Reports and Papers
  - Total Battery Consulting (TBC) xEV Insider Reports
  - Bloomberg New Energy Finance (BNEF) EV Outlook and Battery Costs Survey
  - UBS Chevrolet Bolt EV Teardown
  - <u>Nykvist, B., Nilsson, M. Rapidly falling costs of battery packs for electric vehicles. Nature</u> <u>Clim Change 5, 329–332 (2015).</u>
  - Berckmans, Gert. (2017). Cost Projection of State of the Art Lithium-Ion Batteries for Electric Vehicles Up to 2030. Energies. 10. 10.3390/en10091314.
  - U.S. DOE Targets and Projections



## Battery Costing Sources (cont.)

- Conferences and Symposiums
  - Advanced Automotive Battery Conferences
  - <u>SAE Hybrid and Electric Vehicles Symposium</u>
- Automaker Announcements & Reports
  - <u>GM 2015 Global Business Conference</u> & <u>Jay Cole, "GM: Chevrolet Bolt</u> <u>Arrives In 2016, \$145/kWh Cell Cost, Volt Margin Improves \$3,500,"</u> <u>InsideEVs, October 2, 2015</u>
  - <u>Chris Davies "VW I.D. EV boast: We'll hugely undercut Tesla's Model 3</u> says exec," SlashGear, July 17, 2017
  - Tesla 2018 Annual Shareholder Meeting, June 5, 2018



### Non-Battery Component Costing Sources

- Munro Teardown and Comparison Reports
- <u>CARB Agreement 15CAR018 Advanced Strong Hybrid and</u>
  <u>Plug-In Hybrid Engineering Evaluation and Cost Analysis</u>
- UBS Chevrolet Bolt EV Teardown

