

[Meeting Materials](#) from the March 25, 2026, Consultation Group Meeting #3

## **A. Follow-up/Action Items from March 25 Meeting**

These highlighted actions will also be provided in context with the meeting summary below.

### **Agenda**

- Add link of previous meeting's summary to the current meeting's agenda [Mary Elizabeth].

### **Members/Alternates**

- Review alternate application for Consultation Group Member Tim Carmichael.
- Recommend Tribal Organizations be a separate category for an additional Consultation Group member [Diane Takvorian].
- Suggest that we consider balancing all categories when replacing Consultation Group members [Mary Elizabeth].
  - Kevin McKernan recommended we focus recruitment efforts on California Native Tribes. We can look toward our California tribal government grant recipients for potential members [Deldi Reyes].
- Add new options for tribal organizations, environmental justice organization, and indigenous peoples' categories [Kevin Olp].
- Ethics Training - Suggest that everyone comply with any type of ethics training [Mary Elizabeth].
- Member Compensation
  - Provide CARB with the agency names who provided \$140 meeting stipend [Mary Elizabeth].
  - Check w/Legal about larger meeting stipend for members.

### **Draft Charter**

- Naming convention was recommended to use "Blueprint" to refer to the current version of the Blueprint and use "Blueprint X" to refer to the previous version [Cassie Lopina].
- Recommend specifying Native Americans, First Peoples, California Natives, etc. when we reference specific communities [Mary Valdemar].
- Add asterisk on the terms with official definitions/designations versus those that have a bit more flexibility [Cassie Lopina].
- Add two footnotes for links to executive orders.
- Add a footnote in Section D conflicts of interest with details on the DOJ ethics training [Mary Elizabeth].
- Create webpage that references past Consultation Group members and includes link to this page in the charter. This information does not need to live in charter [Diane Takvorian].

**California Air Resources Board** | Community Air Protection Consultation Group  
**March 25, 2026** | Meeting Summary and Action Items DRAFT

- In section K, follow Dr. Jonathan London’s earlier recommendation to change “impacted” to “affected” [Deldi Reyes].

**CARB Info**

- Give members link to online Blueprint tracker and/or add to post meeting materials.
- Share link to P2P webpages for upcoming meeting in June [Dr. Rebecca Skinner].
- Share lessons learned and do’s/don’ts from these reports as they would be incredibly valuable to the air districts and community groups [Tim Carmichael].

**1. Roll Call - For Quorum**

Quorum met. Representative Members Not Present: Maximilian Rosa

Rodney Andrews	V -Virtual	Cassie Lopina	IP - In-Person
<i>Janice Lam Snyder</i>	<i>AIP - Alternate In-Person</i>	Arsenio Mataka	IP - In-Person
Bishop Chris Baker	IP - In-Person	<i>Kirsten Uchitel</i>	<i>AV - Virtual</i>
Dr. John Balmes*	IP - In-Person	Baldwin Moy	IP - In-Person
Jasmine Beltran	V -Virtual	Dirk Piersma	IP - In-Person
Tim Carmichael	IP - In-Person	Maximilian Rosa	NP- Not Present
Y’Anad Burrell	V - Virtual*	<i>Walter Shen</i>	<i>AV - Virtual</i>
Prithvi Deore	V - Virtual	Dr. Rebecca E. Skinner	V - Virtual
Mary Elizabeth	IP - In-Person	Diane Takvorian	IP - In-Person
Kevin Hamilton	V - Virtual*	Paula Torrado Plazas	V - Virtual
Ryan Hayashi	V - Virtual	Mary Valdemar	V - Virtual
Belen Leon Lopez	V - Virtual	Domingo Vigil	V - Virtual
Dr. David Lo	IP - In-Person	<i>BK White</i>	<i>AV - Virtual</i>
		Christine Zimmerman	V - Virtual
*Acknowledged after roll call <i>Alternates in italics.</i>			

**2. Agenda Review**

- [Agenda](#) topics presented.

**3. Review and Approval of Meeting Summary**

- Consultation Group Co-Chair Dr. Balmes surveyed members on whether the summary provides enough detail for Consultation Group members.
- The [DRAFT Consultation Group Meeting Summary 12.3.25](#) was reviewed for content and level of detail.
- No public comments received.

## 4. Vote to Approve December 3, 2025, Meeting Summary

Co-Chair Dr. Balmes requested a motion to approve the September 8, 2025, meeting summary. CG Member Rodney Andrews made a motion to approve. CG Member Jonathan London made a second to approve. Through a unanimous vote, the motion was passed to approve the meeting summary.

Rodney Andrews	Aye
<i>Janice Lam Snyder</i>	Aye
Bishop Chris Baker	Abstain
Dr. John Balmes*	Aye
Jasmine Beltran	Abstain
Y'Anad Burrell	Aye
Tim Carmichael	Aye
Prithvi Deore	Aye
Mary Elizabeth	Aye
Kevin Hamilton	NP - Present
Ryan Hayashi	Aye
Belen Leon Lopez	Aye
Dr. David Lo	Aye
Dr. Jonathan London	Aye

Cassie Lopina	Aye
Arsenio Mataka	Aye
<i>Kirsten Uchitel</i>	Aye
Baldwin Moy	Aye
Dirk Piersma	Aye
Maximilian Rosa	NP- Not Present
<i>Walter Shen</i>	Aye
Dr. Rebecca E. Skinner	Aye
Diane Takvorian	Aye
Paula Torrado Plazas	Aye
Mary Valdemar	Aye
Domingo Vigil	Aye
Christine Zimmerman	Aye
*Acknowledged after roll call <i>Alternates in italics.</i>	

## 5. Draft Charter, Version 2 Discussion

CARB staff Michelle Byars opened the discussion to members for any questions in Section A.

### Review of Draft Charter, Version 2 by Section

Member comments received on the draft charter:

#### Section A: Purpose

- Positive feedback on the ad hoc group’s collaborative environment, the ease of document formatting to understand changes in the charter, and improvements from the first Consultation Group process [Christine Zimmerman, Mary Elizabeth, Dr. Jonathan London, Rodney Andrews]
- Suggestion to see OCAP’s method of document edits to be used elsewhere [other agencies] in the state [Mary Elizabeth].
- Why is Blueprint 2.0 mentioned and not 3.0? The Blueprint is a living document [Tim Carmichael, Kevin Olp].

**California Air Resources Board** | Community Air Protection Consultation Group  
**March 25, 2026** | Meeting Summary and Action Items DRAFT

- Asked the question what should the Group's role be over the next two years in terms of advising on the existing Blueprint versus the next version of the Blueprint. Questioned how much real-time change could the Group have? Or do we have to wait for Blueprint 3.0 [Arsenio Mataka]? There's lots we can do [Deldi Reyes].
- The Consultation Group role is to advise on the Blueprint/Statewide Strategy. Those terms are used interchangeably. In 2022 when AB 1749 was part of the legislative framework of the program, it gave Community Steering Committees (CSCs) another year to write Community Emission Reduction Plans (CERPs). It codified Blueprint in that language, therefore Statewide Strategy and Blueprint are interchangeable. The next Blueprint is due in 946 days in 2028. There is a need to create another Ad hoc group to help prioritize the next iteration of the Blueprint [Deldi Reyes].
- The Consultation Group Charter defines the Consultation Group's advisory role to provide informed recommendations and strategic advice at any time, and then CARB will need to decide if they make the change or even if the Group's suggestions may require legislative change [Deldi Reyes].
- AB 617 provides the foundation, and Blueprint 2.0 provides the intention and strategy. However, the Statewide Strategy, Blueprint, and AB 617 individually contain everything and there's more that can be done [Diane Takvorian].
- The Statewide Strategy should be referenced as Blueprint 2.0 [Diane Takvorian, Mary Elizabeth, Dr. Jonathan London, Tim Carmichael].
- Understand the purpose of the Group is to provide advice on the implementation of Blueprint 2.0 and help develop Blueprint 3.0 [Tim Carmichael].
- Members emphasized that AB 617 sets the framework while the Blueprint provides strategy, cautioning against altering that structure. Overall, they want to ensure the group can still meaningfully influence statewide strategy and OCAP actions [Diane Takvorian, Dr. Jonathan London].
- There is a caveat on the desire for the Consultation Group to provide immediate guidance and feedback. It is on page 4, section d: "*but is not a forum for deliberation of local issues that are the purview of individual community steering committees*" [Deldi Reyes]."
- Recommend referencing the EJAC Charter intent for example guidance to consider on the purpose for this Group [Cassie Lopina].

Section A Purpose approved.

**Section B: Background** (foreword by Dr. Jonathan London and Jasmine Beltran)

Consultation Group discussion included comment from Rodney Andrews, Tim Carmichael, Mary Elizabeth, Dr. Jonathan London, Arsenio Mataka, Baldwin Moy, Mary Valdemar, Dirk Piersma, Jasmine Beltran, and Chris Baker on the impact and preference of phrasing to be used among "communities of color," "environmental

justice”, “people of color,” “disadvantaged communities,” “overburdened communities,” “disproportionate impact,” “targeted communities,” and “historically marginalized communities.” The following points were made:

- Historically, “communities of color” is accurate in context of the United States.
- “Disadvantaged communities” has a specific definition by the state of California
- A decision on phrasing used should not be rushed.
- The Consultation Group agreed not to make live edits to the text and leave the phrasing as is currently presented in the updated draft Charter being reviewed.
- Do we need to be more consistent in the Charter to what we are referencing in the Charter [Baldwin Moy]?
- CARB will add references to executive orders at the top of Charter document [Deldi Reyes]

Section B Background approved.

### **Section C: Disclaimers**

Section C Disclaimers approved.

### **Section D: Conflicts of Interest**

- Question if the DOJ ethics training should be included here [Mary Elizabeth].
  - CARB suggested adding a footnote as the training is included but the specific training can vary [Deldi Reyes].
- Suggestion that everyone comply with any type of ethics training [Mary Elizabeth].

Section D Conflicts of Interest approved.

### **Section E: Consultation Group Goals and Responsibilities**

- The goals are exceptional, great job [Bishop Chris Baker].
- Reminder for air district members that the goal says advise CARB and air districts, goals 4 and 7 [Deldi Reyes].
- CARB Responsibility 3, #2. Added historically marginalized to Goal 2.2. Changed impacted to affected. At top of page 3, added “local governments [Dr. Jonathan London].”

**California Air Resources Board** | Community Air Protection Consultation Group  
**March 25, 2026** | Meeting Summary and Action Items DRAFT

- Suggestion to consider consistent language between CA Native Tribes and Indigenous Peoples [Mary Elizabeth]. Suggestion to use “indigenous communities and California Native Tribes” [Baldwin Moy].

Section E Goals and Responsibilities approved.

## **Section F: Membership**

- Consultation Group had no objections to pursuing the idea of the Board authorizing the EO the ability to approve Consultation group members and alternates.
- CARB to consult with CARB Legal to answer Co-Chair Diane Takvorian’s question about holding alternates with CARB Board [Deldi Reyes].
- First sentence in this section, add “from at least one” in reference to the categories [Deldi Reyes].

## **Community Science and Practitioner**

- Make edit to reflect the Consultation Group agreement to remove “practitioner” from categories [Deldi Reyes].
- Questioned whether the categories in F4.2, required by statute, led to a brief discussion on “Community Science Practitioner” and “Academic Science Practitioner” [Arsenio Mataka].
- “Community Science” could be used [John Balmes, Jonathan London].
- Shared differences between community science and academic science to help understand the use of the word practitioner [Jonathan London].

## **Meeting Notice**

- Asked for clarification of the Bagley Keene Act, regarding the “meeting notice timeframe” for Member Attendance Requirement of 3 days vs 24 hours, is there an opportunity to send an alternate [Janice Lam Synder]?
- CARB supports member participation, so it works to do its best to ensure commitment for the Consultation Group members [Kevin Olp].

## **Representation**

- Edit California Native American Tribes, addition of footnote [Deldi Reyes].
- CARB will add new tribal organization, environmental justice organization, and indigenous peoples’ categories [Deldi Reyes].
- CARB will create webpage that references past Consultation Group members and includes link to this page in the charter. This information does not need to live in charter [Diane Takvorian].
- Questioned what happens when the Group goes below the threshold of representation for each category [Cassie Lopina].

**California Air Resources Board** | Community Air Protection Consultation Group  
**March 25, 2026** | Meeting Summary and Action Items DRAFT

- AB 617 calls out 3, 4, 6, 9, and 11 [[on the CG website CG member list](#)] specifically as obligated to consult with in the strategy development. While there is an “interested stakeholders” section, CARB would like to recruit within similar categories of those members who resign [Deldi Reyes].
- Discussion for the language on Tribal Governments led to the live edit -added “federally and non-federally recognized” Native American Tribes and Tribal non-profits.
- Consider “tribal non-profit organization” [Mary Elizabeth].
- It was cautioned not to group all indigenous peoples [e.g., non-CA] rather recommend specifying Native Americans, First Peoples, California Natives, etc. when we reference specific communities [Mary Valdemar].
- “California Native American Tribe” is commonly used and includes federally recognized and non-federally recognized California tribal governments [Arsenio Mataka].
- California Native American Tribe does not include tribal non-profits that are not officially recognized [Mary Valdemar].
- Recommend we cite CA tribal policy if we seek consultation from tribal sovereign entities before the tribal organizations [Arsenio Mataka].
- Suggestion to use different categories for CA Native Tribal governments and unrecognized Tribes [Jonathan London].
- Instead of section F-2-5, we could consider 9 “Environmental Justice Organizations” that advocate for tribal interests [Deldi Reyes].
  - Recommend each group be its own separate line [Arsenio Mataka].
  - Recommend we add asterisk on the terms with official definitions/designations versus those that have a bit more flexibility [Cassie Lopina].
- The Consultation Group does not have a representative member for the tribal government category. Kevin McKernan, a previous Consultation Group member, recommended CARB reach out to Tribes regularly involved in state air quality programs and issues.
- California has over 50 non-federally recognized tribes [Jonathan London].
- CalEPA Consultation Policy references the 109 federal tribes and the 57 non-federally recognized tribes, not tribal governments. Recommend Tribal Organizations be a separate category for an additional member [Co-Chair Diane Takvorian].
- Question - how frequently are we able to update this document [Tim Carmichael]?
- Tribal entities are at capacity just like environmental justice work is. We need to be aware of potential conflicts of interest [Paula Torrado Plazas].
- Recommend indigenous groups (e.g., indigenous Mexicans, etc.) are separate from the environmental justice category [Baldwin Moy].
- The draft Charter has a 28-member limit. Proposing to add another category would require an expansion of the Consultation Group membership [Deldi Reyes].

**California Air Resources Board** | Community Air Protection Consultation Group  
**March 25, 2026** | Meeting Summary and Action Items DRAFT

- Previous Consultation Group member Kevin McKernan recommended we focus recruitment efforts on California Native Tribes. We can look toward our California tribal government grant recipients for potential members who have done work on air quality issues [Deldi Reyes].
- The Consultation Group can add categories and stay at 28 members [Diane Takvorian].
- Consider balancing all categories when replacing Consultation Group members [Mary Elizabeth].

Section F membership approved.

## **Section G Qualifications of Members**

Section G approved.

## **Section H Meetings**

- CARB will follow-up with CG Member Mary Elizabeth about increasing member stipend [Deldi Reyes].
- It is important to utilize meeting compensation to help community members participate in the community air protection process. Consider increasing the current \$100/day per diem as other state agencies have provided as much as \$140/day. Community members need living wages [Mary Elizabeth].
- Agree with Mary E. that first Consultation Group's experience with facilitator felt a bit removed [John Balmes].

Section H membership approved.

## **Section I Per Diem and Travel Cost Reimbursements**

- Ad hoc meetings are not being reimbursed, just the Consultation Group meetings. At the June Board meeting, CARB staff will recommend to the Board that ad hoc meeting participants be reimbursed for their time participating in CARB ad hoc meetings [Deldi Reyes].

Section I Per Diem and Travel Cost Reimbursements approved.

## **Section J Effective Date**

Section J Effective Date approved.

## Section K Acknowledgements

- Follow Dr. Jonathan London’s earlier recommendation to change “impacted” to “affected” [Deldi Reyes].
- Can we amend that to “adversely affected” [John Balmes, Mary Elizabeth] ?

Section K Acknowledgements approved.

## Public Comment on Charter

- Adan Garcia, PSR-LA - Request for CARB to share the current draft of the charter. Question if there is another public comment period.
- There will be a public comment period at the June 25th CARB Board meeting. The draft charter will be posted on the website after the Consultation Group meeting ends [Deldi Reyes].

[CG Member Kevin Hamilton joins]

## 6. Vote to Approve Draft Consultation Group Charter

12:35pm - Motion to approve draft charter

Rodney Andrews	Aye
<i>Janice Lam Snyder</i>	Aye
Bishop Chris Baker	Aye
Dr. John Balmes*	Aye
Jasmine Beltran	Aye
Y’Anad Burrell	Aye
Tim Carmichael	Aye
Prithvi Deore	Aye
Mary Elizabeth	Aye
Kevin Hamilton	Aye
Ryan Hayashi	Aye
Belen Leon Lopez	Aye
Dr. David Lo	Aye
Dr. Jonathan London	Aye

Cassie Lopina	Aye
Arsenio Mataka	Aye
<i>Kirsten Uchitel</i>	Aye
Baldwin Moy	Aye
Dirk Piersma	Aye
Maximilian Rosa	NP- Not Present
<i>Walter Shen</i>	Aye
Dr. Rebecca E. Skinner	Aye
Diane Takvorian	Aye
Paula Torrado Plazas	Aye
Mary Valdemar	Aye
Domingo Vigil	Aye
Christine Zimmerman	Aye
*Acknowledged after roll call <i>Alternates in italics.</i>	

by CG Member Tim Carmichael, seconded by CG Member Arsenio Mataka. The draft charter was unanimously approved by the Consultation Group.

**LUNCH: 12:39pm - 1:50pm**

## 7. Community Air Protection Program Update

Presentation: [Program progress towards Blueprint 2.0 goals and Recent Highlights](#)

- Invited board chairs to next South Sacramento/Florin CSC meeting in June as they recently approved CERP [Bishop Chris Baker].

### Public Comment on Program Update

- Rita Loof - Director of Environmental Affairs for Broad Tech International, a non-profit in Ultraviolet/LED technology. Community Air Protection incentives are focused on toxic air contaminants (TACs), criteria air pollutants, and greenhouse gases benefits. Urge the Consultation Group and CARB to look at air district policies that can incentivize voluntary emission reductions. Some rules can act as barriers to clean technology. Many businesses are reluctant to modernize businesses because they will be subjected to new permitting requirements.
- Gustavo Aguirre Jr - Central California Asthma Collaborative - A challenge/takeaway from L-CERP process is how do we implement the strategies after the L-CERPs are developed and shared with the air district and local entities.
- Vincent Cuevas - Emphasizes that toxics [such as TACs] are more important to manage compared to dust issues.

## 8. Workplan for Upcoming Meetings

### Future Topics of Discussion

- CARB OCAP Director Deldi Reyes shared topic areas that CARB would like the Consultation Group to consider as priorities. CARB will share the challenges of improving the program. In March 2027, we are required to report to legislature and SB 352 gives specific list of headings to report on and one of those is lessons learned [Deldi Reyes].
- CARB will share Community Air Grant (CAG) Cycle 4 final reports when they are ready [Deldi Reyes].
- The Consultation Group can be more valuable to this program by advising on the things that are not going well [Tim Carmichael].
- Dr. Jonathan London has done some research on this in the past. We have plans to develop an AB 617 research portal that combines research in one area [Brian Moore].
- What areas of the program would CARB like Consultation Group feedback [Dr. Jonathan London]?
- Would like to know more about L-CERPs and how intentionally place-based work is going. More info on grantee Institute for Local Governments work [Dr. Jonathan London].

**California Air Resources Board** | Community Air Protection Consultation Group  
**March 25, 2026** | Meeting Summary and Action Items DRAFT

- Reports are helpful for us to share with our stakeholders. It would be neat to see University of California (UC) researchers work/research rolled up into this report [Christine Zimmerman].
- Courtney Turkatte's paper on CERP implementation is a recommended read [John Balmes].
- Provide more information on L-CERPs [Arsenio Mataka].
- How to maintain relationships with air districts beyond the CERPs is an important discussion to have [Kevin Hamilton].
- It would be nice to have a venue to share what is working well in San Diego with the rest of the state who may have similar issues [Tim Carmichael].
- What are more ways we can break the divides? Can we broadcast the Peer-to-Peer series? The November meeting had a panel including multiple air districts and CSC members to reflect on results/lessons [Kevin Hamilton].
- Because CERPs are replicable, how can we best share them with consistently nominated communities (CNCs) to the program who are waiting? [Arsenio Mataka].
- What deliverables can we share with the public? How can everyone learn from L-CERPs especially when considering projects will end but the work to address the problem will not. How do we inspire involvement from local leadership? [Mary Elizabeth].
- Provide more information and details on the online Blueprint tracker, especially for L-CERPs [Dr. Jonathan London].
- Give members link to online Blueprint tracker and/or add to post meeting materials.
- Can this group advocate for more funding to address mining issues in communities? [Tim Carmichael].
- We need help making L-CERPs become part of emission reduction plans for air districts. What collateral could we share to facilitate this learning into district plans for criteria pollutants [Kevin Hamilton].
- It will require time for CARB to reflect and respond. A quick summary is that the CAP Program is now continuously funded through 2045. The caveat is that the funding source is Greenhouse Gas Reduction Fund and several other statewide programs will be funded first before the CAP Program (around \$250M annually). It may not always be \$250M. Air districts were getting same implementation funds, but the number of communities was increasing. There is also an uneven uptake of L-CERPs as most are in the Central Valley and not South Coast. CARB will need to develop a plan about how to engage CNCs and look at their CAG recipients. We have to stitch all these pieces together and would need Consultation Group help on this [Deldi Reyes].
- Share link to P2P webpages for upcoming meeting in June [Rebecca Skinner].
- Pesticides in San Joaquin Valley
  - Residents have received additional monitoring notices for pesticides. How does this report bring everything together [Mary Elizabeth]?

**California Air Resources Board** | Community Air Protection Consultation Group  
**March 25, 2026** | Meeting Summary and Action Items DRAFT

- California Department of Pesticide Regulation (CDPR) is working to increase the number of pesticide monitors, and a recent notice went out. We share information with CDPR and OCAP will support CARB MLD with statewide monitoring plan [Deldi Reyes].
- AB 617 has expanded pesticide monitoring. Does MLD have adequate resources for this SB 352 [John Balmes]?
- What level of community monitoring is needed for the Community Air Protection (CAP) Program report to the board. The report is due to legislature before the program evaluation is due [Jonathon London].
- The March 2027 report to the legislature should include all QA/QC'd data and what is decided within the Consultation Group [Deldi Reyes].
- The design team will work to combine all stakeholders into one narrative [Kevin Olp].
- Plan SB 352 is to be developed based on availability of resources. We are currently exploring options for obtaining additional resources to do the work [Deldi Reyes].
- Share lessons learned and do's/don'ts from these reports as they would be incredibly valuable to the air districts and community groups [Tim Carmichael].
- CARB will be working closely with air districts [Deldi Reyes].
- How does the outcome of the statewide monitoring plan relate to monitoring that occurred early on in AB 617? What are the impacts of federal decisions on CERP achievements [Co-chair Diane Takvorian]?
- In the timeline leading up to 2027, where are the Consultation Group's most strategic and important opportunities [Arsenio Mataka]?
- Prior to work plan, we need to discuss how we would work together at an ad hoc level to support the full group [Deldi Reyes].
- The timeline gives a year to develop a workplan for the next two years. Would like to see that happen faster for a draft workplan in June to allow for more time to implement workplan [Mary Elizabeth].
- Rather than workplan, it's where an ad hoc group would help figure out where the Consultation Group can be most influential [Deldi Reyes].
- Anticipated time commitment for the ad hoc group is around 15-20 total hours [Monica Guerra].
- Expected to be more than Monica's 15-20 hours mention. Reiterates plan to ask for CARB Board to approve \$100 per meeting for ad hoc meetings [Deldi Reyes]
- L-CERPs should be a primary topic for the workplan to discuss [John Balmes, Arsenio Mataka].
- What is format of ad hoc group - will they produce written reports or be meeting discussions? 2) Will there be a draft workplan that we can approve in July instead of December [Mary Elizabeth, Arsenio Mataka]?
  - This depends on the Consultation Group's willingness. For number of participants, we cannot exceed quorum. We can provide meeting summaries and record meetings to make them transparent [Deldi Reyes].

**California Air Resources Board** | Community Air Protection Consultation Group  
**March 25, 2026** | Meeting Summary and Action Items DRAFT

- 1) Identify topics through survey; 2) L-CERP, 3) intergovernmental relations - working with local government (e.g., cities and counties), collaborating with sister agencies (e.g., Caltrans, CDPR) [Dr. Jonathan London].
- There can be multiple ad hocs [Deldi Reyes].
- Presentation references 3-year workplan development and we'd like to identify where the Consultation Group wants to shape conversations [Monica Guerra].
- Clarify topics related to groups who wish to legislatively change this program. This group should provide how this program could change. CG is well positioned to make these comments compared to others. Create a list of recommendations [Arsenio Mataka].

Public Comments on Workplan Discussion

No comments received.

Lead (Ad hoc) Member Volunteers: Tim Carmichael, Arsenio Mataka, Cassie Lopina, Dirk Piersma, Mary Elizabeth, Christine Zimmerman, David Lo, Rodney Andrews, Janice Lam Snyder, and Walter Shen

Public Comment on Non-Agenda Items

No comments received.

Meeting Adjourn