Appendix I

Climate Change Impacts of the Off-Road In-Use Regulation

A. Fuel Economy Penalty for PM Retrofits and Accelerated Turnover to Tier 4 Engines

Carbon dioxide emissions from vehicles are directly proportional to fuel consumption, so any changes in fuel economy will have a direct impact on CO_2 emissions. This section provides the calculations and the assumptions that were used in staff's estimate of the impact of the fuel economy penalty of PM retrofits and Tier 4 engines on CO_2 emissions.

1. Annual Fuel Consumption

The data used to determine the amount of diesel fuel consumed annually for the offroad vehicles subject to this regulation is from the Energy Information Administration (EIA, 2005). The fuel sales for year 2005 for the categories of Industrial, Oil Company, Military, Off-Highway Construction, and Off-Highway Non-Construction were summed, and a growth factor applied to round the figure to 300 million gallons of diesel fuel consumed each year for the vehicles in these categories.

2. Inventory

Appendix H describes the methodology for predicting the compliance paths for real individual fleets using the ARB Off-road Compliance Model. Each fleet evaluated varied by horsepower distribution, age, and vehicle type and provided a representation of the variety of fleets present in the state

Table 1 and Table 2 show the fleet data used in this analysis. Several simplifying assumptions had to be made based on the data available.

Assumptions:

1. Fuel consumption is proportional to horsepower (hp)

This assumes activity is the same for all tier 4 engines, and for all types of equipment)

- 2. Total fuel consumption (300,000,000 gallons) includes the fuel penalty
- 3. For every gallon of CARB diesel fuel used, 9. 96 kilograms of carbon dioxide (CO₂) is emitted from the vehicle (CCAR, 2006).

For each year, the number of retrofits due to the regulation was calculated as a percentage of the total fleet for that year.

With assumption #1, this was assumed to represent the percentage of the total fleet consumption used in the vehicles retrofitted to comply with the rule.

A similar calculation was carried out for the Tier 4 turnovers using the horsepower rather than the number of vehicles.

Using assumption #2, the following equation was used to calculate the fuel penalty (or increase in fuel consumption) for a fuel economy penalty of 2 percent

FuelPenalty (gallons) = Total fuel consumption
$$x \frac{1}{1.02} x 0.02$$

The following equation was then used to calculate the increase in CO_2 emissions due to the fuel economy penalty.

CO₂ increase = Fuel Penalty (gallons) x EMF x 0.01

Where:EMF =the emission factor in assumption #30.001 =the conversion factor (metric tons/kg)

| | Impact of PM Retrofits | | | | | |
|---------------|---|--------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Calendar Year | RetrofitsFuel Penalty(% of Fleet(% of total fuelhorsepower)consumption) | | CO2 Emissions Increase (MMT) | | | |
| 2010 | 18% | 0.35% | 10,429 | | | |
| 2011 | 30% | 0.59% | 17,535 | | | |
| 2012 | 44% | 0.87% | 26,013 | | | |
| 2013 | 45% | 0.89% | 26,467 | | | |
| 2014 | E00/ | 0.000/ | 20.216 | | | |

| Table 1: Carbon Dioxide Emissions Increase Due to Fuel Pe | enalty |
|---|--------|
| Impact of PM Retrofits | - |

| 45% | 0.89% | 26,467 |
|-----|---|--|
| 50% | 0.98% | 29,216 |
| 51% | 1.00% | 29,782 |
| 50% | 0.97% | 29,047 |
| 45% | 0.89% | 26,481 |
| 41% | 0.80% | 23,999 |
| 36% | 0.70% | 20,859 |
| 38% | 0.75% | 22,358 |
| 42% | 0.82% | 24,635 |
| 39% | 0.77% | 22,865 |
| 35% | 0.69% | 20,712 |
| 34% | 0.67% | 20,166 |
| 34% | 0.66% | 19,821 |
| 33% | 0.66% | 19,600 |
| 33% | 0.66% | 19,600 |
| 32% | 0.64% | 18,976 |
| 32% | 0.63% | 18,694 |
| 31% | 0.61% | 18,220 |
| | 50% 51% 50% 45% 41% 36% 38% 42% 39% 35% 34% 33% 33% 32% | $\begin{array}{c cccc} 50\% & 0.98\% \\ \hline 51\% & 1.00\% \\ \hline 50\% & 0.97\% \\ \hline 45\% & 0.89\% \\ \hline 41\% & 0.80\% \\ \hline 36\% & 0.70\% \\ \hline 38\% & 0.75\% \\ \hline 42\% & 0.82\% \\ \hline 39\% & 0.77\% \\ \hline 39\% & 0.77\% \\ \hline 35\% & 0.69\% \\ \hline 34\% & 0.66\% \\ \hline 33\% & 0.66\% \\ \hline 32\% & 0.64\% \\ \hline 32\% & 0.63\% \\ \end{array}$ |

| Calendar | Total Fleet Horsepower (hp) | | Difference due to the rule | | | CO ₂ |
|----------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Year | With Rule | Without Rule | (hp) | (% of total hp) | (% of total fuel consumption) | Emissions Increase (MMT) |
| 2010 | 1,962 | 1,683 | 279 | 0.04% | 0.001% | 24 |
| 2011 | 13,427 | 13,213 | 214 | 0.03% | 0.001% | 19 |
| 2012 | 15,179 | 14,590 | 589 | 0.09% | 0.002% | 51 |
| 2013 | 44,616 | 31,871 | 12,745 | 1.9% | 0.04% | 1,114 |
| 2014 | 86,930 | 48,505 | 38,425 | 5.7% | 0.11% | 3,360 |
| 2015 | 129,910 | 73,122 | 56,788 | 8.5% | 0.17% | 4,965 |
| 2016 | 177,331 | 99,402 | 77,929 | 12% | 0.23% | 6,814 |
| 2017 | 220,508 | 129,050 | 91,458 | 14% | 0.27% | 7,996 |
| 2018 | 265,376 | 160,072 | 105,304 | 16% | 0.31% | 9,207 |
| 2019 | 311,945 | 189,722 | 122,223 | 18% | 0.36% | 10,686 |
| 2020 | 360,799 | 218,493 | 142,306 | 21% | 0.42% | 12,442 |
| 2021 | 388,611 | 247,054 | 141,557 | 21% | 0.41% | 12,377 |
| 2022 | 410,927 | 275,515 | 135,412 | 20% | 0.40% | 11,840 |
| 2023 | 435,223 | 306,154 | 129,069 | 19% | 0.38% | 11,285 |
| 2024 | 448,309 | 334,399 | 113,910 | 17% | 0.33% | 9,960 |
| 2025 | 461,350 | 362,697 | 98,653 | 15% | 0.29% | 8,626 |
| 2026 | 468,615 | 384,001 | 84,614 | 13% | 0.25% | 7,398 |
| 2027 | 468,615 | 399,375 | 69,240 | 10% | 0.20% | 6,054 |
| 2028 | 469,580 | 414,949 | 54,631 | 8.2% | 0.16% | 4,777 |
| 2029 | 470,600 | 430,364 | 40,236 | 6.0% | 0.12% | 3,518 |
| 2030 | 472,005 | 445,919 | 26,086 | 3.9% | 0.08% | 2,281 |

Table 2: Carbon Dioxide Emissions Increase Due to Fuel Penalty Impact ofAccelerated Turnover to Tier 4 Engines

B. Idling Limits

The proposed regulation would limit idling of off-road diesel vehicles to five minutes or less unless such idling is necessary for the proper or safe operation of the vehicle. Limiting unnecessary idling would reduce fuel consumption, and emissions of carbon dioxide – a greenhouse gas and contributor to global warming. The objective of the following exercise was to obtain an estimate of the effect of the proposed idling limit on CO_2 emissions from the in-use off-road diesel vehicles covered by the proposed regulation.

1. Calculation of Idling Activity and CO₂ Emissions

Data and Assumptions

- (A) The vehicle population in 2000 is 164,250
- **(B)**Total operation in 2000 is 468,660 hours per day
- (C) Unnecessary idling is 1.8% of total activity for public fleets
- (D) Unnecessary idling is 7.5% of total activity for private fleets

These estimates of idling activity were obtained from fleet owners with decades of experience managing public and private off-road fleets.

- (E) Total public horsepower is 4.80% of the total fleet; the rest is private.
- (F) For every gallon of CARB diesel fuel used, 9. 96 kilograms of carbon dioxide (CO₂) is emitted from the vehicle (CCAR, 2006).
- (G) Idling fuel consumption rate is 0.5 gallons/hour
- (H) Average annual fuel consumption is 300,000,000 gallons of CARB diesel

The following equations were used to calculate the values shown in Table 3:

- (1) Total hours of operation for the year = (B) \times 365
- (2) Average activity = (B) \div (C)
- (3) Nonessential Idling activity (hrs/day/vehicle) = ((C) x (E) +(D) x (1 (E)) x (B) \div (A)
- (4) Nonessential Idling activity (as % of total activity) = (C) x(E) + (D) x(1 (E))
- (5) Average annual hours of nonessential idling = (4) x (1)
- (6) Total idling fuel consumption = (G) x (5)
- (7) Fuel consumption reduction = (6) $\div H$
- (8) Idling CO_2 Emissions = (6 x (G) x 0.001
- (9) Statewide CO_2 emissions = (H) x (F) x 0.001
- (10) CO₂ emissions reductions as a % of total Statewide emissions = $(8) \div (9)$

Using Equation (4), the overall average idling activity was estimated to be 7.23% of total activity or 0.21 hours/day/vehicle. This value of 7.23% was then multiplied by the total hours of operation (Equation 5) to get the total hours of nonessential idling. The fuel

consumed during nonessential idling could then be calculated using Equation (6) The CO_2 emissions is the product of the emission factor (9.96 kg CO_2 /gallon of fuel) and the volume of fuel consumed during nonessential idling (Equation 8).

| Total hours of operation = | 468,660 | hours/day in 2000 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Total number of vehicles = | 164,250 | vehicles in 2000 |
| Average annual hours of operation = | 171,060,860 | hours/year |
| Average activity = | 2.85 | hrs/day/vehicle |
| Idling activity = | 7.23% | of total fleet activity |
| Idling activity = | 0.21 | hrs/day/vehicle |
| Average annual hours of idling = | 12,361,542 | hours/year |
| Idling fuel consumption rate = | 0.5 | gallon/hour |
| Total idling fuel consumption = | 6,180,771 | gallons/year |
| Average annual fuel consumption = | 300,000,000 | gallons/year |
| Fuel consumption Reduction = | 2% | of total statewide fuel consumption |
| Emission factor = | 9.96 | kg CO ₂ /gallon |
| Idling CO ₂ emissions = | 61,560 | MMT in 2000 |
| Statewide CO ₂ emissions = | 2,988,000 | MMT in 2000 |
| CO ₂ emissions reduction = | 2% | of total Statewide CO ₂ emissions |

Table 3: Summary of Data and Results of Calculations for Idling Impacts

2. Conclusion

Implementation of the idling limit requirement of the proposed regulation would provide fuel savings and CO₂ emissions reductions of approximately 2 percent.

3. References

CCAR 2006. California Climate Action Registry General Reporting Protocol. Version 2.1, June 2006. Chapter 7. http://www.climateregistry.org/docs/PROTOCOLS/GRP%20V2.1.pdf

EIA, 2005. Energy Information Administration. California Adjusted Distillate Fuel Oil and Kerosene Sales by End Use: Year 2005, Annual. <u>http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/dnav/pet/pet_cons_821usea_dcu_sca_a.htm</u>