		FUED	
1	Rob Bonta	FILED Superior Court of California	
	Attorney General of California	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles	
2	MYUNG J. PARK Supervising Deputy Attorney General	01/24/2022	
3	GWYNNE HUNTER	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court	
	Deputy Attorney General	By: Deputy	
4	State Bar No. 293241 RYAN R. HOFFMAN		
5	Deputy Attorney General		
_	State Bar No. 283297		
6	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
7	Telephone: (415) 510-4448	Exempt From Filing Fees	
8	Fax: (415) 703-5480 E-mail: Ryan.Hoffman@doj.ca.gov	Pursuant to Gov. Code, § 6103	
8	E-man. Kyan.Hoffman@doj.ca.gov		
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff California Air Resources Board (CARB)		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
12			
13	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES	CASE NO.: 20STCV36850	
14	BOARD,	Assigned: Hon Donnis Landin	
15	Plaintiff,	Assigned: Hon. Dennis Landin Dept.: 51	
	V.	Action Filed: September 25, 2020	
16	DYNOJET RESEARCH, INC., individually	STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF	
17	and doing business as DYNOJET, DYNOJET	JUDGMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER AND	
18	RESEARCH, DYNATEK, DYNATEK HIGH PERFORMANCE ELECTRONICS, and	JUDGMENT	
10	DYNA PERFORMANCE ELECTRONICS;		
19	DYNATEK IGNITIONS, INC., individually and doing business as DYNOJET, DYNOJET		
20	RESEARCH, DYNATEK, DYNATEK HIGH		
21	PERFORMANCE ELECTRONICS, AND DYNA PERFORMANCE ELECTRONICS;		
	ROBERT VLCEK, individually and as officer;		
22	DAVID MATTHEW WINIARCZYK, individually and as officer;		
23	DANIEL (AKA DANNY, OR DAN)		
	WILLIAM HOURIGAN, individually and as		
24	officer; SHAWN TOD ARNOLD, individually and as		
25	officer;		
26	DOES 1-100, inclusive,		
	Defendants.		
27	=	1	
28			
		1	
	Stipulation for Entry of Judgment; Order and Judgment (Case No. 20STCV36850)		

STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

Plaintiff People of the State of California ex rel. the California Air Resources Board (CARB) brought this action against Defendants Dynojet Research, Inc., individually and doing business as Dynojet, Dynojet Research, Dynatek, Dynatek High Performance Electronics, and Dyna Performance Electronics (Dynojet), Dynatek Ignitions, Inc., individually and doing business as Dynojet, Dynojet Research, Dynatek, Dynatek High Performance Electronics, and Dyna Performance Electronics (Dynatek), Robert Vlcek, David Matthew Winiarczyk, Daniel (aka Danny, or Dan) William Hourigan, and Shawn Tod Arnold, for violations of Vehicle Code sections 27156 and 38391 and California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2220, et seq. and 2470 et seq. CARB, Dynojet, and Dynatek are hereinafter referred to as the "Parties." CARB alleges that Dynojet and Dynatek violated the law by advertising, selling, offering to sell, and/or advertising parts or devices that alter or modify the original design or performance of required motor vehicle pollution control devices or systems on California vehicles that have not been exempted by CARB from Vehicle Code section 27156 or 38391.

Following arm's length negotiations between the Parties and their respective counsel, the Parties have reached and entered into a settlement agreement by way of this Stipulation for Entry of Judgment (Stipulated Judgment) in a good faith effort to avoid the uncertainty and expense of protracted litigation. CARB will dismiss Robert Vlcek, David Matthew Winiarczyk, Daniel (aka Danny, or Dan) William Hourigan, and Shawn Tod Arnold from this case with prejudice upon entry of this Stipulated Judgment by the Court.

By entering into this Stipulated Judgment, Dynojet and Dynatek do not admit any of the matters alleged in the Complaint on this matter, nor does CARB admit that the claims it has alleged are without merit or that there exists any viable defense to those claims. Except as expressly set forth herein, nothing in this Stipulated Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any Party may have in any current or future legal proceeding unrelated to these proceedings. CARB believes that this settlement is in the best interests of its agency and the People of the State of California.

- In the event that Dynojet and Dynatek fail to remit any payment (or portion thereof) described in Section 3(a), above, on or before any Due Date, Dynojet and Dynatek shall be deemed to be in material breach of their obligations under this Stipulated Judgment. CARB shall provide written notice of the delinquent payment to Dynojet and Dynatek pursuant to Section 15, below. If Dynojet and Dynatek fail to deliver the delinquent payment within ten (10) business days of CARB's provision of written notice of the delinquent payment (Cure Period), the entire unpaid remainder of the Total Penalty Amount shall become due and owing, and shall accrue interest at the statutory judgment interest rate provided in Code of Civil Procedure section 685.010, commencing on the first day after the applicable Due Date. At any time after the Cure Period, CARB or its counsel may make an ex parte application, without further notice to any Party, to the Court for an Order that Dynojet and/or Dynatek pay the Total Penalty Amount (plus accrued interest) within thirty (30) calendar days following entry of the Order, less credit for any payment(s) already received by CARB pursuant to Section 3.
- d. The payments described in Section 3 are made pursuant to the provisions of Health and Safety Code section 43008.6 and/or 43016. Therefore, it is agreed that the payments, and any interest accrued thereon, are non-dischargeable under the United States Code, title 11, section 523, subdivision (a)(7), which provides an exception from discharge for any debt to the extent such debt is for a fine, penalty, or forfeiture payable to and for the benefit of a governmental unit, and is not compensation for actual pecuniary loss, other than certain types of tax penalties.

4. Injunction.

a. Dynojet, Dynatek, and each of them, are permanently enjoined from violating
 Health and Safety Code section 43017 and Code of Civil Procedure section 525
 et seq., Vehicle Code sections 27156 and 38391, and California Code of

Regulations, title 13, sections 2222 and 2474, and from engaging in or performing, directly or indirectly, any and all of the following acts:

- i. Installing, offering for sale, or selling any device, apparatus, or mechanism which alters or modifies the original design or performance of any required motor vehicle, off-road vehicle, off-road engine, or off-road equipment pollution control device or system in California unless such part, apparatus, or mechanism has been certified or exempted by CARB, directly or indirectly through any person, whether such person is a dealer, distributor, reseller, or otherwise, to any other person that reasonably may install such device, apparatus, or mechanism on a registered motor vehicle, registered off-road vehicle, certified off-road engine, or certified off-road equipment in California.
- ii. Making or disseminating in California any advertisement that references any device, apparatus, or mechanism that alters or modifies the original design or performance of any required motor vehicle pollution control device or system and not exempted from Vehicle Code section 27156 or 38391 unless each advertisement contains a conspicuous disclaimer. The disclaimer shall be in a minimum font size 10, and shall appear on each page that any non-certified/non-exempt part appears. The disclaimer shall be one of the following:
 - "NOT LEGAL FOR SALE OR USE IN CALIFORNIA."
 - 2. "NOT LEGAL FOR SALE OR USE IN CALIFORNIA ON ANY POLLUTION CONTROLLED MOTOR VEHICLE."
 - 3. "LEGAL IN CALIFORNIA ONLY FOR RACING VEHICLES WHICH MAY NEVER BE USED, OR REGISTERED OR LICENSED FOR USE, UPON A HIGHWAY."
 - 4. "FOR CLOSED COURSE COMPETITION USE ONLY. NOT INTENDED FOR STREET USE."

- b. Dynojet and Dynatek shall communicate to all of their agents, dealers, distributors, and resellers that they must cease and desist the offering for sale, installation and/or sale of all Dynojet and Dynatek add-on or modified aftermarket parts in California, unless the parts have been certified or exempted by CARB.
- c. Dynojet and Dynatek shall communicate to all of their agents, dealers, distributors, and resellers that they must cease and desist the advertising and/or representing of all Dynojet and Dynatek add-on or modified aftermarket parts in California, unless the advertisements include the disclaimer listed above.

5. <u>Subsequent Violations.</u>

- a. If CARB believes that any violation(s) of the injunctive relief provisions set forth in Section 4, above, have taken place, then CARB or its counsel shall provide Dynojet and Dynatek written notice of the alleged violation(s) and request to meet and confer with Dynojet and Dynatek in a good-faith attempt to resolve any dispute without Court intervention. During this meet and confer process, Dynojet and Dynatek shall provide to CARB any records regarding advertisement, sale, shipment, or installation of products into or within California that CARB requests for the purpose of determining the extent of the violation(s).
- b. After providing Dynojet and Dynatek with written notice of alleged violation(s) and request to meet and confer pursuant to Section 5(a), CARB may bring a regularly noticed motion in this Court for an order that Dynojet and/or Dynatek pay a further civil penalty as provided below if the following situations occur:
 - i. Dynojet and/or Dynatek fail to meet and confer with CARB or its counsel within thirty (30) calendar days of CARB's provision of written notice of alleged violation(s) pursuant to Section 15, below, the further civil penalty shall be \$5,000,000.

- ii. After meeting and conferring, CARB reasonably determines that, subject to Sections 5(d)-(h), Dynojet and/or Dynatek committed more than five (5) violations (with each individual item offered for sale, sold, installed, or advertised constituting a separate violation) within any period of twelve (12) consecutive months, and that the violations have not been resolved to CARB's satisfaction. Under those circumstances, if there are more than five (5) violations, but less than 50 violations within any period of twelve (12) consecutive months, the further civil penalty shall be \$1,500 per violation. If there are more than 50 violations within any period of twelve (12) consecutive months, then the further civil penalty shall be \$5,000,000.
- c. After hearing CARB's motion brought pursuant to Section 5(b), the Court shall Order Dynojet and/or Dynatek to pay the further civil penalty amount requested in CARB's motion within thirty (30) calendar days after entry of the Order if the Court determines that either of the following situations have occurred:
 - i. More than five (5) violations of the injunctive relief (with each individual item sold, installed, or advertised for sale constituting a separate violation) have occurred within any period of twelve (12) consecutive months, and Dynojet and/or Dynatek knew or should have known about the violations.
 - ii. Dynojet and/or Dynatek failed to meet and confer with CARB or its counsel within thirty (30) calendar days of CARB's provision of written notice of alleged violation(s) pursuant to Section 15, below, and the violation(s) of the injunctive relief provisions set forth in Section 4, above, independently identified by CARB have occurred.
- d. The terms of Section 5(a)-(c) do not apply to violations by individual Defendants who are no longer employed by Dynojet or Dynatek at the time they commit such violations.

- e. The terms of Section 5(b)-(c) do not apply where Dynojet and Dynatek can demonstrate that the actions constituting violation(s) of Section 4 if undertaken by Dynojet or Dynatek were directly committed by a dealer, distributor, or reseller that was prohibited from undertaking such actions by a binding agreement with Dynojet and Dynatek, and that Dynojet and Dynatek have taken the following corrective actions upon learning of the dealer, distributor, or reseller's actions:
 - i. Terminating their business relationship with that dealer, distributor, or reseller.
 - ii. Immediately recalling all remaining inventory of parts sold to the dealer, distributor, or reseller that were not certified by CARB.
- f. The terms of Section 5(b)-(c) do not apply where Dynojet and Dynatek can demonstrate that the actions constituting violation(s) of Section 4 if undertaken by Dynojet or Dynatek were instead undertaken by a third-party reseller that obtained Dynojet or Dynatek products from a dealer, distributor, or reseller with which Dynojet and/or Dynatek have a business relationship, and that Dynojet and Dynatek have taken the following sequence of corrective actions upon learning of the third-party reseller's actions:
 - i. Ordered their dealer, distributor, or reseller to terminate its business relationship with the third-party reseller that took the actions, and received written confirmation that the relationship was terminated.
 - ii. Terminated their business relationship with their dealer, distributor, or reseller if the dealer, distributor, or reseller failed to terminate its business relationship with the third-party reseller that took the actions.
 - iii. Immediately recalling all remaining inventory of parts that were not certified by CARB that were sold to the dealer, distributor, or reseller.
- g. The terms of Section 5(b)-(c) do not apply where a dealer, distributor, reseller or installer violates Vehicle Code sections 27156 and 38391 and/or California

Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2220, et seq. and 2470 *et seq.* that has no business relationship with Dynojet and Dynatek. Dynojet and Dynatek must substantiate that they had no business relationship with the alleged third-party violator(s) at the time the alleged violation(s) occurred, and provide the original record(s) of sale for product unit(s) that CARB alleges were involved in the violation(s) (i.e. the invoice(s) reflecting the party or parties to whom Dynojet and Dynatek first sold the products to).

- h. The terms of Section 5(b)-(c) do not apply where an individual consumer resells Dynojet or Dynatek products through third-party online market places such as eBay or a similar website in violation of Vehicle Code section 27156 or 38391 and/or California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2220, et seq. or 2470, et seq., and where Dynojet and Dynatek can demonstrate that that they prohibited future sales to that individual consumer upon learning of the individual consumer's actions.
- i. No provision of the injunction set forth in Section 4, above, shall bar CARB from seeking other and/or further judicial remedies (including, but not limited to, injunctive relief) as to future violations.
- j. No provision of this Section 5 shall bar CARB from separately enforcing California law with regard to any future act(s) constituting violation(s) of the injunctive relief provisions set forth in Section 4, above, in lieu of pursuing remedy via the process set forth in Section 5.
- 6. Release. In consideration of the settlement payments by Dynojet and Dynatek, the injunctive relief specified in Section 4 and the procedures for any subsequent violations specified in Section 5, the State of California and CARB hereby releases Defendants and their principals, officers, shareholders, directors, agents (as defined in Cal. Civil Code § 2295, and specifically meaning a person that is authorized by Dynojet or Dynatek to represent them in dealings with third persons), successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations, and predecessors, (excluding any and all dealers,

- distributors, or resellers of Dynojet and Dynatek products), from any and all claims, demands, actions, or causes of action pertaining to any violations alleged in CARB's First Amended Complaint filed January 27, 2021 through the Effective Date.
- 7. <u>Attorneys' Fees.</u> Each Party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this matter.
- 8. Scope of Stipulated Judgment. This Stipulated Judgment is made and entered into by and on behalf of the People of the State of California ex rel. the California Air Resources Board only. Except as expressly provided in this Stipulated Judgment, nothing in this Stipulated Judgment is intended or shall be construed to preclude the Attorney General from exercising his or her authority as an independent Constitutional officer under any law, statute, or regulation. Except as expressly provided in this Stipulated Judgment, nothing in this Stipulated Judgment is intended or shall be construed to preclude any state, local, or federal agency, board, department, office, commission, or entity from exercising its authority under any law, statute, regulation, or ordinance.
- 9. <u>No Admission of Liability.</u> This Stipulated Judgment is the result of a compromise and shall not in any way be construed as an admission of liability, fault, or responsibility by any of the Parties as to any claims or contentions.
- 10. <u>Interpretation.</u> This Stipulated Judgment shall be deemed to have been drafted equally by the Parties and shall not be interpreted for or against any Party on the ground that any such Party drafted it. This Stipulated Judgment shall be governed, interpreted, and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California.
- 11. <u>Integration</u>. This Stipulated Judgment contains all of the terms and conditions agreed upon by the Parties and supersedes any and all prior and contemporaneous agreements, negotiations, correspondence, understandings, and communications of the Parties, whether oral or written, respecting the matters covered by this Stipulated Judgment. This Stipulated Judgment may be amended or modified only by a writing signed by the Parties or their representatives and then by order of this Court.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
2	DATED:	12-20-21	
3			David Martinez
4			Chief Executive Officer Dynojet Research, Inc. and
5	1.00		Dynatek Ignitions, Inc.
	1.5		
6	100		
7	DATED:	1/18/2022	V. W. 8
8	1.00	7 - 4 - 4	Richard Corey
9	W. 19		Executive Officer, California Air Resources Board
10			
11	2-3-4		
12	APPROVED AS	S TO FORM:	- 2
13		12/20/2021	Mandon
	DATED:	12,20,2021	Maureen F. Gorsen
14			Counsel for Dynojet Research, Inc. and
15			Dynatek Ignitions, Inc.
16			90 2090
17	DATED:	12/20/21	1310
18			Ryah-R. Hoffman
19			Deputy Attorney General Counsel for the People of the State of
20			California ex rel. the California Air Resources Board
			Acounces Duald
21			
22	<u>[PROPOSED]</u> ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
23	Based upon the Parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, this Stipulated Judgment		
24	is approved and Judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
26		I	
27	DATED:	January 24, 2022	Lawrence P. Riff/Judge
28			Hon. Dennis Landin Judge of the Superior Court
40			Judge of the Superior Court