<b>NORTHERN REGION</b>			
CENTRAL REGION	<b>ERC/PUBLIC</b> N	OTICE CHE	CK LIST
	PROJECT #s: <u>C-1120248</u>	C-603	
ERC 1 <u>√</u> ERC 1 ERC F	RANSFER OF PREVIOUSLY PRELIMINARY PUBLIC NOTIC	BANKED CREDITS	
	- th		

Date Completed April 19, 2012/By Joven Refuerzo

- $\underline{\checkmark}$  Newspaper Notice Emailed to Clerical (Check box and tab to generate Notice)
  - Send email to "OA-PublicNotices" containing the following: SUBJECT: facility name, facility id#, project #, type of notice (prelim/final) BODY: project description and why it is being noticed (based on Major Source, Major Modification, Title V Minor Mod, Title V Significant Mod, Initial Title V, Title V renewal, or ATC with COC)

#### ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS REQUIRE:



 $\sqrt{}$ 

Enter Correct Date, Print All Documents from File and Obtain Directors Signature

Mail **PRELIMINARY** Notice Letter to Applicant with the following attachments:

 $\underline{\checkmark}$  Application Evaluation

√ Other Public Notice



 $\sqrt{V}$ 

Email PRELIMINARY Public Notice for Publication to Hanford Sentinel

- Email **PRELIMINARY** Public Notice package to EPA and CARB
- Email **PRELIMINARY** Public Notice package to "webmaster"
- \_\_\_ Other Special Instructions (please specify):

Page	1	of 2
------	---	------

Order		<u>a</u>
Tracking		ey ey
	Your Order is sent.	

#### **Customer Information**

Customer Name	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT	Master Id	72243
Address	1990 E. GETTYSBERG AVE.	Phone	5592306038
City	FRESNO	Fax	5592306061
State - Zip	CA - 93726		
Product Informati	on		
Legal	GOVERNMENT - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE		
Order Information	I		
Attention Name	Bethany Whitney	Billing Reference No.	PER 32
Ad Description	Pre ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP C- 1120248 BMW	Sale/Hrg/Bid Date	04/26/2021
Special Instructions	Please provide copy of notice via email: bethan	y.whitney@valleyair.org	

#### **Orders Created**

Order No.	Newspape	r Name	Publishing Dates		Ad	Price	Ad Status
2302768	HANFORD SENTI	NEL, CA	04/26/2012	D Lir	<b>epth :</b> 3.90" 1 <b>es :</b> 48	Pricing will be done by DJC	Sent
0	rder No.		Newspaper		View		
2	302768	н	HANFORD SENTINEL		View Ad In PDF		DF

#### NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Emission Reduction Credits to Hanford LP for the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency engine, at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford, California. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65,719 lb-NOx/yr, 91,347 lb-SOx/yr, 13,474 lb-PM10/yr, 65,478 lb-CO/yr, and 798 lb-VOC/yr.

The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Project #C-1120248, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public\_notices\_idx.htm and the District office at the address below. Written comments on this project must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726.

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bethany Whitney Tuesday, April 24, 2012 8:48 AM Tony Reyes; Kelly Taylor C-1120248 Hanford Sentinel

Order						an an anna an	
Tracking							
			Your Order is sent.				
						· .	
Customer 1	Informat	ion				194 <u>1</u>	
Customer N	Name	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY A DISTRICT	IR POLLUTION CONTROL	Mas	ster Id	7224	3
Address		1990 E. GETTYSBERG AV	VE.	Pho	ne	5592	306038
City		FRESNO		Fax		5592	306061
State - Zip	2.4	CA - 93726		en de la composition de la composition de la composition de la	n an th		• • •
Product In	formatio	n			And the second sec		
Legal		GOVERNMENT - GOVT P	UBLIC NOTICE			n an chuir An chuir an chuir	
Order Info	rmation		And a second				200 J. 461 (
Attention N	lame	Bethany Whitney		Billi	ing Reference	No. PER	32
Ad Descrip	tion	Pre ERC Public Notice for BMW	r Hanford LP C-1120248	Sale	e/Hrg/Bid Dat	ate 04/26/2021	
Special Ins	tructions	Please provide copy of n	otice via email: bethany.w	hitney	@valleyair.org		
Orders Cre	ated					4	
Order No.	N	ewspaper Name	Publishing Dates		Ad	Price	Ad Status
2302768	HANFORI	D SENTINEL, CA	04/26/2012		Depth: 3.90" Lines: 48	Pricing will be done by DJC	Sent
Or	der No.		Newspaper			View	
2:	302768	Н/	ANFORD SENTINEL		Vie	w Ad In PD	)F
	NOTICE IS District so to Hanford 10596 Ida 65,719 Ib VOC/yr The analy available 1 http://ww address b the public SAN JOAQ GETTYSBL	NOTICE C FOR THE EMISSI 5 HEREBY GIVEN that the licits public comment on 1 LP for the shutdown of tho Ave: in Hanford, Galif NOx/yr, 91,347 lb-SOx/ sis of the regulatory basi or public inspection at w.valleyair.org/notices/p elow. Written comments ation date of this notice f UIN VALLEY UNIFIED AI JRG AVENUE, FRESNO, C	DE PRELIMINARY DECI E PROPOSED ISSUANCI ION REDUCTION CRED Solution CRED Solution CRED Solution CRED Solution CRED Solution CRED Solution CRED Solution CRED Solution CRED Solution CONTROL I CA 93726.	SION E OF ITS ied Ai f Emis one e CS pr 5,478 7, Proj 1, Proj	r Pollution Cont sion Reduction mergency engi oposed for ban lb-CO/yr, and ject #C-112024 District office tted within 30 c OF,PERMIT SE ICT, 1990 EAS	rol Credits ine, at king is 798 lb- 18, is 18, is at the lays of RVICES T	
	Order Tracking Customer I Address City State - Zip Product In Legal Order Info Attention N Ad Descrip Special Ins Orders Cre Order No. 2302768	Order Tracking Customer Informat Customer Name Address City State - Zip Product Information Legal Order Information Attention Name Ad Description Special Instructions Orders Created Order No. N 2302768 HANFORD 2302768 NOTICE IS District so to Hanford 10596 Ida 65,719 ib- VOC/yr: The analys available f http://ww address bu the public SAN JOAQ GETTYSBU	Order Tracking   Customer Information   Customer Name SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY A DISTRICT   Address 1990 E. GETTYSBERG AV FRESNO   City FRESNO   State - Zip CA - 93726   Product Information Egal   Attention Name Bethany Whitney   Ad Description Pre ERC Public Notice for BMW.   Special Instructions Please provide copy of m   Orders Created Order No.   2302768 HANFORD SENTINEL, CA   Order No. Newspaper Name   2302768 H/   NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the District solicits public comment on to Hanford LP for the shutdown of 10596 Idaho Aye: in:Hanford, Calil 65,719 lb-NOX/yr, 91,347 lb-SOX/ VOC/yr:   The analysis of the regulatory basi available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/p address below. Written comments the publication date of this notice for SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AI GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, COMENTINEL	Vour Order is sent.   Your Order is sent.   Customer Information   Customer Name SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT   Address 1990 E. GETTYSBERG AVE.   City FRESNO   State - Zip CA - 93726   Product Information Egal   Legal GOVERNMENT - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE   Order Information Pre ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW.   Special Instructions Please provide copy of notice via email: bethany.w   Order No. Newspaper Name Publishing Dates   2302768 HANFORD SENTINEL, CA 04/26/2012   Order No. Newspaper Name Publishing Dates   2302768 HANFORD SENTINEL, CA 04/26/2012   Order No Newspaper Emission units except iFOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANC EMISSION REDUCTION CRED   NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unit District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance o to Hanford LP for the shutdown of all emission units except 10596 Idaho Ave: in Hanford, California. The loganity of E 65,719,16.NOX/yr, 91,347 1b-SOX/yr, 13,474 lb-PM10/yr, 6 VOC/yr:   The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public_notices_Idx.htm? an address below. Written	Your Order is sent.   Your Order is sent.   Customer Information   Customer Name SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT   Address 1990 E. GETTYSBERG AVE. Photomer Control   Address 1990 E. GETTYSBERG AVE. Photomer Control   CA - 93726   Product Information   Legal GOVERNMENT - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE   Order Information   Attention Name Bethany Whitney Billing   Ad Description Pre ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP C-1120248 Sale   Order No. Newspaper Name Publishing Dates   Order No. Newspaper   2302768 HANFORD SENTINEL   NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified AI   DISTRICT OR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF TEMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS   NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified AI   DISTRICT No.   NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Va	Order Tracking   Your Order is sent.   Customer Information Customer Name SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT   Address 1990 E. GETTYSBERG AVE. Phone   City FRESNO Fax   State - Zip CA - 93726 Product Information   Legal GOVERNMENT - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE Billing Reference   Order Information Ethany Whitney Billing Reference   Ad Description Pre ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW. Sale/Hrg/Bid Date   Special Instructions Please provide copy of notice via email: bethany.whitney@valleyair.org   Order No. Newspaper Name Publishing Dates Ad   2302768 HANFORD SENTINEL, CA 04/26/2012 Depth : 3.30° Lines : 48   Order No. Newspaper Name Publishing Dates Ad   NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Cont District solicits public coment on the proposed Issuance of Fission Reduction to Hanford LP for the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency eng 10556 Idaho Ave: in Hanford, California. The quantity-of ERCS proposed for ban 65,719 ib-NOx/yr, 91,347 1b-SOx/yr, 13,474/ib-PM10/yr, 65/d78 lb-CO/yr, and VOC/yr.   The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Projec	Order frackling   Your Order is sent.   Customer Information   Customer Name SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT   Address 1990 E. GETTYSBERG AVE. Phone 5592   Address 1990 E. GETTYSBERG AVE. Phone 5592   City FRESNO Fax 5592   CA - 93726   Product Information   Legal GOVERNMENT - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE   Order Information   Attention Name Bethany Whitney Billing Reference No. PER :   Ad Description Pre ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP C-1120248 Sale/Hrg/Bid Date 04/26   Order No. New Publishing Dates Ad Pricing   Order No. New Spane View   2302768 HANFORD SENTINEL View 2302768 NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE O

GenerateDocumentFromFormCopies3FormIdProductIdProducerMailTextMailIdDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerFormIdGenerateDocumentFromFormIdProductIdProducerForcePrintWithoutSignDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerFormIdGenerateDocumentFromFormFormIdProductIdProducerSignersNamesigndaysignmonthDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerFormIdGetAttachedDocumentAttachIdProductIdProducerDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerAttachIdProducerFormIdGenerateDocsSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerAttachIdMergeGeneratedDocsDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesMergedDocs-1 All Files Created.ProductId2770854Producer2666FormId23Success/AdTechAds/Temp\ADSFiles/201204240847029334757.pdfPDFFileAll Files Created.MergedDocs-1Success/AdTechAds/Temp/ADSFiles/687be26b-fa51-4dde-a1e0-6524a6182b28.pdfPDFFile Success 1

From:glenda\_sobrique@dailyjournal.comSent:Tuesday, April 24, 2012 11:29 AMTo:Bethany WhitneySubject:Confirmation of Order 2302768 for Pre ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW

Dear Customer:

The order listed below has been received and processed. If you have any questions regarding this order, please contact your ad coordinator or the phone number listed below.

#### Customer Account Number: 137878

Type of Notice	: GPN - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE
Ad Description	: Pre ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW
Our Order Number	: 2302768
Newspaper	: HANFORD SENTINEL
Publication Date(s)	: 04/26/2012

Sales/Hrg Date : 04/26/2021

Thank you for using the Daily Journal Corporation.

GLENDA SOBRIQUE DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU 915 E. FIRST ST., LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Phone: (800) 788 7840 / (213)229-5300 Fax: (800) 540 4089 / (213)229-5481

#### CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

#### DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Telephone (213) 229-5300 / Fax (213) 229-5481 Visit us @ WWW.DAILYJOURNAL.COM

Bethany Whitney SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLL CONTROL DIST 1990 E. GETTYSBURG AVE. FRESNO, CA 93726

CNS 2302768

#### **COPY OF NOTICE**

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

Pre ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the THE HANFORD SENTINEL. Please read this notice carefully and call us with any corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

04/26/2012

NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Emission Reduction Credits to Hanford LP for the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency engine, at 10596 Idaho Ave. In Hanford, California. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65.719 lb-NOX/r, 91.347 lb-SOX/r, 13.474 lb-PM10/yr, 65.478 lb-CO/yr, and 798 lb-VOC/yr.

Ib-CO/yr, and 798 Ib-VOC/yr. The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Project #C-1120248, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notic es/public notices idx.htm and the District office at the address below. Written comments on this project must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726. 4/26/12 CNS-2302768# THE HANFORD SENTINEL

#### Daily Journal Corporation

Serving your legal advertising needs throughout California. Call your local office.

BUSINESS JOURNAL, RIVERSIDE	(951) 784-0111
DAILY COMMERCE, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
LOS ANGELES DAILY JOURNAL, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER 10%, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
SAN DIEGO COMMERCE, SAN DIEGO	(619) 232-3486
SAN FRANCISCO DAILY JOURNAL, SAN FRANCISCO	(800) 640-4829
SAN JOSE POST-RECORD, SAN JOSE	(408) 287-4866
SONOMA COUNTY HERALD-RECORDER, SANTA ROSA	(707) 545-1166
THE DAILY RECORDER, SACRAMENTO	(916) 444-2355
THE INTER-CITY EXPRESS, OAKLAND	(510) 272-4747



From:	Bethany Whitney
Sent:	Tuesday, April 24, 2012 8:54 AM
To:	Gerardo C. Rios (SJV_T5_Permits@epamail.epa.gov); Mike Tollstrup (mtollstr@arb.ca.gov)
Subject:	Preliminary ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C 603 Project C 1120248
Attachments:	Public Notice Packet.pdf; Newspaper Notice.pdf
Importance:	High

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Hanford LP's application for Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) resulting from the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator, at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65,719 lb-NOx/yr, 91,347 lb-SOx/yr, 13,474 lb-PM10/yr, 65,478 lb-CO/yr, and 798 lb-VOC/yr.

Bethany Whitney Office Assistant II San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave., Fresno, CA 93726 (559) 230-6005 | Fax (559) 230-6061 STAR - Service \* Teamwork \* Attitude \* Respect



Make one change for clean air!

From:	Mail Delivery System <mailer-daemon@mseive02.rtp.epa.gov></mailer-daemon@mseive02.rtp.epa.gov>
То:	SJV_T5_Permits@epamail.epa.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, April 24, 2012 8:55 AM
Subject:	Relayed: Preliminary ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C 603 Project C 1120248

# Delivery to these recipients or groups is complete, but no delivery notification was sent by the destination server:

#### SJV T5 Permits@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Preliminary ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C 603 Project C 1120248

From: To: Sent: Subject: Microsoft Outlook Mike Tollstrup (mtollstr@arb.ca.gov) Tuesday, April 24, 2012 8:55 AM Relayed: Preliminary ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C 603 Project C 1120248

# Delivery to these recipients or groups is complete, but no delivery notification was sent by the destination server:

Mike Tollstrup (mtollstr@arb.ca.gov) (mtollstr@arb.ca.gov)

Subject: Preliminary ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C 603 Project C 1120248

From:	Bethany Whitney
Sent:	Tuesday, April 24, 2012 8:57 AM
То:	WebMaster
Subject:	valleyair.org update: Preliminary ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C 603 Project C 1120248

April 23, 2012 (Facility C 603 Project C 1120248) Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Hanford LP's application for Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) resulting from the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator, at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65,719 lb-NOx/yr, 91,347 lb-SOx/yr, 13,474 lb-PM10/yr, 65,478 lb-CO/yr, and 798 lb-VOC/yr. The comment period ends 30 days after newspaper publication.

Newspaper Notice

Public Notice Package





APR 23 2012

Douglas Wheeler Hanford LP 4300 Railroad Ave. Pittsburg, CA 94565-6006

#### Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Emission Reduction Credits Project Number: C-1120248

Dear Mr. Wheeler:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Hanford LP's application for Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) resulting from the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator, at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65,719 Ib-NOx/yr, 91,347 Ib-SOx/yr, 13,474 Ib-PM10/yr, 65,478 Ib-CO/yr, and 798 Ib-VOC/yr.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Dustin Brown of Permit Services at (559) 230-5932.

Sincerely,

David Warner Director of Permit Services

DW:ddb

Enclosures

Seyed Sadredin Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region 4800 Enterprise Way Modesto, CA 95356-8718 Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475 Central Region (Main Office) 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244 Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061 Southern Region 34946 Flyover Court Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725 Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585





APR 23 2012

Gerardo C. Rios (AIR 3) Chief, Permits Office Air Division U.S. E.P.A. - Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

#### Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Emission Reduction Credits Project Number: C-1120248

Dear Mr. Rios:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Hanford LP's application for Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) resulting from the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator, at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65,719 lb-NOx/yr, 91,347 lb-SOx/yr, 13,474 lb-PM10/yr, 65,478 lb-CO/yr, and 798 lb-VOC/yr.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Dustin Brown of Permit Services at (559) 230-5932.

Sincerely,

David Warner Director of Permit Services

DW:ddb

Enclosure

Seyed Sadredin Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region 4800 Enterprise Way Modesto, CA 95356-8718 Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475 Central Region (Main Office) 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244 Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061 Southern Region 34946 Flyover Court Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725 Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

www.valleyair.org www.healthyairliving.com





APR 23 2012

Mike Tollstrup, Chief Project Assessment Branch Stationary Source Division California Air Resources Board PO Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

#### Re: Notice of Preliminary Decision - Emission Reduction Credits Project Number: C-1120248

Dear Mr. Tollstrup:

Enclosed for your review and comment is the District's analysis of Hanford LP's application for Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) resulting from the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator, at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65,719 lb-NOx/yr, 91,347 lb-SOx/yr, 13,474 lb-PM10/yr, 65,478 lb-CO/yr, and 798 lb-VOC/yr.

The notice of preliminary decision for this project will be published approximately three days from the date of this letter. Please submit your written comments on this project within the 30-day public comment period which begins on the date of publication of the public notice.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Dustin Brown of Permit Services at (559) 230-5932.

Sincerely,

David Warner Director of Permit Services

DW:ddb

Enclosure

Seyed Sadredin Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region 4800 Enterprise Way Modesto, CA 95356-8718 Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475 Central Region (Main Office) 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244 Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061 Southern Region 34946 Flyover Court Bakersfield, CA 93308-9725 Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX: 661-392-5585

www.valleyair.org www.healthyairliving.com

Hanford Sentinel Hanford Sentinel

#### NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Emission Reduction Credits to Hanford LP for the shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator, at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65,719 lb-NOx/yr, 91,347 lb-SOx/yr, 13,474 lb-PM10/yr, 65,478 lb-CO/yr, and 798 lb-VOC/yr.

The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Project #C-1120248, is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public\_notices\_idx.htm and the District office at the address below. Written comments on this project must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERVICES, SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726.

#### ERC APPLICATION EVALUATION

Project #: C-1120248

Engineers: Frank DeMaris/Dustin Brown Date: April 23, 2012

Hanford LP 10596 Idaho Ave. Hanford, CA 93230

Contact Name: Douglas Wheeler Phone: (925) 431-1443

Date Application Received: January 31, 2012 Date Deemed Complete: February 29, 2012

#### I. Summary:

Hanford LP ("HLP") has applied for emission reduction credits (ERC) for actual emission reductions (AER) stemming from the shutdown of their stationary source operation. HLP includes a 320 MMBtu/hr coke-fired boiler used to generate electricity and various supporting facilities, including a cooling tower. HLP shut down this source operation on August 22, 2011 and applied for dormant emission unit (DEU) status on September 6, 2011 in order to delay certain recurrent compliance requirements while evaluating the facility's potential for future operation. The application to bank ERC was received on January 31, 2012, and a letter cancelling the permits to operate (PTOs) was received on February 21, 2012 in response to the District's determination that the application was not complete without such a letter. The District accepts that the date of actual emission reductions is the date the facility was shut down, August 22, 2011. The following permit units have been cancelled (copies of cancelled permits included in Attachment A):

Table 1: Cancelled Permit Units		
C-603-1-11	320 MMBtu/hr coke-fired fluidized bed boiler	
C-603-2-2	Kaolin system	
C-603-3-2	Gypsum system	
C-603-13-4	Synthetic fly ash gypsum silo and loadout system	
C-603-14-2	Synthetic bed ash gypsum silo and loadout system	
C-603-15-2	Sodium bicarbonate silo	
C-603-16-1	15,466 Gal/min cooling tower	

Based on the historical operating data prior to the shutdown, the amounts of bankable Actual Emission Reductions (AER) for NO<sub>X</sub>, CO, VOC,  $PM_{10}$  and SO<sub>X</sub> emissions are as shown in the table below. These values are calculated in Section V of this document:

	Table 2: Bankable Emissions Reductions Credits (ERC's)						
Pollutant	Q1 ERC (lb/qtr)	Q2 ERC (lb/qtr)	Q3 ERC (lb/qtr)	Q4 ERC (lb/qtr)			
NOx	16,831	17,879	16,543	14,466			
SOx	21,847	24,148	21,591	23,761			
PM10	3,356	3,655	3,350	3,113			
СО	14,947	16,905	15,766	17,860			
VOC	202	211	201	184			

#### II. Applicable Rules:

Rule 2301, Emission Reduction Credit Banking

#### III. Location of Reduction:

HLP is located at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford, California.

#### IV. Method of Generating Reductions:

HLP received its original authority to construct permit in 1987 and has operated continuously since. The entire facility was shut down on August 22, 2011 and all operating permits (except for one emergency engine, PTO C-603-6-3, which is being transferred to facility C-4140) were cancelled on February 21, 2012.

#### V. <u>Calculations:</u>

A. Assumptions and Emission Factors

HLP's coke-fired boiler is required to operate and maintain a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) for NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and CO. AER for these pollutants is determined from a review of CEMS data (boiler CEMS Summaries included in Attachment E). For PM<sub>10</sub> and VOC, AER is calculated by using the most current source test data (boiler source test result summaries included in Attachment D).

It is noted that in December 2009 HLP failed a source test for  $PM_{10}$ , and then passed a retest in January 2010. HLP proposed to use only the passing test results in calculating actual emission reductions. As this is the most conservative approach, the District will use the passing source test results from the January 2010 source test in calculating the emissions for the time period in between the failed December 2009 source test and the passed January 2010 source test.

In addition, the coke-fired boiler also fired a small amount of natural gas as an auxiliary fuel. Emissions from natural gas combustion are captured by CEMS data (for  $NO_x$ ,  $SO_x$ , and CO) or by source test data (for  $PM_{10}$  and VOC). Separate emission calculations for pollutants from natural gas combustion is unnecessary and has not been conducted.

Finally, HLP has also proposed to bank ERC from AER resulting from the shutdown of the cooling tower serving the boiler. HLP has historically been required (by other regulatory entities) to measure the total dissolved solids (TDS) in the cooling tower water. It has done so by measuring the electroconductivity (EC) and multiplying by a conversion factor of 0.638. TDS can be used with the cooling tower drift rate (0.008%), cooling tower recirculation rate (15,466 gal/min), and a  $PM_{10}$  fraction of 0.70 to determine the emission rate.

Emission factors used in calculating AER are summarized in Table 3, with source test results including the date the results are effective:

Table 3: Emission Factors				
Unit	Pollutant	Emission Factor		
C-603-1-11	NO <sub>x</sub>	CEMS		
	SO <sub>x</sub>	CEMS		
	PM <sub>10</sub>	0.63 lb/hr (1/1/09), 3.33 lb/hr (12/10/09), 2.09 lb/hr (1/15/10), 0.97 lb/hr (12/1/10)		
	CO	CEMS		
	VOC	0.11 lb/hr (1/1/09), 0.10 lb/hr (12/1/10)		
C-603-16-1	PM <sub>10</sub>	0.000433 lb-PM <sub>10</sub> -ppmw/hr		

Note that the source test results for VOC in December, 2009 confirmed that the boiler continued to emit at the same rate of 0.11 lb-VOC/hr shown by the previous test. Therefore, no separate entry is included for the December 2009 source test for VOC.

The actual emissions from the cooling tower are the product of mass balancing from the operating time (in hours) and TDS concentration (in ppm by weight). The constants in the calculation can be used to develop the emission factor.

EF = (0.008 lb-PM/100 lb-H<sub>2</sub>O) x (0.7 lb-PM<sub>10</sub>/lb-PM) x (8.34 lb-H<sub>2</sub>O/gal) x (15,466 gal/min) x (60 min/hr) ÷ (1,000,000 ppmw) EF = 0.000433 lb-PM<sub>10</sub>-ppmw/hr

#### B. Baseline Period Determination and Data

HLP has supplied fuel use data for petroleum coke from January 2006 through August 2011, the five-year period prior to submission of the application to bank ERC (HLP fuel usage records included in Attachment C).

Table 4: Historical Production				
	Coke Usage (Tons)	8 quarter deviation from average (tons)		
Q1 2006	21,457			
Q2 2006	21,880			
Q3 2006	21,986			
Q4 2006	18,926			
Q1 2007	21,353			
Q2 2007	21,752			
Q3 2007	22,315			
Q4 2007	22,105	-2,069		
Q1 2008	18,513	-1,701		
Q2 2008	21,208			
Q3 2008	21,685	-1,580		
Q4 2008	20,756	-1,808		
Q1 2009	20,939	-1,757		
Q2 2009	20,102			
Q3 2009	20,808	-1,362		
Q4 2009	21,885			
Q1 2010	18,694	-1,357		
Q2 2010	21,093			
Q3 2010	17,205	-783		
Q4 2010	13,631	108		
Q1 2011	14,505	912		
Q2 2011	12,140	1,907		
Q3 2011	11,322	3,093		
Average	19,403			

As shown above, the period with the smallest 8-quarter deviation from average is Q1 2009<sup>-</sup> through Q4 2010. This period will be used to evaluate AER for this application.

C. Historical Actual Emissions

Historical actual emissions (HAE) are calculated using actual fuel use records and the emission factors determined previously, although AER are discounted for emissions in excess of the permitted emission limits. In this case, HLP received notice of violation (NOV) 5005191 for exceeding the SO<sub>x</sub> emission limit. In addition, HLP has submitted several deviation reports for the baseline period showing excess emissions of SO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. Although HLP received breakdown relief for these deviations, the excess emissions must be discounted in determining creditable AER for the ERC application.

#### Emissions from the Boiler (C-603-1-12):

#### For NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and CO

As previously noted, HAE for  $NO_x$ ,  $SO_x$ , and CO is determined from a review of CEMS data. However, excess emissions of  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  must be deducted from HAE as well in calculating AER for those pollutants. These excess emissions amount to 6 pounds of  $NO_x$  in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 2009, 4 pounds of  $SO_x$  in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 2010, and 2 pounds of  $SO_x$  in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2010. HAE for these pollutants is summarized in Table 5 below.

·• .

Table 5: NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>x</sub> , and CO						
Pollutant	Year	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)	
	2009	20,239	19,596	19,884	19,859	
NOx	2010	17,162	20,135	16,878	12,287	
~	Average	18,701	19,866	18,381	16,073	
	2009	19,175	18,372	18,958	31,895	
SOx	2010	29,374	35,289	29,022	20,906	
	Average	24,275	26,831	23,990	26,401	
СО	2009	21,887	26,174	31,363	35,448	
	2010	11,328	11,392	3,673	4,242	
	Average	16,608	18,783	17,518	19,845	

#### For PM<sub>10</sub> and VOC:

HAE is calculated by month in Table 6 below, and then summarized as quarterly totals and averages in Table 7:

Table 6: PM <sub>10</sub> and VOC by Month						
Date	Time (hr)	PM <sub>10</sub> EF (lb/hr)	PM <sub>10</sub> (lb/month)	VOC EF (lb/hr)	VOC (lb/month)	
1/2009	744	0.63	468.7	0.11	81.8	
2/2009	670	0.63	422.1	0.11	73.7	
3/2009	737	0.63	464.3	0.11	81.1	
4/2009	720	0.63	453.6	0.11	79.2	
5/2009	740	0.63	466.2	0.11	81.4	
6/2009	618	0.63	389.3	0.11	68.0	
7/2009	744	0.63	468.7	0.11	81.8	
8/2009	744	0.63	468.7	0.11	81.8	
9/2009	645	0.63	406.4	0.11	71.0	
10/2009	741	0.63	466.8	0.11	81.5	
11/2009	721	0.63	454.2	0.11	79.3	
12/1/2009	216	0.63	136.1	0.11	23.8	
12/10/2009	528	2.09	1,103.5	0.11	58.1	
1/1/2010	336	2.09	702.2	0.11	37.0	
1/15/2010	356	2.09	744.0	0.11	39.2	
2/2010	485	2.09	1,013.7	0.11	53.4	
3/2010	743	2.09	1,552.9	0.11	81.7	
4/2010	719	2.09	1,502.7	0.11	79.1	
5/2010	744	2.09	1,555.0	0.11	81.8	
6/2010	714	2.09	1,492.3	0.11	78.5	
7/2010	659	2.09	1,377.3	0.11	72.5	

8/2010	707	2.09	1,477.6	0.11	77.8
9/2010	539	2.09	1,126.5	0.11	59.3
10/2010	537	2.09	1,122.3	0.11	59.1
11/2010	715	2.09	1,494.4	0.11	78.7
12/1/2010	271	0.97	262.9	0.10	27.1

Table 7: PM <sub>10</sub> and VOC by Quarter					
Pollutant	Year	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)
	2009	1,355	1,309	1,344	2,161
P <b>M</b> 10	2010	4,013	4,550	3,981	2,880
	Average	2,684	2,930	2,663	2,521
	2009	237	229	235	243
VOC	2010	211	239	210	165
	Average	224	234	223	204

#### Emissions from the Cooling Tower (C-603-16-2)

For the cooling tower, operational time and TDS are the variables that determine actual emissions during the baseline period (cooling tower operating and monitoring data included in Attachment F). Since operating time and TDS vary on a monthly basis, HAE must be calculated each month, summed for each quarter of the baseline period, and then averaged for each quarter.

Table 8: Cooling Tower HAE by Month						
Month	TDS (ppm)	Time (hr)	Conversion	HAE (lb/month)		
Jan-09	1162	744		374.3		
Feb-09	1222	670		354.5		
Mar-09	1316	737		420.0		
Apr-09	1413	720		440.5		
May-09	1339	740	· ·	429.0		
Jun-09	1199	618		320.8		
Jul-09	1284	744		413.6		
Aug-09	1229	744		395.9		
Sep-09	1148	645		320.6		
Oct-09	1183	741		379.6		
Nov-09	1183	721	-	369.3		
Dec-09	1180	743	0.000422 lb DM _ ppmu/br	379.6		
Jan-10	1195	692	0.000433 lb-Plvi <sub>10</sub> -ppi/lw/lii	358.1		
Feb-10	995	485		209.0		
Mar-10	1162	743		373.8		
Apr-10	1152	719		358.6		
May-10	1127	744		363.1		
Jun-10	1130	715		349.8		
Jul-10	1200	659		342.4		
Aug-10	1223	707		374.4		
Sep-10	1156	539		269.8		
Oct-10	1194	537		277.6		
Nov-10	1194	715		369.7		
Dec-10	848	271		99.5		

Table 9: Cooling Tower HAE by Quarter						
Pollutant	Year	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)	
	2009	1,149	1,190	1,130	1,129	
PM <sub>10</sub>	2010	941	1,072	987	747	
	Average	1,045	1,131	1,059	938	

HAE for the entire ERC application are summarized in Table 10 below.

Table 10: HAE by Quarter						
Pollutant	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)		
NO <sub>x</sub>	18,701	19,866	18,381	16,073		
SOx	24,275	26,831	23,990	26,401		
PM <sub>10</sub>	3,729	4,061	3,722	3,459		
CO	16,608	18,783	17,518	19,845		
VOC	224	234	223	204		

#### D. Actual Emissions Reductions

AER for each pollutant is calculated by subtracting PE2 from HAE. Since HLP has generated the AER by shutting down the stationary source, PE2 for all pollutants is zero. AER is summarized in Table 11 below.

Table 11: AER by Quarter					
Pollutant	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)	
NO <sub>x</sub>	18,701	19,866	18,381	16,073	
SOx	24,275	26,831	23,990	26,401	
PM <sub>10</sub>	3,729	4,061	3,722	3,459	
СО	16,608	18,783	17,518	19,845	
VOC	224	234	223	204	

#### E. Community Bank Allowance

Pursuant to Section 4.12.1 of District Rule 2201, 10% of all AER submitted for banking is deducted for the Air Quality Improvement Deduction to fund the Community Bank. The value of this deduction is summarized in Table 12 below:

Table 12: Community Bank Deduction					
Pollutant	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)	
NO <sub>x</sub>	1,870	1,987	1,838	1,607	
SOx	2,428	2,683	2,399	2,640	
PM <sub>10</sub>	373	406	372	346	
CO	1,661	1,878	1,752	1,985	
VOC	22	23	22	20	

#### F. Increases in Permitted Emissions

There are no increases in permitted emissions (IPE) associated with this project.

Table 13: Bankable Actual Emissions Reductions (AER)						
Pollutant	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)		
NOx	16,831	17,879	16,543	14,466		
SOx	21,847	24,148	21,591	23,761		
PM <sub>10</sub>	3,356	3,655	3,350	3,113		
CO	14,947	16,905	15,766	17,860		
VOC	202	211	201	184		

The quantity of emission reductions eligible for banking is shown in Table 13.

#### VI. <u>Compliance:</u>

#### A. Real

The emission reductions proposed for banking result from the shutdown of the coke-fired boiler and cooling tower. The emission reductions are developed from CEMS data or developed from actual operating and source test data. Therefore, the emission reductions are real.

#### B. Enforceable

HLP has surrendered the operating permit for all units for which it proposes to bank ERC. Operation without the PTO would be subject to enforcement action for a violation of District Rule 2010 (Permits Required). Therefore, the emission reductions are enforceable.

#### C. Quantifiable

As shown in Section V of this evaluation, emission reductions were calculated using data from a properly installed and calibrated CEMS, or were calculated using actual operating data and source test results. Therefore, the emission reductions are quantifiable.

#### D. Permanent

HLP has surrendered the operating permit for all units for which it proposes to bank ERC. Operation of the equipment without a valid PTO is subject to enforcement action. Construction of replacement equipment must be authorized by the District after evaluation under all applicable rules, including District Rule 2201 (New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule), under which any increase in emissions over the applicable threshold must be offset. Therefore, the emission reductions are permanent.

#### E. Surplus

Until the operation was shut down, HLP complied with all applicable emission limits contained in the permit to operate and developed from the applicable rules and regulations. Therefore, the AER calculated in Section V are surplus to all current requirements. Furthermore, only one applicable rule amendment, to District Rule 4352, has been adopted, workshopped, or noticed for development since the permit was last amended. The amendment to Rule 4352 would reduce the allowable NO<sub>x</sub> emission concentration to 65 ppmv @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, but since the permitted limit for HLP is 28 ppmv @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, even over a shorter averaging time than allowed by the rule, it is evident that the existing NO<sub>x</sub> emission limit is lower than the limit in the amended rule. Therefore, the reductions are surplus.

#### F. Timeliness

HLP ceased operation on August 22, 2011, from which time it had 180 days to submit the ERC application. This 180-day clock would expire on February 18, 2012. Since the application was received by the District on January 31, 2012, the application is timely.

#### VII. Recommendation:

The ERC banking application complies with all applicable rules and regulations. Issue ERC certificates in the amounts shown in Table 2 above.

Attachments:

Attachment A, Surrendered PTO's C-603-1-11, '-2-2, '-3-2, '-13-4, '-14-2, '-15-2 and '-16-1

- Attachment C, Hanford LP Fuel Use Records
- Attachment D, Boiler Source Test Results
- Attachment E, Boiler NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and CO CEMS Summaries
- Attachment F, Cooling Tower Operating and Monitoring Data
- Attachment G, Draft ERC Certificates

Attachment B, ERC Application

## Attachment A

Surrendered PTO's C-603-1-11, '-2-2, '-3-2, '-13-4, '-14-2, '-15-2, and '-16-1

**PERMIT UNIT:** C-603-1-11

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2008

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

30 MW FLUIDIZED BED COMBUSTOR FUELED BY PETROLEUM COKE, NATURAL GAS, AND NO. 2 FUEL OIL UP TO 320 MMBTU/HR

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Fuel consumption in the fluidized bed combustor shall not exceed 320 MMBTU/hr of petroleum coke, natural gas, and No. 2 fuel oil. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Natural gas utilization in the fluidized bed combustor shall not exceed 48 MMBTU/hr. Fuel oil may only be used during warm-up or as necessary to establish or maintain bed temperature at 1,560 degree F at a rate not to exceed 170 MMBTU/hr. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. Natural gas consumption in the low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 2 million scf in any one day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- The NOx emissions (measured as NO2) from the combined exhaust of the low pressure evaporator and fluidized bed combustor shall not exceed 245 pounds in any one day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. The NOx concentration (as NO2 corrected to 3% O2) in the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 28 ppmvd averaged over any 3 hour period when the freeboard temperature is at least 1,560 degree F. [District Rules 2201, District Rule 4301 and District Rule 4352, 5.1] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. The carbon monoxide emissions from the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 544 pounds in any one day. [District Rule 2201 and District Rule 4352, 5.3] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Annual carbon monoxide emissions from the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 156,000 pounds per year. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. The VOC emissions from the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 60 pounds in any one day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. The PM10 emissions from the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 80 pounds in any one day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- The concentration of particulate matter in the exhaust from the main baghouse shall not exceed 0.005 gr/dscf corrected to 12% CO2. [District Rule 2201, District Rule 4301, and 40 CFR 60.43b(c)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. SOx emissions (calculated as SO2) from the combined exhaust of the combustor and the low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 469 pounds per day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Sorbent shall be injected into the fluidized bed combustor at a rate sufficient to meet the SOx concentration and emissions limits in these conditions. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate. Permit Unit Requirements for C-603-1-11 (continued)

- 13. The SOx concentration (as SO2 corrected to 3% O2) in the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 35 ppmvd averaged over any three hour period when the bed temperature was at least 1,500 degree F. [District Rule 2201, District Rule 4301 and District Rule 4801] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 14. A start-up event commences when the petroleum coke feed to the CFBC is initiated and/or the freeboard temperature is 1,560 degree F. The start-up event is complete when the NOx concentration and SOx concentration are in compliance with the concentration limits. A shutdown event commences when the petroleum coke feed to the CFBC is terminated and is complete when the combustion air flow to the CFBC is terminated. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 15. The start-up/shutdown event shall not exceed any of the following limits: 2 hours, 1 per day, 50 per year. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 16. Emissions from the circulating fluidized bed combustor shall not exceed either of the following limits during a start-up or shutdown event: 140 lb NOx/hr or 200 lb SO2/hr. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 17. In no event shall SO2 emissions from the combined exhaust of the combustor and the low pressure evaporator exceed 76.1 ton/yr. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 52.21] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Ammonia shall be injected into the fluidized bed combustor as necessary to meet the limits in these conditions and whenever the freeboard temperature is at least 1,560 degree F. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 19. The concentration of animonia in the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 30 ppmvd. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 20. Source testing to demonstrate compliance with permit conditions and all rules and regulations shall be conducted on an annual basis. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 21. Performance testing shall be conducted annually for NOx, CO, SOx, and PM(10) at normal operating capacity using following test methods; for NOx, EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 1-100; for CO, EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100; for SOx, EPA Method 6 or 6C; and for PM(10), EPA Method 201A, and SCAQMD Method 5.3 and 6.1. [District Rules 108] and 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 22. Filterable PM(10) shall be quantified using EPA Method 201A. Condensable PM10 from the back-half of the test apparatus shall be quantified using SCAQMD methods 5.3 and 6.1. Total PM10 is the sum of the results of these two tests. [District Rules 1081 and 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 23. Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 24. The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 25. The pressure drop across the filter fabric in the combustion exhaust baghouse shall be monitored daily. Immediate corrective action must be taken if the pressure drop in any section is greater than 10 inches H2O or less than 0.5 inches H2O. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 26. A Continuous Emissions Monitoring System shall be in place and operating whenever the facility is operating. NOx (as NO2 corrected to 3% O2), SOx as SO2, CO, opacity and O2 concentrations must be recorded continuously. [District Rule 1080] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 27. Results of continuous emissions monitoring shall be reduced according to the procedure established in 40 CFR, Part 51, Appendix P, paragraphs 5.0 through 5.3.3, or by other methods deemed equivalent by mutual agreement with the District, the ARB, and the EPA. [District Rule 1080] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 28. The continuous monitoring equipment must be linked to a data logger which is compatible with the District's data acquisition system. [District Rule 1080 and District Rule 4352] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate. Permit Unit Requirements for C-603-1-11 (continued)

- 29. The owner/operator shall perform a relative accuracy test audit (RATA) as specified by 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F, 5.11, at least once every four calendar quarters. The permittee shall comply with the applicable requirements for quality assurance testing and maintenance of the continuous emission monitor equipment in accordance with the procedures and guidance specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. [District Rule 1080] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 30. Operator shall notify the APCO no later than eight hours after the detection of a breakdown of the CEMS. Operator shall inform the APCO of the intent to shut down the CEMS at least 24 hours prior to the event. [District Rule 1080; Fresno County Rule 108] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 31. The permittee shall submit a written report to the APCO for each calendar quarter, within 30 days of the end of the quarter, including: time intervals, data and magnitude of excess emissions, nature and cause of excess emissions (if known), corrective actions taken and preventive measures adopted; averaging period used for data reporting shall correspond to the averaging period for each respective emission standard; applicable time and date of each period during which the CEM was inoperative (except for zero and span checks) and the nature of system repairs and adjustments; and a negative declaration when no excess emissions occurred. [District Rule 1080, 40 CFR 60.49b(f)] and 40 CFR 60.49b(h)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 32. An ultimate analysis for each lot of liquid or solid fuel received shall be maintained on site and made available to the District upon request. The analyses shall include heating value, sulfur content, and nitrogen content. [District Rule 1070] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 33. Records of all daily fuel consumption shall be maintained on site and submitted to the District with quarterly reports and upon request. [District Rule 1070, District rule 1080, District Rule 4352 and 40 CFR 60.49b(d)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 34. A violation of NOx emission standards indicated by the NOx CEM shall be reported by the operator to the APCO within 96 hours. [Rule 108 (Kings, Fresno, Merced San Joaquin, Tulare, Kern, and Stanislaus) and Rule 109 (Madera) and District Rule 1080, 9.0] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 35. If the unit is fired on diesel fuel that is not supplier-certified 0.0015% sulfur content or less, the sulfur content of each fuel source shall be tested weekly, except that if compliance with the fuel sulfur content limit has been demonstrated for 8 consecutive weeks for a fuel source, then the testing frequency shall be semi-annually. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 36. Operator shall maintain copies of fuel invoices and supplier certifications. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 37. Records of system maintenance, inspections, and repair shall be maintained. The records shall include identification of the equipment, date of inspection, corrective action taken, and identification of the individual performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 38. Operator shall maintain all records for at least five years and conform to the recordkeeping requirements described in District Rule 2520. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

PERMIT UNIT: C-603-2-2

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

**EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016** 

KAOLIN SYSTEM CONSISTING OF ONE 11,969 GALLON (1,600 CUBIC FEET) WES-CO/STEEL STRUCTURES STORAGE SILO (UPPER UNIT) SERVED BY SAUNCO SVST 6-25-245 PULSE JET CLEANING BAGHOUSE

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is dark or darker than Ringelmann 0 or equivalent to 0% opacity. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 2. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. The baghouse shall be maintained and operated according to manufacturer's specifications. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The baghouse cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. Material removed from the dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest vent filter using each type of bag shall be maintained on the premises. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. The baghouse pressure drop shall be maintained between 0.5" 3" water column at all times of operation. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. Particulate matter (PM10) emissions shall not exceed 0.5 lb/day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 10. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

#### **PERMIT UNIT:** C-603-3-2

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

INERT MATERIAL (GYPSUM) SYSTEM CONSISTING OF ONE 11,969 GALLON (1,600 CUBIC FEET) WES-CO/STEEL STRUCTURES STORAGE SILO (LOWER UNIT) SERVED BY A SAUNCO SVSB 25-245 PULSE JET CLEANING BAGHOUSE

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is dark or darker than Ringelmann 0 or equivalent to 0% opacity. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 2. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. The baghouse shall be maintained and operated according to manufacturer's specifications. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The baghouse cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. Material removed from the dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest vent filter using each type of bag shall be maintained on the premises. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. The baghouse pressure drop shall be maintained between 0.5" 3" water column at all times of operation. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. Particulate matter (PM10) emissions shall not exceed 0.85 lb/day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 10. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

#### **PERMIT UNIT: C-603-13-4**

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016

143,345 GALLON (19,164 CUBIC FEET) SYNTHETIC GYPSUM STORAGE SILO (FROM FLY ASH). SILO LOADING SERVED BY A 2,000 CFM SAUNCO TECHNOLOGIES MODEL #ULTRA BB-16-84 ARRANGEMENT 2B BAGHOUSE DUST COLLECTOR SILO UNLOADING SERVED BY A BIN VENT FILTER AND A MOVABLE, SEALING SPOUT

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants. [District Rule 4102]
- 2. Visible emissions from the baghouses serving the fly ash handling and storage operation shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 4101] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The baghouse shall be maintained and operated according to manufacturer's specifications. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. The baghouse shall be equipped with a pressure differential gauge to indicate the pressure drop across the bags. The gauge shall be maintained in good working condition at all times and shall be located in an easily accessible location. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest baghouse, and for each type of bag, shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. The baghouse cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. Material removed from dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. Fly ash throughput shall not exceed 225 tons per day. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 10. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 13. Records of daily fly ash throughput and the amount of material loaded shall be maintained, retained on the premises at least five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 2201, 1070 and 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate.

#### **PERMIT UNIT: C-603-14-2**

#### **EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016**

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

45,259 GALLON (6,050 CUBIC FEET) SYNTHETIC GYPSUM STORAGE SILO (FROM BED ASH). SILO LOADING SERVED BY A CYCLONE AND A BAGHOUSE DUST COLLECTOR IN SERIES. SILO UNLOADING SERVED BY A BIN VENT FILTER AND A MOVABLE, SEALING SPOUT

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District Rule 4102]
- 2. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. The dust collector shall be maintained and operated according to manufacturer's specifications. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The dust collector cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Material removed from dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. Replacement bags and filters numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest baghouse, and for each type of bag, shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Records of the amount of material loaded on a daily basis shall be maintained, retained on the premises at least five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

#### **PERMIT UNIT:** C-603-15-2

#### **EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016**

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

10,473 GALLON (1,400 CUBIC FEET) INERT MATERIAL (SODIUM BICARBONATE/SORBENT) STORAGE SILO, LOADING SYSTEM SERVED BY A DSS MODEL WAM SILO TOP PULSE JET CLEANING BAGHOUSE, AND A SEALED SCREW CONVEYER

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Visible emissions from the baghouses serving the sodium bicarbonate handling and storage operation shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 4101] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 2. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. The baghouse shall be equipped with a pressure differential gauge to indicate the pressure drop across the bags. The gauge shall be maintained in good working condition at all times and shall be located in an easily accessible location. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. The baghouse shall operate at all times with a minimum differential pressure of 0.1 inches water column and a maximum differential pressure of 3.5 inches water column. [District NSR Rule]
- 9. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest baghouse using each type of bag shall be maintained on the premises. [District NSR Rule]
- 10. Material removed from dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. The baghouse cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Emissions from the sodium bicarbonate silo shall not exceed 0.0016 lb PM10 per ton of sodium bicarbonate. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 13. The maximum throughput for the sodium bicarbonate storage operation shall exceed either of the following limits: 42 tons per day or 660 tons per year. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate. Permit Unit Requirements for C-603-15-2 (continued)

- 14. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 15. Differential operating pressure shall be monitored and recorded on each day that the baghouse operates. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 16. Records of the amount of material loaded on a daily basis shall be maintained, retained on the premises at least five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 17. Records of daily sodium bicarbonate throughput shall be maintained, retained on-site for a period of at least five years and made available for District inspection upon request. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 1070] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

**PERMIT UNIT:** C-603-16-1

#### **EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016**

**EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:** 

15,466 GPM MARLEY 3-CELL, COUNTER FLOW, INDUCED DRAFT, COOLING TOWER

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

1. No hexavalent chromium containing compounds shall be added to cooling tower circulating water. [District Rule 7012] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

Attachment B

**ERC** Application

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control DistRECEIVED

### **Application for**

JAN 31 2012

	[ X ] EMISSION REDUC	TION CREDIT (EF	RC)	[] [] []	SOLIDATI	ON OF ERC CERTIF	References	
1.	ERC TO BE ISSUED TO: Hanford LP					Facility ID: C- 603 (if known)		
2. MAILING ADDRESS: StreevP.O. Box: 4300 Railroad Avenue								
		City: Pittsburg	State: CA Zip Co	xlc: 94565-6006				
3.	LOCATION OF REDUCTION: Street:10596 Idaho Avenue					4. DATE OF REDUCTION: August 22, 2011		
	City: _Hanford, CA, 93	230						
	The Site Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates are at Zone 11, Horizontal 262,139.0 meters, Vertical 4,016,882.0 meters. The plant is at 36 <sup>0</sup> 16' 9'' North Latitude and 119 <sup>0</sup> 38' 52'' West Longitude.							
	/4 SECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANG	E			·	
5.	PERMIT NO(S): C-603-1-6	ő	EXIS	TING ERC NO(	5);			
6.	METHOD RESULTING IN	EMISSION REDUC	TION:					
	[X] SHUTDOWN [] RETROFIT [] PROCESS CHANGE [] OTHER							
	DESCRIPTION: 30 MW Fluidized Bed Combustor fueled by Petroleum Coke, Natural Gas and No. 2 Fuel oil up to 320 MMBTU/hr was shutdown on August 22, 2011 and all permits have been designated dormant.							
7.	REQUESTED ERCs (In Po	unds Per Calendar (	Quarter):					
		VOC	NOx	СО	PM1	0 SOx	OTHER	
	IST QUARTER	218	18255	18188	939	25374		
	2ND QUARTER	219	18256	18189	940	25375		
	3RD QUARTER	219	18256	18189	940	25375		
	4TH QUARTER	218	18256	18188	939	25375		
8. SIGNATORE OF APPLICANT: Vice President								
9.	TYPE OR PRINT NAME O	FAPPLICANT: Do	uglas W. Wheeler			DATE: 01/12/2012	TELEPHONE NO: 925.431.1443	
FOR A	APCD USE ONLY:							

DATE STAMP	FILING FEE RECEIVED: \$ $2277$ , CK# 010845 DATE PAID: $1/31/12$
	PROJECT NO.: <u>C-1120248</u> FACILITY ID.: <u>C-603</u>

Northern Regional Office \* 4800 Enterprise Way \* Modesto, California 95356-8718 \* (209) 557-6400 \* FAX (209) 557-6475 Central Regional Office \* 1990 East Gettysburg Avenue \* Fresno, California 93726-0244 \* (559) 230-5900 \* FAX (559) 230-6061 Southern Regional Office \* 34946 Flyover Court \* Bakersfield, California 93308 \* (661) 392-5500 \* FAX (661) 392-5585

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control DisRECEIVED

### **Application for**

JAN 31 2012

	[X] EMISSION REDUCTION	CREDIT (E	RC)	[]CO	NSOLIDATI	ON OF ERC CERT	Permits Services	;
1.	1. ERC TO BE ISSUED TO: Hanford LP				Facility ID: C- 603 (if known)			
2.	. MAILING ADDRESS: Street/P.O. Box: 4300 Railroad Avenue							
	City: Pittsburg State: CA Zip Code: 94565-6006							
3.	3. LOCATION OF REDUCTION: Street:10596 Idaho Avenue					4. DATE OF REDUCTION: August 22, 2011		
	City: _Hanford, CA, 93230							ł
	The Site Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinates are at Zone 11, Horizontal 262,139.0 meters, Vertical 4,016,882.0 meters. The plant is at 36°16' 9'' North Latitude and 119°38' 52'' West Longitude.							
	/4 SECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	<u> </u>				
5.	PERMIT NO(S): C-603-16-1		EXIS	TING ERC NO	)(S):			
6.	METHOD RESULTING IN EMISS	SION REDU	CTION:					
	[X] SHUTDOWN	[] RETROI	FTT []P	ROCESS CHAI	NGE	[] OTHER		ļ
	DESCRIPTION 15 466 CPM Mar	lev 3-Cell C	ounter Flow Induced D	raft Cooling T	ower			
		icy s-cai, c		rand, cooling r			(Use additional sheets if necess	sary)
7.	7. REQUESTED ERCs (In Pounds Per Calendar Quarter):							
		VOC	NOx	со	PM1	0 SOx	OTHER	
	1ST QUARTER				993			
	2ND QUARTER				994			
I	3RD QUARTER				<u>994</u>			
	4TH QUARTER				993			
8.	8. SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT: Vice President							
9.	9. TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF APPLICANT: Douglas W. Wheeler			·		DATE: 01/12/2012	TELEPHONE NO: 925.431.1443	
FOR APCD USE ONLY:								
	DATE STAMP		FILING FEE					

DATE STAMP	FILING FEE RECEIVED: \$/
	DATE PAID:
	PROJECT NO.: FACILITY ID.:

Northern Regional Office \* 4800 Enterprise Way \* Modesto, California 95356-8718 \* (209) 557-6400 \* FAX (209) 557-6475 Central Regional Office \* 1990 East Gettysburg Avenue \* Fresno, California 93726-0244 \* (559) 230-5900 \* FAX (559) 230-6061 Southern Regional Office \* 34946 Flyover Court \* Bakersfield, California 93308 \* (661) 392-5500 \* FAX (661) 392-5585


FEB 2 1 2012

Permits Srvc SJVAPCD

February 15, 2012

Mr. James Swaney, Director Permit Services San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244

#### RE: Request To Activate Hanford LP PTO C-603 Emissions units under ATC C-1112934 (Rule 2050)

Dear Mr. Swaney:

On behalf of Hanford L.P, GWF requests the District activate the following Hanford LP emissions units (PTO C-603) from the current Dormant Emissions Units (DEU) status. Hanford LP was granted Dormant status on October 5, 2011. The Dormant emissions units to be are:

- a. Permit unit # C-603-1-6: Fluidized bed combustor,
- b. Permit Unit # C-603-2-2: Kaolin System,
- c. Permit Unit # C-603-3-2: Gypsum System,
- d. Permit Unit #C603-13-4: Synthetic Gypsum Storage Silo,
- e. Permit Unit #C603-14-2: Synthetic Gypsum storage silo from bed ash,
- f. Permit Unit #C-603-15-2: Sodium Bicarbonate System,
- g. Permit Unit #C603-16-1: Cooling Tower

Hanford L.P. requests that the Authority To Construct for each unit be activated at your earliest convenience. Upon activation of the units, Hanford LP requests that the permits for each of the above units be **cancelled**.

Thank you for your time and consideration regarding this matter. If you have any questions regarding this request, please feel free to contact me at (925) 431-1440.

Respectfully, Hanford LP

Mark Kehoe Director, Environmental and Safety

Attachments:

cc D. Wheeler, GWF K. Kolnowski, GWF

# Attachment C

Hanford LP Fuel Use Records

#### **ATTACHMENT 2**

#### Petroleum Coke Usage

Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-1-6

Date/Month	Coke Usage	Date/Month	Coke Usage	Date/Month	Coke Usage
	Tons		Tons		Tons
Jan-06	7,224	Jan-08	7,137	Jan-10	6,909
Feb-06	6,795	Feb-08	6,614	Féb-10	4,731
Mar-06	7,438	Mar-08	4,762	📜 - Mar-10	, 7,054
Apr-06	7,459	Apr-08	7,037	Apr-10	7,057
May-06	7,292	May-08	7,278	May-10	7,284
Jun-06	7,129	Jun-08	6,893	Jun=10	6,752
Jul-06	7,472	80-lut	7,378	Jul-10	6,074
Aug-06	7,484	Aug-08	7,240	Aug-10	6,397
Sep-06	7,030	Sep-08	7,067	Sep-10	4,734
Oct-06	4,781	Oct-08	6,221	0ct-10	-4,479
Nov-06	6,404	Nov-08	7,035	Nov-10	6,745
Dec-06	7,741	Dec-08	7,500		2,407
Jan-07	7,637	Jan-09	7,097	Jan-11	3,052
Feb-07	6,650	Feb-09	6;534	Feb-11	5,647
Mar-07	7,066	Mar-09	7,308	Mar-11	5,806
Apr-07	7,013	Apr-09	7,041	Apr-11	4,133
May-07	<b>7,451</b> <sup>°</sup>	May-09	7,284	May-11	3,177
Jun-07	7,288	Jun-09	5,777	Jun-11	4,830
Jul-07	7,587	Jul-09.	7,215	Jul-11	6,471
Aug-07	7,560	Aug-09	7,157	Aug-11	4,851
Sep-07	7,168	Sep-09-	6,436		
Oct-07	7,517	Očt-09	- 7,606		
Nov-07	7,353	Nov-09	7;068		<b></b>
Dec-07	7,235	Dec-09	7,211		

# Attachment D

**Boiler Source Test Results** 

#### SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT

#### **MEMORANDUM**

DATE:	March 2, 2011
TO:	Source Test File
C:	Joe Avila
FROM:	John Copp

SUBJECT: Review of Source Test for Hanford LP (Retest) January 15, 2010 PTO #C-603-1-9

The Avogadro Group (Avogadro) was retained by Hanford LP to conduct a compliance emission retest of the effluent gasses from a 320.0 MMBtu/hr petroleum coke fired CFBC boiler with ammonia injection and calcium carbonate sorbent. The unit was fired by petroleum coke under a normal operating load. The source test measured PM, PM10,  $CO_2$ , and  $O_2$ .

District compliance staff found notification, reporting, and source test protocols employed during this test to be satisfactory.

The data and calculations included in the report submittal were evaluated to ensure accuracy. The reported particulate emissions were corrected by Avogadro to remove ammonium sulfate formed by the reaction of ammonia with sulfate generated by absorbed sulfur dioxide in the water-filled impingers. Ammonium sulfate caused by the reaction of ammonia with sulfate from sulfuric acid mist and/or sulfur trioxide emissions in the impingers was not removed from the particulate results.

A review of the report and the corrections submitted by Avogadro on behalf of Hanford LP indicated that the CFBC boiler unit was successful in meeting the PM and PM10 emission limits specified in the permit.

<u>PTO 603-1-9 320, MIN</u>	1Btu/hr petroleum coke-fired (	CFBC boller
PM	0.0039 gr/dscf @ 12% CO2 (	limit 0.005) 70.3 lb/day
PM10	0.0028 gr/dscf @ 12% CO2	50.1 lb/day (limit 80.0)
O2	4.91%	-
CO2	14.7%	
Stack Flow Rate	70,400 dscfm	

EXHIBIT 2

December 18, 2008

Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2008 Compliance Test Report

#### TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED-BED BOILER NOVEMBER 20, 2008

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0011	<del></del>
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0009	ü-
lb/hr	0.63	
lb/day	15.1	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0018	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0014	0.005
lb/hr	1.03	
lb/day	24.7	۲. <mark>مر</mark> قع
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	46.5	<del></del>
ppmvd ( $a$ ) 3% $O_2$	48.8	<u></u>
lb/hr	14.07	<del>-,-</del> '
lb/day	337.6	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	17.6	<u>_`</u> _
$ppmvd @ 3\% O_2$	18.5	28.0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	8.75	<b></b>
$lb/day$ as $NO_2$	210.0	245
Sulfur Oxides (SOx)		
ppm volume dry	14.1	
ppmvd (a) $3\% O_2$	14.8	20.2
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	9.74	
$lb/day as SO_2$	233.8	245
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.7	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH4	< 0.11	
lb/day as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 2.6	60.0
Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	14.9	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O2	15.6	

....



#### TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER DECEMBER 10, 2009

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0081	
$gr/dscf @ 12\% CO_2$	0.0064	
lb/hr	4.59	
lb/day	110.1	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0090	<del></del>
$gr/dscf @ 12\% CO_2$	0.0071	0.005
lb/hr	5.09	
lb/day	122.2	<u></u>
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	76.3	<del></del> -
ppmvd $(a)$ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	81.5	
lb/hr	22.52	****
lb/day	540.2	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	20.8	
$ppmvd @ 3\% O_2$	22.2	28.0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	10.07	
$lb/day$ as $NO_2$	241.5	245
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>2</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	25.1	
$ppmvd @ 3% O_2$	26.8	35.0
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	16.97	
lb/day as SO <sub>2</sub>	407.1	469
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.7	
$ppmvd @ 3\% O_2$	< 0.7	<b></b> ,
lb/hr as CH4	< 0.11	
lb/day as CH4	< 2.7	60.0
Ammonia (NH3)		
ppm volume dry	15.3	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	16.6	



February 2, 2010

Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2009 Compliance Test Report

#### TABLE 1-3 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE PM RESULTS HANFORD L.P. JANUARY 15, 2010

Parameter	<b>Test Results</b>	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		··· ·
gr/dscf	0.0004	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0003	
lb/hr	0.24	
lb/day	5.8	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0018	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0015	0.005
lb/hr	1.08	
lb/day	26.0	<u></u>

4

Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2010 Compliance Test Report

#### TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER NOVEMBER 30 & DECEMBER 1, 2010

Parameter	Test Results	<b>Permit Limits</b>
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0019	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0015	
lb/hr	0.97	÷
lb/day	23.4	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0028	<del>~~</del>
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0022	0.005
lb/hr	1.45	<del></del>
lb/day	34.7	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	6.9	-
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	7.4	
lb/hr	1.85	
lb/day	44.3	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	20.4	
ppmvd $(a)$ 3% $O_2$	21.9	28.0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	9.03	
lb/day as NO <sub>2</sub>	216.7	245



Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2010 Compliance Test Report

# TABLE 1-2SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTSHANFORD L.P.FLUIDIZED BED BOILERNOVEMBER 30 & DECEMBER 1, 2010

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>X</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	23.2	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	24.9	35.0
$lb/hr as SO_2$	14.26	
lb/day as SO <sub>2</sub>	342.3	469
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.6	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH4	< 0.10	
lb/day as CH4	< 2.3	60.0
Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	3.7	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	3.9	

Note: Values presented in italics represent results reported at the detection limit of the applicable method.



# Attachment E

Boiler  $NO_X$ ,  $SO_x$ , and CO CEMS Summaries

#### **ATTACHMENT 4**

#### NOx, SO2 & CO

2

#### Hanford LP

τ

Permit No. C-603-1-6

	NOX	SO2	со	Coke Usage
	lbs	lbs	lbs	Tons
Jan-09	7011	6613	8507	7,097
Feb-09	6282	5752	6320	6,534
Mar-09	6946	6810	7060	7,308
Apr-09	6762	6415	7689	7,041
May-09	6940	6426	9481	7,284
Jun-09	5894	5531	9004	5,777
Jul-09	7071	6775	10547	7,215
Aug-09	7102	6761	11366	7,157
Sep-09	5717	5422	9450	6,436
Oct-09	6747	11322	10939	7,606
Nov-09	6083	9334	11765	7,068
Dec-09	7029	11239	12744	7,211
Jan-10	5889	10690	6395	6,909
Feb-10	4468	7582	2316	4,731
Mar-10	6805	11102	2617	7,054
Apr-10	6719	12590	5714	7,057
May-10	6965	12491	4381	7,284
Jun-10	6451	10210	1297	6,752
Jul-10	5800	10242	1786	6,074
Aug-10	5926	10223	1165	6,397
Sep-10	5152	8561	722	4,734
Oct-10	4714	7744	1715	<sup>-</sup> 4,479
Nov-10	5876	9694	1794	6,745
Dec-10	1697	3468	733	2,407
Annual Average:	73,023	101,499	72,754	77,179

A second second s

(Based on Two Years)

#### EXHIBIT 1

Begin: Jul 01, 2006

End: Aug 31, 2011

Date: Jan 12 2012 00:00 Time: 09:27 23:59

(Page 001)

#### Hanford CFB 10596 Idaho Avenue, Hanford CA \*\*\*\*\* MULTI-PARAMETER SUMMARY REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

(Monthly Summations)				
Data	Source:	daily r	records	
	>	limit	> limit	> limit
		245	469	544
	U	nit 1	Unit 1	Unit 1
Sta	rt			
		NOX	SO2	CO
		1bs	1bs	1bs
01,	/09	7011	6613	8507
02,	/09	6282	5752	6320
03,	/09	6946	6810	7060
04,	/09	6762	6415	7689
05,	/09	6940	6426	9481
06,	/09	5894	5531	9004
07,	/09	7071	6775	10547
08,	/09	7102	6761	11366
09,	/09	5717	5422	9450
10,	/09	6747	11322	10939
11,	/09	6083	9334	11765
12,	/09	7029	11239	12744
01,	/10	5889	10690	6395
02,	/10	4468	7582	2316
03,	/10	6805	11102	2617
04,	/10	6719	12590	5714
05/	/10	6965	12491	4381
06,	/10	6451	10210	1297
07,	/10	5800	10242	1786
08,	/10	5926	10223	1165
09,	/10	5152	8561	722
10,	/10	4714	7744	1715
11,	/10	5876	9694	1794
12,	/10	1697	3468	733

Report produced by Cirrus

-

# Attachment F

# Cooling Tower Operating and Monitoring Data

#### **ATTACHMENT 7**

#### PM10

Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-16-1 Cooling Tower

Date/Month	OP HRS	Concuctivity	TDS	PM10
		umhos/c <b>m</b>	ppm	lbs/month
Jan-09	744	1822	1162	374.8
Feb-09	670	1916	1222	354.7
Mar-09	737	2063	1316	420.4
Apr-09	720	2215	1413	441.0
May-09	740	2098	1339	429.3
Jun-09	618	1879	1199	321.0
Jul-09	744	2013	1284	414.1
Aug-09	744	1926	1229	396.2
Sep-09	645	1799	1148	320.8
Oct-09	741	1855	1183	380.1
Nov-09	721	1854	1183	369.6
Dec-09	743	1849	1180	379.7
Jan-10	692	1873	1195	358.4
Feb-10	485	1559	995	209.0
Mar-10	743	1821	1162	374.1
Apr-10	719	1806	1152	359.0
May-10	744	1766	1127	363.1
Jun-10	714	1771	1130	349.4
Jul-10	659	1881	1200	342.8
Aug-10	707	1917	1223	374.5
Sep-10	539	1812	1156	270.1
Oct-10	537	1872	1194	278.0
Nov-10	715	1866	1191	368.7
Dec-10	271	1329	848	99.4
Annual Average:				4174

(Based on Two Years)

## Notes: 1) PM10= Water Recirculation Rate X Drift Rate X TDS X PM10 Fraction Factor

Water Recirculation Rate =	15,466 gpm	
Drift Rate	0.008 %	
PM-10 Fraction Factor	70 %	
EC Monthly Average from City of	f Hanford Invoices	
EC to TDS conversion= 63.8 %		
Based on Wastewater Analytical	Reports	

Attachment G

Draft ERC Certificates

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C1120248-36-1

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

## For VOC Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
202 lbs	211 lbs	201 lbs	184 lbs

#### [] Conditions Attached

#### **Method Of Reduction**

- [] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units
- [] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C1120248-36-2

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

## For NOx Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
16,831 lbs	17,879 lbs	16,453 lbs	14,466 lbs

[] Conditions Attached

Method Of Reduction

- [] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units
- [] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C1120248-36-3

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

## For CO Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
14,947 lbs	16,905 lbs	15,766 lbs	17,860 lbs

#### [ ] Conditions Attached

#### Method Of Reduction

- [] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units
- [] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C1120248-36-4

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

## For PM10 Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
3,356 lbs	3,655 lbs	3,350 lbs	3,113 lbs

[] Conditions Attached

Method Of Reduction

- [ ] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units
- [] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C1120248-36-5

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

### For SOx Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
21,847 lbs	24,148 lbs	21,591 lbs	23,761 lbs

[] Conditions Attached

**Method Of Reduction** 

- [] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units
- [] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO



# TheSentinel

Lee Central California Newspapers

P.O. BOX 9 HANFORD, CALIFORNIA 93232 PHONE 888-790-0915 Sentinel\_Finance@tee.net



Paste Tear Sheet Here

Calif.Newspaper Serv.Bureau-Attn: Billing-Legals PO Box 60460 Los Angeles, CA 90060

AD # 0000139844 SIZE 1 x 3.84	ACCOUNT #	1957	DESCRIPTION	1
	AD #	0000139844	SIZE	1 x 3.84
<b>INVOICE DATE</b>   4/26/2012 TIMES   3	NVOICE DATE	4/26/2012	TIMES	3
DATES APPEARED 4/26/2012			DATES APPEARED	4/26/2012

#### Publication - The Hanford Sentinel

State of California

#### County of Kings

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county foresaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a part to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of **The Hanford Sentinel**, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the city of Hanford, County of Kings, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the superior court of the County of Kings, State of California, under the date of October 23, 1951, case number 11623,

That I know from my own personal knowledge the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

Published on: 4/26/2012

Filed on: 4/26/2012

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Kings County, California

This Day Oof Signature



461.78 AD#139844 NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY DECISION FOR THE PROPOSED ISSUANCE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the San Joaquín Valley Unified Air Pollution Control I District solicits public comment on the proposed issuance of Emission Reduction Credits to Hanford LP for the shutdown of atl emission units except one emergency engine, at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford, California. The quantity of ERCs proposed for banking is 65,719 lb-NOx/yr, 91,347 lb-SOx/yr, 13,474 lb-PM10/yr, 65,478 lb-CO/yr, and 798 lb-VOC/yr. The analysis of the regulatory basis for this proposed action, Project #C-1120248, is available, for public, inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public\_notic es\_idx.htm and the District office at the address below. Written comments on this project must be submitted within 30 days of the publication date of this notice to DAVID WARNER, DIRECTOR OF PERMIT SERV-ICES; SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726. 4/26/12 CNS-2302768# THE HANFORD SENTINEL - <u>1</u> Publish April 26, 2012

	AL REGION ERC/FUDLIC INVITCE CHECK LIST
<b>SOUTHE</b>	ERN REGION DECT # $c_1 = (120248)$
$\sqrt{}$	FROJECT #S. <u>C-1120246</u> C-000
REQST. COMPL.	
	ERC PRELIMINARY PUBLIC NOTICE
	ERC FINAL PUBLIC NOTICE
Date Corr	npleted May 31, 2012/By Joven Refuerzo
$\sqrt{\square}$	Newspaper Notice Emailed to Clerical (Check box and tab to generate Notice)
	Send email to "OA-PublicNotices" containing the following:
	SUBJECT: facility name, facility id#, project #, type of notice (prelim/final)
will en	BODY: project description and why it is being noticed (based on Major
approval	Initial Title V. Title V renewal, or ATC with COC)
Ð	B
ENCLOS	ED DOCUMENTS REQUIRE:
	Director's Signature and District Seal Embossed on ERC Certificates
$\sqrt{\Box}$	Mail FINAL notice letter to applicant by Certified Mail including the
	following:
	<u>V□□</u> Public Notice √□□□ Original ERC Certificates
	$\sqrt{100}$ Final Application Review
$\checkmark \checkmark$	Email FINAL Public Notice for Publication to Hanford Sentinel
$\sqrt{\sqrt{2}}$	Email FINAL Public Notice package to EPA and CARB
	Email FINAL Public Notice package to "webmaster"
<u> </u>	Send FINAL Public Notice package to Dustin Brown
	Assign Mailing Date

7-17-12 C2186871 MB

1

Ŕ,

U.S. Postal Servicem **CERTIFIED MAIL**<sup>TM</sup> RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided) come C U S 12 E ()\$ Postage Certified Fee 4 Postmark Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required) Here

E000 Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required) 2970 Total Pe Sent To DOUGLAS WHEELER HANFORD L P Street, At or PO Bo. 4300 RAILROAD AVE. City, State PITTSBURG, CA 94565-6006 ננטל PS Form structions

ļ

ł 8599

5716

i

i į

. . . . .

' • ر چر

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bethany Whitney Tuesday, July 17, 2012 8:36 AM Tony Reyes; Allan Lazaro; Fahryn Peterson C-1120248 Hanford Sentinel

AdTech®	New Order					₫;
Home	Your Order is sent.					
New Order						
Copy Order	Customer Informati	on	,			
Order Lookup Order Tracking	Customer Name	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY	AIR POLLUTION CONTROL	Master Id	72243	3
Open [0]	Address	1990 E. GETTYSBERG	AVE.	Phone	55923	806038
Ready [0]	City	FRESNO		Fax	55923	306061
Sent [1]	State - Zip	CA - 93726				
Newspapers	Product Information	1				
Accounting	Legal	GOVERNMENT - GOVT	PUBLIC NOTICE			
Reports	Order Information					
Help	Attention Name	Bethany Whitney		Billing Reference	No. PER 3	2
	Ad Description	Final ERC Public Notice BMW	Hanford LP C-1120248	Sale/Hrg/Bid Date	e 07/20	/2012
	Special Instructions	Please provide copy of	notice via email: bethany.wh	itney@valleyair.org		
	Orders Created					
	Order No. Ne	ewspaper Name	Publishing Dates	Ad	Price	Ad Status
	2349160 HANFORD	SENTINEL, CA	07/20/2012	<b>Depth :</b> 4.80" Lines : 58	Pricing will be done by DJC	Sent
	Order No.	in an an analysis and a second s	Newspaper	View		
	2349160 HANFORD SENTINEL			View Ad In PDF		
	FOR THE ISSUANCE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS   NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reduction generated by the shutdown of their coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located, at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 lb- NOx/year; 91,347 lb-SOx/year; 13,509 lb-PM10/year; 65,478 lb-CO/year; and 798 lb- VOC/year.   Comments received by the District during the public notice period resulted in a correction to the PM <sub>10</sub> emission factor used to calculate the historical actual PM <sub>10</sub> emissions from the coke fired boiler dating from December 2009 through November 2010 which resulted in an overall increase in 35 lb/year of PM <sub>10</sub> emission reduction credits that will be issued within this project. These changes were minor and did not					
	The application review for Project #C-1120248 is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public_notices_idx.htm and the SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726.					

GenerateDocumentFromFormCopies3FormIdProductIdProducerMailTextMailIdDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerFormIdGenerateDocumentFromFormFormIdProductIdProducerForcePrintWithoutSignDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerFormIdGenerateDocumentFromFormFormIdProductIdProducerSignersNamesigndaysignmonthDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerFormIdGenerateDocumentFromFormIdProductIdProducerSignersNamesigndaysignmonthDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerFormIdGenerateDocumentFromFormIdGenerateDocumentAttachIdProducerSignersNamesigndaysignmonthDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerAds\Temp\ADSFilesProductIdProducerAttachIdProducerAttachIdProducerAttachIdProducerAttachIdMergeGeneratedDocsDocSavePath\AdTechAds\Temp\ADSFilesMergedDocs-1 All Files

#### ð

#### **New Order**

Your Order is sent.

Customer Informa	tion		
Customer Name	SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT	Master Id	72243
Address	1990 E. GETTYSBERG AVE.	Phone	5592306038
City	FRESNO	Fax	5592306061
State - Zip	CA - 93726		
Product Information	on		
Legal	GOVERNMENT - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE		
Order Information	I		
Attention Name	Bethany Whitney	Billing Reference No.	PER 32
Ad Description	Final ERC Public Notice Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW	Sale/Hrg/Bid Date	07/20/2012
Special Instructions	Please provide copy of notice via email: bethan	y.whitney@valleyair.org	

#### **Orders** Created

Order No.	Newspape	r Name	Publishing Dates	Ad	Price	Ad Status
2349160	HANFORD SENTI	NEL, CA	07/20/2012	Depth : 4.80" Lines : 58	Pricing will be done by DJC	Sent
0	rder No.	Newspaper			View	
2	349160	HANFORD SENTINEL		Vie	w Ad In P	DF

#### NOTICE OF FINAL ACTION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reduction generated by the shutdown of their coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located, at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 lb-NOx/year; 91,347 lb-SOx/year; 13,509 lb-PM10/year; 65,478 lb-CO/year; and 798 lb-VOC/year.

Comments received by the District during the public notice period resulted in a correction to the  $PM_{10}$  emission factor used to calculate the historical actual  $PM_{10}$  emissions from the coke fired boiler dating from December 2009 through November 2010 which resulted in an overall increase in 35 lb/year of  $PM_{10}$  emission reduction credits that will be issued within this project. These changes were minor and did not affect the basis for issuance of the above referenced ERCs.

The application review for Project #C-1120248 is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public\_notices\_idx.htm and the SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726.

From:	glenda_sobrique@dailyjournal.com
Sent:	Tuesday, July 17, 2012 10:16 AM
То:	Bethany Whitney
Subject:	Confirmation of Order 2349160 for Final ERC Public Notice Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW

Dear Customer:

The order listed below has been received and processed. If you have any questions regarding this order, please contact your ad coordinator or the phone number listed below.

Customer Account Number: 137878

Type of Notice	: GPN - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE
Ad Description	: Final ERC Public Notice Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW
Our Order Number	: 2349160
Newspaper	: HANFORD SENTINEL
Publication Date(s)	: 07/20/2012

Sales/Hrg Date : 07/20/2012

Thank you for using the Daily Journal Corporation.

GLENDA SOBRIQUE DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU 915 E. FIRST ST., LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Phone: (800) 788 7840 / (213)229-5300 Fax: (800) 540 4089 / (213)229-5481

#### CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

#### DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 Telephone (213) 229-5300 / Fax (213) 229-5481 Visit us @ WWW.DAILYJOURNAL.COM

**Bethany Whitney** SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLL CONTROL DIST 1990 E. GETTYSBURG AVE. **FRESNO, CA 93726** 

CNS 2349160

#### COPY OF NOTICE

GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE Notice Type:

Final ERC Public Notice Hanford LP C-1120248 BMW Ad Description

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the THE HANFORD SENTINEL. Please read this notice carefully and call us with any corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

07/20/2012

#### **Daily Journal Corporation**

Serving your legal advertising needs throughout California. Call your local

BUSINESS JOURNAL, RIVERSIDE	(951) 784-0111
DAILY COMMERCE, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
LOS ANGELES DAILY JOURNAL, LOS ANGELES	(213) 229-5300
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER 10%, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
ORANGE COUNTY REPORTER, SANTA ANA	(714) 543-2027
SAN DIEGO COMMERCE, SAN DIEGO	(619) 232-3486
SAN FRANCISCO DAILY JOURNAL, SAN FRANCISCO	(800) 640-4829
SAN JOSE POST-RECORD, SAN JOSE	(408) 287-4866
SONOMA COUNTY HERALD-RECORDER, SANTA ROSA	(707) 545-1166
THE DAILY RECORDER, SACRAMENTO	(916) 444-2355
THE INTER-CITY EXPRESS, OAKLAND	(510) 272-4747



NOTICE OF FINAL ACTION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS

CREDITS NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reduction generated by the shutdown of their coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located, at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 Ib-Noxlyear; 91,347 Ib-Soxlyear; 13,509 Ib-PM10/year, 65,478 Ib-CO/year; and 798 Ib-VOC/year.

VOC/year. Comments received by the District during the public notice period resulted in a correction to the PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor used to calculate the historical actual PM<sub>10</sub> emissions from the coke fired boiler dating from December 2009 through November 2010 which resulted in an overall increase in 35 lb/year of PM<sub>10</sub> emission reduction credits that will be issued within this project. These changes were minor and did not affect the basis for issuance of the above referenced ERCs.

The application review for Project #C-1120248 is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notic es/public\_notices\_idx.htm and the SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726. 7/20/12 CNS-2349160# THE HANFORD SENTINEL

From:	Bethany Whitney
Sent:	Tuesday, July 17, 2012 3:37 PM
To:	Gerardo C. Rios (SJV_T5_Permits@epamail.epa.gov); Mike Tollstrup (mtollstr@arb.ca.gov)
Subject:	Final ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C-603 Project C-1120248
Attachments:	Public Notice Package.pdf; Newspaper Notice.pdf
Importance:	High

Notice is hereby given that the Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reductions generated by the shutdown of their coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located, at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 Ib-NOx/year; 91,347 lb-SOx/year; 13,509 lb-PM10/year; 65,478 lb-CO/year; and 798 lb-VOC/year.

Bethany Whitney Office Assistant II San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave., Fresno, CA 93726 (559) 230-6005 | Fax (559) 230-6061 STAR - Service \* Teamwork \* Attitude \* Respect



From:	Mail Delivery System <mailer-daemon@mseive01.rtp.epa.gov></mailer-daemon@mseive01.rtp.epa.gov>
То:	SJV_T5_Permits@epamail.epa.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, July 17, 2012 3:37 PM
Subject:	Relayed: Final ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C-603 Project C-1120248

# Delivery to these recipients or groups is complete, but no delivery notification was sent by the destination server:

SJV T5 Permits@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Final ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C-603 Project C-1120248

From:Microsoft OutlookTo:Mike Tollstrup (mtollstr@arb.ca.gov)Sent:Tuesday, July 17, 2012 3:37 PMSubject:Relayed: Final ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C-603 Project C-1120248

# Delivery to these recipients or groups is complete, but no delivery notification was sent by the destination server:

Mike Tollstrup (mtollstr@arb.ca.gov) (mtollstr@arb.ca.gov)

Subject: Final ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C-603 Project C-1120248

From:Bethany WhitneySent:Tuesday, July 17, 2012 4:08 PMTo:WebMasterSubject:valleyair.org update: Final ERC Public Notice for Hanford LP Facility C-603 Project C-1120248

July 17, 2012 (Facility C-603 Project C-1120248) Notice is hereby given that the Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reductions generated by the shutdown of their coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located, at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 lb-NOx/year; 91,347 lb-SOx/year; 13,509 lb-PM10/year; 65,478 lb-CO/year; and 798 lb-VOC/year.

#### Newspaper Notice

Public Notice Package



JUL 17 2012

Douglas Wheeler Hanford LP 4300 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565

#### RE: Notice of Final Action - Emission Reduction Credits Project Number: C-1120248

Dear Mr. Wheeler:

The Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reduction generated by the shutdown of a coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 lb-NOx/year; 91,347 lb-SOx/year; 13,509 lb-PM10/year; 65,478 lb-CO/year; and 798 lb-VOC/year.

Enclosed are the final ERC Certificates and a copy of the notice of final action to be published approximately three days from the date of this letter.

Notice of the District's preliminary decision to issue the ERC Certificates was published on April 26, 2012. The District's analysis of the proposal was also sent to CARB and US EPA Region IX on April 24, 2012. A summary of the comments received and the District responses to those comments can be found in Attachment H of the enclosed final emission reduction credit banking application review.

Comments received by the District during the public notice period resulted in a correction to the PM10 emission factor that was used to calculate the historical actual PM10 emissions from the coke fired boiler dating from December 2009 through November 2010; which resulted in an overall increase in 35 lb/year of PM10 emission reduction credits that will be issued within this project. These changes were minor and did not affect the basis for issuance of the above referenced ERCs.

Also enclosed is an invoice for the engineering evaluation fees pursuant to District Rule 3010. Please remit the amount owed, along with a copy of the attached invoice, within 60 days.

Seyed Sadredin Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region 4800 Enterprise Way Modesto, CA 95356-8718 Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475 Central Region (Main Office) 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244 Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061 www.valleyair.org

Southern Region 2700 M Street, Suite 275 Bakersfield, CA 93301-2373 Tel: (661) 326-6900 FAX: (661) 326-6985 Mr. Douglas Wheeler Page 2

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Jim Swaney at (559) 230-5900.

Sincerely,

David Warner Director of Permit Services

DW:ddb

Enclosures



JUL 17 2012

Gerardo C. Rios (AIR 3) Chief, Permits Office Air Division U.S. E.P.A. - Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

#### RE: Notice of Final Action - Emission Reduction Credits Project Number: C-1120248

Dear Mr. Rios:

The Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reduction generated by the shutdown of a coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 lb-NOx/year; 91,347 lb-SOx/year; 13,509 lb-PM10/year; 65,478 lb-CO/year; and 798 lb-VOC/year.

Enclosed are copies of the final ERC Certificates and a copy of the notice of final action to be published approximately three days from the date of this letter.

Notice of the District's preliminary decision to issue the ERC Certificates was published on April 26, 2012. The District's analysis of the proposal was also sent to CARB and US EPA Region IX on April 24, 2012. All comments received following the District's preliminary decision on this project were considered.

Comments received by the District during the public notice period resulted in a correction to the PM10 emission factor used to calculate the historical actual PM10 emissions from the coke fired boiler dating from December 2009 through November 2010 which resulted in an overall increase in 35 lb/year of PM10 emission reduction credits that will be issued within this project. These changes were minor and did not affect the basis for issuance of the above referenced ERCs.

Seyed Sadredin Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Difficer

Northern Region 4800 Enterprise Way Modesto, CA 95356-8718 Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475 Central Region (Main Office) 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244 Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061 www.valleyair.org Southern Region 2700 M Street, Suite 275 Bakersfield, CA 93301-2373 Tel: (661) 326-6900 FAX: (661) 326-6985 Mr. Gerardo C. Rios Page 2

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Jim Swaney at (559) 230-5900.

Sincerely,

David Warner Director of Permit Services

DW:ddb

Enclosures


JUL 17 2012

Mike Tollstrup, Chief Project Assessment Branch Stationary Source Division California Air Resources Board PO Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95812-2815

### RE: Notice of Final Action - Emission Reduction Credits Project Number: C-1120248

Dear Mr. Tollstrup:

The Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reduction generated by the shutdown of a coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 lb-NOx/year; 91,347 lb-SOx/year; 13,509 lb-PM10/year; 65,478 lb-CO/year; and 798 lb-VOC/year.

Enclosed are copies of the final ERC Certificates and a copy of the notice of final action to be published approximately three days from the date of this letter.

Notice of the District's preliminary decision to issue the ERC Certificates was published on April 26, 2012. The District's analysis of the proposal was also sent to CARB and US EPA Region IX on April 24, 2012. A summary of the comments received and the District responses to those comments can be found in Attachment H of the enclosed final emission reduction credit banking application review.

Comments received by the District during the public notice period resulted in a correction to the PM10 emission factor that was used to calculate the historical actual PM10 emissions from the coke fired boiler dating from December 2009 through November 2010; which resulted in an overall increase in 35 lb/year of PM10 emission reduction credits that will be issued within this project. These changes were minor and did not affect the basis for issuance of the above referenced ERCs.

**Northern Region** 4800 Enterprise Way Modesto, CA 95356-8718 Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

.

Central Region (Main Office) 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresnö, CA 93726-0244 Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061 www.valleyair.org

Seyed Sadredin Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

> Southern Region 2700 M Street, Suite 275 Bakersfield, CA 93301-2373 Tel: (661) 326-6900 FAX: (661).326-6985

Mr. Mike Tollstrup Page 2

If you have any questions, regarding the above response, or require additional clarification, please contact Mr. Jim Swaney at (559) 230-5900.

Sincerely,

David Warner Director of Permit Services

DW:ddb

Enclosures

### NOTICE OF FINAL ACTION FOR THE ISSUANCE OF EMISSION REDUCTION CREDITS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Air Pollution Control Officer has issued Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) to Hanford LP for emission reduction generated by the shutdown of a coke fired electrical generation facility that was previously located, at 10596 Idaho Avenue in Hanford, CA. The quantity of ERCs to be issued is 65,719 lb-NOx/year; 91,347 lb-SOx/year; 13,509 lb-PM10/year; 65,478 lb-CO/year; and 798 lb-VOC/year.

Comments received by the District during the public notice period resulted in a correction to the PM10 emission factor that was used to calculate the historical actual PM10 emissions from the coke fired boiler dating from December 2009 through November 2010; which resulted in an overall increase in 35 lb/year of PM10 emission reduction credits that will be issued within this project. These changes were minor and did not affect the basis for issuance of the above referenced ERCs.

The application review for Project #C-1120248 is available for public inspection at http://www.valleyair.org/notices/public\_notices\_idx.htm and the SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT, 1990 EAST GETTYSBURG AVENUE, FRESNO, CA 93726.





Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

## Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C-1164-1

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: July 9, 2012

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

For VOC Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
202 lbs	211 lbs	201 lbs	184 lbs

### [ ] Conditions Attached

### Method Of Reduction

- [ ] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units
- [] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

David Warner, Director of Permit Services





**AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT** 



Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

## **Emission Reduction Credit Certificate** C-1164-2

HANFORD L P **ISSUED TO:** 

July 9, 2012 ISSUED DATE:

**10596 IDAHO AVE** LOCATION OF **REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230** 

### For NOx Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
16,831 lbs	17,879 lbs	16,453 lbs	14,466 lbs

#### ] Conditions Attached Γ

### **Method Of Reduction**

- ] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- **IX 1 Shutdown of Emissions Units**
- [] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Director of Permit Services

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

AND THE MERGINE CHEESE



David Warner.

÷





Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

## Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C-1164-3

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: July 9, 2012

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

### For CO Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
14,947 lbs	16,905 lbs	15,766 lbs	17,860 lbs

### [ ] Conditions Attached

### **Method Of Reduction**

- [ ] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units
- [] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seved Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

David Warner, Director of Permit Services





HEALTHY AIR LIVING

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

.

## Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C-1164-4

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: July 9, 2012

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

For PM10 Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
3,365 lbs	3,665 lbs	3,359 lbs	3,120 lbs

### [ ] Conditions Attached

### **Method Of Reduction**

- [ ] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units
- [] Other

Jul 9 2012 7:40AM -- BROWNE

1

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seved Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

nas nationa nas



David Warner, Director of Permit Services





Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

## Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C-1164-5

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: July 9, 2012

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

For SOx Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
21,847 lbs	24,148 lbs	21,591 lbs	23,761 lbs

### [ ] Conditions Attached

### **Method Of Reduction**

- [ ] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units

[] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seved Sadredin, Executive Director / APCO

David Warner, Director of Permit Services



11

### Emission Reduction Credit Banking Application Review

Shutdown of Coke Fired Electrical Generation Facility

### Processing Engineers: Frank DeMaris/Dustin Brown Lead Engineer: Joven Refuerzo Date: May 31, 2012

Facility Name: Mailing Address: Hanford LP 4300 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565

Contact: Phone: Email:

Douglas Wheeler (925) 431-1443 dwheeler@gwfpower.com

Facility Location:

10596 Idaho Avenue Hanford, CA 93230

Date Application Received:	January 31, 2012
Deemed Deemed Complete:	February 29, 2012
Project Number:	C-11200248

### I. Summary:

Hanford LP ("HLP") has applied for emission reduction credits (ERC) for actual emission reductions (AER) stemming from the shutdown of their stationary source operation. HLP includes a 320 MMBtu/hr coke-fired boiler used to generate electricity and various supporting facilities, including a cooling tower. HLP shut down this source operation on August 22, 2011 and applied for dormant emission unit (DEU) status on September 6, 2011 in order to delay certain recurrent compliance requirements while evaluating the facility's potential for future operation. The application to bank ERC was received on January 31, 2012, and a letter cancelling the permits to operate (PTOs) was received on February 21, 2012 in response to the District's determination that the application was not complete without such a letter. The District accepts that the date of actual emission reductions is the date the facility was shut down, August 22, 2011. The following permit units have been cancelled (copies of cancelled permits included in Attachment A):

Table 1: Cancelled Permit Units			
C-603-1-11	320 MMBtu/hr coke-fired fluidized bed boiler		
C-603-2-2	Kaolin system		
C-603-3-2	Gypsum system		
C-603-13-4	Synthetic fly ash gypsum silo and loadout system		
C-603-14-2	Synthetic bed ash gypsum silo and loadout system		
C-603-15-2	Sodium bicarbonate silo		
C-603-16-1	15,466 Gal/min cooling tower		

Based on the historical operating data prior to the shutdown, the amounts of bankable Actual Emission Reductions (AER) for NO<sub>X</sub>, CO, VOC, PM<sub>10</sub> and SO<sub>X</sub> emissions are as shown in the table below. These values are calculated in Section V of this document:

Table 2: Bankable Emissions Reductions Credits (ERC's)							
Pollutant	Pollutant Q1 ERC (lb/qtr) Q2 ERC (lb/qtr) Q3 ERC (lb/qtr) Q4 ERC (lb/						
NOx	16,831	17,879	16,543	14,466			
SOx	21,847	24,148	21,591	23,761			
PM10	3,365	3,665	3,359	3,120			
СО	14,947	16,905	15,766	17,860			
VOC	202	211	201	184			

### II. Applicable Rules:

Rule 2301, Emission Reduction Credit Banking

### III. Location of Reduction:

HLP is located at 10596 Idaho Ave. in Hanford, California.

### IV. Method of Generating Reductions:

HLP received its original authority to construct permit in 1987 and has operated continuously since. The entire facility was shut down on August 22, 2011 and all operating permits (except for one emergency engine, PTO C-603-6-3, which is being transferred to facility C-4140) were cancelled on February 21, 2012.

### V. Calculations:

### A. Assumptions and Emission Factors

HLP's coke-fired boiler is required to operate and maintain a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) for NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and CO. AER for these pollutants is determined from a review of CEMS data (boiler CEMS Summaries included in Attachment E). For PM<sub>10</sub> and VOC, AER is calculated by using the most current source test data (boiler source test result summaries included in Attachment D).

It is noted that in December 2009 HLP failed a source test for  $PM_{10}$ , and then passed a retest in January 2010. HLP proposed to use only the passing test results in calculating actual emission reductions. As this is the most conservative approach, the District will use the passing source test results from the January 2010 source test in calculating the emissions for the time period in between the failed December 2009 source test and the passed January 2010 source test.

In addition, the coke-fired boiler also fired a small amount of natural gas as an auxiliary fuel. Emissions from natural gas combustion are captured by CEMS data (for  $NO_x$ ,  $SO_x$ , and CO) or by source test data (for  $PM_{10}$  and VOC). Separate emission calculations for pollutants from natural gas combustion is unnecessary and has not been conducted.

Finally, HLP has also proposed to bank ERC from AER resulting from the shutdown of the cooling tower serving the boiler. HLP has historically been required (by other regulatory entities) to measure the total dissolved solids (TDS) in the cooling tower water. It has done so by measuring the electroconductivity (EC) and multiplying by a conversion factor of 0.638. TDS can be used with the cooling tower drift rate (0.008%), cooling tower recirculation rate (15,466 gal/min), and a  $PM_{10}$  fraction of 0.70 to determine the emission rate.

Emission factors used in calculating AER are summarized in Table 3, with source test results including the date the results are effective:

Table 3: Emission Factors				
Unit	Pollutant	Emission Factor		
	NOx	CEMS		
C-603-1-11	SOx	CEMS		
	PM <sub>10</sub>	0.63 lb/hr (1/1/09), 2.10 lb/hr <sup>1</sup> (12/10/09), 0.97 lb/hr (12/1/10)		
	CO	CEMS		
	VOC	0.11 lb/hr (1/1/09), 0.10 lb/hr (12/1/10)		
C-603-16-1	PM <sub>10</sub>	0.000433 lb-PM <sub>10</sub> -ppmw/hr		

Note that the source test results for VOC in December, 2009 confirmed that the boiler continued to emit at the same rate of 0.11 lb-VOC/hr shown by the previous test. Therefore, no separate entry is included for the December 2009 source test for VOC.

The actual emissions from the cooling tower are the product of mass balancing from the operating time (in hours) and TDS concentration (in ppm by weight). The constants in the calculation can be used to develop the emission factor.

EF = (0.008 lb-PM/100 lb-H<sub>2</sub>O) x (0.7 lb-PM<sub>10</sub>/lb-PM) x (8.34 lb-H<sub>2</sub>O/gal) x (15,466 gal/min) x (60 min/hr) ÷ (1,000,000 ppmw) EF = 0.000433 lb-PM<sub>10</sub>-ppmw/hr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Original PM<sub>10</sub> emission rate used for this ERC banking application was 2.09 lb/hr. Based on a comment received during the public comment period for this project, the validity of the emission rate came in to question and the District was asked to justify the value used. The PM<sub>10</sub> emission rate for the time period dating from December 2009 through November 2010 was actually established based on revised and corrected source test results provided by The Avogadro Group on behalf of Hanford LP. The original source test results had errors in the calculations that were used to correct PM and PM<sub>10</sub> results for the ammonium sulfate collected as artifact from dissolved ammonia and SO<sub>2</sub> in the impinger catch. Based on these revised source test results, the official PM<sub>10</sub> emission rate was documented at 2.10 lb/hr. Therefore, the PM<sub>10</sub> emission rate used throughout this evaluation has been changed from 2.09 lb/hr to 2.10 lb/hr. See additional justification and explanation of the PM<sub>10</sub> emission factor corrections in Attachments D and H.

### B. Baseline Period Determination and Data

HLP has supplied fuel use data for petroleum coke from January 2006 through August 2011, the five-year period prior to submission of the application to bank ERC (HLP fuel usage records included in Attachment C).

Table 4: Historical Production			
	Coke Usage (Tons)	8 quarter deviation from average (tons)	
Q1 2006	21,457		
Q2 2006	21,880		
Q3 2006	21,986		
Q4 2006	18,926		
Q1 2007	21,353		
Q2 2007	21,752		
Q3 2007	22,315		
Q4 2007	22,105	-2,069	
Q1 2008	18,513	-1,701	
Q2 2008	21,208	-1,617	
Q3 2008	21,685		
Q4 2008	20,756	-1,808	
Q1 2009	20,939	-1,757	
Q2 2009	20,102	-1,550	
Q3 2009	20,808	-1,362	
Q4 2009	21,885	-1,334	
Q1 2010	18,694	-1,357	
Q2 2010	21,093	-1,343	
Q3 2010	17,205	-783	
Q4 2010	13,631	108	
Q1 2011	14,505	912	
Q2 2011	12,140	1,907	
Q3 2011	11,322	3,093	
Average	19,403		

As shown above, the period with the smallest 8-quarter deviation from average is Q1 2009 through Q4 2010. This period will be used to evaluate AER for this application.

C. Historical Actual Emissions

Historical actual emissions (HAE) are calculated using actual fuel use records and the emission factors determined previously, although AER are discounted for emissions in excess of the permitted emission limits. In this case, HLP received notice of violation (NOV) 5005191 for exceeding the SO<sub>x</sub> emission limit. In addition, HLP has submitted several deviation reports for the baseline period showing excess emissions of SO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. Although HLP received breakdown relief for these deviations, the excess emissions must be discounted in determining creditable AER for the ERC application.

### Emissions from the Boiler (C-603-1-12):

### For NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and CO

As previously noted, HAE for  $NO_x$ ,  $SO_x$ , and CO is determined from a review of CEMS data. However, excess emissions of  $NO_x$  and  $SO_x$  must be deducted from HAE as well in calculating AER for those pollutants. These excess emissions amount to 6 pounds of  $NO_x$  in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 2009, 4 pounds of  $SO_x$  in the 3<sup>rd</sup> quarter of 2010, and 2 pounds of  $SO_x$  in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of 2010. HAE for these pollutants is summarized in Table 5 below.

Table 5: NO <sub>x</sub> , SO <sub>x</sub> , and CO					
Pollutant	Year	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)
	2009	20,239	19,596	19,884	19,859
NOx	2010	17,162	20,135	16,878	12,287
	Average	18,701	19,866	18,381	16,073
	2009	19,175	18,372	18,958	31,895
SOx	2010	29,374	35,289	29,022	20,906
	Average	24,275	26,831	23,990	26,401
со	2009	21,887	26,174	31,363	35,448
	2010	11,328	11,392	3,673	4,242
	Average	16,608	18,783	17,518	19,845

### For PM<sub>10</sub> and VOC:

HAE is calculated by month in Table 6 below, and then summarized as quarterly totals and averages in Table 7:

Table 6: PM <sub>10</sub> and VOC by Month					
Date	Time (hr)	PM <sub>10</sub> EF (lb/hr)	PM <sub>10</sub> (lb/month)	VOC EF (lb/hr)	VOC (lb/month)
1/2009	744	0.63	468.7	0.11	81.8
2/2009	670	0.63	422.1	0.11	73.7
3/2009	737	0.63	464.3	0.11	81.1
4/2009	720	0.63	453.6	0.11	79.2
5/2009	740	0.63	466.2	0.11	81.4
6/2009	618	0.63	389.3	0.11	68.0
7/2009	744	0.63	468.7	0.11	81.8
8/2009	744	0.63	468.7	0.11	81.8
9/2009	645	0.63	406.4	0.11	71.0
10/2009	741	0.63	466.8	0.11	81.5
11/2009	721	0.63	454.2	0.11	79.3
12/1/2009	216	0.63	136.1	0.11	23.8
12/10/2009	528	2.10	1,108.8	0.11	58.1
1/1/2010	336	2.10	705.6	0.11	37.0
1/15/2010	356	2.10	747.6	0.11	39.2
2/2010	485	2.10	1,018.5	0.11	53.4
3/2010	743	2.10	1,560.3	0.11	81.7
4/2010	719	2.10	1,509.9	0.11	79.1
5/2010	744	2.10	1,562.4	0.11	81.8
6/2010	714	2.10	1,499.4	0.11	78.5
7/2010	659	2.10	1,383.9	0.11	72.5

8/2010	707	2.10	1,484.7	0.11	77.8
9/2010	539	2.10	1,131.9	0.11	59.3
10/2010	537	2.10	1,127.7	0.11	59.1
11/2010	715	2.10	1,501.5	0.11	78.7
12/1/2010	271	0.97	262.9	0.10	27.1

Table 7: PM <sub>10</sub> and VOC by Quarter									
Pollutant	Year	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)				
	2009	1,355	1,309	1,344	2,166				
PM <sub>10</sub>	2010	4,032	4,572	4,001	2,892				
	Average	2,694	2,941	2,673	2,529				
	2009	237	229	235	243				
VOC	2010	211	239	210	165				
	Average	224	234	223	204				

### Emissions from the Cooling Tower (C-603-16-2)

For the cooling tower, operational time and TDS are the variables that determine actual emissions during the baseline period (cooling tower operating and monitoring data included in Attachment F). Since operating time and TDS vary on a monthly basis, HAE must be calculated each month, summed for each quarter of the baseline period, and then averaged for each quarter.

Table 8: Cooling Tower HAE by Month								
Month	TDS (ppm)	Time (hr)	Conversion	HAE (Ib/month)				
Jan-09	1162	744		374.3				
Feb-09	1222	670		354.5				
Mar-09	1316	737		420.0				
Apr-09	1413	720		440.5				
May-09	1339	740		429.0				
Jun-09	1199	618		320.8				
Jul-09	1284	744		413.6				
Aug-09	1229	744		395.9				
Sep-09	1148	645		320.6				
Oct-09	1183	741		379.6				
Nov-09	1183	721		369.3				
Dec-09	1180	743		379.6				
Jan-10	1195	692	0.000433 lb-Plvi <sub>10</sub> -ppmw/m	358.1				
Feb-10	995	485		209.0				
Mar-10	1162	743		373.8				
Apr-10	1152	719		358.6				
May-10	1127	744		363.1				
Jun-10	1130	715		349.8				
Jul-10	1200	659		342.4				
Aug-10	1223	707		374.4				
Sep-10	1156	539		269.8				
Oct-10	1194	537		277.6				
Nov-10	1194	715		369.7				
Dec-10	848	271		99.5				

Table 9: Cooling Tower HAE by Quarter								
Pollutant	Year	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)			
	2009	1,149	1,190	1,130	1,129			
PM <sub>10</sub>	2010	941	1,072	987	747			
	Average	1,045	1,131	1,059	938			

HAE for the entire ERC application are summarized in Table 10 below.

Table 10: HAE by Quarter								
Pollutant	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)				
NOx	18,701	19,866	18,381	16,073				
SOx	24,275	26,831	23,990	26,401				
PM <sub>10</sub>	3,739	4,072	3,732	3,467				
CO	16,608	18,783	17,518	19,845				
VOC	224	234	223	204				

### D. Actual Emissions Reductions

AER for each pollutant is calculated by subtracting PE2 from HAE. Since HLP has generated the AER by shutting down the stationary source, PE2 for all pollutants is zero. AER is summarized in Table 11 below.

Table 11: AER by Quarter								
Pollutant	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)				
NOx	18,701	19,866	18,381	16,073				
SOx	24,275	26,831	23,990	26,401				
PM <sub>10</sub>	3,739	4,072	3,732	3,467				
СО	16,608	18,783	17,518	19,845				
VOC	224	234	223	204				

### E. Community Bank Allowance

Pursuant to Section 4.12.1 of District Rule 2201, 10% of all AER submitted for banking is deducted for the Air Quality Improvement Deduction to fund the Community Bank. The value of this deduction is summarized in Table 12 below:

	Table 12: Community Bank Deduction								
Pollutant	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)					
NOx	1,870	1,987	1,838	1,607					
SOx	2,428	2,683	2,399	2,640					
PM <sub>10</sub>	374	407	373	347					
CO	1,661	1,878	1,752	1,985					
VOC	22	23	22	20					

### F. Increases in Permitted Emissions

There are no increases in permitted emissions (IPE) associated with this project.

Table 13: Bankable Actual Emissions Reductions (AER)								
Pollutant	Q1 (lb/qtr)	Q2 (lb/qtr)	Q3 (lb/qtr)	Q4 (lb/qtr)				
NO <sub>x</sub>	16,831	17,879	16,543	14,466				
SOx	21,847	24,148	21,591	23,761				
PM <sub>10</sub>	3,365	3,665	3,359	3,120				
CO	14,947	16,905	15,766	17,860				
VOC	202	211	201	184				

### G. Bankable Emissions Reductions Credits

The quantity of emission reductions eligible for banking is shown in Table 13.

### VI. <u>Compliance:</u>

A. Real

The emission reductions proposed for banking result from the shutdown of the coke-fired boiler and cooling tower. The emission reductions are developed from CEMS data or developed from actual operating and source test data. Therefore, the emission reductions are real.

### B. Enforceable

HLP has surrendered the operating permit for all units for which it proposes to bank ERC. Operation without the PTO would be subject to enforcement action for a violation of District Rule 2010 (Permits Required). Therefore, the emission reductions are enforceable.

C. Quantifiable

As shown in Section V of this evaluation, emission reductions were calculated using data from a properly installed and calibrated CEMS, or were calculated using actual operating data and source test results. Therefore, the emission reductions are quantifiable.

D. Permanent

HLP has surrendered the operating permit for all units for which it proposes to bank ERC. Operation of the equipment without a valid PTO is subject to enforcement action. Construction of replacement equipment must be authorized by the District after evaluation under all applicable rules, including District Rule 2201 (New and Modified Stationary Source Review Rule), under which any increase in emissions over the applicable threshold must be offset. Therefore, the emission reductions are permanent.

E. Surplus

Until the operation was shut down, HLP complied with all applicable emission limits contained in the permit to operate and developed from the applicable rules and regulations. Therefore, the AER calculated in Section V are surplus to all current requirements. Furthermore, only one applicable rule amendment, to District Rule 4352, has been adopted, workshopped, or noticed for development since the permit was last amended. The amendment to Rule 4352 would reduce the allowable NO<sub>x</sub> emission concentration to 65 ppmv @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, but since the permitted limit for HLP is 28 ppmv @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, even over a shorter averaging time than allowed by the rule, it is evident that the existing NO<sub>x</sub> emission limit is lower than the limit in the amended rule. Therefore, the reductions are surplus.

### F. Timeliness

HLP ceased operation on August 22, 2011, from which time it had 180 days to submit the ERC application. This 180-day clock would expire on February 18, 2012. Since the application was received by the District on January 31, 2012, the application is timely.

### VII. Recommendation:

The ERC banking application complies with all applicable rules and regulations. Issue ERC certificates in the amounts shown in Table 2 above.

Attachments:

- Attachment A, Surrendered PTO's C-603-1-11, '-2-2, '-3-2, '-13-4, '-14-2, '-15-2 and '-16-1
- Attachment B, ERC Application
- Attachment C, Hanford LP Fuel Use Records
- Attachment D, Boiler Source Test Results
- Attachment E, Boiler NO<sub>X</sub>, SO<sub>X</sub>, and CO CEMS Summaries
- Attachment F, Cooling Tower Operating and Monitoring Data
- Attachment G, Draft ERC Certificates
- Attachment H, California Air Resources Board (CARB) Comments and District Responses

### Attachment A

Surrendered PTO's C-603-1-11, '-2-2, '-3-2, '-13-4, '-14-2, '-15-2, and '-16-1

#### **PERMIT UNIT: C-603-1-11**

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2008

### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

30 MW FLUIDIZED BED COMBUSTOR FUELED BY PETROLEUM COKE, NATURAL GAS, AND NO. 2 FUEL OIL UP TO 320 MMBTU/HR

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Fuel consumption in the fluidized bed combustor shall not exceed 320 MMBTU/hr of petroleum coke, natural gas, and No. 2 fuel oil. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Natural gas utilization in the fluidized bed combustor shall not exceed 48 MMBTU/hr. Fuel oil may only be used during warm-up or as necessary to establish or maintain bed temperature at 1,560 degree F at a rate not to exceed 170 MMBTU/hr. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. Natural gas consumption in the low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 2 million set in any one day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The NOx emissions (measured as NO2) from the combined exhaust of the low pressure evaporator and fluidized bed combustor shall not exceed 245 pounds in any one day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. The NOx concentration (as NO2 corrected to 3% O2) in the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 28 ppmvd averaged over any 3 hour period when the freeboard temperature is at least 1,560 degree F. [District Rules 220], District Rule 4301 and District Rule 4352, 5.1] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. The carbon monoxide emissions from the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 544 pounds in any one day. [District Rule 2201 and District Rule 4352, 5.3] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Annual carbon monoxide emissions from the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 156,000 pounds per year. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. The VOC emissions from the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 60 pounds in any one day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. The PM 10 emissions from the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 80 pounds in any one day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- The concentration of particulate matter in the exhaust from the main baghouse shall not exceed 0.005 gr/dscf corrected to 12% CO2. [District Rule 2201, District Rule 4301, and 40 CFR 60.43b(c)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. SOx emissions (calculated as SO2) from the combined exhaust of the combustor and the low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 469 pounds per day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Sorbent shall be injected into the fluidized bed combustor at a rate sufficient to meet the SOx concentration and consistions limits in these conditions. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

Permit Unit Requirements for C-603-1-11 (continued)

- 13. The SOx concentration (as SO2 corrected to 3% O2) in the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 35 ppmvd averaged over any three hour period when the bed temperature was at least 1,500 degree F. [District Rule 2201, District Rule 4301 and District Rule 4801] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Pennit
- 14. A start-up event commences when the petroleum coke feed to the CFBC is initiated and/or the freeboard temperature is 1,560 degree F. The start-up event is complete when the NOx concentration and SOx concentration are in compliance with the concentration limits. A shutdown event commences when the petroleum coke feed to the CFBC is terminated and is complete when the combustion air flow to the CFBC is terminated. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 15. The start-up/shutdown event shall not exceed any of the following limits: 2 hours, 1 per day, 50 per year. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 16. Emissions from the circulating fluidized bed combustor shall not exceed either of the following limits during a start-up or shutdown event: 140 lb NOx/hr or 200 lb SO2/hr. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 17. In no event shall SO2 emissions from the combined exhaust of the combustor and the low pressure evaporator exceed 76.1 ton/yr. [District Rule 2201 and 40 CFR 52.21] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Pennit
- 18. Anumonia shall be injected into the fluidized bed combustor as necessary to meet the limits in these conditions and whenever the freeboard temperature is at least 1,560 degree F. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 19. The concentration of animonia in the combined exhaust of the fluidized bed combustor and low pressure evaporator shall not exceed 30 ppmvd. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 20. Source testing to demonstrate compliance with permit conditions and all rules and regulations shall be conducted on an annual basis. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Performance testing shall be conducted annually for NOx, CO, SOx, and PM(10) at normal operating capacity using following test methods; for NOx, EPA Method 7E or ARB Method 1-100; for CO, EPA Method 10 or ARB Method 100; for SOx, EPA Method 6 or 6C; and for PM(10), EPA Method 201A, and SCAQMD Method 5.3 and 6.1. [District Rules 1081 and 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 22. Filterable PM(10) shall be quantified using EPA Method 201A. Condensable PM10 from the back-half of the test apparatus shall be quantified using SCAQMD methods 5.3 and 6.1. Total PM10 is the sum of the results of these two tests. [District Rules 108] and 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 23. Source testing shall be conducted using the methods and procedures approved by the District. The District must be notified at least 30 days prior to any compliance source test, and a source test plan must be submitted for approval at least 15 days prior to testing. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 24. The results of each source test shall be submitted to the District within 60 days thereafter. [District Rule 1081] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 25. The pressure drop across the filter fabric in the combustion exhaust baghouse shall be monitored daily. Immediate corrective action must be taken if the pressure drop in any section is greater than 10 inches H2O or less than 0.5 inches H2O. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 26. A Continuous Emissions Monitoring System shall be in place and operating whenever the facility is operating. NOx (as NO2 corrected to 3% O2), SOx as SO2, CO, opacity and O2 concentrations must be recorded continuously. [District Rule 1080] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 27. Results of continuous emissions monitoring shall be reduced according to the procedure established in 40 CFR, Part 51, Appendix P, paragraphs 5.0 through 5.3.3, or by other methods deemed equivalent by mutual agreement with the District, the ARB, and the EPA. [District Rule 1080] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 28. The continuous monitoring equipment must be linked to a data logger which is compatible with the District's data acquisition system. [District Rule 1080 and District Rule 4352] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS CONTINUE ON NEXT PAGE These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate.

#### Permit Unit Requirements for C-603-1-11 (continued)

- 29. The owner/operator shall perform a relative accuracy test audit (RATA) as specified by 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F, 5.11, at least once every four calendar quarters. The permittee shall comply with the applicable requirements for quality assurance testing and maintenance of the continuous emission monitor equipment in accordance with the procedures and guidance specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix F. [District Rule 1080] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 30. Operator shall notify the APCO no later than eight hours after the detection of a breakdown of the CEMS. Operator shall inform the APCO of the intent to shut down the CEMS at least 24 hours prior to the event. [District Rule 1080; Fresno County Rule 108] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Pennit
- 31. The permittee shall submit a written report to the APCO for each calendar quarter, within 30 days of the end of the quarter, including: time intervals, data and magnitude of excess emissions, nature and cause of excess emissions (if known), corrective actions taken and preventive measures adopted; averaging period used for data reporting shall correspond to the averaging period for each respective emission standard; applicable time and date of each period during which the CEM was inoperative (except for zero and span checks) and the nature of system repairs and adjustments; and a negative declaration when no excess emissions occurred. [District Rule 1080, 40 CFR 60.49b(f) and 40 CFR 60.49b(h)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Pennit
- 32. An ultimate analysis for each lot of liquid or solid fuel received shall be maintained on site and made available to the District upon request. The analyses shall include heating value, sulfur content, and nitrogen content. [District Rule 1070] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 33. Records of all daily fuel consumption shall be maintained on site and submitted to the District with quarterly reports and upon request. [District Rule 1070, District rule 1080, District Rule 4352 and 40 CFR 60.49b(d)] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 34. A violation of NOx emission standards indicated by the NOx CEM shall be reported by the operator to the APCO within 96 hours. [Rule 108 (Kings, Fresno, Merced San Joaquin, Tulare, Kern, and Stanislaus) and Rule 109 (Madera) and District Rule 1080, 9.0] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 35. If the unit is fired on diesel fuel that is not supplier-certified 0.0015% sulfur content or less, the sulfur content of each fuel source shall be tested weekly, except that if compliance with the fuel sulfur content limit has been demonstrated for 8 consecutive weeks for a fuel source, then the testing frequency shall be semi-annually. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 36. Operator shall maintain copies of fuel invoices and supplier certifications. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 37. Records of system maintenance, inspections, and repair shall be maintained. The records shall include identification of the equipment, date of inspection, corrective action taken, and identification of the individual performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 38. Operator shall maintain all records for at least five years and conform to the recordkeeping requirements described in District Rule 2520. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

#### PERMIT UNIT: C-603-2-2

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016

KAOLIN SYSTEM CONSISTING OF ONE 11,969 GALLON (1,600 CUBIC FEET) WES-CO/STEEL STRUCTURES STORAGE SILO (UPPER UNIT) SERVED BY SAUNCO SVST 6-25-245 PULSE JET CLEANING BAGHOUSE

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is dark or darker than Ringelmann 0 or equivalent to 0% opacity. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 2. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. The baghouse shall be maintained and operated according to manufacturer's specifications. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The baghouse cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. Material removed from the dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest vent filter using each type of bag shall be maintained on the premises. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. The baghouse pressure drop shall be maintained between 0.5" 3" water column at all times of operation. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. Particulate matter (PM10) emissions shall not exceed 0.5 lb/day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 10. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

#### PERMIT UNIT: C-603-3-2

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

INERT MATERIAL (GYPSUM) SYSTEM CONSISTING OF ONE 11,969 GALLON (1,600 CUBIC FEET) WES-CO/STEEL STRUCTURES STORAGE SILO (LOWER UNIT) SERVED BY A SAUNCO SVSB 25-245 PULSE JET CLEANING BAGHOUSE

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- I. No air contaminant shall be discharged into the atmosphere for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour which is dark or darker than Ringelmann 0 or equivalent to 0% opacity. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 2. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. The baghouse shall be maintained and operated according to manufacturer's specifications. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The baghouse cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. Material removed from the dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest vent filter using each type of bag shall be maintained on the premises. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. The baghouse pressure drop shall be maintained between 0.5" 3" water column at all times of operation. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Particulate matter (PM10) emissions shall not exceed 0.85 lb/day. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 10. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

#### PERMIT UNIT: C-603-13-4

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

143,345 GALLON (19,164 CUBIC FEET) SYNTHETIC GYPSUM STORAGE SILO (FROM FLY ASH). SILO LOADING SERVED BY A 2,000 CFM SAUNCO TECHNOLOGIES MODEL #ULTRA BB-16-84 ARRANGEMENT 2B BAGHOUSE DUST COLLECTOR SILO UNLOADING SERVED BY A BIN VENT FILTER AND A MOVABLE, SEALING SPOUT

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants. [District Rule 4102]
- 2. Visible emissions from the baghouses serving the fly ash handling and storage operation shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 4101] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The baghouse shall be maintained and operated according to manufacturer's specifications. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. The baghouse shall be equipped with a pressure differential gauge to indicate the pressure drop across the bags. The gauge shall be maintained in good working condition at all times and shall be located in an easily accessible location. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest baghouse, and for each type of bag, shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. The baghouse cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. Material removed from dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. Fly ash throughput shall not exceed 225 tons per day. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 10. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 13. Records of daily fly ash throughput and the amount of material loaded shall be maintained, retained on the premises at least five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rules 2201, 1070 and 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate.

#### PERMIT UNIT: C-603-14-2

### EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016

45,259 GALLON (6,050 CUBIC FEET) SYNTHETIC GYPSUM STORAGE SILO (FROM BED ASH). SILO LOADING SERVED BY A CYCLONE AND A BAGHOUSE DUST COLLECTOR IN SERIES. SILO UNLOADING SERVED BY A BIN VENT FILTER AND A MOVABLE, SEALING SPOUT

### **PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS**

- 1. All equipment shall be maintained in good operating condition and shall be operated in a manner to minimize emissions of air contaminants into the atmosphere. [District Rule 4102]
- 2. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. The dust collector shall be maintained and operated according to manufacturer's specifications. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. The dust collector cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Material removed from dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. Replacement bags and filters numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest baghouse, and for each type of bag, shall be maintained on the premises. [District Rule 2201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 9. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 10. Records of the amount of material loaded on a daily basis shall be maintained, retained on the premises at least five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

Facility Name: HANFORD L P Location: 10596 IDAHO AVE, HANFORD, CA 93230 C63314-7: Aug 9 2011 7:3794 – BRARD

### PERMIT UNIT: C-603-15-2

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

10,473 GALLON (1,400 CUBIC FEET) INERT MATERIAL (SODIUM BICARBONATE/SORBENT) STORAGE SILO, LOADING SYSTEM SERVED BY A DSS MODEL WAM SILO TOP PULSE JET CLEANING BAGHOUSE, AND A SEALED SCREW CONVEYER

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Visible emissions from the baghouses serving the sodium bicarbonate handling and storage operation shall not equal or exceed 5% opacity for a period or periods aggregating more than three minutes in any one hour. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 4101] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 2. Visible emissions shall be inspected annually under material and environmental conditions, such as dry and windy, where high emissions are expected. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 3. Enclosure shall be completely inspected annually for evidence of particulate matter leaks and repaired as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.3.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 4. Dust collector filters shall be inspected at least once every two weeks while not in operation for any tears, holes, abrasions, and scuffs which might interfere with the PM collection efficiency and shall be replaced as needed. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 5. No air contaminant shall be released into the atmosphere which causes a public nuisance. [District Rule 4102] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 6. Particulate matter emissions shall not exceed 0.1 grains/dscf in concentration. [District Rule 4201] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 7. The baghouse shall be equipped with a pressure differential gauge to indicate the pressure drop across the bags. The gauge shall be maintained in good working condition at all times and shall be located in an easily accessible location. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 8. The baghouse shall operate at all times with a minimum differential pressure of 0.1 inches water column and a maximum differential pressure of 3.5 inches water column. [District NSR Rule]
- 9. Replacement bags numbering at least 10% of the total number of bags in the largest baghouse using each type of bag shall be maintained on the premises. [District NSR Rule]
- 10. Material removed from dust collector(s) shall be disposed of in a manner preventing entrainment into the atmosphere. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 11. The baghouse cleaning frequency and duration shall be adjusted to optimize the control efficiency. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 12. Emissions from the sodium bicarbonate silo shall not exceed 0.0016 lb PM10 per ton of sodium bicarbonate. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 13. The maximum throughput for the sodium bicarbonate storage operation shall exceed either of the following limits: 42 tons per day or 660 tons per year. [District NSR Rule] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

Permit Unit Requirements for C-603-15-2 (continued)

- 14. Records of dust collector inspection, maintenance, and repair shall be maintained. These records shall include identification of the dust collector, date of inspection, any corrective action taken as a result of inspection, and initials of the personnel performing the inspection. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 15. Differential operating pressure shall be monitored and recorded on each day that the baghouse operates. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 16. Records of the amount of material loaded on a daily basis shall be maintained, retained on the premises at least five years, and shall be made available for District inspection upon request. [District Rule 2520, 9.4.2] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit
- 17. Records of daily sodium bicarbonate throughput shall be maintained, retained on-site for a period of at least five years and made available for District inspection upon request. [District NSR Rule and District Rule 1070] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

PERMIT UNIT: C-603-16-1

#### EXPIRATION DATE: 04/30/2016

#### **EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:**

15,466 GPM MARLEY 3-CELL, COUNTER FLOW, INDUCED DRAFT, COOLING TOWER

### PERMIT UNIT REQUIREMENTS

1. No hexavalent chromium containing compounds shall be added to cooling tower circulating water. [District Rule 7012] Federally Enforceable Through Title V Permit

These terms and conditions are part of the Facility-wide Permit to Operate.

## Attachment B

**ERC** Application

## San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control DistRECEIVED

### **Application for**

JAN 31 2012

[X] EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT (ERC)

| | CONSOLIDATION OF ERC CERTIFIERENITS Services

	Hanford LP			Facility ID: C- 603 (if known)			
. MAILING ADDRESS: 5tre	et/P.O. Box: 4300 Rai	ilroad Avenue					
	City: Pittsburg	g State: CA Zip C	orte: 94565-6006	i			
LOCATION OF REDUCTION: Street:10596 Idaho Avenue					4. DATE OF REDUCTION: August 22, 2011		
City: _Hanford, CA, 9.	3230						
The Site Universal Transve 262,139.0.meters, Vertical 119º 38' 52'' West Longitur	rse Mercator (UTM) 4,016,882.0 meters. de.	) coordinates are at 3 The plant is at 36 <sup>0</sup> 16	Zone 11, Horizon 5' 9'' North Lutit	tal ude and			
/4 SECTION	TOWNSHIP_	RANG	E				
. PERMIT NO(S): C-603-1-	6	EXIS	STING ERC NO(	S):			
METHOD RESULTING IN	EMISSION REDUC	 TION:			_		
[X]SHUTDOWN	[] REIROF	IT []	PROCESS CHAP	<b>SGE</b>	[ ]OTHER		
DESCRIPTION: 30 MW	Fluidized Bed Con	nbustor fueled by	Petroleum Cok	e, Natural (	Gas and No. 2 Fue	l oil up to 320	
MMBTU/hr was sh	utdown on Augus	t 22, 2011 and all	permits have b	een designat	ed dormant.	/Lies additional shares if more	
REQUESTED ERCs (In Pa	unds Per Calendar (	Quarter):				(000 100.000 0.000 0.000	
-							
	VOC	NOx	СО	PM10	SOx	OTHER	
IST QUARTER	VOC 218	NOx 18255	CO 18188	PM10 939	SOx 25374	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUAR'IER	VOC   218   219	NOx 18255 18256	CO 18188 18189	PM10 939 940	50x 25374 25375	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUARTER 3RD QUARTER	VOC   218   219   219	NOx 18255 18256 18256	CO 18188 18189 18189	PM10 939 940 940	SOx 25374 25375 25375	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUARTER 3RD QUARTER 4TH QUARTER	VOC   218   219   219   219   218	NOx 18255 18256 18256 18256	CO 18188 18189 18189 18189 18188	PM10 939 940 940 939	SOx   25374   25375   25375   25375	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUARTER 3RD QUARTER 4TH QUARTER 5IGNS TORNOR APPLICA	VOC 218 219 219 219 218 .NT:	NOx 18255 18256 18256 18256	CO 18188 18189 18189 18189 18188 TYPE OR F	PM10 939 940 940 939 939	SOx 25374 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUARTER 3RD QUARTER 4TH QUARTER 5 SIGNUTORS OF APPLICA	VOC 218 219 219 219 218 .NT:	NOx 18255 18256 18256 18256	CO 18188 18189 18189 18189 18188 TYPE OR F Vice Presid	PM10 939 940 940 939 981NT TITLE dent	SOx 25374 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUARTER 3RD QUARTER 4TH QUARTER 5. SIGNATORE OF APPLICA	VOC 218 219 219 219 218	NOx 18255 18256 18256 18256	CO 18188 18189 18189 18188 TYPE OR F Vice Preside	PM10 939 940 940 939 PRINT TITLE dent	SOx 25374 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUARTER 3RD QUARTER 4TH QUARTER 5. SIGNATORE OF APPLICA 5. TYPE OR PRINT NAME O	VOC   218   219   219   218   INT:	NOx 18255 18256 18256 18256 18256	CO 18188 18189 18189 18189 18188 TYPE OR F Vice Presid	PM10 939 940 940 939 939 PRINT TITLE dent	SOx   25374   25375   25375   25375   25375   25375   25375   DATE:	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUARTER 3RD QUARTER 4TH QUARTER 5 SIGNATORS OF APPLICA 5 SIGNATORS OF APPLICA	VOC 218 219 219 219 218 NT: F APPLICANT: Do	NOx 18255 18256 18256 18256 18256	CO 18188 18189 18189 18188 TYPE OR F Vice Presid	PM10 939 940 940 939 PRINT TITLE dent	SOx 25374 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25375 25374	OTHER	
IST QUARTER 2ND QUARTER 3RD QUARTER 4TH QUARTER 5. SIGNATORE OF APPLICA 5. TYPE OR PRINT NAME O	VOC   218   219   219   218   NT:	NOx 18255 18256 18256 18256 uglas W. Wheeler	CO 18188 18189 18189 18188 TYPE OR F Vice Presid	PM10 939 940 940 939 PRINT TITLE dent	SOx 25374 25375 25374 25374 25374 25374 25375 25575 25575 25575 25575 25575 25575 25	OTHER 	

DATE STAMP	FILING FEE RECEIVED: \$ 2277 . CK# 010845
	DATE PAID: 1/31/12
	PROJECT NO.: <u>C-1120248</u> FACILITY ID.; <u>C-603</u>

Northern Regional Office \* 4800 Enterprise Way \* Modesto, California 95356-8718 \* (209) 557-6400 \* FAX (209) 557-6475 Central Regional Office \* 1990 East Gettysburg Avenue \* Fresno, California 93726-0244 \* (559) 230-5900 \* FAX (559) 230-6061 Southern Regional Office \* 34946 Flyover Court \* Bakersfield, California 93308 \* (661) 392-5500 \* FAX (661) 392-5585

## San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control DisRECEIVED

### **Application for**

JAN 3 1 2012

[ X ] EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT (ERC)

[] CONSOLIDATION OF ERC CERTIFICATION Services

1.	ERC TO BE ISSUED TO: Hanford	LP					Facility ID: C- 603 (if known)
2.	MAILING ADDRESS: Street/P.O. Box:	4300 Railroad Ave	nue				
	City:	Pittsburg State: CA	A Zip Cod	e: 94565-600	6		
3.	LOCATION OF REDUCTION: Street:10596 Idaho Avenue					4. DATE OF RED August 22, 202	UCTION: 11
	City: _Hanford, CA, 93230 The Site Universal Transverse Merca 262,139.0 meters, Vertical 4,016,882.0 119°38' 52'' West Longitude.	tor (UTM) coordinates ) meters. The plant is a	s are at Zo at 36º 16'	one 11, Horizon 9'' North Lati	ntal tude and		
	/4 SECTION T	OWNSHIP	RANGE	·			
5.	PERMIT NO(S): C-603-16-1		EXIS	TING ERC NO	D(S):		
6.	METHOD RESULTING IN EMISSIO	N REDUCTION:					
	(X) SHUTDOWN	RETROFIT	<b>P</b> I (	ROCESS CHAI	NGE	[]OTHER	
	DESCRIPTION: 15,466 GPM Marley	3-Cell, Counter Flow, I	Induced D	raft, Cooling T	оwer.		(Use additional sheets if pecessary)
7.	REQUESTED ERCs (In Pounds Per o	alendar.Quarter):					
	vo	OC NOx		со	PMI	0 SOx	OTHER
Į	IST QUARTER				993		
	2ND QUARTER				994		
	3RD QUARTER				994		
	4TH QUARTER				993		
8.	SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT:			TYPE OR Vice Presi	PRINT TTTL	E OF APPLICANT:	
9.	9. TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF APPLICANT: Douglas W. Wheeler					DATE: 01/12/2012	TELEPHONE NO: 925.431.1443
FOR	APCD USE ONLY:						
	DATE STAMP	FILING FE RECEIVED	E :: \$	/			

PROJECT NO.: \_\_\_\_\_

DATE PAID:

Northern Regional Office \* 4800 Enterprise Way \* Modesto, California 95356-8718 \* (209) 557-6400 \* FAX (209) 557-6475 Central Regional Office \* 1990 East Gettysburg Avenue \* Fresno, California 93726-0244 \* (559) 230-5900 \* FAX (559) 230-6061 Southern Regional Office \* 34946 Flyover Court \* Bakersfield, California 93308 \* (661) 392-5500 \* FAX (661) 392-5585

FACILITY ID .:



FEB 2 1 2012 Permits Srvc SJVAPCD

### **GWF POWER SYSTEMS**

February 15, 2012

Mr. James Swaney, Director Permit Services San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244

#### RE: Request To Activate Hanford LP PTO C-603 Emissions units under ATC C-1112934 (Rule 2050)

Dear Mr. Swaney:

On behalf of Hanford L.P, GWF requests the District activate the following Hanford LP emissions units (PTO C-603) from the current Dormant Emissions Units (DEU) status. Hanford LP was granted Dormant status on October 5, 2011. The Dormant emissions units to be are:

- a. Permit unit # C-603-1-6: Fluidized bed combustor,
- b. Permit Unit # C-603-2-2: Kaolin System,
- c. Permit Unit # C-603-3-2: Gypsum System,
- d. Permit Unit #C603-13-4: Synthetic Gypsum Storage Silo,
- e. Permit Unit #C603-14-2: Synthetic Gypsum storage silo from bed ash,
- f. Permit Unit #C-603-15-2: Sodium Bicarbonate System,
- g. Permit Unit #C603-16-1: Cooling Tower

Hanford L.P. requests that the Authority To Construct for each unit be activated at your earliest convenience. Upon activation of the units, Hanford LP requests that the permits for each of the above units be cancelled.

Thank you for your time and consideration regarding this matter. If you have any questions regarding this request, please feel free to contact me at (925) 431-1440.

Respectfully, Hanford LP

Mark Kehoe

Director, Environmental and Safety

Attachments:

cc D. Wheeler, GWF K. Kolnowski, GWF

## Attachment C

Hanford LP Fuel Use Records

.

### **ATTACHMENT 2**

### Petroleum Coke Usage

Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-1-6

-

Date/Month	Coke Usage	Date/Month	Coke Usage	Date/Month	Coke Usage
	Tons		Tons		Tons
Jan-06	7,224	Jan-08	7,137	Carlan 10	SC 35 76,909
Feb-06	6,795	Feb-08	6,614	Feb-10	731
Mar-06	7,438	Mar-08	4,762	Mar-10	7,054
Apr-06	7,459	Apr-08	7,037	Apr 10	505 1.574057
May-06	7,292	May-08	7,278	222 M/May-10	xi 2x 17/284
Jun-06	7,129	Jun-08	6,893	10 Jun 10	. <i>11 - 2</i> - 6 - 7 - 5 2
Jul-06	7,472	Jul-08	7,378	54-5-001-10	<b>16</b> .074
Aug-06	7,484	Aug-08	7,240	REAL PAUE 10	çı 15-26-397
Se p-06	7,030	Sep-08	7,067	is sep-10	ш <i>ара - 1</i> 34
Oct-06	4,781	Oct-08	6,221	2 4 0 ct-10	N <sub>1</sub> 3, 4,479
Nov-06	6,404	Nov-08	7,035	(3-1-2-Nov-10)	Carles 6745
Dec-06	7,741	Dec-08	7,500		2/40/
Jan-07	7,637	316, Jan 09	5,41,57,097	Jan-11	3,052
Feb-07	6,650	2 Stereb-09	35 1 2 26,534	Feb-11	5,647
Mar-07	7,066	Mar-09	7,308	Mar-11	5,806
Apr-07	7,013	Apr-09	7041	Apr-11	4,133
May-07	7,451	May-09	7-284	May-11	3,177
Jun-07	7,288	20 Jun 09	5777	Jun-11	4,830
Jul-07	7,587	ະອາດາມສາວປີນີ້ <u>ເ</u> .09	27,215	Jul-11	6,471
Aug-07	7,560	AUE-09	7,157	Aug-11	4,851
Sep-07	7,168	Sep 09	6,436		
Oct-07	7,517	0ct;09.	CAN 7,7,606		
Nov-07	7,353	Nov-09	7,068		
Dec-07	7,235	Dec-09	7.211		

.

## Attachment D

**Boiler Source Test Results** 

EXHIBIT 2

December 18, 2008

Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2008 Compliance Test Report

### TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED-BED BOILER NOVEMBER 20, 2008

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0011	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0009	<u>-</u>
lb/hr	0.63	<u>14</u>
lb/day	15.1	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0018	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0014	0.005
lb/hr	1.03	
lb/day	24.7	- <u></u>
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	46.5	<del></del>
$ppmvd @ 3\% O_2$	48.8	÷
lb/hr	14.07	<del></del> .
lb/day	337.6	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NOx)		
ppm volume dry	17.6	
$ppmvd @ 3\% O_2$	18.5	28.0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	8.75	
lb/day as NO2	210.0	245
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>v</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	14.1	
	14.8	20.2
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	9 74	
lb/day as SO <sub>2</sub>	233.8	245
Volatile Organic Compounds		
pum volume dry as C	< 0.7	
$ppmvd @ 3% O_2$	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 0.11	
lb/day as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 2.6	60.0
Ammonia (NH <sub>1</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	14 9	30.0
$ppmvd @ 3\% O_2$	15.6	
	14.4	


# TABLE 1-1SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTSHANFORD L.P.FLUIDIZED BED BOILERDECEMBER 10, 2009

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0078	
$gr/dscf$ @ 12% $CO_2$	0.0061	
lb/hr	4.39	
lb/day	105.4	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0086	
$gr/dscf @ 12\% CO_2$	0.0068	0.005
lb/hr	4.90	
lb/day	117.5	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	76.3	
ppmvd ( $\hat{a}$ , 3% $\hat{O}_2$	81.5	
lb/hr	22.52	
lb/day	540.2	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	20.8	
ppmvd $(a)$ 3% $O_2$	22.2	28.0
b/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	10.07	
lb/day as NO <sub>2</sub>	241.5	245
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	25.1	
$ppmvd @ 3\% O_2$	26.8	35.0
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	16.97	
$Ib/day as SO_2$	407.1	469
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.7	
ppmvd $(a)$ 3% $O_2$	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 0.11	
lb/day as CH₄	< 2.7	60.0
Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	15.3	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	16.6	

Note: Results in italics have been revised from the original report.



# TABLE 1-3SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS (RE-TEST)HANFORD L.P.FLUIDIZED BED BOILERJANUARY 15, 2010

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0034	
$gr/dscf@12\%CO_2$	0.0028	
lb/hr	2.10	
lb/day	50.5	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0048	
$gr/dscf@12\%CO_2$	0.0039	0.005
lb/hr	2.94	
lb/day	70.7	

Note: Results in italics have been revised from the original report.



### SECTION 1.0

### **INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY**

This report has been revised (May 2012) to correct the calculations used in correction of the PM and  $PM_{10}$  results for the ammonium sulfate collected as artifact from dissolved ammonia and  $SO_2$  in the impinger catch. See Section 4.0 for details. The Avogadro Group, LLC (Avogadro) was contracted by Hanford L.P. (Hanford) to conduct a series of emission tests at their facility in Hanford, California. Avogadro conducted the tests to comply with the source testing requirements of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) Permit to Operate (PTO) No. C-603-1-4 for the petroleum coke-fired circulating fluidized bed combustor (CFBC) boiler. The test results were also used to audit the relative accuracy of the facility's continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS) in accordance with 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendices B and F. Results were calculated in the units used by the CEMS for reporting emissions.

Chuck Arrivas and Dave Ramirez of Avogadro conducted the emissions tests on December 10, 2009. The initial PM test average exceeded the permit limits for the Hanford facility and a retest was performed on January 15, 2010 by Chuck Arrivas and Nishad Patel of Avogadro. Ron Mann of Hanford coordinated the plant operations during both test programs. The tests were conducted according to a test plan dated November 9, 2009 that was submitted to and approved by the SJVAPCD. Joe Avila from the SJVAPCD observed portions of the test program.

Tests on the CFBC boiler determined the following emission parameters:

- Emission Compliance:
  - CO (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - NO<sub>X</sub> (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - SO<sub>2</sub> (ppm volume dry, ppm @3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - Total PM (gr/dscf, gr/dscf @ 12% CO<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - PM<sub>10</sub> (gr/dscf, gr/dscf @ 12% CO<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - VOC (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - Ammonia slip (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>)
- O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, (% volume dry) for molecular weight and dilution calculations
- RATA (O<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO<sub>X</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, stack flow rate)

The emissions tests and RATA results are summarized and compared with their respective permit limits in Tables 1-1 through 1-3. Detailed results of individual test runs are presented in Section 4.0. All supporting data including process data, CEMS data, field data sheets, calculations and spreadsheets, and laboratory reports are located in the appendices.

### SECTION 4.0

### **RESULTS**

The average test results are compared to their respective permit limits and performance specifications in Tables 1-1 through 1-3. The results of the individual compliance test runs are presented in Tables 4-1 through 4-3. The individual results from the PM retest are presented in Table 4-15.

The test results show that all of the gaseous emissions were within their respective permit limits for the Hanford L.P. facility. The average results from the three particulate matter (PM) emission tests performed on December 10, 2009 exceeded the limits of the permit (see Tables 1-1 and 4-1). The first run had high acid content in the aqueous fraction. The plant process data shows an anomaly in the amount of sorbent flow during the first run, and is not representative of normal plant operations. The second and third test runs were well within the permit limits. The retest performed on January 15, 2010 demonstrates compliance with the permit limits for PM<sub>10</sub> and for Total PM (see Tables 1-3 and 4-15). Emissions have been reported in units consistent with the permit limits.

Revised results are presented in the tables in italics. Revised Appendices are shown in the Table of Contents in italics. The report has been revised to:

- Correct errors in the spreadsheets used in calculation of the PM and  $PM_{10}$  emissions,
- Correct errors in data input to one of those spreadsheets, and
- *Redistribute some Appendix sections to facilitate review of data, calculations and results.*

SCAQMD Method 5.3 was used as a basis for the calculation of results from the PM and  $PM_{10}$  sampling and analysis data. The method includes collection of condensable PM in the impingers, and analysis and calculations to correct the results for the collection of artifact ammonium sulfate (i.e. from dissolved ammonia and SO<sub>2</sub> in the impinger water). The original spreadsheets had been modified by mistake, and included errors in the presentation of the equations used in the correction calculations, and errors in the calculations themselves. Errors were also found in the input to the titration data page from the spreadsheet for the retests conducted on January 15, 2010; the actual laboratory data had not been properly entered.

The spreadsheets have been revised to closely follow the calculations in SCAQMD Method 5.3, both in presentation of the equations used and in the actual calculations. The revisions have been made to the spreadsheets for the original PM tests and for the retest of January 15, 2010. The actual titration data from the retest have been input to the titration page of that spreadsheet (Appendix D.4B).

09015.4 & 09015.8



Appendix sections have been added to separate the data and calculations from the retest from those from the original PM tests. The revised spreadsheets are presented in Appendices D.4A and D.4B. The laboratory analysis reports are provided in Appendices E.1A and E.1B. A set of example calculations has been added to Appendix D.8.

The individual RATA results are presented in Tables 4-4 through 4-14. Detailed results from the individual relative accuracy test runs are presented in Appendix D.3. The results were calculated using nine of the eleven available test results for each CEMS component. During Run 4 of the RATA, the concentration of CO exceeded the analyzer span. This run was completed but was not used to determine relative accuracy of any of the CO criteria. An additional run was performed for CO, NO<sub>X</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>.

Generic descriptions of the test methods are located in Appendix A. A summary of our quality assurance program, our ARB certifications, and our equipment calibration data are included in Appendix B. All supporting data including the field data sheets are provided in Appendix C. Result calculations are located in Appendix D, and laboratory reports in Appendix E. The applicable permit is presented in Appendix F, the SJVAPCD Com 2030 worksheet is in Appendix G and color copies of strip charts are presented in Appendix H.



December 13, 2010

Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2010 Compliance Test Report

. . .

### TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER NOVEMBER 30 & DECEMBER 1, 2010

Parameter	Test Results	<b>Permit Limits</b>
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM10)		
gr/dscf	0.0019	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0015	<del></del>
lb/hr	0.97	
lb/day	23.4	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0028	<b>—</b>
$gr/dscf@12\%CO_2$	0.0022	0.005
lb/hr	1.45	<del></del>
lb/day	34.7	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	6.9	
ppmvd @ $3\% O_2$	7.4	
lb/hr	1.85	
lb/day	44.3	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	20.4	
ppmvd @ 3% O2	21.9	<b>28</b> .0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	9.03	<del></del>
1b/day as NO2	216.7	245

10015.4



Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2010 Compliance Test Report

### TABLE 1-2 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER NOVEMBER 30 & DECEMBER 1, 2010

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>X</sub> )	· - •	
ppm volume dry	23.2	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	24.9	35.0
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	14.26	
lb/day as SO2	342.3	469
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.6	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH₄	< 0.10	
lb/day as CH4	< 2.3	60.0
Ammonia (NH3)		
ppm volume dry	3.7	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	3.9	

Note: Values presented in italics represent results reported at the detection limit of the applicable method.

. .



## Attachment E

Boiler NO<sub>X</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and CO CEMS Summaries

### **ATTACHMENT 4**

#### NOx, SO2 & CO

Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-1-6

t

	NOX	SO2	со	Coke Usage
	lbs	Ibs	lbs	Tons
Jan-09	7011	6613	8507	7,097
Feb-09	6282	5752	6320	6,534
Mar-09	6946	6810	7060	7,308
Apr-09	6762	6415	7689	7,041
May-09	6940	6426	9481	7,284
Jun-09	5894	5531	9004	5,777
Jul-09	7071	6775	10547	7,215
Aug-09	7102	6761	11366	7,157
Sep-09	5717	5422	9450	6,436
Oct-09	6747	11322	10939	7,606
Nov-09	6083	9334	11765	7,068
Dec-09	7029	11239	12744	7,211
Jan-10	5889	10690	6395	6,909
Feb-10	4468	7582	2316	4,731
Mar-10	6805	11102	2617	7,054
Apr-10	6719	12590	5714	7,057
May-10	6965	12491	4381	7,284
Jun-10	6451	10210	1297	6,752
Jul-10	5800	10242	1786	6,074
Aug-10	5926	10223	1165	6,397
Sep-10	5152	8561	722	4,734
Oct-10	4714	7744	1715	- 4,479
Nov-10	5876	9694	1794	6,745
Dec-10	1697	3468	733	2,407
Annual Average:	73,023	101,499	72,754	77,179
(Based on Two Years)				

• • •

#### EXHIBIT 1

Begin: Jul 01, 2006

End: Aug 31, 2011

Date: Jan 12 2012 00:00 Time: 09:27 23:59

(Page 001)

#### Hanford CFB 10596 Idaho Avenue, Hanford CA \*\*\*\*\* MULTI-PARAMETER SUMMARY REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

(Month	ly Summatio	ons)	
Data Sour	ce: daily h	records	
	> limit	> limit	> limit
	245	469	544
	Unit 1	Unit l	Unit l
Start			
	NOX	SO2	CO
	lbs	lbs	lbs
01/09	7011	6613	8507
02/09	6282	5752	6320
02/09	6016	6810	7060
03/09	. 6762	6415	7689
05/09	6940	6426	9481
05/09	5990	5531	9401
00/09	7071	6775	10547
08/09	7102	6761	11366
00/09	5717	5422	9450
10/09	6747	11322	10939
11/09	6093	0334	11765
12/09	7029	11239	12744
$\frac{12}{09}$	5889	10690	6305
02/10	1468	7582	2316
02/10	6805	11102	2510
04/10	6719	12590	5714
05/10	6965	12390	4381
06/10	6451	10210	1297
07/10	5800	10242	1786
08/10	5926	10223	1165
09/10	5152	8561	722
10/10	4714	7744	1715
11/10	5876	9694	1794
12/10	1697	3468	733

Report produced by Cirrus

DAS S/N (0000001)

.

## Attachment F

Cooling Tower Operating and Monitoring Data

### **ATTACHMENT 7**

PM10

Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-16-1 Cooling Tower

Date/Month	OP HRS	Concuctivity	TDS	PM10
		umhos/c <b>m</b>	ppm	lbs/month
		40.22	1463	274.9
Jan-09	/44	1822	1162	374.8
Feb-09	670	1916	1222	354.7
Mar-09	737	2063	1316	420.4
Apr-09	720	2215	1413	441.0
May-09	740	2098	1339	429.3
Jun-09	618	1879	1199	321.0
Jul-09	744	2013	1284	414.1
Aug-09	744	1926	1229	396.2
Sep-09	645	1799	1148	320.8
Oct-09	741	1855	1183	380.1
Nov-09	721	1854	1183	369.6
Dec-09	743	1849	1180	379.7
Jan-10	692	1873	1195	358.4
Feb-10	485	1559	995	209.0
Mar-10	743	1821	1162	374.1
Apr-10	719	1806	1152	359.0
May-10	744	1766	1127	363.1
Jun-10	714	1771	1130	349.4
Jul-10	659	1881	1200	342.8
Aug-10	707	1917	1223	374.5
Sep-10	539	1812	1156	270.1
Oct-10	537	1872	1194	278.0
Nov-10	715	1866	1191	368.7
Dec-10	271	1329	848	99.4
Annual Average:				4174

#### Annual Average:

(Based on Two Years)

Notes:		
1) PM10= Water Recirculation R	ate X Drift Rate X TDS X PM10 Fract	tion Factor
Water Recirculation Rate =	15,466 gpm	
Drift Rate	0.008 %	
PM-10 Fraction Factor	70 %	
EC Monthly Average from City of	Hanford Invoices	
EC to TDS conversion=	63.8 %	
Based on Wastewater Analytical	Reports	

Attachment G

**Draft ERC Certificates** 

.

•

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C-1164-1

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

### For <Symbol> Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
202 lbs	211 lbs	201 lbs	184 lbs

### [ ] Conditions Attached

Method Of Reduction

[X] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source

[X] Shutdown of Emissions Units

[] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director APCO

David Warner, Director of Permit Services

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C-1164-2

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

### For <Symbol> Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
16,831 lbs	17,879 lbs	16,453 lbs	14,466 lbs

[ ] Conditions Attached

**Method Of Reduction** 

[X] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source

[X] Shutdown of Emissions Units

[] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director (APCO

David Warner, Director of Permit Services

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C-1164-3

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

### For <Symbol> Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
14,947 lbs	16,905 lbs	15,766 lbs	17,860 lbs

### [ ] Conditions Attached

### Method Of Reduction

- [X] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source
- [X] Shutdown of Emissions Units

[] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

(SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJ

David Warner, Director of Permit Services

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director APCO

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# **Emission Reduction Credit Certificate** C-1164-4

**ISSUED TO:** HANFORD L P

<DRAFT> ISSUED DATE:

**10596 IDAHO AVE** LOCATION OF **REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230** 

### For <Symbol> Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
3,365 lbs	3,665 lbs	3,359 lbs	3,120 lbs

[ ] Conditions Attached

### **Method Of Reduction**

[X] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source

[X] Shutdown of Emissions Units

[] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

David Warner, Director of Permit Services

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director APCO

Central Regional Office • 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. • Fresno, CA 93726

# Emission Reduction Credit Certificate C-1164-5

ISSUED TO: HANFORD L P

ISSUED DATE: <DRAFT>

LOCATION OF 10596 IDAHO AVE REDUCTION: HANFORD, CA 93230

### For <Symbol> Reduction In The Amount Of:

Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4
21,847 lbs	24,148 lbs	21,591 lbs	23,761 lbs

### [ ] Conditions Attached

### **Method Of Reduction**

[X] Shutdown of Entire Stationary Source

[X] Shutdown of Emissions Units

[] Other

Shutdown of all emission units except one emergency generator transferred to C-4140.

Use of these credits outside the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) is not allowed without express written authorization by the SJVUAPCD.

Seyed Sadredin, Executive Director APCO

David Warner, Director of Permit Services

## Attachment H

California Air Resources Board (CARB) Comments and District Responses

### **CARB COMMENTS / DISTRICT RESPONSES**

### 1. CARB COMMENT – PM<sub>10</sub> Emission Factor does not Match Source Test Results

CARB did not provide the District with official written comments on this project. However, pursuant to a telephone call received by the District on May 8, 2012 from Stephanie Kato with CARB, CARB raised a question about the emission factor used to calculate the historical actual  $PM_{10}$  emissions from the coke fired boiler dating from December 10, 2009 through November of 2010. The 2.09 lb- $PM_{10}$ /hr emission factor used in the application review for this time period does not match the January 15, 2010 source test result value of 0.24 lb- $PM_{10}$ /hr included in Attachment D. Why are the historical actual  $PM_{10}$  emissions for this 12 month period being calculated with a  $PM_{10}$  emission factor that is higher than what the source test results are showing?

### DISTRICT RESPONSE

Upon review, the District agrees with CARB's question/comment. The  $PM_{10}$  emission factor used in the original application review is not supported by the attached source test results. After further discussion with Hanford LP staff, the District's Compliance staff, and The Avogadro Group, the source testing company that performed the source tests on the coke fired boiler operated by Hanford LP, it was determined that an error occurred in the calculations used in the correction of the PM and  $PM_{10}$  results based on the ammonium sulfate collected as artifact from dissolved ammonia and SO<sub>2</sub> in the impinger catch.

SCAQMD Method 5.3 was used as a basis for the calculation of results from the PM and  $PM_{10}$  sampling and analysis data. The method includes collection of condensable PM in the impingers, and analysis and calculations to correct the results for the collection of artifact ammonium sulfate (i.e. from dissolved ammonia and SO2 in the impinge water). The original spreadsheets had been modified by mistake, and included errors in the presentation of the equations used in the correction calculations, and errors in the calculations themselves. Errors were also found in the input to the titration data page from the spreadsheet for the retests conducted on January 15, 2010; the actual laboratory data had not been properly entered.

The spreadsheets were revised to closely follow the calculations in SCAQMD Method 5.3, both in presentation of the equations used and in the actual calculations. The revisions have been made to the spreadsheets for the January 15, 2010 source test and the updated results show that the  $PM_{10}$  emissions were actually 2.10 lb/hr, not 0.24 lb/hr as previously reported.

Upon review of the original source test report submitted by Hanford LP in March of 2010, the District Compliance staff noted issues with the results, similar to those described above, and through various email correspondence with The Avogadro Group, determined that there were errors in the conversion calculations used to derive the lb/hr  $PM_{10}$  emissions values. At the time of that review, the District Compliance staff and The Avogadro Group determined that the unofficial revised lb/hr  $PM_{10}$  emission rate for the January 15, 2010 source test should have been 2.09 lb/hr. However, at that time, the District did not request The Avogadro Group to issue a revised source test report to correct the errors and display the correct results. The email correspondence describing the errors and revised calculations were included as an attachment to the original source test report.

The preliminary application review that was sent out for public noticing for this project documented the issues the District Compliance staff had found with the original source test report and utilized the revised  $PM_{10}$  emission rate of 2.09 lb/hr to establish the historical actual emissions dating from December 2009 through November 2010. However, based on the revised source test report that has now been prepared and submitted by The Avogadro Group, the official  $PM_{10}$  emission rate for the January 15, 2010 source test is 2.10 lb/hr.

Therefore, the application review has been revised to incorporate this official  $PM_{10}$  emission rate of 2.10 lb/hr. In addition, the revised source test summary pages and a description of the reasons for the revisions have been included in Attachment D. The change in the  $PM_{10}$  emission rate results in an annual increase in the amount of  $PM_{10}$  emission reduction credits issued as a part of this project of 35 lb/year, from 13,474 lb/year to 13,509 lb/year. Since this increase only represents a 0.2% increase in the overall total of  $PM_{10}$  emission reduction credits to be issued, the District considers this change to be minor and it does not affect the overall basis for issuance of the ERC's in question.

### **PROJECT ROUTING FORM**

FACILITY NAME:	Hanford L P				
FACILITY ID:	C-603	C-603 PROJECT NUMBER: C-1120248			
PERMIT #'s:					
DATE RECEIVED:	January 31, 2012				
PRELIMINARY F	REVIEW	ENGR	DATE	SUPR	DATE
A. Application Deemed Incomplete					
Second Information Letter					
B. Application Deemed Complete		FGD	2/27/12	Su	2-27-12
C. Application Pending Denial					
D. Application Denied					

	INITIAL	DATE
E. Engineering Evaluation Complete		
<ul> <li>Project triggering Federal Major Modification:         <ul> <li>Yes AND Information entered into database (AirNet)</li> <li>No (not Fed MMod)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	FG-0	3/15/12
<ul> <li>District is Lead Agency for CEQA purposes AND the project GHG emissions increase exceeds 230 metric tons/year:         <ul> <li>] Yes AND Information Entered in database (AirNet)</li> <li>[] Not Required</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
F. Supervising Engineer Approval		
G. Compliance Division Approval [] Not Required		
H. Applicant's Review of Draft Authority to Construct Completed		
[ ]3-day Review [ ]10-day Review [ ]No Review Requested		
I. Permit Services Regional Manager Approval	M	2/6/12

DIRECTOR REVIEW [ ] Not Required		INITIAL	DATE
J. Preliminary Approval to Director	Forwarded to	SW	4/19/12
K. Final Approval to Director	/	sni	7/6/in

### **PROJECT TRACKING FORM**

Project N	o: C-112024	18	Project Type: ERC Banking
Date	Initials	Given to	Description of Action Taken
2/27/12	FG-0	SG/TBS	Complete
ridin	JNI	FLD	Signed
3/15/12	FGD	56-	Praft ERC evaluation and
			notice letters
3/14/12	Sh	FGD	mole minur Charges of Find to JRJ
			for Sispan
3/20/12	FGO	8RS	Draft evaluation and notice letters
Histin	)n(	SL.	See dote a pris environt - discuss -1 AW,
	۱		re-assign to dohive
4/19/12	96	JRISG	Revised EE and Letters per
			discussion with SB.
			- should try to get to Dave
			today. Thanks!
4/15/1	r m	JR.	Forwarded to DN
5/30/12	DB	JR	one comment received. Revised EE and
			letter package for review.
			- will not finalize project until
			you and Jim approve revisions
	<b>\</b>		Thanks!
5/30/12	an	Šß_	Extand the EF /able 3, page 3
			to state background and ATMIO
			source test secults averation
			- y noing final EF at 2.10 //hr.
5/30/12	ହ୍ୟ	JR	Added footnote to page 3. For your review.
T/20/1	r day	JRS	tinal Notice for review
ribin	snl	DB	forwarded to but by righter.
	<u> </u>		

### **Frank DeMaris**

Wheeler, Doug <dwheeler@gwfpower.com></dwheeler@gwfpower.com>
Wednesday, March 07, 2012 10:05 AM
Frank DeMaris
RE: Hanford LP hrs of operation

Frank, thanks, let me know if you need anything else

From: Frank DeMaris [mailto:Frank.DeMaris@valleyair.org] Sent: Wednesday, March 07, 2012 9:27 AM To: Wheeler, Doug Subject: RE: Hanford LP hrs of operation

Doug,

I don't think there's any need to amend the application. I have the relevant information in the file already, and our normal procedure is to calculate the actual emission reductions independently as part of the evaluation.

Best regards,

Frank De Maris

Air Quality Engineer 1990 E. Gettysburg Ave. Fresno, CA 93726 (559) 230-5804 IMALTHY AIR LIVING www.healthyairliving.com

Make one change for clean air!

From: Wheeler, Doug [mailto:dwheeler@gwfpower.com] Sent: Wednesday, March 07, 2012 9:20 AM To: Frank DeMaris Subject: FW: Hanford LP hrs of operation

Frank, I hope this provides the operating hours you were missing. We pulled this from our operating data, if you need the "source" data, we can pdf the relevant periods and send. Let me know. Also should we amend the application using the revised Avagodro PM-10 emission rates from the march 2 letter?

From: Nevarez, Neftali Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2012 4:26 PM To: Wheeler, Doug Subject: Hanford LP hrs of operation

Doug, The Hanford LP operating hours in December 2009 are: 216 hours from December 1 thru December 9 528 hours from December 10 thru December 31 from the day of the failed source test to the end of the month. Total operating hours in December= 744

January 2010 operating hours are: 336 hours from January 1 thru January 14 356 hours from January 15 thru January 31 from the day of the passing source test to the end of the month. Total operating hours in January are= 692

Neftali Nevarez

Sr. Environmental Specialist 4300 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565 Office (925) 431-1445 Mobile (925 766-7499 <u>nnevarez@gwfpower.com</u>

NOTICE: This communication is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed, is not encrypted, may be attorney-client privileged and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient or believe that you may have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender indicating that fact and delete the copy you received. In addition, you should not copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Thank you.

NOTICE: This communication is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed, is not encrypted, may be attorney-client privileged and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient or believe that you may have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender indicating that fact and delete the copy you received. In addition, you should not copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Thank you.

### **Frank DeMaris**

From:	Wheeler, Doug <dwheeler@gwfpower.com></dwheeler@gwfpower.com>
Sent:	Friday, March 09, 2012 10:11 AM
То:	Frank DeMaris
Subject:	Colling Tower PM-10
Attachments:	Hanford ERC application revised Colling tower Pm-10.pdf

#### Frank, please find attached the revised attachment with the corrected TDS/Conductivity values

NOTICE: This communication is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed, is not encrypted, may be attorney-client privileged and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient or believe that you may have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender indicating that fact and delete the copy you received. In addition, you should not copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Thank you.

•

### **ATTACHMENT 7**

#### PM10

Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-16-1 Cooling Tower

Date/Month	OP HRS	Concuctivity	TDS	PM10
		umhos/cm	ppm	lbs/month
Jan-09	744	1822	1162	374.8
Feb-09	670	1916	1222	354.7
Mar-09	737	2063	1316	420.4
Apr-09	720	2215	1413	441.0
May-09	740	2098	1339	429.3
Jun-09	618	1879	1199	321.0
Jul-09	744	2013	1284	414.1
Aug-09	744	1926	1229	396.2
Sep-09	645	1799	1148	320.8
Oct-09	741	1855	1183	380.1
Nov-09	721	1854	1183	369.6
Dec-09	743	1849	1180	379.7
Jan-10	692	1873	1195	358.4
Feb-10	485	1559	995	209.0
Mar-10	743	1821	1162	374.1
Apr-10	719	1806	1152	359.0
May-10	744	1766	1127	363.1
Jun-10	714	1771	1130	349.4
Jul-10	659	1881	1200	342.8
Aug-10	707	1917	1223	374.5
Sep-10	539	1812	1156	270.1
Oct-10	537	1872	1194	278.0
Nov-10	715	1866	1191	368.7
Dec-10	271	1329	848	99.4
Annual Average:				4174

(Based on Two Years)

Notes:		
1) PM10= Water Recirculation R	ate X Drift Rate X TDS X PM10 Fraction	Factor
Water Recirculation Rate =	15,466 gpm	
Drift Rate	0.008 %	
PM-10 Fraction Factor	70 %	
EC Monthly Average from City of	Hanford Invoices	
EC to TDS conversion=	63.8 %	
Based on Wastewater Analytical	Reports	

4174





FEB 29 2012

Douglas Wheeler Hanford L P 4300 Railroad Ave. Pittsburg, CA 94565-6006

#### Re: Notice of Receipt of Complete Application - Emission Reduction Credits Banking Project Number: C-1120248

Dear Mr. Wheeler:

The District has completed a preliminary review of your application for Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) Banking resulting from the shutdown of a 30 MW coke-fired fluidized bed combustor and cooling tower at 10596 Idaho Ave, Hanford.

Based on this preliminary review, the application appears to be complete. However, during processing of your application, the District may request additional information to clarify, correct, or otherwise supplement, the information on file.

Pursuant to District Rule 3060, your application may be subject to an hourly Engineering Evaluation Fee. If the applicable fees exceed the submitted application filing fee, the District will notify you at the conclusion of our review.

Thank you for your cooperation. Should you have any questions, please contact Mr. Frank G DeMaris at (559) 230-5804.

Sincerely,

David Warner Director of Permit Services

Jim Swaney, P.E.

Permit Services Manager

DW: fgd

Seyed Sadredin Executive Director/Air Pollution Control Officer

Northern Region 4800 Enterprise Way Modesto, CA 95356-8718 Tel: (209) 557-6400 FAX: (209) 557-6475

÷.,

Central Region (Main Offica) 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244 Tel: (559) 230-6000 FAX: (559) 230-6061 Southern Region 34948 Flyover Court Bakerstield, CA 93308-9725 Tel: 661-392-5500 FAX; 661-392-5565

www.valleyair.org www.healthyairliving.com



#### GWF POWER SYSTEMS

RECEIVED FEB 2 1 2012 Permits Srvc SJVAPCD

February 15, 2012

Mr. James Swaney, Director Permit Services San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244

#### RE: Request To Activate Hanford LP PTO C-603 Emissions units under ATC C-1112934 (Rule 2050)

Dear Mr. Swaney:

On behalf of Hanford L.P, GWF requests the District activate the following Hanford LP emissions units (PTO C-603) from the current Dormant Emissions Units (DEU) status. Hanford LP was granted Dormant status on October 5, 2011. The Dormant emissions units to be are:

- a. Permit unit # C-603-1-6: Fluidized bed combustor,
- b. Permit Unit # C-603-2-2: Kaolin System,
- c. Permit Unit # C-603-3-2: Gypsum System,
- d. Permit Unit #C603-13-4: Synthetic Gypsum Storage Silo,
- e. Permit Unit #C603-14-2: Synthetic Gypsum storage silo from bed ash,
- f. Permit Unit #C-603-15-2: Sodium Bicarbonate System,
- g. Permit Unit #C603-16-1: Cooling Tower

Hanford L.P. requests that the Authority To Construct for each unit be activated at your earliest convenience. Upon activation of the units, Hanford LP requests that the permits for each of the above units be **cancelled**.

Thank you for your time and consideration regarding this matter. If you have any questions regarding this request, please feel free to contact me at (925) 431-1440.

Respectfully, Hanford LP

al the here //

Mark Kehoe Director, Environmental and Safety

Attachments:

сс

D. Wheeler, GWF K. Kolnowski, GWF

### **Dustin Brown**

From:	Kehoe, Mark <mkehoe@gwfpower.com></mkehoe@gwfpower.com>
Sent:	Friday, May 25, 2012 5:33 PM
То:	Dustin Brown
Subject:	FW: Hanford LP - 2009 PM10 Compliance Test Revision
Attachments:	S8 HanLP2009 Revised Source Test Summary 052412.pdf

Dustin,

My apologies, I attached the wrong file. This file has the details of the reason for the corrections. Let's talk Tuesday morning.

Mark Kehoe Director, Environmental and Safety GWF Power Systems 925.431.1440

From: Kehoe, Mark Sent: Thursday, May 24, 2012 5:36 PM To: 'Dustin Brown' Cc: Wheeler, Doug; Nevarez, Neftali Subject: Hanford LP - 2009 PM10 Compliance Test Revision

#### Hello Dustin,

Thanks for helping us today with the reported PM10 ERC banking data errors for Hanford LP. I have attached parts of the revised 2009 Compliance Report from The Avogadro Group that addresses the calculation errors that reported false data in January 2010. Included in the attachment is an explanation on how the January retest values were no reported correctly and were restated correctly by Avogadro in the revised Compliance Report prepared on May 23, 2012. We will submit a copy of the revised report to the District for your records as soon as we receive a hard copy.

It is my understanding from a conversation you had with James Swaney that the difference between the engineering assessment value of 2.09 lbs/hr and the revised value of 2.10 lbs/hr reported herein by Avogadro is insignificant in the estimated ERCs for banking. GWF concurs that the District will use the 2.09 lbs/hr to calculate the amount of PM10 emissions for banking. I also understand that the District has recalculated the monthly emissions using the 2.09 lbs/hr factor starting in December 2009 through November 2009.

I appreciate your diligence and continuing to assist in finalizing the ERC banking application and understand you anticipate having the final documents for District management review later next week. Please feel free to contact me if you have any additional questions. Thanks,

Mark Kehoe Director, Environmental and Safety GWF Power Systems 925.431.1440 NOTICE: This communication is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed, is not encrypted, may be attorney-client privileged and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient or believe that you may have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender indicating that fact and delete the copy you received. In addition, you should not copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Thank you.

### **SECTION 1.0**

#### **INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY**

This report has been revised (May 2012) to correct the calculations used in correction of the PM and  $PM_{10}$  results for the ammonium sulfate collected as artifact from dissolved ammonia and  $SO_2$  in the impinger catch. See Section 4.0 for details. The Avogadro Group, LLC (Avogadro) was contracted by Hanford L.P. (Hanford) to conduct a series of emission tests at their facility in Hanford, California. Avogadro conducted the tests to comply with the source testing requirements of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) Permit to Operate (PTO) No. C-603-1-4 for the petroleum coke-fired circulating fluidized bed combustor (CFBC) boiler. The test results were also used to audit the relative accuracy of the facility's continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS) in accordance with 40 CFR, Part 60, Appendices B and F. Results were calculated in the units used by the CEMS for reporting emissions.

Chuck Arrivas and Dave Ramirez of Avogadro conducted the emissions tests on December 10, 2009. The initial PM test average exceeded the permit limits for the Hanford facility and a retest was performed on January 15, 2010 by Chuck Arrivas and Nishad Patel of Avogadro. Ron Mann of Hanford coordinated the plant operations during both test programs. The tests were conducted according to a test plan dated November 9, 2009 that was submitted to and approved by the SJVAPCD. Joe Avila from the SJVAPCD observed portions of the test program.

Tests on the CFBC boiler determined the following emission parameters:

- Emission Compliance:
  - $\triangleright$  CO (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - NO<sub>X</sub> (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - SO<sub>2</sub> (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - Total PM (gr/dscf, gr/dscf @ 12% CO<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - PM<sub>10</sub> (gr/dscf, gr/dscf @ 12% CO<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - ▶ VOC (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>, lb/hr, lb/day)
  - Ammonia slip (ppm volume dry, ppm @ 3% O<sub>2</sub>)
- O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, (% volume dry) for molecular weight and dilution calculations
- RATA  $(O_2, CO, NO_X, SO_2, stack flow rate)$

The emissions tests and RATA results are summarized and compared with their respective permit limits in Tables 1-1 through 1-3. Detailed results of individual test runs are presented in Section 4.0. All supporting data including process data, CEMS data, field data sheets, calculations and spreadsheets, and laboratory reports are located in the appendices.

#### **SECTION 4.0**

### **RESULTS**

The average test results are compared to their respective permit limits and performance specifications in Tables 1-1 through 1-3. The results of the individual compliance test runs are presented in Tables 4-1 through 4-3. The individual results from the PM retest are presented in Table 4-15.

The test results show that all of the gaseous emissions were within their respective permit limits for the Hanford L.P. facility. The average results from the three particulate matter (PM) emission tests performed on December 10, 2009 exceeded the limits of the permit (see Tables 1-1 and 4-1). The first run had high acid content in the aqueous fraction. The plant process data shows an anomaly in the amount of sorbent flow during the first run, and is not representative of normal plant operations. The second and third test runs were well within the permit limits. The retest performed on January 15, 2010 demonstrates compliance with the permit limits for PM<sub>10</sub> and for Total PM (see Tables 1-3 and 4-15). Emissions have been reported in units consistent with the permit limits.

Revised results are presented in the tables in italics. Revised Appendices are shown in the Table of Contents in italics. The report has been revised to:

- Correct errors in the spreadsheets used in calculation of the PM and  $PM_{10}$  emissions,
- Correct errors in data input to one of those spreadsheets, and
- *Redistribute some Appendix sections to facilitate review of data, calculations and results.*

SCAQMD Method 5.3 was used as a basis for the calculation of results from the PM and  $PM_{10}$  sampling and analysis data. The method includes collection of condensable PM in the impingers, and analysis and calculations to correct the results for the collection of artifact ammonium sulfate (i.e. from dissolved ammonia and SO<sub>2</sub> in the impinger water). The original spreadsheets had been modified by mistake, and included errors in the presentation of the equations used in the correction calculations, and errors in the calculations themselves. Errors were also found in the input to the titration data page from the spreadsheet for the retests conducted on January 15, 2010; the actual laboratory data had not been properly entered.

The spreadsheets have been revised to closely follow the calculations in SCAQMD Method 5.3, both in presentation of the equations used and in the actual calculations. The revisions have been made to the spreadsheets for the original PM tests and for the retest of January 15, 2010. The actual titration data from the retest have been input to the titration page of that spreadsheet (Appendix D.4B).



#### Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2009 Compliance Test Report (Revision 1)

May 22, 2012

Appendix sections have been added to separate the data and calculations from the retest from those from the original PM tests. The revised spreadsheets are presented in Appendices D.4A and D.4B. The laboratory analysis reports are provided in Appendices E.1A and E.1B. A set of example calculations has been added to Appendix D.8.

The individual RATA results are presented in Tables 4-4 through 4-14. Detailed results from the individual relative accuracy test runs are presented in Appendix D.3. The results were calculated using nine of the eleven available test results for each CEMS component. During Run 4 of the RATA, the concentration of CO exceeded the analyzer span. This run was completed but was not used to determine relative accuracy of any of the CO criteria. An additional run was performed for CO, NO<sub>X</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>.

Generic descriptions of the test methods are located in Appendix A. A summary of our quality assurance program, our ARB certifications, and our equipment calibration data are included in Appendix B. All supporting data including the field data sheets are provided in Appendix C. Result calculations are located in Appendix D, and laboratory reports in Appendix E. The applicable permit is presented in Appendix F, the SJVAPCD Com 2030 worksheet is in Appendix G and color copies of strip charts are presented in Appendix H.



.

# TABLE 1-1SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTSHANFORD L.P.FLUIDIZED BED BOILERDECEMBER 10, 2009

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter $<10$ microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0078	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0061	
lb/hr	4.39	
lb/day	105.4	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0086	
gr/dscf(a) 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0068	0.005
lb/hr	4.90	
lb/day	117.5	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	76.3	
ppmvd @ $3\% O_2$	81.5	
lb/hr	22.52	
lb/day	540.2	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	20.8	
ppmvd $(a)$ 3% $O_2$	22.2	28.0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	10.07	
lb/day as NO <sub>2</sub>	241.5	245
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	25.1	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	26.8	35.0
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	16.97	
lb/day as SO <sub>2</sub>	407.1	469
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as C <sub>1</sub>	< 0.7	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH₄	< 0.11	<b></b> ·
lb/day as CH₄	< 2.7	60.0
Ammonia (NH3)		
ppm volume dry	15.3	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	16.6	

(Note: Results in italics have been revised from the original report.)



Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2009 Compliance Test Report

### TABLE 1-3 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE PM RESULTS HANFORD L.P. JANUARY 15, 2010

Test Results	<b>Permit Limits</b>
0.0004	
0.0003	
0.24	
5.8	80.0
0.0018	
0.0015	0.005
1.08	
26.0	
	Test Results           0.0004           0.0003           0.24           5.8           0.0018           0.0015           1.08           26.0


## TABLE 1-3 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS (RE-TEST) HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER JANUARY 15, 2010

Parameter	<b>Test Results</b>	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0034	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0028	
lb/hr	2.10	
lb/day	50.5	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0048	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0039	0.005
lb/hr	2.94	
lb/day	70.7	

(Note: Results in italics have been revised from the original report.)



# Particulate Matter Result Averages GWF Hanford LP Fluidized-Bed Boiler

Test No:	1 <b>-PM10</b>	2-PM10	3-PM10	Averages
Date:	1/15/10	1/15/10	1/15/10	
Time:	0912-1114:30	1456-1657	1739-1938	
Flow Rate, dscfm:	71 <b>,456</b>	69,779	69,965	70,400
Stack Temperature, °F:	344.3	344.5	343.7	344.2
O <sub>2</sub> , % volume dry:	4.948	5.045	4.731	4.908
CO <sub>2</sub> , % volume dry:	14.70	14.61	14.80	14.70
Moisture Content, % by volume:	11,292	11.209	11.319	11.273
F <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> grain loading, gr/dscf	0.0017	0.0015	0.0021	0.0018
F <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> grain loading @ 12% CO2.	0.0014	0.0013	0.0017	0.0014
F½ mass emissions, lb/hr	1.0193	0.9169	1.2474	1.0612
B½ grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf	0.0740	0.0287	0.0410	0.0479
B½ grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf @ 12% CO2	0.0604	0.0236	0.0333	0.0391
B½ mass emissions (uncorrected), lb/hr	45.3223	17.1505	24.6084	29.0271
PM10 grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf	0.0744	0.0291	0.0414	0.0483
PM10 grain loading (uncorrected) gr/dscf @ 12% CO2	0.0607	0.0239	0.0335	0.0394
PM10 mass emissions (uncorrected), lb/hr	45.5509	17.3767	24.8129	29.2468
Total PM grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf	0.0757	0.0302	0.0431	0.0497
Total PM grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf @ 12% CO2	0.0618	0.0248	0.0350	0.0405
Total PM mass emissions (uncorrected), lb/hr	46.3416	18.0675	25.8559	30.0883
PM 10 grain loading (corrected for sulfate), gr/dscf.	0.0088	0.0004	0.0011	0.0034
PM10 grain loading (corrected for sulfate), gr/dscf @ 12% CO2.	0.0072	0.0003	0.0009	0.0028
PM10 mass emissions (corrected for sulfate) 1h/br	5 41	0.23	0.67	2 10
PM10 mass emissions (corrected for sulfate), lb/day based on 24 hours	. 129.8	5.4	16.1	50.5
Total PM grain loading (corrected for sulfate) gr/dscf	0.01.01	0.0015	0 0070	0.0048
Total PM main loading (corrected for sulfate) or (deef @ 12% (\)	0.0191	0.0013	0.0023	0.0040
Total DM mass emissions (corrected for sulfate) lb/br	6 70	0.0013	1 71	2 04
Total Ply mass cullssions (confected for sufface) 10/11	. U.LU 149.0	0.74	1./1	4.74 70.7
total rivi mass emissions (corrected for suitate), lo/day based on 24 hours	. 145.0	22.0	41.2	/0./

Note: The results were blank-corrected.

#### **Dustin Brown**

From:	Kehoe, Mark <mkehoe@gwfpower.com></mkehoe@gwfpower.com>
Sent:	Thursday, May 24, 2012 5:36 PM
То:	Dustin Brown
Cc:	Wheeler, Doug; Nevarez, Neftali
Subject:	Hanford LP - 2009 PM10 Compliance Test Revision
Attachments:	S8 PM10 HanLP2009 Revised Source Test Summary.pdf

Hello Dustin,

Thanks for helping us today with the reported PM10 ERC banking data errors for Hanford LP. I have attached parts of the revised 2009 Compliance Report from The Avogadro Group that addresses the calculation errors that reported false data in January 2010. Included in the attachment is an explanation on how the January retest values were no reported correctly and were restated correctly by Avogadro in the revised Compliance Report prepared on May 23, 2012. We will submit a copy of the revised report to the District for your records as soon as we receive a hard copy.

It is my understanding from a conversation you had with James Swaney that the difference between the engineering assessment value of 2.09 lbs/hr and the revised value of 2.10 lbs/hr reported herein by Avogadro is insignificant in the estimated ERCs for banking. GWF concurs that the District will use the 2.09 lbs/hr to calculate the amount of PM10 emissions for banking. I also understand that the District has recalculated the monthly emissions using the 2.09 lbs/hr factor starting in December 2009 through November 2009.

I appreciate your diligence and continuing to assist in finalizing the ERC banking application and understand you anticipate having the final documents for District management review later next week. Please feel free to contact me if you have any additional questions. Thanks,

Mark Kehoe Director, Environmental and Safety GWF Power Systems 925.431.1440

NOTICE: This communication is intended only for the person to whom it is addressed, is not encrypted, may be attorney-client privileged and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient or believe that you may have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender indicating that fact and delete the copy you received. In addition, you should not copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use the information. Thank you;

# TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER DECEMBER 10, 2009

rameter Test Results		Permit Limits
Particulate Matter $<10$ microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0078	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0061	
lb/hr	4.39	
lb/day	105.4	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0086	
gr/dscf(a) 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0068	0.005
lb/hr	4.90	
lb/day	117.5	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	76.3	
ppmvd $(a)$ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	81.5	
lb/hr	22.52	
lb/day	540.2	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	20.8	
ppmvd ( $a$ ) 3% $O_2$	22.2	28.0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	10.07	
lb/day as NO <sub>2</sub>	241.5	245
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	25.1	
ppmvd ( $\hat{a}$ , 3% $O_2$	26.8	35.0
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	16.97	
lb/day as SO <sub>2</sub>	407.1	469
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.7	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH₄	< 0.11	
lb/day as CH₄	< 2.7	60.0
Ammonia (NH3)		
ppm volume dry	15.3	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	16.6	

Note: Results in italics have been revised from the original report.



Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2009 Compliance Test Report

# TABLE 1-3 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE PM RESULTS HANFORD L.P. JANUARY 15, 2010

Parameter	<b>Test Results</b>	<b>Permit Limits</b>
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0004	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0003	
lb/hr	0.24	
lb/day	5.8	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0018	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0015	0.005
lb/hr	1.08	
lb/day	26.0	

09015.4 & 09015.8

# TABLE 1-3 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS (RE-TEST) HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER JANUARY 15, 2010

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM10)		
gr/dscf	0.0034	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0028	
lb/hr	2.10	
lb/day	50.5	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0048	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0039	0.005
lb/hr	2.94	
lb/day	70.7	

Note: Results in italics have been revised from the original report.



# SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY UNIFIED AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT

# MEMORANDUM

March 2, 2011
Source Test File
Joe Avila
John Copp

SUBJECT: Review of Source Test for Hanford LP (Retest) January 15, 2010 PTO #C-603-1-9

The Avogadro Group (Avogadro) was retained by Hanford LP to conduct a compliance emission retest of the effluent gasses from a 320.0 MMBtu/hr petroleum coke fired CFBC boiler with ammonia injection and calcium carbonate sorbent. The unit was fired by petroleum coke under a normal operating load. The source test measured PM, PM10,  $CO_2$ , and  $O_2$ .

District compliance staff found notification, reporting, and source test protocols employed during this test to be satisfactory.

The data and calculations included in the report submittal were evaluated to ensure accuracy. The reported particulate emissions were corrected by Avogadro to remove ammonium sulfate formed by the reaction of ammonia with sulfate generated by absorbed sulfur dioxide in the water-filled impingers. Ammonium sulfate caused by the reaction of ammonia with sulfate from sulfuric acid mist and/or sulfur trioxide emissions in the impingers was not removed from the particulate results.

A review of the report and the corrections submitted by Avogadro on behalf of Hanford LP indicated that the CFBC boiler unit was successful in meeting the PM and PM10 emission limits specified in the permit.

PTO 603-1-9 320 MN	MBtu/hr petroleum coke-fi	red CFBC boiler		
РМ	0.0039 gr/dscf @ 12% C	O2 (limit 0.005) 70.3	3 lb/day	
PM10	0.0028 gr/dscf @ 12% C	<b>50.1</b>	lb/day (limit 80.0)	)
O2	4.91%			
CO <sub>2</sub>	14.7%			
Stack Flow Rate	70,400 dscfm			
Test comp	and apparently	did not	advise	facility
of correcti	ions. Above is	equivalent	to 2,09	16/hr.
			fgd	

.

# TABLE 1-3 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE PM RESULTS HANFORD L.P. JANUARY 15, 2010

Parameter	Test Resu	lts Pe	mit Limits
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )			
gr/dscf	0.0004	0.0034	
$gr/dscf @ 12\% CO_2$	0.0003	0,0028	
lb/hr	0.24	J.088	,
lb/day	5.8	50.11	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)			
gr/dscf	0.0018	0.0048	
$gr/dscf @ 12\% CO_2$	0.0015	PE00,0	0.005
lb/hr	1.08	2.931	
lb/day	26.0	70.34	



09015.4 & 09015.8

#### John Copp

From:	Kevin J. Crosby [kcrosby@avogadrogroup.com]
Sent:	Monday, March 07, 2011 11:43 AM
То:	John Copp
Cc:	Erick M. Mirabella; Nevarez, Neftali
Subject:	RE: Correction to PM10 emission data from C-603 Hanford LP
Attachments	: 09015.8 Revision 2 PM Results KJC.pdf

John,

You are right - I missed one math error in that one cell. I corrected the error, and have attached the results summary table to show the outcome; an improvement from a compliance perspective.

We will be editing these spreadsheets to make the math more clear to the reader (and the person entering the datai) so that we will not repeat the problem. Thanks again for your help in improving the quality of our data and results.

Regards,

Kevin J. Crosby; QSTI Technical Director The Avogadro Group, LLC 2825 Verne Roberts Circle Antioch, CA 94509 925.680.4337 direct 925.381.9635 cell see our website <u>www.avogadrogroup.com</u>

Looks 5000. JCOpp

From: John Copp [mailto:John.Copp@valieyair.org] Sent: Monday, March 07, 2011 11:27 AM To: Kevin J. Crosby Subject: RE: Correction to PM10 emission data from C-603 Hanford LP

Kevin -

Thanks for the corrections. I suspect that your corrections to the Run 3 data resulted in an emission rate that was a little too high. The 7th page of the pdf has a calculation on line "N" where you subtracted 117.58 from 123.95 and got 14.10 instead of 6.37 for the corrected total particulate. And the line N formula is a little truncated because It left out the conversion to ammonium sulfate.

I think that it is time to move on. I'll focus my attention on the December 2010 test in a couple of weeks. My initial peeks at the PM data left me with a good impression.

I'll add the pdf that you sent me to the existing test report.

Respectfully,

John Copp Air Quality Inspector Compliance Division – Central Region

# Particulate Matter Result Averages GWF Hanford LP Fluidized-Bed Boiler

Test No:	1-PM10	2-PM10	3-PM10	Averages
Date:	1/15/10	1/15/10	1/15/10	
Time:	0912-1114:30	1456-1657	1739-1938	
Flow Rate, dscfm:	71,456	69,779	69,965	70,400
Stack Temperature, °F:	344.3	344.5	343.7	344.2
O <sub>2</sub> , % volume dry:	4.948	5.045	4.731	4.908
CO <sub>2</sub> , % volume dry:	14.70	14.61	14.80	14.70
Moisture Content, % by volume:	11.292	11.209	11.319	11.273
F½ grain loading, gr/dscf	0.0017	0.0015	0.0021	0.0018
F½ grain loading @ 12% CO2	0.0014	0.0013	0.0017	0.0014
F½ mass emissions, lb/hr	1.0193	0.9169	1.2474	1.0612
B% grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf	0.0740	0.0287	0.0410	0.0479
B½ grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf @ 12% CO2	0.0604	0.0236	0.0333	0.0391
B½ mass emissions (uncorrected), lb/hr	45.3223	17.1505	24.6084	29.0271
PM10 grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf	0.0744	0.0291	0.0414	0.0483
PM10 grain loading (uncorrected) gr/dscf @ 12% CO2	0.0607	0.0239	0.0335	0.0394
PM10 mass emissions (uncorrected), lb/hr	45.5509	17.3767	24.8129	29.2468
Total PM grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf	0.0757	0.0302	0.0431	0.0497
Total PM grain loading (uncorrected), gr/dscf@ 12% CO2	0.0618	0.0248	0.0350	0.0405
Total PM mass emissions (uncorrected), Ib/hr	46.3416	18.0675	25.8559	30.0883
PM10 grain loading (corrected for sulfate), gr/dscf	0.0088	0.0004	0.0011	0.0034
PM10 grain loading (corrected for sulfate), gr/dscf@ 12% CO2	0.0072	0.0003	0.0009	0.0028
PM10 mass emissions (corrected for sulfate). lb/hr	5.38	0.23	0.65	2.08
PM10 mass emissions (corrected for sulfate), lb/day based on 24 hours	129.0	5.4	15.6	50.0
Total DM amin loading (accorded for sulfate) or/deaf	0.0101	0.0015	0.0028	0.0048
Total DM gmin loading (corrected for sulfate) gr/dsof @ 12% CO2	0.0082	0.0013	0.0020	0.00-10
Total DM man amining (corrected for suitate) gr/dsci (g 1270 CO2	£ 17	0.0013	1 60	2 03
TOULT FM MASS EMISSIONS (COTTECTED TOT SUITARE), 10/07	0.17	0.92	1.09	2.93
Total PM mass emissions (corrected for sulfate), lb/day based on 24 hours	148.0	22.0	40.7	70.2

Note: The results were blank-corrected.

**ERC Banking Preliminary Review Checklist** Guiding principle: The preliminary review is limited to those tasks necessary to assure that the District has obtained all necessary information to perform the final evaluation.

Facility I.D. Number	C-603
Project Number	C-1120248
Company Name	Hanford LP
Location of Reduction	10596 Idaho Ave., Hanford, CA 93230
Process Engineer	Frank DeMaris
Date	2/27/12

	Application Content	Yes	No
1.	Does the application provide the date of reduction?	$\boxtimes$	Incomplete
2.	Does the application provide the date received by the District?	$\boxtimes$	Incomplete
3.	Is the date of reduction and date received by the District greater than 180 days?	STOP! Emissions cannot be banked, see your supervisor.	
4.	<ul> <li>Are the claimed ERCs from any of the following:</li> <li>A gasoline dispensing operation,</li> <li>A dry cleaning operation,</li> <li>A fossil fuel-fired power plant as the result of the operation of a cogeneration facility,</li> <li>An operation for which the District originally provided the required offsets, or</li> <li>An operation for which offsets were originally provided but are now no longer enforceable by the District such as open burning of agricultural waste used to offset emissions from a resource recovery project?</li> </ul>	STOP! Emissions cannot be banked, see your supervisor.	
5.	<ul> <li>Does the application indicate the method of emissions reduction, and include a concise and complete description of the actions taken for each emission unit to reduce emissions?</li> <li>Shutdown of emissions unit(s) (as defined in District Rule 2031 Section 3.11 and discussed in District Policy APR-1805)</li> <li>Retrofit of emissions unit(s) (including ATC #s authorizing retrofit if applicable)</li> <li>Process change in emissions unit(s) (including ATC #s authorizing process change if applicable)</li> </ul>		 Incomplete
6.	Does the application include the amount of ERCs being sought by the applicant for each criteria pollutant, and how these claimed ERC values were calculated?	$\boxtimes$	
7.	Does the application provide the permit number(s) being surrendered?		Incomplete
8.	Does the application include banking emissions from unpermitted equipment?	See your supervisor	
9.	Has the applicant submitted an application for an In-House PTO for unpermitted equipment from which banked emissions are being sought, OR if the District cannot legally permit the equipment, has the applicant agreed in writing to enter into a legally binding contract with the District to provide bank emissions that will comply with Rule 2301?	See your supervisor	
10.	Does the application have a signature?	$\boxtimes$	Incomplete

	Supplemental Data	Yes	No
11.	If seasonal operation, have all seasonal start and stop dates been made available for the past 2 or 5 years (if the last two years are not representative of a normal operation)?		Incomplete
12.	Have all historical actual operational data been provided by the applicant for the past 2 – 5 years (if the last two years are not representative of a normal operation)? If No, requested the historical actual data either in days, months or quarters, only.		 Incomplete
13.	<ul> <li>Have all appropriate emission factor(s) been determine or obtained? If yes, check one box for source of information:</li> <li>☑ Continuous Emissions Monitoring (CEM) data from the emission point(s) in question;</li> <li>☑ Source test data from the emission point(s) in question;</li> <li>☑ Permit emission factor(s);</li> <li>☑ AP-42 or industry derived emission factors;</li> <li>☑ Manufacturer's guarantee;</li> <li>☑ CEM data or Source test data at similar emission points</li> </ul>		 Incomplete

	PAS System	Yes	No
14.	Have all Certificates been created for this project?		Create Certificate(s)

.

	Reimbursable Overtime Fees	Yes	No
15.	Has the applicant requested reimbursable overtime processing?		Skip to 17
16.	Has the applicant provided a weekend contact, weekend phone number, etc.?		Incomplete

	Filing Fees	Yes	No
17.	Have all the filing fees been paid?		Incomplete, unless RO

### **Completeness Determination**

If the application is incomplete by any of the questions in this preliminary review form, the missing information must be obtained. If the information is too comprehensive to obtain by phone, or attempts to gather the information by phone have been unsuccessful as of your due-date, then deem the application incomplete and send an incompleteness letter detailing the missing information and/or fees. OTHERWISE, deem the application complete.

#### **Comments and References**

The applicant has also applied to bank GHG emission reductions. Although this facility is a cap-andtrade facility, and the permit cancellation was not received until January 31, 2012, the District accepts that the date of emission reductions is August 22, 2011 (the last date of operation). GHG ERC certificates will be logged into PAS when PAS has been updated to allow GHG banking.

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control DistRECEIVED

# **Application for**

2

JAN 3 1 2012 FINANCE

SJVUAPCD

JAN 31 2012 \_

	[ X ] EMISSION REDUC	TION CREDIT (E	(RC)	[ ] CON	ISOLIDATI	ON OF ERC CERTIF	IPAGEMITS Services
<b>1.</b> 1	ERC TO BE ISSUED TO: H	lanford LP					Facility ID: C- 603 (if known)
2.	MAILING ADDRESS: Street	/P.O. Box: 4300 R	ailroad Avenue				
		City: <b>Pittsbu</b> l	rg State: CA Zip Co	de: 94565-6006			
3.	LOCATION OF REDUCTIO Street:10596 Idaho Av	)N: enue				4. DATE OF REDU August 22, 201	ction: 1
	City: _Hanford, CA, 93 The Site Universal Transver 262,139.0 meters, Vertical 4 119 <sup>0</sup> 38' 52'' West Longitud	230 rse Mercator (UTN ,016,882.0 meters. e.	<i>1</i> ) coordinates are at Z The plant is at 36 <sup>0</sup> 16'	one 11, Horizon '9'' North Latite	tal ude and		
	/4_SECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	:			<u></u>
5.	PERMIT NO(S): C-603-1-6	; ;	EXIS	FING ERC NO(S	5):		
6.	METHOD RESULTING IN	EMISSION REDU	CTION:				
	[ X ] SHUTDOWN	[] RETRO	FIT []P	PROCESS CHAN	ige	[] OTHER	
	DESCRIPTION: 30 MW H MMBTU/hr was she	Fluidized Bed Co utdown on Augu	ombustor fueled by I ist 22, 2011 and all p	Petroleum Cok permits have be	een designa	Gas and No. 2 Fue ated dormant.	l oil up to 320 (Use additional sheets if necessary
7.	REQUESTED ERCS (In PO)	VOC	NOx	СО	PM1	0 SOx	OTHER
	1ST QUARTER	218	18255	18188	939	25374	
	2ND QUARTER	219	18256	18189	940	25375	
	3RD QUARTER	219	18256	18189	940	25375	
	4TH QUARTER	218	18256	18188	939	25375	
8. SIGNATORE OF APPLICANT: Vice President							
9. TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF APPLICANT: Douglas W. Wheeler					DATE: 01/12/2012	TELEPHONE NO: 925.431.1443	
FOR A	APCD USE ONLY:		<u> </u>		<u></u>	L	<u>L</u>
	RECEW	ED	FILING FEE RECEIVED: \$ 27	277.00 , #	10245	<u> </u>	
	JAN 3 1 2012 DATE PAID: 84			= 1-26-12	0		

Northern Regional Office \* 4800 Enterprise Way \* Modesto, California 95356-8718 \* (209) 557-6400 \* FAX (209) 557-6475 Central Regional Office \* 1990 East Gettysburg Avenue \* Fresno, California 93726-0244 \* (559) 230-5900 \* FAX (559) 230-6061 Southern Regional Office \* 34946 Flyover Court \* Bakersfield, California 93308 \* (661) 392-5500 \* FAX (661) 392-5585

PROJECT NO.: <u>C - //20248</u> FACILITY ID.: \_

1-603

# San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control DisRECEIVED

# **Application for**

JAN 3 1 2012

	[X] EMISSION REDUC	TION CREDIT (E	RC)	[] CON	SOLIDATIO	ON OF ERC CERTIFI	ermits Service	S
1.	ERC TO BE ISSUED TO: 1	Ianford LP					Facility ID: C- 603 (if known)	
2.	MAILING ADDRESS: Stree	1/P.O. Box: 4300 Re	nilroad Avenue					
		City: Pittsbur	g State: CA Zip Cod	le: 94565-6006				
3.	LOCATION OF REDUCTIOn Street:10596 Idaho Av	DN: venue				4. DATE OF REDUC August 22, 2011	CTION:	
	City: _Hanford, CA, 93	230						
	The Site Universal Transve 262,139.0 meters, Vertical 4 119 <sup>0</sup> 38' 52'' West Longitud	rse Mercator (UTM 1,016,882.0 meters. le.	I) coordinates are at Za The plant is at 36 <sup>0</sup> 16'	one 11, Horizonta 9" North Latitu	al de and			
	/4 SECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	······································				
5.	PERMIT NO(S): C-603-16	-1	EXIS	TING ERC NO(S	S):			
6.	METHOD RESULTING IN	EMISSION REDU	CTION:					
	[X] SHUTDOWN	[] RETRO	FIT []P	ROCESS CHAN	GE	[] OTHER		
	DESCRIPTION: 15,466 GP	M Marley 3-Cell, C	ounter Flow, Induced D	Praft, Cooling To	wer.		(Lise additional chaots if page	
	ę		1		<u></u>			cssary)
7.	REQUESTED ERCs (In Po	unds Per Calendar	Quarter):		<del>.</del>			
		VOC	NOx	СО	PM1	0 SOx	OTHER	
	1ST QUARTER				993			
	2ND QUARTER				994		_	
	3RD QUARTER				<u> </u>			
	4TH QUARTER				993			
8.	SIGNATURE OF APPLICA	NT:		TYPE OR P	RINT TITL	E OF APPLICANT:		
	Ŵ			Vice Presid	lent			
9.	TYPE OR PRINT NAME O	F APPLICANT: D	ouglas W. Wheeler	-		DATE:	TELEPHONE NO:	
						01/12/2012	925.431.1443	
FOF	APCD USE ONLY:							
	DATE STAM	•						
		-	FILING FEE RECEIVED: \$	/				
1			DATE PAID:					

Northern Regional Office \* 4800 Enterprise Way \* Modesto, California 95356-8718 \* (209) 557-6400 \* FAX (209) 557-6475 Central Regional Office \* 1990 East Gettysburg Avenue \* Fresno, California 93726-0244 \* (559) 230-5900 \* FAX (559) 230-6061 Southern Regional Office \* 34946 Flyover Court \* Bakersfield, California 93308 \* (661) 392-5500 \* FAX (661) 392-5585

FACILITY ID.:

PROJECT NO .:

#### **GWF POWER SYSTEMS**

JAN 31 2012

RECEIVED

Permits Services SJVAPCD

January 26, 2012

Mr. Jim Swaney San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Permit Services Manager, Central Region 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, Ca 93726-0244

Re: Hanford LP Emission Reduction Credit Applications

Dear Jim:

Please find attached three applications requesting banking of emissions reductions pursuant to Rule 2301 and that have resulted from the August 22, 2011 shutdown of the Hanford LP petroleum coke fueled power plant. Following the plant shut down, GWF filed an application for "Dormancy" and a "Dormancy" permit was issued by the District and remains in place today. Three applications have been prepared: (1) the criteria pollutant emissions from the fluidized bed boiler, NOx, SO2, VOC, PM-10 and CO, (2) the PM-10 emissions from the cooling tower, and (3) the GHG emissions from the fluidized bed boiler. The data supporting the emission reduction calculations and the requested "two year period" that best characterizes the emissions from the plant over its operating history and other supporting documentation is also attached.

As we discussed yesterday and after reviewing Rule 4352, the Solid Fuel Boiler Rule, as amended in December, 2011, the requested emission reductions for NOx and CO appear to be "surplus" under District guidelines, as the permit limits in Hanford's permit are significantly lower than the limits set in the amended Rule. The other area and question that I raised related to the Emission Reduction Credits surrendered when we raised the SO2 limit from 20.2 PPM to 35 PPM and weather any of those ERC's could be recovered as part of this application review and ERC Certificate issuance process. I have not included any those emission reductions in the calculations supporting the three applications, nor have I attempted to quantify those emission reductions. I thought it best that you consider the question and we can then discuss and then amend or submit an additional application as appropriate.

Thank you for your consideration and assistance with our banking request. You can contacyt me at 925-431-1443 or cell at 714-600-1391.

Sincerely, D.W.Wheeler

D.W.Wheeler Vice President



RECEIVED

JAN 31 2012

Permits Services SJVAPCD

January 26, 2012

Mr. Jim Swaney San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District Permit Services Manager, Central Region 1990 E. Gettysburg Avenue Fresno, CA 93726-0244

Re: Hanford LP Emission Reduction Credit Applications

Dear Mr. Swaney

The Hanford LP petroleum coke fueled electrical generating plant (SJVAPCD Facility C-603) was shut down on August 22, 2011 when the Power Purchase Agreement with PG&E expired. The plant is a 30 MW, 320 MMBtu/hr Fluidized Bed Combustor fueled with petroleum coke and using natural gas and No. 2 Fuel oil for start up. We have prepared and are submitting three separate application forms: (1) Requesting the banking of NOx, SO2, VOC, PM-10 and CO emission reductions consistent with San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District ("District") Rule 2301; (2) Requesting the banking of PM-10 emissions from the cooling tower in accordance with Rule 2301; and (3) Requesting the banking of GHG emission reductions consistent to Rule 2301 that will be considered by the District Board this month. We recognize that the District may postpone review of the GHG banking application until the District Board approves the proposed amendments to Rule 2301, which are scheduled for consideration on January 19, 2012.

Hanford LP is submitting the attached three applications to bank as Emission Reduction Credits the actual emissions reductions that resulted from the August 22, 2011 facility shutdown. The first application covers emissions of NOx, SO2, VOC, PM-10 and CO from the combustor, the second application covers the PM-10 from the cooling tower, and the third application covers the GHG emission reductions that we are requesting be banked once the Board has approved the proposed amendments to Rule 2301.

A plot plan of the facility is included as Attachment 1. The plot plan identifies the location of the permit units which have been shut-down and are as follows::

□ C-603-1-6 Fluidized Bed Combustor

- □ C-603-3-2 Gypsum Silo and Bin Vent Filter
- □ C-603-2-2 Kaolin Silo and Bin Vent Filter
- C-603-13-4 Synthetic Gypsum (Fly Ash) Silo and Bin Vent Filter
- C-603-14-2 Synthetic Gypsum (Bed Ash) Silo and Bin Vent Filter
- □ C-603-15-2 Sodium Bicarbonate Silo and Bin Vent Filter
- □ C-603-16-1 Cooling Tower

You will note that C-603-6-3 Emergency Generator is not included, as the generator will continue to operate as part of the GWF Energy LLC Hanford Peaker Plant.

Throughout most of Hanford LP's operating history, petroleum coke was supplied from the Bakersfield refinery. The refinery changed ownership several times over the plant's history, starting with Texaco, Shell and finally Flying "J". Hanford LP received notice from Flying "J" that the Bakersfield refinery was shutting down and although the refinery has been sold, remains shutdown. As a result of the Bakersfield refinery shutdown, Hanford LP began using petroleum coke from the Conoco-Philips refinery in Santa Maria and the Shell refinery in Martinez. The petroleum coke was blended to optimize the sulfur content and the delivered coke price. Because the emissions from Hanford LP directly relate to the amount of petroleum coke utilized by the facility, Attachment 2 summarizes the petroleum coke utilized by month over the five-year period prior to the August 22, 2011 shutdown. The two-year period Hanford LP proposes that best reflects the operations and emissions profile of the facility is the period beginning January, 2009 and ending December, 2010.

A summary of the calculation methodologies for NOx, SO2, CO, PM-10 and VOC is included as Attachment 3. The calculations of emission reductions for NOx, SO2, and CO are based on the CEMS stack monitoring data, that by permit condition has been reported to the District on a monthly basis over the operating history of the plant and is included as Attachment 4. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a summary of the NOx, SO2, and CO CEMs data for the proposed period. The calculations for PM-10 and VOC emissions are based on annual source test results. A summary of those calculated emissions is included as Attachment 5. The source test results for the proposed period are attached as Exhibit 2.

The application for banking the GHG emissions reduction provides GHG emissions by quarter as CO2E, including the CO2E for CO2, CH4, and N2O expressed in metric tons. The two year period proposed is the same period as that used for the requested emission reductions for NOx, SO2, CO, PM-10, and VOC. Although the reporting period under ARB's Mandatory Reporting Rule for GHGs is different from the period proposed for this banking application, the emission factors for CO2, CH4, and N2O are the same as those approved by EPA and ARB and are calculated based on the petroleum coke and natural gas burned as well as on the quantity of limestone used for SO2 control. The calculation methodology and calculated emissions are summarized by month for the proposed period. The calculated GHG emissions are summarized as CO2E in Attachment 6. A separate application has been prepared for the PM-10 emissions from the cooling tower. We are proposing the same two year baseline period even though the cooling tower PM-10 emissions are not impacted by the capacity factor. That is to say, when the plant is being operated at a reduced capacity factor, the cooling tower circulation rate and the resulting PM-10 emissions do not change. The main variable that affects the PM-10 emissions is the TDS in the cooling tower water. Attachment 7 summarizes the TDS and hours of operation by month for the proposed baseline period.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 925-431-1443

Thank you for consideration in this matter

Sincorely,

D.W.Wheeler

Vice-President



#### Petroleum Coke Usage

Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-1-6

Date/Month	Coke Usage	Date/Month	Coke Usage	Date/Month	Coke Usage
	Tons		Tons		Tons
Jan-06	7,224	Jan-08	7,137	Jan-10	6,909
Feb-06	6,795	Feb-08	6,614	Feb-10	4,731
Mar-06	7,438	Mar-08	4,762	Mar-10	7,054
Apr-06	7,459	Apr-08	7,037	Apr-10	7,057
May-06	7,292	May-08	7,278	May-10	7,284
Jun-06	7,129	Jun-08	6,893	Jun-10	6,752
Jul-06	7,472	Jul-08	7,378	Jul-10	6,074
Aug-06	7,484	Aug-08	7,240	Aug-10	6,397
Sep-06	7,030	Sep-08	7,067	Sep-10	4,734
Oct-06	4,781	Oct-08	6,221	Oct-10	4,479
Nov-06	6,404	Nov-08	7,035	Nov-10	6,745
Dec-06	7,741	Dec-08	7,500	Dec-10	2,407
Jan-07	7,637	Jan-09	7,097	Jan-11	3,052
Feb-07	6,650	Feb-09	6,534	Feb-11	5,647
Mar-07	7,066	Mar-09	7,308	Mar-11	5,806
Apr-07	7,013	Apr-09	7,041	Apr-11	4,133
May-07	7,451	May-09	7,284	May-11	3,177
Jun-07	7,288	Jun-09	5,777	Jun-11	4,830
Jul-07	7,587	Jul-09	7,215	Jul-11	6,471
Aug-07	7,560	Aug-09	7,157	Aug-11	4,851
Sep-07	7,168	Sep-09	6,436		
Oct-07	7,517	Oct-09	7,606		
Nov-07	7,353	Nov-09	7,068		
Dec-07	7,235	Dec-09	7,211		

# Attachment 3

#### **CALCULATION METHODOLOGIES**

A. Methodology used to calculate PM10 and VOC mass emissions From Fluidized Bed Combustor Stack.

PM10 and VOC mass emissions from the Fluidized Bed Combustor's stack are calculated from source test results (LBS/HR) X operating hours for each month. Results of the source test as Ibs/hr are used to calculate emissions using beginning from the day of a passing source test to the day before the next passing source test. For purposes of this application, the period used to calculate PM10 and VOC emissions is January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010.

The scheduled Source test passed the PM10 permit limit on November 20, 2008. The results are used to calculate PM10 until the next passing source test. The next scheduled source test failed PM10 on December 10, 2009. The failed test is not used in calculating PM 10. The retest passed on January 15, 2010. The results of the re-test are used to calculate PM10 through the day before the next source test that was performed on December 1, 2010.

To calculate PM10 for 2009, only the results of the test performed on November 20, 2008 were used. Refer to TABLE 1. For 2010, the month of January 2010 is calculated as follows: There were 692 operating hours during the month, 336 operating hrs from January 1 thru January 14 and 356 operating hours from January 15 thru January 31. PM10 Source test result for the source test performed on November 20, 2008 was 0.63 lbs/hr. PM10 Source test re-test result for the test performed on January 15, 2010 was 0.24 lbs/hr. Therefore, (336 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)+(356 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr) = 297.1 lbs. From January 15, 2010 thru November 30, 2010 the January 15, 2010 result was used to calculate PM10 emissions. December 2010 was calculated using the results of the test performed on December 1. Refer to TABLE 1.

The same methodology as PM10 was used for VOC mass emissions. VOCs were non-detect for the entire 2 year period and there were no failed tests for VOCs. The value at non-detect was used for the calculation. The Source test uses for VOC calculations were the tests perfomed on: November 20, 2008, December 10, 2009 and December 1, 2010. Following are two tables showing the calculations for PM10 and VOCs.

#### TABLE 1

#### PM10 Monthly Calculations

	Plaito lalontul	/ Calcula	tions		
Date/Month				lbs	/month
Jan-09	(744 hrs X 0.63 lb/hr)				468.7
Feb-09	(670 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				421.8
Mar-09	(737 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				464.3
Apr-09	(720 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				453.6
May-09	(740 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				452.3
Jun-09	(618 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				389.3
Jul-09	(744 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				468.7
Aug-09	(744 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				468.7
Sep-09	(645 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				406.4
Oct-09	(741 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				466.8
Nov-09	(721 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				454.2
Dec-09	(743 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)				467.9
Jan-10	(336 hrs X 0.63 lbs/hr)+(356	hrs X 0.2	24 lbs/hr)		297.1
Feb-10	(485 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)	<b>ว</b> ()9	16/60	001	116.3
Mar-10	(743 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)	4.01	mart	Corrections	178.3
Apr-10	(719 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)	1 471	1(12)		172.6
May-10	(744 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)				178.4
Jun-10	(714 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)		/		171.2
Jul-10	(659 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)				158.2
Aug-10	(707 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)				169.6
Sep-10	(539 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)				129.4
Oct-10	(537 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)				128.9
Nov-10	(715 hrs X 0.24 lbs/hr)				171.5
Dec-10	(271 hrs X 0.97 lbs/hr)				262.4

#### TABLE 2

#### **VOC Monthly Calculations**

Date/Month		lbs/month
Jan-09	(744 hrs X 0.11 lb/hr)	81.8
Feb-09	(670 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	73.6
Mar-09	(737 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	81.1
Apr-09	(720 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	71.9
May-09	(740 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	79.0

Jun-09	(618 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	68.0
Jul-09	(744 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	81.8
Aug-09	(744 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	81.8
Sep-09	(645 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	71.0
Oct-09	(741 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	81.5
Nov-09	(721 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	79.3
Dec-09	(216 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)+(527 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	81.7
Jan-10	(692 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	76.1
Feb-10	(485 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	53.3
Mar-10	(743 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	81.7
Apr-10	(719 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	79.1
May-10	(744 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	71.9
Jun-10	(714 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	78.5
Jul-10	(659 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	72.5
Aug-10	(707 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	77.7
Sep-10	(539 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	59.3
Oct-10	(537 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	59.1
Nov-10	(715 hrs X 0.11 lbs/hr)	78.6
Dec-10	(271 hrs X 0.10 lbs/hr)	27.1

- B. Methodology used to calculate ERCs per quarter from Combustor Stack
  - 1. To calculate PM10 and VOC ERCs, the monthly calculated (as described above) mass emissions are added for two years. The result is divided by 2 to obtain an annual average. The annual average is then divided by 4 to obtain the ERCs per quarter
  - 2. NOx, SOx and CO are calculated the same way. Mass emission values obtained from CEMS as lbs/month are added for two years. The result is divided by 2 to obtain an annual average. The annual average is then divided by 4 to obtain the ERCs per quarter
- C. Methodology used to calculate PM10 From Cooling Tower.

Using AP-42 guidance, the PM10 emissions were calculated as the product of the cooling water re-circulating rate times the cooling tower drift rate times the total dissolved solids (TDS) times the PM10 Fraction Factor and is expressed as:

PM10=Water Recirculation Rate X Drift Rate X TDS X PM10 Fraction Factor

The parameters for the cooling tower at the Hanford LP Power Plant are as follows:

Water Recirculation Rate = 15, 466 gallons per minute. A maximum of two of the existing three pumps operate at one time. Each pump is rated at 7,733 gpm.

Drift Rate= 0.008 %. Obtained from ASPX Cooling Technologies, Marley Class 160 Cooling Tower Specification (Circa 1990)

TDS=	Derived as a percent of Electrical Conductivity (EC) form
	monthly wastewater analytical reports. Conversion Factor
	is 63.8 %. TDS is expressed as parts per million.
PM10 Fraction Factor=	70 %. Reisman, Joel, and Gordon Frisbie, Calculating
	Realistic PM10 Emissions From Cooling Towers.

Example: PM10= 15,466 gpm x8.34 lb water/gallon X 0.008/100 X 1250 TDS as lb /10<sup>6</sup> X 60 minutes X 744 hours for July 2008

= 403.2 lbs/month.

D. Methodology used to calculate PM10 ERCs per quarter from Cooling Tower.

To calculate PM10, the monthly calculated (as described above) mass emissions are added for two years. The result is divided by 2 to obtain an annual average. The annual average is then divided by 4 to obtain the ERCs per quarter

#### NOx, SO2 & CO

### Hanford LP

t

Permit No. C-603-1-6

**.** 

	NOX	SO2	CO	Coke Usage
	lbs	lbs	lbs	Tons
Jan-09	7011	6613	8507	7,097
Feb-09	6282	5752	6320	6,534
Mar-09	6946	6810	7060	7,308
Apr-09	6762	6415	7689	7,041
May-09	6940	6426	9481	7,284
Jun-09	5894	5531	9004	5,777
Jul-09	7071	6775	1 <b>0547</b>	7,215
Aug-09	7102	6761	11366	7,157
Sep-09	5717	5422	9450	6,436
Oct-09	6747	11322	. 10939	7,606
Nov-09	6083	9334	11765	7,068
Dec-09	7029	11239	12744	7,211
Jan-10	5889	10690	6395	6,909
Feb-10	4468	7582	2316	4,731
Mar-10	6805	111 <b>02</b>	2617	7,054
Apr-10	6719	12590	5714	7,057
May-10	6965	1 <b>2491</b>	4381	7,284
Jun-10	6451	10210	1297	6,752
Jul-10	5800	10242	1786	6,074
Aug-10	5926	10223	1165	6,397
Sep-10	5152	8561	722	4,734
Oct-10	4714	7744	1715	4,479
Nov-10	5876	9694	1794	6,745
Dec-10	1697	3468	733	2,407
Annual Average:	73,023	101,499	72,754	77,179
(Based on Two Years)				

٠.

•••

# EXHIBIT 1

Begin: Jul 01, 2006

End: Aug 31, 2011

Date: Jan 12 2012 00:00 Time: 09:27 23:59

(Page 001)

#### Hanford CFB 10596 Idaho Avenue, Hanford CA \*\*\*\*\* MULTI-PARAMETER SUMMARY REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

1)	Monthly	Summatio	ons)	
Data	Source	: daily n	records	
		> limit	> limit	> limit
		245	469	544
		Unit 1	Unit 1	Unit 1
Stai	rt			
		NOX	SO2	CO
		lbs	lbs	lbs
01,	/09	7011	6613	8507
02,	/09	6282	5752	6320
03,	/09	6946	6810	7060
04,	/09	6762	6415	7689
05,	/09	6940	6426	9481
06,	/09	5894	5531	9004
07,	/09	7071	6775	10547
08,	/09	7102	6761	11366
09,	/09	5717	5422	9450
10,	/09	6747	11322	10939
11,	/09	6083	9334	11765
12,	/09	7029	11239	12744
01,	/10	5889	10690	6395
02,	/10	4468	7582	2316
03,	/10	6805	11102	2617
04,	/10	6719	12590	5714
05,	/10	6965	12491	4381
06,	/10	6451	10210	1297
07,	/10	5800	10242	1786
08,	/10	5926	10223	1165
09,	/10	5152	8561	722
10,	/10	4714	7744	1715
11,	/10	5876	9694	1794
12,	/10	1697	3468	733
		·		

Report produced by Cirrus

DAS S/N (0000001)

EXHIBIT 2

Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2008 Compliance Test Report December 18, 2008

# TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED-BED BOILER NOVEMBER 20, 2008

Parameter	<b>Test Results</b>	<b>Permit Limits</b>
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0011	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0009	
lb/hr	0.63	
lb/day	15.1	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0018	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0014	0.005
lb/hr	1.03	
lb/day	24.7	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	46.5	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	48.8	
lb/hr	14.07	
lb/day	337.6	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	17.6	
ppmvd $(a)$ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	18.5	28.0
$lb/hr$ as $NO_2$	8.75	
lb/day as NO <sub>2</sub>	210.0	245
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>X</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	14.1	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	14.8	20.2
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	9.74	
lb/day as SO <sub>2</sub>	233.8	245
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.7	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 0.11	
lb/day as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 2.6	60.0
Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	14.9	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	15.6	



Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2009 Compliance Test Report

# TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER DECEMBER 10, 2009

Parameter	<b>Test Results</b>	<b>Permit Limits</b>
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0081	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0064	
lb/hr	4.59	
lb/day	110.1	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0090	
$gr/dscf @, 12\% CO_2$	0.0071	0.005
lb/hr	5.09	
lb/day	122.2	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	76.3	
ppmvd $(a)$ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	81.5	
lb/hr	22.52	
lb/day	540.2	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	20.8	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	22.2	28.0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	10.07	
lb/day as NO <sub>2</sub>	241.5	245
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>x</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	25.1	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	26.8	35.0
$lb/hr as SO_2$	16.97	
lb/day as SO <sub>2</sub>	407.1	469
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.7	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 0.11	
lb/day as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 2.7	60.0
Ammonia (NH3)		
ppm volume dry	15.3	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	16.6	

09015.4 & 09015.8



Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2009 Compliance Test Report February 2, 2010

# TABLE 1-3 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE PM RESULTS HANFORD L.P. JANUARY 15, 2010

Parameter	<b>Test Results</b>	<b>Permit Limits</b>
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0004	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0003	
lb/hr	0.24	
lb/day	5.8	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0018	
$gr/dscf@12\%CO_2$	0.0015	0.005
lb/hr	1.08	
lb/day	26.0	÷-

Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2010 Compliance Test Report

# TABLE 1-1 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER NOVEMBER 30 & DECEMBER 1, 2010

Parameter	<b>Test Results</b>	<b>Permit Limits</b>
Particulate Matter <10 microns (PM <sub>10</sub> )		
gr/dscf	0.0019	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0015	
lb/hr	0.97	<del></del>
lb/day	23.4	80.0
Total Particulate Matter (PM)		
gr/dscf	0.0028	
gr/dscf @ 12% CO <sub>2</sub>	0.0022	0.005
lb/hr	1.45	
lb/day	34.7	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppm volume dry	6.9	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	7.4	
lb/hr	1.85	
lb/day	44.3	544
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>X</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	20.4	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	21.9	28.0
lb/hr as NO <sub>2</sub>	9.03	
lb/day as NO <sub>2</sub>	216.7	245



Hanford L.P. Power Plant 2010 Compliance Test Report

# TABLE 1-2 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE RESULTS HANFORD L.P. FLUIDIZED BED BOILER NOVEMBER 30 & DECEMBER 1, 2010

Parameter	Test Results	Permit Limits
Sulfur Oxides (SO <sub>X</sub> )		
ppm volume dry	23.2	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	24.9	35.0
lb/hr as SO <sub>2</sub>	14.26	
lb/day as SO <sub>2</sub>	342.3	469
Volatile Organic Compounds		
ppm volume dry as $C_1$	< 0.6	
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	< 0.7	
lb/hr as CH4	< 0.10	
lb/day as CH <sub>4</sub>	< 2.3	60.0
Ammonia (NH3)		
ppm volume dry	3.7	30.0
ppmvd @ 3% O <sub>2</sub>	3.9	

Note: Values presented in italics represent results reported at the detection limit of the applicable method.

10015.4

#### PM10 & VOC

Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-1-6

Date/Month	OP HRS	PI	M10			VOC
		lb/hr	lbs/month		lb/hr	lbs/month
Jan-09	744	0.63	468.7	<	0.11	81.8
Feb-09	670	0.63	421.8	<	0.11	73.6
Mar-09	737	0.63	464.3	<	0.11	81.1
Apr-09	720	0.63	453.6	<	0.11	71.9
May-09	740	0.63	452.3	<	0.11	79.0
Jun-09	618	0.63	389.3	<	0.11	68.0
Jul-09	744	0.63	468.7	<	0.11	81.8
Aug-09	744	0.63	468.7	<	0.11	81.8
Sep-09	645	0.63	406.4	<	0.11	71.0
Oct-09	741	0.63	466.8	<	0.11	81.5
Nov-09	721	0.63	454.2	<	0.11	79.3
Dec-09	743	0.63	467.9	<	0.11	81.7
Jan-10	692	0.63	297.1	<	0.11	76.1
Feb-10	485	0.24	116.3	<	0.11	53.3
Mar-10	743	0.24	178.3	<	0.11	81.7
Apr-10	719	0.24	172.6	<	0.11	79.1
May-10	744	0.24	178.4	<	0.11	71.9
Jun-10	714	0.24	171.2	<	0.11	78.5
Jul-10	659	0.24	158.2	<	0.11	72.5
Aug-10	707	0.24	169.6	<	0.11	77.7
Sep-10	539	0.24	129.4	<	0.11	59.3
Oct-10	537	0.24	128.9	<	0.11	59.1
Nov-10	715	0.24	171.5	<	0.11	78.6
Dec-10	271	0.97	262.4	<	0.10	27.1
Annual Average:			3758.3			873.8
(Deced on True Ver						

(Based on Two Years)

Notes:

1) PM10 and VOC calculated from operating hours X Source test results.

2) Source test results applied from date of passing source test to day prior to next passing source test.

3) VOC's are calculated at Non-detect value.

4) Source Test Dates: 11/20/2008 Passed, 12/10/2009 Failed, 01/15/2010 passed.

#### GREENHOUSE GASES (GHG)

Hanford LP	
Permit No.	C-603-1-6

Natural Gas Default N2O Emission Factor

Petroleum Coke Default Higher Heating Value

Diesel N2O Default Emission Factor

0. C-003-1-0													
						Total Carbonate				CO2 <sub>e</sub> from		CO2, from	
		Sorbent	Nat Gas			Usage	Sorbent	Nat Gas	CO2 <sub>e</sub> from	Nat Gas	CO2, from	Nat Gas	
	Coke Usage	Usage	Usage	Coke Carbon Content	Coke CO2	(Sorbent)	CO2	CO2	Coke CH4	CH4	Coke N <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> O	Total CO2,
	Tons	Tons	MMBTU	%	MT	Tons	MT	MT	MT	MT	MT	MT	MT
	0.000	12.5305	0000000000										
Jan-09	7,750	671	0	86.5	22,286	637	254.26	0.00	12.11	0.0000	35.75	0.0000	22,588.34
Feb-09	6,997	513	0	86.4	20,091	487	194.53	0.00	10.93	0.0000	32.28	0.0000	20,328.96
Mar-09	7,963	647	0	85.3	22,566	614	245.20	0.00	12.44	0.0000	36.73	0.0000	22,860.01
Apr-09	7,686	632	0	87.0	22,230	601	239.81	0.00	12.01	0.0000	35.45	0.0000	22,517.15
May-09	8,152	561	0	83.5	22,633	533	212.85	0.00	12.74	0.0000	37.60	0.0000	22,896.44
Jun-09	6,490	547	300	83.9	18,098	520	207.54	15.86	10.14	0.0057	29.94	0.0837	18,361.43
Jul-09	7864	613	0	87.6	22,891	583	232.53	0.00	12.29	0.0000	36.28	0.0000	23,172.25
Aug-09	7798	648	0	83.2	21,559	616	245.77	0.00	12.18	0.0000	35.97	0.0000	21,852.74
Sep-09	7109	825	355	87.8	20,738	783	312.70	18.77	11.11	0.0067	32.79	0.0990	21,113.24
Oct-09	8269	1131	0	83.7	23,004	1,074	428.85	0.00	12.92	0.0000	38.14	0.0000	23,483.80
Nov-09	7560	777	0	84.0	21,110	738	294.65	0.00	11.81	0.0000	34.87	0.0000	21,451.51
Dec-09	7927	729	0	80.9	21,326	692	276.41	0.00	12.39	0.0000	36.57	0.0000	21,651.00
Jan-10	7439	1161	0	80.2	19,839	1,103	440.33	0.00	11.62	0.0000	34.31	0.0000	20,325.06
Feb-10	5335	772	784	80.5	14,281	733	292.59	41.45	8.34	0.0148	24.61	0.2187	14,648.60
Mar-10	7796	1099	0	79.6	20,637	1,044	416.93	0.00	12.18	0.0000	35.96	0.0000	21,101.92
Apr-10	7955	1795	0	78.9	20,873	1,706	680.78	0.00	12.43	0.0000	36.69	0.0000	21,602.83
May-10	8145	1719	0	79.8	21,616	1,633	651.93	0.00	12.73	0.0000	37.57	0.0000	22,318.50
Jun-10	7472	1791	0	78.9	19,608	1,701	679.12	0.00	11.67	0.0000	34.47	0.0000	20,332.91
Jul-10	6914	1871	0	80.5	18,506	1,778	709.60	0.00	10.80	0.0000	31.89	0.0000	19,258.28
Aug-10	7154	1858	275	79.7	18,960	1,765	704.46	14.54	11.18	0.0052	33.00	0.0767	19,722.82
Sep-10	5329	1318	940	82.7	14,653	1,252	499.80	49.70	8.33	0.0178	24.58	0.2623	15,236.00
Oct-10	4974	1183	845	77.6	12,832	1,124	448.53	44.68	7.77	0.0160	22.94	0.2358	13,355.78
Nov-10	7519	1843	0	76.5	19,112	1,751	698.96	0.00	11.75	0.0000	34.68	0.0000	19,857.66
Dec-10	2684	673	0	75.4	6,725	639	255.25	0.00	4.19	0.0000	12.38	0.0000	6,997.05

Annual Average:	
(Based on Two Years)	

238,517

			de la companya de la		(based on two reals)
				Formulas	
				Coke CO2 (MT)=	(Coke Usage tons)*0.9072*(Coke carbon content%/100)*3.664
Natural Gas CO2 Emission Factor	52.87	kg/MMBtu		Sorbent CO2 (MT)=	(Calcium Carbonate Usage*0.44)* $(0.9072) < M(CONV(C))$
Calcium Carbonate CO2 Emission Factor	0.44	MT/MT		Nat Gas CO2 (MT)=	(Natural Gas Usage*52.87)/1000
					(((Coke Usage tons)*(Petroleum Coke default CH4 Emission Factor)*(Petroleum Coke default Higher Heating
				CO2 <sub>e</sub> from Coke CH4=	Value))/100000)))*CH4 Global Warming Potential Factor
					(((Nat Gas Usage tons)*(Nat Gas default CH4 Emission Factor))/1000000)))*CH4 Global Warming Potential Factor
Petroleum Coke Default CH4 Emission Factor	3.0	g/MMBtu		CO2 <sub>e</sub> from Nat Gas CH4=	
					(((Coke Usage tons)*(Petroleum Coke default N2O Emission Factor)*(Petroleum Coke default Higher Heating
Natural Gas CH4 Emission Factor	0.9	g/MMBtu		CO2 <sub>e</sub> from Coke N <sub>2</sub> O=	Value))/1000000)))*N2O Global Warming Potential Factor
					(((Nat Gas Usage tons)*(Nat Gas default N2O Emission Factor))/1000000)))*N2O Global Warming Potential Factor
Diesel CH4 Emission Factor	0.0			CO2 <sub>e</sub> from Nat Gas N <sub>2</sub> O=	
Petroleum Coke Default N2O Emission Factor	0.6	g/MMBtu			

Global Warming Potentials (GWP)		
CO2	1	
CH4	21	
N20	310	

g/MMBtu

24.8 MMBtu/ton

0.1

0.0

#### PM10

#### Hanford LP Permit No. C-603-16-1 Cooling Tower

Date/Month	OP HRS	Concuctivity	TDS	PM10
		umhos/cm	ppm	lbs/month
Jan-09	744	1873	1195	385.3
Feb-09	670	1559	995	288.6
Mar-09	737	1821	1162	371.1
Apr-09	720	1806	1152	359.5
May-09	740	1766	1127	361.3
Jun-09	618	1771	1130	302.6
Jul-09	744	1881	1200	387.0
Aug-09	744	1917	1223	394.4
Sep-09	645	1812	1156	323.2
Oct-09	741	1872	1194	383.6
Nov-09	721	1866	1191	372.0
Dec-09	743	1329	848	272.9
Jan-10	692	1873	1195	358.4
Feb-10	485	1559	995	209.0
Mar-10	743	1821	1162	374.1
Apr-10	719	1806	1152	359.0
May-10	744	1766	1127	363.1
Jun-10	714	1771	1130	349.4
Jul-10	659	1881	1200	342.8
Aug-10	707	1917	1223	374.5
Sep-10	539	1812	1156	270.1
Oct-10	537	1872	1194	278.0
Nov-10	715	1866	1191	368.7
Dec-10	271	1329	848	99.4

#### Annual Average:

3974

(Based on Two Years)

 - +		
nт	00	
 υı	C	
-		

Notes.				
1) PM10= Water Recirculation Rate X Drift Rate X TDS X PM10 Fraction Factor				
Water Recirculation Rate =	15,466 gpm			
Drift Rate	0.008 %			
PM-10 Fraction Factor	70 %			
EC Monthly Average from City of Hanford Invoices				
EC to TDS conversion=	63.8 %			
Based on Wastewater Analytical Reports				


